

ROUGH ISOMETRIES AND DIRICHLET FINITE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON GRAPHS

PAOLO M. SOARDI

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are roughly isometric connected graphs of bounded degree. If G_1 has no nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic functions, then neither has G_2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that (X_1, d_1) and (X_2, d_2) are metric spaces. A map $\phi : X_1 \mapsto X_2$ is called a rough isometry (a quasi-isometry in Gromov's language [Gr]) if the two following conditions are satisfied: there are positive constants a and b such that, for all $x, y \in X_1$,

$$(1) \quad a^{-1}d_1(x, y) - b \leq d_2(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leq ad_1(x, y) + b ;$$

there is a positive constant c such that, for every $z \in X_2$, there exists $x \in X_1$ such that

$$(2) \quad d_2(z, \phi(x)) \leq c.$$

It is not difficult to see that rough isometry is an equivalence relation among metric spaces. Kanai (see [Ka1, Ka2]) proved that if X_1 and X_2 are roughly isometric connected Riemannian manifolds of bounded geometry, then X_2 is parabolic if X_1 is. This result was obtained by studying the relation between Dirichlet finite functions on the manifold and Dirichlet finite functions on certain graphs (ε -nets) on the manifold.

The discrete counterpart of Kanai's theorem was proved, among other results, in [MMT, Theorem 3.2]: if X_1 and X_2 are (the vertex sets of) two connected roughly isometric abstract graphs of bounded degree (with the usual geodesic distance on a graph), then X_2 is recurrent if X_1 is. Remember that a graph G is called recurrent (transient) if the simple random walk on G , which assigns equal probability of passing from a vertex to any of its neighbours, is recurrent (transient); see, e.g., [G].

In this note we want to show that rough isometries between graphs preserve another important property: if X_1 and X_2 are roughly isometric connected

Received by the editors September 11, 1991 and, in revised form, April 8, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31C20; Secondary 94C15.

Key words and phrases. Rough isometries, infinite networks, infinite graphs, Dirichlet finite harmonic functions.

graphs of bounded degree, and if X_1 has no nontrivial harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet sum, then neither has X_2 .

This result was obtained in [MMT, Theorem 7.9] under the extra assumption that X_1 satisfies an ε -isoperimetric inequality ($0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$). Using Yamasaki's potential theory, we can establish the theorem in full generality.

We should also mention that the nonexistence of nontrivial harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet sums is equivalent to the uniqueness of currents of finite energy in infinite resistive electrical networks; see [SW, Z]. Several criteria for existence or nonexistence of nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic functions are known; see [SW, T1, T2, CW].

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

For every graph G , we will denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the vertex set and the edge set of G , respectively. All graphs considered in this paper will be infinite connected, without multiple edges and self-loops. Moreover, we will always assume that they are of bounded degree, i.e.,

$$(3) \quad \sup_{x \in V(G)} \deg(x) \leq M \quad \text{for some constant } M,$$

where $\deg(x)$ denotes the degree of $x \in V(G)$, i.e., its number of neighbours. The geodesic distance between two vertices x and y (length of a shortest path joining x to y) is denoted by $d_G(x, y)$. In particular, we will write $x \sim y$ if x and y are neighbours, i.e., $d_G(x, y) = 1$.

For every graph G and every positive integer k , the k -fuzz G^k of G is the graph such that $V(G^k) = V(G)$ and $d_{G^k}(x, y) = 1$ if and only if $1 \leq d_G(x, y) \leq k$. It was proved in [DS] (see also [MMT]) that G is recurrent if and only if G^k is recurrent.

Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs as above and let $\psi : V(G_1) \mapsto V(G_2)$ be an application such that $d_{G_1}(x, y) = 1$ implies $d_{G_2}(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \leq 1$. Then we say that ψ is a morphism from G_1 to G_2 . Clearly, if G_1 is roughly isometric to G_2 , i.e., if there is a rough isometry ϕ from $V(G_1)$ to $V(G_2)$, then ϕ is a morphism from G_1 to G_2^k , with $k \geq a + b$.

