

## ON A COMBINATORIAL PROBLEM ASSOCIATED WITH THE ODD ORDER THEOREM

GEORGE GLAUBERMAN AND SIMON P. NORTON

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

**ABSTRACT.** We prove a conjecture about finite fields that arose in Péterfalvi's study of the Feit-Thompson Theorem.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be primes, and set

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} F_p &= \text{GF}(p), \quad F = \text{GF}(p^q), \quad F^* = F - \{0\}, \quad U = \{b^{p^{-1}} | b \in F^*\}, \\ E &= \{b \in U | 2 - b \in U\}, \quad \text{and} \quad E^{-1} = \{b^{-1} | b \in E\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N$  be the norm function from  $F$  into  $F_p$ . Since  $F^*$  is a cyclic group under multiplication, it is easy to see that  $U$  is a subgroup of  $F^*$  and consists of all  $b \in F$  for which

$$1 = b^{(p^q-1)/(p-1)} = bb^pb^{p^2} \dots b^{p^{q-1}} = N(b).$$

In a simplification [3] of the last chapter of the proof of the Feit-Thompson odd order theorem [1], Péterfalvi proves the following results (their statements have been generalized somewhat, since no change in the proofs is required):

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $E = E^{-1}$  and  $|E| \geq 2$ . Then*

- (a) *for each  $b \in E$  and  $k \in \text{GF}(p)$ ,  $1 + k(1 - b) \in U$ , and*
- (b)  *$p \leq q$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *If  $p$  and  $q$  are odd, then  $|E| \geq 2$ .*

(Note that  $|E| \geq 1$  because  $1 \in E$ .)

**Lemma 3.** *Given the existence of a group satisfying certain restrictions (i.e., the hypothesis of Proposition 9),  $E = E^{-1}$ .*

The proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2 are fairly short and based on Lemmas 38.9–38.11 of [1]; the bulk of [3] is occupied with the proof of Lemma 3. In the chapters of [1] preceding the last chapter, the authors prove that for any minimal simple group  $G$  of odd order, there are distinct odd primes  $p$  and  $q$  such that, for both  $(p, q)$  and  $(q, p)$ , the restrictions in Lemma 3 are satisfied by some

---

Received by the editors April 13, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11T06; Secondary 20D05.

subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore, these lemmas yield a contradiction, which completes the proof of the solubility of any finite group of odd order.

It is easy to show (Lemma 5) that Lemma 2 remains valid if  $p = 2$ . In private communication, Péterfalvi conjectured that if  $E = E^{-1}$  then  $p \leq 3$ . The main result of this paper is to prove this conjecture (Proposition 7). Although this does not appear to simplify further the proof of [1], it may be of some interest. We also discuss a related open question in §3.

**Lemma 4.** (a) *If  $p = 2$ , then  $U = E = E^{-1}$ .*

(b) *If  $p = 3$ , then  $E = E^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Since (a) is trivial, we prove (b). Assume  $p = 3$  and  $c \in E$ . Writing  $N$  for the norm function from  $F$  into  $F_p$  (as before), we have

$$N(c) = N(2 - c) = 1.$$

Therefore,  $N(c^{-1}) = 1$ . Since  $2 - c^{-1} = c^{-1}(2c - 1) = c^{-1}(2 - c)$  (because  $p = 3$ ),

$$N(2 - c^{-1}) = N(c^{-1})N(2 - c) = 1.$$

Thus,  $c^{-1} \in E$ , as desired.

**Lemma 5.** *For any primes  $p$  and  $q$ ,*

(a)  *$E = \{1\}$  if  $p$  is odd and  $q = 2$ , and*

(b)  *$|E| \geq 2$  otherwise.*

*Proof.* If  $p = 2$ , then  $|E| = |U| \geq 2$  by Lemma 4. If  $p$  and  $q$  are odd, then  $|E| \geq 2$  by Lemma 2.

Now we may assume that  $p$  is odd and  $q = 2$ . Let  $N$  be the norm function from  $F$  into  $F_p$ , and take any  $b \in E$ . Let  $c = b + b^p$ , and let  $f(x)$  be the polynomial  $(x - b)(x - b^p)$ . Then

$$1 = N(b) = bb^p, \quad f(x) = x^2 - (b + b^p)x + bb^p = x^2 - cx + 1,$$

and

$$1 = N(2 - b) = (2 - b)(2 - b^p) = f(2) = 4 - 2c + 1.$$

Therefore,

$$c = 2, \quad f(x) = x^2 - 2x + 1 = (x - 1)^2, \quad \text{and} \quad b = 1,$$

as claimed.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Here, we continue using the notation in (1) but also regard  $F$  as an affine space over  $F_p$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $A$  be an affine space of finite dimension  $r$  over a field  $F_p$  of prime order  $p$ . Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F_p$  associated with  $A$ . Suppose a subset  $S$  of  $A$  satisfies the condition:*

