

## A CONSTRUCTION OF A SUBSPACE IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE WITH DESIGNATED VALUES OF DIMENSION AND METRIC DIMENSION

TATSUO GOTO

(Communicated by James West)

*Dedicated to Professor Ryosuke Nakagawa on his 60th birthday*

**ABSTRACT.** For every integer  $m, k$ , and  $n$  such that  $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$  and  $m \leq k \leq \min\{2m, n - 1\}$ , we construct a subspace  $S_{m,k}^n$  in Euclidean  $n$ -space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  satisfying the conditions that  $\mu\dim S_{m,k}^n = m$  and  $\dim S_{m,k}^n = k$ , where  $\mu\dim$  denotes the metric dimension.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a subspace in Euclidean  $n$ -space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $\mu\dim X = m$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$ , where  $\mu\dim$  denotes the metric dimension. Then we have  $m \leq \dim X \leq \min\{2m, n - 1\}$  by Katětov's inequality  $\dim X \leq 2\mu\dim X$  [3].

In a previous paper [2] we constructed a subspace  $S_{n,m}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\mu\dim S_{n,m} = m$  and  $\dim S_{n,m} = \min\{2m, n - 1\}$ . Thus the space  $S_{n,m}$  is a subspace in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of metric dimension  $m$  which has the maximal discrepancy with its covering dimension.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $m, k$ , and  $n$  be arbitrary integers such that  $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$  and  $m \leq k \leq \min\{2m, n - 1\}$ . Then there exists a subspace  $S_{m,k}^n$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\mu\dim S_{m,k}^n = m$  and  $\dim S_{m,k}^n = k$ .*

The space  $S_{m,k}^n$  given below can be expressed as  $S_{m,k}^n = S_m^n \cap N_k^n$ , where  $S_m^n$  denotes a space which is a slight modification of  $S_{n,m}$  and  $N_k^n$  denotes Nöbeling's  $k$ -dimensional space in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

### 2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

We denote by  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and  $\mathbf{N}$  the set of rational numbers, integers, and positive integers, respectively. For a point  $x = (x_i)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  we let  $r(x) = \text{card}\{i: x_i \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ . Then Nöbeling's  $k$ -dimensional space  $N_k^n$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  can be expressed as  $N_k^n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: r(x) \leq k\}$  (cf. [1]).

---

Received by the editors December 3, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55M10.

*Key words and phrases.* Dimension, metric dimension, Euclidean space, Nöbeling's space.

For a metric space  $X$  the metric dimension  $\mu \dim X$  is defined as follows;  $\mu \dim X \leq m$  iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{mesh } \mathcal{U} < \varepsilon$  and  $\text{ord } \mathcal{U} \leq n + 1$  (cf. [1]).

The construction of the space  $S_{n,m}$  in [2] is as follows. Let  $T_i = \{t_{i,j} : j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , be a set of real numbers such that:

$$(1) \quad t_{i,j+1} - t_{i,j} = 1/i \quad \text{for every } j$$

and

$$(2) \quad T_i \cap T_{i'} = \emptyset \quad \text{if } i \neq i'.$$

For every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , we set  $r_i(x) = \text{card}\{j : x_j \in T_i\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then the space  $S_{n,m}$  in [2] can be written as

$$S_{n,m} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : r_i(x) \leq m \text{ for every } i \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

In this construction we can assume that each  $T_i$  is contained in  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and we denote by  $S_m^n$  the space  $S_{n,m}$  obtained by this modification.

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We need a lemma due to Wilkinson [4].