If ψ is a morphism from G_1 to G_2 , we define the image G' of G_1 in G_2 as follows: $V(G') = \psi(V(G_1))$, and, for every $x, y \in V(G_1)$ with $x \sim y$, there is an edge $[x', y']$ with end points $x', y' \in V(G')$, $x' \neq y'$, and $\psi(x) = x'$, $\psi(y) = y'$. It is clear that G' is a subgraph of G_2 satisfying the assumptions made at the beginning of this section.

We will now present some results from Yamasaki's potential theory for infinite networks, which will be needed in the sequel. All the results quoted below are contained in the papers [Y1, Y2, Y3, KY].

Let f be a real-valued function defined on the vertex set of a graph G . The Dirichlet sum of f is given by

$$(4) \quad D(f) = \sum_{x \sim y} |f(x) - f(y)|^2.$$

If $D(f)$ is finite, we say that f is Dirichlet finite. We choose a reference vertex o and define a norm for Dirichlet finite functions by setting

$$(5) \quad \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}(G)}^2 = |f(o)|^2 + D(f).$$

Let $\mathbf{D}(G)$ denote the space of all finite Dirichlet functions with the norm (5). It is easily seen that $\mathbf{D}(G)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(f, g) = f(o)g(o) + \sum_{x \sim y} (f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)).$$

Let $\ell_0(G)$ denote the linear space of all real-valued finitely supported functions on $V(G)$. We denote by $\mathbf{D}_0(G)$ the closure of $\ell_0(G)$ in $\mathbf{D}(G)$.

After noticing that a graph (network) is parabolic according to Yamasaki's definition if and only if it is recurrent (see, e.g., [K, S, SY]), we have the following characterization of recurrent graphs (networks).

Proposition 1 [Y1, Theorem 3.2]. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) G is recurrent;
- (b) $1 \in \mathbf{D}_0(G)$;
- (c) $\mathbf{D}_0(G) = \mathbf{D}(G)$.

Definition. A function h on $V(G)$ is called harmonic if

$$(6) \quad h(x) = \frac{1}{\text{deg}(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} h(y) \quad \text{for all } x \in V(G).$$

The subspace of all harmonic functions in $\mathbf{D}(G)$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{HD}(G)$. Yamasaki proved the discrete analogue of Royden's decomposition theorem [Y2, Lemma 1.3, Theorem 4.1].

Proposition 2. *Suppose that G is transient. Then, for every $f \in \mathbf{D}(G)$, there exists a unique $g \in \mathbf{D}_0(G)$ and a unique $h \in \mathbf{HD}(G)$ such that $f = g + h$.*

We now come to the notion of extremal length of a set of paths in G (see [KY, §2; Du]). Let w be a nonnegative function on the edge set $E(G)$. Its energy $\mathcal{E}(w)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}(w) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} w^2(e).$$

Let \mathbf{P} be a set of non-self-intersecting infinite paths in G .

Definition. The extremal length $\lambda_G(\mathbf{P})$ of \mathbf{P} is defined as

$$(7) \quad (\lambda_G(\mathbf{P}))^{-1} = \inf \mathcal{E}(w),$$

where the infimum in (7) is taken over the set of all nonnegative w such that $\mathcal{E}(w) < \infty$ and such that $\sum_{e \in E(P)} w(e) \geq 1$, for every path $P \in \mathbf{P}$ (here and in the following $E(P)$ denotes the edge set of P and $V(P)$ the vertex set).

If a property holds for all paths in \mathbf{P} , except for a subset of extremal length ∞ , we will say that the property holds for almost all paths in \mathbf{P} .

Now let \mathbf{P}_o denote the set of all one-sided non-self-intersecting infinite paths having o as first vertex. Let $f \in \mathbf{D}(G)$ and $P \in \mathbf{P}_o$. Suppose that $\lim f(x)$ exists and is finite as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along the vertices of P . Then, we denote by $f(P)$ such a limit, i.e.,

$$f(P) = \lim_{\substack{x \in V(P) \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} f(x).$$

We have the following results due to Kayano and Yamasaki and Yamasaki [KY, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3; Y3, Theorem 3.1].