(C) *Whenever  $b \in A$ ,  $x \in V$ , and  $b - x, b, b + x \in S$ , then  $b + kx \in S$  for all  $k \in F_p$ .*

*Assume  $p \geq 5$  and  $|S| \geq \frac{1}{2}|A|$ . Then  $S = A$ .*

*Remark.* Condition (C) asserts that any affine line intersecting  $S$  in at least three points, one midway between two others, lies in  $S$ . It is trivially true if  $p$  is 2 or 3, which makes it easy to construct counterexamples to the proposition in these cases (e.g., with  $|A| = 2^2$  or 3).

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two cases.

*Case 1.*  $r = 1$ . Here, we may assume that  $A = F_p$ . Let  $m = \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$ . Then  $|S| > \frac{1}{2}p$ . So

$$|S| \geq \frac{1}{2}(p + 1) = m + 1 \geq 3.$$

Take distinct elements  $b, c \in S$ , and let

$$S' = \{(b - c)^{-1}(x - c) | x \in S\}.$$

It is easy to see that all translations and nonzero scalar multiplications preserve condition (C). Hence,  $S'$  satisfies (C). Replacing  $S$  by  $S'$ , we may assume that  $0, 1 \in S$ .

Now suppose that  $S$  is a proper subset of  $A$ . We will obtain a contradiction. Let us identify the elements of  $A$  with the integers  $-m, -(m - 1), \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, m$  in the obvious manner. For each integer  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , the set  $S$  cannot contain the sequence  $-k, 0, k$ , because  $S$  satisfies (C) and does not contain the line  $A$ . Hence, for each  $k$ ,  $S$  contains at most one of the elements  $k, -k$ . Since there are  $m$  pairs  $k, -k$ , and since  $|S - \{0\}| = |S| - 1 \geq (m + 1) - 1 = m$ ,

(2)  $S$  must contain precisely one element from each pair  $k, -k$ .

Now  $0, 1 \in S$ . To avoid the sequence  $0, 1, 2$ , we must have  $-2 \in S$ . Thus,

(3)  $0, 1, -2 \in S$ .

If  $p = 5$ , then  $1 + 2 = -2$  and  $(-2) + 2 = 0$ , so that the sequence  $b - x, b, b + x$  lies in  $S$  for  $b = -2$  and  $x = 2$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $p \geq 7$ .

If  $p \geq 11$ , then, by (2) and (3),  $S$  contains one of the sequences  $0, -2, -4$  or  $-2, 1, 4$ , a contradiction. But then  $p = 7$ , and similarly  $S$  contains one of the sequences  $0, -2, 3$  or  $-2, 1, -3$ , again a contradiction.

*Case 2. General case.* Again we assume  $S \neq A$  and work by contradiction. Take  $\lambda \in A \setminus S$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of all lines of  $A$  that contain  $\lambda$ . Let

$$A' = A - \{\lambda\} \quad \text{and} \quad L' = L - \{\lambda\} \quad \text{for each } L \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Then  $A'$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $L'$ .

For each  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , Case 1 yields that  $|L \cap S| \leq \frac{1}{2}|L| = \frac{p}{2}$ , and hence

$$|L' \cap S| = |L \cap S| \leq \frac{1}{2}(p - 1) = \frac{1}{2}|L'|.$$

Therefore,

$$(4) \quad |S| = |A' \cap S| = \sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}} |L' \cap S| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L \in \mathcal{L}} |L'| = \frac{1}{2}|A'| < \frac{1}{2}|A|,$$

contrary to hypothesis. This completes the proof of Case 2 and of Proposition 6.

*Remark.* We thank Curtis Bennett for a suggestion which shortened part of the proof above.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be primes, and assume the notation of (1). Then  $E = E^{-1}$  if and only if  $p \leq 3$ .*

*Proof.* If  $p \leq 3$ , then  $E = E^{-1}$  by Lemma 4.

Assume  $p \geq 5$  and  $E = E^{-1}$ . We shall obtain a contradiction. By Lemma 5, we have

$$(5) \quad E = E^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |E| \geq 2.$$

We regard  $F$  as an affine space over  $F_p$ .

*Step 1.* For every affine subspace  $A$  of  $F$  over  $F_p$ , condition (C) of Proposition 6 is satisfied for  $S = A \cap U$ .