**Lemma.** *Suppose that  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are closed proper subsets in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\dim(A_i \cap A_j) \leq m$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . Then we have  $\dim(\mathbf{R}^n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \geq n - m - 2$ .*

*Proof of the Theorem.* By the definition

$$(3) \quad S_m^n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : r_i(x) \leq m \text{ for every } i \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Since  $T_i \subset \mathbf{Q}$  for every  $i$ , it follows that  $r_i(x) \leq r(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and hence  $N_m^n \subset S_m^n$ . Thus we have  $N_m^n = S_{m,m}^n \subset S_{m,k}^n \subset S_m^n$ , which implies that  $m = \mu \dim N_m^n \leq \mu \dim S_{m,k}^n \leq \mu \dim S_m^n = m$  by [2, Lemma 4]. Thus we obtain

$$(4) \quad \mu \dim S_{m,k}^n = m \quad \text{for every } k, \quad m \leq k \leq \min\{2m, n-1\}.$$

Moreover, we have  $\dim(S_{m,k+1}^n - S_{m,k}^n) \leq 0$  because  $S_{m,k+1}^n - S_{m,k}^n = S_m^n \cap (N_{k+1}^n - N_k^n)$  and  $\dim(N_{k+1}^n - N_k^n) = 0$ . Thus we obtain

$$(5) \quad \dim S_{m,k+1}^n \leq \dim S_{m,k}^n + 1 \quad \text{for every } k, \quad m \leq k \leq \min\{2m, n-1\}.$$

We let  $A_i = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : r_i(x) \geq m+1\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ . Condition (1) implies that each  $A_i$  is the union of a countable locally finite family of  $(n-m-1)$ -dimensional planes. Hence,  $A_i$  is closed in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\dim A_i = n-m-1$ . From (3) it follows that  $\mathbf{R}^n - S_m^n = \bigcup\{A_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ .

*Case 1.*  $2m \geq n-1$ . In this case we have a sequence

$$N_m^n = S_{m,m}^n \subset S_{m,m+1}^n \subset \dots \subset S_{m,n-1}^n.$$

In view of (5), to prove that  $\dim S_{m,k}^n = k$  for every  $k$  it suffices to show

$$(6) \quad \dim S_{m,n-1}^n \geq n-1.$$

From (2) and the assumption that  $2m \geq n-1$ , it follows that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Since  $\mathbf{R}^n - N_{n-1}^n$  consists of a countable number of points and since

$$\mathbf{R}^n - S_{m,n-1}^n = (\mathbf{R}^n - S_m^n) \cup (\mathbf{R}^n - N_{n-1}^n) = \bigcup\{A_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup (\mathbf{R}^n - N_{n-1}^n),$$

we obtain (6) by the Lemma.

Case 2.  $2m < n - 1$ . In this case we have a sequence

$$N_m^n = S_{m,m}^n \subset S_{m,m+1}^n \subset \cdots \subset S_{m,2m}^n.$$

It follows from (2) that  $\dim(A_i \cap A_j) \leq n - 2m - 2$  if  $i \neq j$ , because  $2m < n - 1$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbf{R}^n - N_{2m}^n$  is the countable union of  $(n - 2m - 1)$ -dimensional planes  $B_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . Hence we have

$$\mathbf{R}^n - S_{m,k}^n = \bigcup \{A_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\} \cup \{B_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

It is clear that, for every  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $B_j \subset A_i$  or  $\dim(A_i \cap B_j) \leq n - 2m - 2$  and that  $\dim(B_j \cap B_{j'}) \leq n - 2m - 2$  whenever  $j \neq j'$ . Thus it follows from the Lemma that

$$\dim S_{m,2m}^n \geq n - (n - 2m - 2) - 2 = 2m.$$

This implies that  $S_{m,k}^n = k$  for every  $k$ ,  $m \leq k \leq 2m$ , by virtue of (5). This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Engelking, *Dimension theory*, PWN, Warszawa, 1978.
2. T. Goto, *Metric dimension of bounded subspaces of Euclidean spaces*. I, *Topology Proc.* (to appear).
3. M. Katětov, *On the relation between the metric and topological dimensions*, *Czechoslovak. Math. J.* **8** (1958), 163-166. (Russian)
4. J. W. Wilkinson, *A lower bound for the dimension of certain  $G_\delta$  sets in completely normal spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1969), 175-178.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, SAITAMA UNIVERSITY, URAWA, JAPAN