Proposition 3. *Let $f \in \mathbf{D}(G)$. Then:*

- (a) *for almost every $P \in \mathbf{P}_o$, $f(P) = \lim f(x)$ exists and is finite as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along the vertices of P ;*
- (b) *if $f \in \mathbf{D}_0(G)$, then $f(P) = 0$ for almost every $P \in \mathbf{P}_o$;*
- (c) *if $f \in \mathbf{HD}(G)$ is not a constant, then there is no constant γ such that $f(P) = \gamma$ for almost every path $P \in \mathbf{P}_o$.*

3. DIRICHLET FINITE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

We start with a definition borrowed from Riemannian manifolds classification theory (see [Y2]).

Definition. We say that a graph G belongs to the class $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$ if there is no nonconstant harmonic function on G with finite Dirichlet sum.

Theorem. *Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are roughly isometric infinite connected graphs of bounded degree. If $G_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$, then $G_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$.*

We will split the proof in three lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that G is a transient connected graph satisfying (3). Then $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$ if and only if $G^k \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$.*

Proof. We first prove that the norms in $\mathbf{D}(G)$ and in $\mathbf{D}(G^k)$ are equivalent. On the one hand, it is clear that, for every function f on $V(G) = V(G^k)$, $\|f\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathbf{D}(G^k)}$. On the other hand, for every edge $e = [z_1, z_2] \in E(G^k)$, set

$$U(e, k) = \{[x_1, x_2] \in E(G) : d_G(x_j, z_i) \leq k \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2\}.$$

Clearly, every edge $[s, t] \in E(G)$ belongs to less than $M^{2(k+1)}$ sets $U(e, k)$. Now, if $d_{G^k}(x, y) = 1$, i.e., $1 \leq d_G(x, y) = n \leq k$, there is a path in G with vertices $x_0 = x \sim x_1 \sim \dots \sim x_n = y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 &\leq k \sum_{j=1}^n |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|^2 \\ &\leq k \sum_{[s, t] \in U([x, y], k)} |f(s) - f(t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{[x, y] \in E(G^k)} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 &\leq k \sum_{[x, y] \in E(G^k)} \sum_{[s, t] \in U([x, y], k)} |f(s) - f(t)|^2 \\ &\leq k M^{2(k+1)} \sum_{[s, t] \in E(G)} |f(s) - f(t)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{D}(G^k)} \leq \sqrt{k} M^{k+1} \|f\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)}.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{D}(G)$ contains the same functions as $\mathbf{D}(G^k)$ and $\mathbf{D}_0(G)$ the same functions as $\mathbf{D}_0(G^k)$.

Assume that $G^k \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$. Let $h \in \mathbf{HD}(G)$. Since G^k is transient together with G , by Proposition 2 there is a $g \in \mathbf{D}_0(G^k)$ and a constant κ such that $h = g + \kappa$. Since $g \in \mathbf{D}_0(G)$ and by the uniqueness of Royden's decomposition

in $\mathbf{D}(G)$, $g = 0$ and $h = \kappa$. Hence $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$. The same argument proves also the converse implication. \square

Lemma 2. *Let G and G' be transient connected graphs satisfying (3). Suppose that there is a morphism ψ of G onto G' in such a way that G' coincides with the image of G by means of ψ . Moreover, suppose that there is a constant m such that, for every $x, y \in V(G)$, $\psi(x) = \psi(y)$ implies $d_G(x, y) \leq m$. Under these assumptions, if $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$, then $G' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$.*

Proof. For every function f' on $V(G')$ we define a function f on $V(G)$ by setting

$$(8) \quad f(x) = f'(\psi(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in V(G).$$

Let \mathbf{C} denote the space of all Dirichlet finite functions on $V(G)$ of the form (8). We will show that (8) defines a Banach space isomorphism of \mathbf{C} onto $\mathbf{D}(G')$.