*Proof.* Recall that, for each  $A$  and each  $b \in A$ ,  $A = b + V$  for some vector subspace  $V$  of  $F$  over  $F_p$ .

Suppose  $b \in A$ ,  $x \in V$ , and  $b - x, b, b + x \in A \cap U$ . Let  $d = b^{-1}x$  and  $c = 1 - d$ . Since  $U$  is a group under multiplication,  $U$  contains  $b^{-1}(b - x)$  and  $b^{-1}(b + x)$ ; that is,

$$c = 1 - d = b^{-1}(b - x) \in U \quad \text{and} \quad 2 - c = 1 + d = b^{-1}(b + x) \in U.$$

So  $c \in E$ . By (5) and Lemma 1,

$$1 + kd = 1 + k(1 - c) \in U \quad \text{for every } k \in F_p.$$

Hence

$$b + kx = b(1 + kd) \in U \quad \text{for every } k \in F_p.$$

This verifies condition (C) for  $S = A \cap U$  and completes the proof of Step 1.

By (5),  $E$  contains some element  $c \neq 1$ . Let  $b = 1 - c$ . Then  $b \neq 0$ . For each positive integer  $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , let

$$A_r = \{1 + k_1b + k_2b^2 + \dots + k_rb^r \mid k_1, \dots, k_r \in F_p\}.$$

Then each  $A_r$  is an affine subspace of  $F$  over  $F_p$ .

*Step 2.* We have

$$A_1 \subseteq U \quad \text{and} \quad |A_r| = p^r \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1,  $A_1 \subseteq U$ . Since  $b \neq 0$  and  $0 \notin U$ , this shows that  $b \notin F_p$ . Since  $q$  is a prime and  $b \in F = \text{GF}(p^q)$ ,

$$b \text{ has degree } q \text{ over } F_p.$$

Now, for each  $r = 1, \dots, q$ , the affine space  $A_r$  consists of all elements of the form

$$1 + bf(b) \quad \text{for } f \text{ the zero polynomial or a polynomial} \\ \text{over } F_p \text{ of degree at most } q - 1.$$

We claim that distinct polynomials give distinct elements. To see this, assume that  $1 + bf_1(b) = 1 + bf_2(b)$ . Since  $b \neq 0$ ,  $f_1(b) = f_2(b)$  and  $(f_1 - f_2)(b) = 0$ . Since  $b$  has degree  $q$  and  $f_1 - f_2$  has degree at most  $q - 1$ , it follows that  $f_1 = f_2$ , as claimed. This shows that  $|A_r| = p^r$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , as desired, and completes the proof of Step 2.

*Step 3.* For  $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ,  $A_r \subseteq U$ .

*Proof.* By Step 2,  $A_1 \subseteq U$ . Suppose  $2 \leq r \leq q$  and  $A_{r-1} \subseteq U$ . A typical element  $c$  of  $A_r$  has the form  $c = 1 + bf(b)$  for some polynomial

$$f(X) = k_1 + k_2X + \dots + k_rX^{r-1}$$

over  $F_p$ . If  $1 + Xf(x)$  has degree less than  $r$ , or is a reducible polynomial, then induction yields that  $c \in U$  (since  $U$  is closed under multiplication and any factors of  $1 + Xf(X)$  may be assumed to have constant term equal to 1). Otherwise,  $1 + Xf(X)$  is irreducible of degree  $r$ ; that is,

$$X^r + k_r^{-1}(k_{r-1}X^{r-1} + \dots + k_1X + 1)$$

is irreducible. Each such polynomial corresponds to a set of  $r$  distinct roots of the form  $\lambda, \lambda^p, \dots, \lambda^{p^{r-1}}$  in the field  $\text{GF}(p^r)$ . Now, each such root generates  $\text{GF}(p^r)$  over  $F_p$  and thus lies in  $\text{GF}(p^r) \setminus F_p$ . Hence, there are at most  $(p^r - p)/r$  distinct such polynomials. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_r \cap U| &\geq |A_r| - r^{-1}(p^r - p) \geq p^r - \frac{1}{2}(p^r - p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}p^r + \frac{1}{2}p > \frac{1}{2}p^r = \frac{1}{2}|A_r|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p \geq 5$ , Step 1 and Proposition 6 yield that  $A_r \subseteq U$ . This completes the proof of Step 3.

*Step 4.* Contradiction.

*Proof.* By Steps 2 and 3,

$$|F| = p^q = |A_q| \leq |U| = (p^q - 1)/(p - 1) < |F|.$$

This shows Step 4 and completes the proof of Proposition 7.