We have that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{x \sim y} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 &\leq \sum_{\substack{x' \sim y' \\ x', y' \in V(G')}} \sum_{\substack{\psi(x) = x' \\ \psi(y) = y'}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x' \sim y' \\ x', y' \in V(G')}} |f'(x') - f'(y')|^2 \sum_{\substack{x \in \psi^{-1}(x') \\ y \in \psi^{-1}(y')}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s' \in V(G')$ and let $s \in V(G)$ be such that $\psi(s) = s'$. Then $\psi^{-1}(s') \subseteq \{x \in V(G) : d_G(x, s) \leq m\}$. Therefore, $\text{card } \psi^{-1}(s') \leq M^{m+1}$ and by (9) we get (choosing $o' = \psi(o)$)

$$(10) \quad D(f) \leq M^{2(m+1)} D(f'), \quad \text{whence } \|f\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)} \leq M^{m+1} \|f'\|_{\mathbf{D}(G')}.$$

On the other hand, since G' coincides with the image of G , for every $x', y' \in V(G')$, $x' \sim y'$, there exist $x, y \in V(G)$ such that $x \sim y$ and $\psi(x) = x'$, $\psi(y) = y'$. It follows that

$$(11) \quad D(f') \leq D(f), \quad \text{whence } \|f'\|_{\mathbf{D}(G')} \leq \|f\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)}.$$

Now we claim that, if f and f' are as in (8), $f \in \mathbf{C} \cap \mathbf{D}_0(G)$ if and only if $f' \in \mathbf{D}_0(G')$.

One implication is obvious by (10). To prove the converse, write $V(G)$ as the disjoint union of the classes $\psi^{-1}(x')$ with $x' \in V(G')$. For every $x \in V(G)$ let $\{x\}$ be the class of x and let $\omega(x) = \text{card}\{x\}$. For every $g \in \mathbf{D}(G)$ we define the mean $\mathcal{M}g$ of g by

$$\mathcal{M}g(x) = \frac{1}{\omega(x)} \sum_{s \in \{x\}} g(s) \quad \text{for all } x \in V(G).$$

Suppose that $x \sim y$. Then

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}g(x) - \mathcal{M}g(y)|^2 &= (\omega(x)\omega(y))^{-2} \left(\sum_{\substack{s \in \{x\} \\ t \in \{y\}}} g(s) - g(t) \right)^2 \\ &\leq (\omega(x)\omega(y))^{-1} \sum_{\substack{s \in \{x\} \\ t \in \{y\}}} |g(s) - g(t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $d_G(s, t) \leq 2m + 1$ for every $s \in \{x\}$ and $t \in \{y\}$. Hence, there exists a path with vertices $s = x_0 \sim x_1 \sim \dots \sim x_n = t$ such that $n \leq 2m + 1$. It follows that

$$(13) \quad |g(s) - g(t)|^2 \leq (2m + 1) \sum_{j=1}^n |g(x_j) - g(x_{j-1})|^2.$$

We set, for every couple of vertices z_1, z_2 in $V(G)$ such that $d_G(z_1, z_2) \leq 2m + 1$,

$$N(z_1, z_2) = \{[x_1, x_2] \in E(G) : d_G(x_j, z_i) \leq 2m + 1 \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2\}.$$

Every edge $[x_1, x_2] \in E(G)$ belongs to less than $M^{4(m+1)}$ sets $N(z_1, z_2)$ so that by (13) above,

$$|g(s) - g(t)|^2 \leq (2m + 1) \sum_{[x_1, x_2] \in N(s, t)} |g(x_1) - g(x_2)|^2.$$

Taking (12) into account,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \sim y} |\mathcal{M}g(x) - \mathcal{M}g(y)|^2 &\leq (2m + 1) \sum_{\substack{x \sim y \\ t \in \{y\}}} \sum_{s \in \{x\}} \sum_{[x_1, x_2] \in N(s, t)} |g(x_1) - g(x_2)|^2 \\ &\leq (2m + 1) M^{4(m+1)} \sum_{[x_1, x_2] \in E(G)} |g(x_1) - g(x_2)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(14) \quad D(\mathcal{M}g) \leq (2m + 1) M^{4m+4} D(g).$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$(15) \quad |g(s)|^2 \leq (m + 1)(D(g) + |g(o)|^2) \text{ for every } s \in \{o\}.$$

Hence,

$$(16) \quad |\mathcal{M}g(o)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\omega(o)} \sum_{s \in \{o\}} |g(s)|^2 \leq (m + 1) \|g\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)}^2.$$

Finally, from (14) and (16) we get

$$(17) \quad \|\mathcal{M}g\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)} \leq \sqrt{3m + 2} M^{2m+2} \|g\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)}.$$

Assume that f is a function in $\mathbf{C} \cap \mathbf{D}_0(G)$. Let $f_n \in \ell_0(G)$ be a sequence such that $\|f - f_n\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathcal{M}f = f$, (17) implies $\|f - \mathcal{M}f_n\|_{\mathbf{D}(G)} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, our claim follows from (11).

Suppose now that $f' \in \mathbf{HD}(G')$. Since G is transient, by Proposition 2 there is a constant κ and a function $g \in \mathbf{D}_0(G)$ such that $f = g + \kappa$. If g and g' are related as f and f' in (8), we have that $g' \in \mathbf{D}_0(G')$ and $f' = g' + \kappa$. By the uniqueness of Royden's decomposition in $\mathbf{D}(G')$, $g' = 0$ and $f' = \kappa$. Hence, $G' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let G be a transient connected subgraph of a connected graph H satisfying (3). Assume that the inclusion map of G into H is a rough isometry. If $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$, then $H \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{HD}}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbf{HD}(H)$. We will show that there is a constant γ such that, for almost all paths $P \in \mathbf{P}_o$, $f(x)$ tends to γ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ along the vertices of P .

By Proposition 3(c) this will imply that f is a constant, thus proving the thesis of the lemma.

Let g denote the restriction of f to $V(G)$. Clearly, $g \in \mathbf{D}(G)$. Let \mathbf{P}_o^G denote the set of all infinite paths in \mathbf{P}_o , all of whose edges are in $E(G)$ (we may assume $o \in V(G)$). Since $G \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{HD}}$, by Proposition 2 and Proposition 3(b), there exists a constant γ such that $g(P) = \gamma$, for almost every path P in \mathbf{P}_o^G . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^I &= \{P \in \mathbf{P}_o : f(P) = \gamma\}, \\ \mathbf{P}^{II} &= \{P \in \mathbf{P}_o : f(P) \neq \gamma\}, \\ \mathbf{P}^{III} &= \{P \in \mathbf{P}_o : f(P) \text{ does not exist}\}, \\ \mathbf{Q} &= \mathbf{P}^{II} \cap \mathbf{P}_o^G. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore almost every path $P \in \mathbf{P}_o^G$ belongs to \mathbf{P}^I . Moreover, $\lambda_H(\mathbf{P}^{III}) = \infty$ by Proposition 3(a). To prove the lemma we have only to show that $\lambda_H(\mathbf{P}^{II}) = \infty$ (note that H is transient so that, by [Y1, Theorem 4.1], $\lambda_H(\mathbf{P}_o) < \infty$).

In what follows, we will write $x \sim y$ if $d_H(x, y) = 1$ and $x \approx y$ if $d_G(x, y) = 1$. Now, we will associate to every $P \in \mathbf{P}^{II}$ a path \tilde{P} in \mathbf{Q} . Choose any path $P \in \mathbf{P}^{II}$ with distinct vertices $o = x_0 \sim x_1 \sim \dots \sim x_n \sim \dots$. By (2), for every n there exist vertices $y_n \in V(G)$ (not necessarily distinct) such that $d_H(x_n, y_n) \leq c$. Let $\rho = a(2c + 1 + b)$, where a, b, c are as in (1), (2). We have by (1)

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq ad_H(y_n, y_{n+1}) + ab \\ (18) \quad &\leq a(d_H(y_n, x_n) + d_H(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_H(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) + ab \\ &\leq a(2c + 1 + b) = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that $d_H(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq d_G(y_n, y_{n+1})$.

Suppose $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$. By (18), there are distinct vertices $z_r \in V(G)$, $r = 0, \dots, k$, with $k \leq \rho$, such that

$$y_n = z_0 \approx z_1 \approx \dots \approx z_k = y_{n+1}.$$

It follows that there exists a path $\tilde{P} \in \mathbf{P}_o^G$ with distinct vertices $t_j \in V(G)$,

$$o = t_0 \approx t_1 \approx \dots \approx t_j \approx \dots$$

and a nondecreasing sequence of subscripts $j(n) \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(19) \quad t_{j(n)} = y_n, \quad j(n+1) - j(n) \leq \rho, \quad d_H(x_n, t_{j(n)}) \leq c.$$

Note that, for every integer r , the cardinality of the set $\{n : j(n) = r\}$ is smaller than M^{c+1} .