### 3. FURTHER QUESTIONS

As in the previous sections we use the notation of (1) for arbitrary primes  $p, q$ . Proposition 7 asserts that  $E = E^{-1}$  if and only if  $p \leq 3$ . Lemma 3 asserts that the existence of a group satisfying certain restrictions yields that  $E = E^{-1}$  and hence, by Proposition 7, that  $p \leq 3$ . In this section, we will specify the restrictions and investigate whether such a group can actually occur.

**Lemma 8.** *For any primes  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $(p^q - 1)/(p - 1)$  is relatively prime to  $p - 1$  if and only if  $q$  does not divide  $p - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r = p - 1$ . Then, modulo  $r$ ,

$$(p^q - 1)/(p - 1) \equiv p^{q-1} + p^{q-2} + \dots + 1 \equiv q,$$

which yields the result.

Lemma 8 allows us to restate the main result of [3] slightly differently:

**Proposition 9** [3, Lemma 3]. *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be arbitrary primes, and assume the notation of (1). Let  $P$  be the additive group of  $F$ , and let  $U$  act on  $P$  by multiplication. Identify  $P$  and  $U$  with their images in the semidirect product  $H = PU$ . Let  $P_0$  be the image of the additive group of  $F_p$ . Assume that:*

(A)  $q$  does not divide  $p - 1$ ; and

(B) *there exist a monomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $H$  into a group  $G$ , a finite abelian  $p'$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$ , and an element  $y \in Q$  such that  $\sigma(P_0)$  normalizes  $Q$  and  $\sigma(P_0)^y$  normalizes  $U$ . Then  $E = E^{-1}$ .*

As mentioned above, we must have  $p \leq 3$  in the situation of Proposition 9. In a personal communication, Péterfalvi gave the following examples and problem.

**Example 10** (for Proposition 9). Let  $p = 2$  and let  $q$  be any prime. Take

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \mathrm{SL}(2, 2^q), \quad \sigma(P) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in F \right\}, \\ \sigma(U) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in F \setminus \{0\} \right\}, \\ \sigma(P_0) &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \langle y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 11** (for Proposition 9). Let  $p = 2$  and let  $q$  be any odd prime. Take  $G = \mathrm{Sz}(2^q)$ , and choose  $\sigma$  so that  $\sigma(P) = \Omega_1(S)$  for some Sylow 2-subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  and  $\sigma(U)$  is a (cyclic) subgroup of order  $2^q - 1$  in  $N_G(S)$ . Let  $P_1$  be any subgroup of order 2 in  $N_G(U)$ . Then

$$\sigma(P_0) = \langle x_0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 = \langle x_1 \rangle$$

for some elements  $x_0, x_1$  of order 2. Let  $Q = \langle x_0 x_1 \rangle$ .

Suppose  $Q$  has even order. Then it contains a unique element  $x_2$  of order 2. Since  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  invert  $Q$ , they centralize  $x_2$ . From the structure of  $\mathrm{Sz}(2^q)$  [2, §§XI.1, XI.3],  $\Omega_1(S)$  is abelian and  $S = C_G(x)$  for each  $x \in \Omega_1(S)^\#$ . Hence

$$x_2 \in C_G(x_0) = S, \quad x_1 \in C_G(x_2) = S, \quad [x_1, U] \subseteq [S, U] \cap U \subseteq S \cap U = 1.$$

But then  $U \subseteq C_G(x_1) = S$ , which is absurd. Thus,  $Q$  has odd order.

Now,  $\sigma(P_0)$  and  $P_1$  are Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\langle x_0, Q \rangle$ , and  $\sigma(P_0)^y = P_1$  for some  $y \in Q$ .

**Problem** (Péterfalvi). Can the hypothesis of Proposition 9 be satisfied for  $p = 3$ ?

Note that condition (A) forces  $q$  to be odd and  $\sigma(P_0)^y$  must centralize  $\sigma(U)$  if  $|\mathrm{Aut} U|$  is not divisible by 3 (e.g., if  $q = 5$  and  $|U| = (3^5 - 1)/(3 - 1) = 11^2$ ).

#### REFERENCES

1. W. Feit and J. G. Thompson, *Solvability of groups of odd order*, *Pacific J. Math.* **13** (1963), 775–1029.
2. B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite groups*. III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
3. T. Peterfalvi, *Simplification du chapitre VI de l'article de Feit et Thompson sur les groupes d'ordre impair*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **229** (1984), 531–534.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, 5734 S. UNIVERSITY AVENUE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, 16 MILL LANE, CAMBRIDGE CB 2 1SB, ENGLAND

*E-mail address*: spn1@phx.cam.ac.uk