For every n there are vertices $v_r \in V(H)$, $r = 0, \dots, m$, with $m \leq c$, such that

$$v_0 = x_n \sim v_1 \sim \dots \sim v_m = t_{j(n)}.$$

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|f(x_n) - f(t_{j(n)})|^2 \leq c \sum_{r=1}^m |f(v_r) - f(v_{r-1})|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

so that $g(t_{j(n)}) = f(t_{j(n)}) \rightarrow f(P) \neq \gamma$. In the same way, we see that the whole sequence $g(t_j)$ tends to $f(P)$ since, for $j(n) < j < j(n+1)$, we have

$$|f(t_j) - f(t_{j(n)})|^2 \leq \rho \sum_{r=1}^k |f(z_r) - f(z_{r-1})|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that the path \tilde{P} with vertices t_j belongs to \mathbf{Q} .

Since $\lambda_G(\mathbf{Q}) = \infty$, by the necessary and sufficient condition for a set of paths to have infinite extremal length [KY, Lemma 2.3], there exists a positive function w on $E(G)$ such that

$$(20) \quad \sum_{\tilde{e} \in E(G)} w^2(\tilde{e}) = \mathcal{E}(w) < \infty,$$

$$(21) \quad \sum_{\tilde{e} \in E(P)} w(\tilde{e}) = \infty \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

Let ρ be as above and set $\delta = \rho + c$. For every edge $e = [z_1, z_2] \in E(H)$, set

$$U(e, \delta) = \{\tilde{e} = [x_1, x_2] \in E(G) : d_H(x_j, z_i) \leq \delta \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2\}.$$

We define w^* on $E(H)$ in the following way:

$$w^*(e) = \sup_{\tilde{e} \in U(e, \delta)} w(\tilde{e}) \quad \text{for all } e \in E(H).$$

Clearly, $w^{*2}(e) \leq \sum_{\tilde{e} \in U(e, \delta)} w^2(\tilde{e})$ for all $e \in E(H)$. Moreover, every edge $\tilde{e} \in E(G)$ belongs to less than $M^{2(\delta+1)}$ sets $U(e, \delta)$, $e \in E(H)$. Therefore, (20) gives

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(w^*) &= \sum_{e \in E(H)} w^{*2}(e) \leq \sum_{e \in E(H)} \sum_{\tilde{e} \in U(e, \delta)} w^2(\tilde{e}) \\ &\leq M^{2(\delta+1)} \sum_{\tilde{e} \in E(G)} w^2(\tilde{e}) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We now claim that

$$(23) \quad \sum_{e \in E(P)} w^*(e) = \infty \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathbf{P}^{\text{II}}.$$

Let, for every $P \in \mathbf{P}^{\text{II}}$ with vertices x_n , \tilde{P} be the path in \mathbf{Q} with vertices t_j constructed above. For such a \tilde{P} , $\sum_{\tilde{e} \in E(\tilde{P})} w(\tilde{e}) = \infty$, by (21). For every edge $[x_n, x_{n+1}] \in E(P)$, such that $j(n) \neq j(n+1)$,

$$(24) \quad \rho w^*([x_n, x_{n+1}]) \geq \sum_{j=j(n)+1}^{j(n+1)} w([t_{j-1}, t_j])$$

since, by (18) and (19), $[t_{j-1}, t_j] \in U([x_n, x_{n+1}], \delta)$ for $j = j(n) + 1, \dots, j(n+1)$.

It follows, from (21) and (24), that

$$\sum_{e \in E(P)} w^*(e) \geq \rho^{-1} \sum_{\tilde{e} \in E(\tilde{P})} w(\tilde{e}) = \infty.$$

Therefore, by (22), (23), and [KY, Lemma 2.3] again, $\lambda_H(P^{\text{II}}) = \infty$. Hence, $f(P) = \gamma$ for almost every path in \mathbf{P}_o . \square

Proof of the theorem. If G_1 and G_2 are recurrent, then they belong to \mathcal{O}_{HD} by [Y2, Theorem 6.1]. So let us assume that they are transient. Let $\phi : V(G_1) \mapsto V(G_2)$ be the rough isometry. Let $k > a + b$. Then ϕ is a morphism from G_1 to G_2^k . Let G'_1 be the image of G_1 in G_2^k by means of ϕ . By Lemma 2 (with $m = ab$, by (1)), G'_1 belongs to \mathcal{O}_{HD} . By Lemma 3, G_2^k belongs to \mathcal{O}_{HD} . Finally, by Lemma 1, G_2 belongs to \mathcal{O}_{HD} . \square

Remark. Let $1 < p < \infty$. According to Yamasaki [Y1], the Dirichlet sum of order p of a real-valued function f on the vertex set of a graph G is defined as

$$D^{(p)}(f) = \sum_{x \sim y} |f(x) - f(y)|^p.$$

Moreover, f is called p -harmonic if it satisfies the following equation for every $x \in V(G)$:

$$\sum_{x \sim y} \text{sign}(f(x) - f(y)) |f(x) - f(y)|^{p-1} = 0.$$

Using Yamasaki's potential theory and the same arguments as in this paper, it is not difficult to see that our main result holds true also in the case of p -harmonic functions. Namely, if G_1 and G_2 are roughly isometric connected graphs of bounded degree, and if G_1 has no nonconstant p -harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet sum of order p (see, e.g., [SY]), then G_2 has the same property.

REFERENCES

- [CW] D. I. Cartwright and W. Woess, *Infinite graphs with nonconstant Dirichlet finite harmonic functions*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **3** (1992), 380–385.
- [DS] P. G. Doyle and J. L. Snell, *Random walks and electrical networks*, Math. Assoc. Amer., Washington, D.C., 1984.
- [Du] R. J. Duffin, *The extremal length of a network*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **5** (1962), 200–215.
- [G] P. Gerl, *Random walks on graphs*, Probability Measures on Groups VII (H. Heyer, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1210, Springer, New York, 1985, pp. 285–303.
- [Gr] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in Group Theory (S. M. Gersten, ed.), Springer, New York, 1987, pp. 75–263.
- [K] V. A. Kaimanovich, *Dirichlet norms, capacities and generalized isoperimetric inequalities for Markov operators*, Potential Anal. **1** (1992), 61–82.
- [Ka1] M. Kanai, *Rough isometries and combinatorial approximation of geometries of non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **37** (1985), 391–413.
- [Ka2] ———, *Rough isometries and the parabolicity of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **38** (1986), 227–238.
- [KY] T. Kayano and M. Yamasaki, *Boundary limits of discrete Dirichlet potentials*, Hiroshima J. Math. **14** (1984), 401–406.
- [MMT] S. Markvorsen, S. Mc Guinness, and C. Thomassen, *Transient random walks on graphs and metric spaces with applications to hyperbolic surfaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **64** (1992), 1–20.
- [S] P. M. Soardi, *Recurrence and transience of the edge graph of a tiling of the euclidean plane*, Math. Ann. **287** (1990), 613–626.

- [SW] P. M. Soardi and W. Woess, *Uniqueness of currents in infinite resistive networks*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **31** (1991), 37–49.
- [SY] P. M. Soardi and M. Yamasaki, *Classification of infinite networks and its applications*, *Circuits Systems Signal Process.* **12** (1993), 133–149.
- [T1] C. Thomassen, *Resistances and currents in infinite electrical networks*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **49** (1990), 87–102.
- [T2] ———, *Isoperimetric inequalities and transient random walks on graphs* (to appear).
- [Y1] M. Yamasaki, *Parabolic and hyperbolic infinite networks*, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **7** (1977), 135–146.
- [Y2] ———, *Discrete potentials on an infinite network*, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ.* **13** (1979), 31–44.
- [Y3] ———, *Ideal boundary limit of discrete Dirichlet functions*, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **16** (1986), 353–360.
- [Z] A. H. Zemanian, *Infinite electrical networks*, *Proc. IEEE* **64** (1976), 6–17.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA DELL'UNIVERSITÀ, VIA SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY
E-mail address: soardi@vmimat.mat.unimi.it