

ON APPROXIMATE ANTIGRADIENTS

XIAO-XIONG GAN AND KARL R. STROMBERG

(Communicated by Andrew M. Bruckner)

ABSTRACT. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I = [0, 1]$, let I^n be the unit cube and λ^n the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n . It is proved that if $f: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $F_0: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exist a continuous $F: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and an open set $W \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(W) = 1$ such that

- (i) ∇F exists and is continuous on W ,
- (ii) $\|\nabla F(x) - f(x)\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in W$, and
- (iii) $|F(x) - F_0(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in I^n$, where $\|y\| = (\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2)^{1/2} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

0. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

If $f \in C([0, 1])$ and $F(x) = \int_0^x f$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, then $F' = f$. A famous theorem of Lusin states that if $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function, then there exists $F \in C([0, 1])$ such that $F' = \phi$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$ (see [1, p. 217]). However, if $f(x, y) = (0, x)$ for $(x, y) \in I^2$, then there is no $F: I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which $\nabla F = f$, because $D_1 F = 0$ implies that F depends only on y . Thus, if $n > 1$ and $f \in C(I^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then f need not have an antigradient $F: \nabla F = f$. Can we find some kind of approximate antigradient for f ? More generally, if $f: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is only measurable, what can be said? We are going to give some answers to these questions.

Throughout this paper, we use some definitions listed below.

(0.1) **Definitions.** Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a nonvoid set Ω be given.

(1) If $F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a mapping, then for $1 \leq i \leq m$, define the i th coordinate function $F_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of F by letting $F_i(t)$ be the i th coordinate of $F(t)$:

$$F(t) = (F_1(t), F_2(t), \dots, F_m(t)), \quad t \in \Omega.$$

(2) If Ω is a topological space, then $C(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ denotes the family of all continuous mappings from Ω to \mathbb{R}^m . If $m = 1$, write $C(\Omega)$ for $C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Similarly, if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ the set of all Lebesgue measurable mappings from Ω to \mathbb{R}^m and write $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega)$ for $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

(3) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f \in C(\Omega)$. If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x)$ exists at some $x \in \Omega^\circ$ (the

Received by the editors March 30, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26B35; Secondary 41A30, 35A35.

Key words and phrases. Antigradient.

interior of Ω) $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$, we define

$$\nabla f(x) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f(x), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(x) \right),$$

and call ∇f the *gradient* of the function f . We also write D_j for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$.

Conversely, let $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. If there is $F \in C(\Omega)$ such that $\nabla F = f$, we call F an *antigradient* of f .

(4) We always denote by I^n the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^n . That is

$$I^n = \prod_{k=1}^n [0, 1] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq x_j \leq 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Also, we always denote by λ^n the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

(5) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m) \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. If $\varepsilon > 0$, then we say $\|(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m)\| < \varepsilon$ a.e. on Ω to mean that

$$\lambda^n(\{x \in \Omega : \|(\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \dots, \phi_m(x))\| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0,$$

where $\|y\| = (\sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2)^{1/2}$ if $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

(6) For any $F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the *uniform norm* of F by

$$\|F\|_u = \sup\{|F(x)| : x \in \Omega\}.$$

(7) We reserve the letter ψ to denote Lebesgue's singular function (see [2, p. 130] or [4, p. 113]). It is a continuous, nondecreasing function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(1) = 1$ which is constant on each component interval of $\mathbb{R} \setminus C$, where C is Cantor's ternary set.

1. APPROXIMATION TO ANTIGRADIENTS

(1.1) **Theorem.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P \in C(I^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist a continuous $Q: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and an open $V \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(V) = 1$ such that*

(i) ∇Q exists and is continuous on V , and

(ii) $\|\nabla Q(x) - P(x)\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in V$,

where $\|y\| = (\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2)^{1/2} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. If $n = 1$, define

$$Q(x) = \int_0^x P(t) dt \quad (0 \leq x \leq 1)$$

and take $V =]0, 1[= I^\circ$. Then $\nabla Q = Q' = P$ on V so the theorem is true if $n = 1$.

Suppose, as an induction hypothesis, that $n > 1$ and that the theorem is true if n is replaced with $n - 1$. The uniform continuity of P provides $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad u, v \in I^n, \quad \|u - v\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|P(u) - P(v)\| < \varepsilon/6n.$$

Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N\delta > 1$.

In this paragraph, let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be given and fixed. We have $P(x) = (P_1(x), P_2(x), \dots, P_n(x))$ for $x \in I^n$, where $P_j \in C(I^n)$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$). For $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$, we identify the *slice*

$$S_r = \{x \in I^n : x_k = r/N\}$$

with I^{n-1} in the obvious way. Thus we write

$$S_r^\circ = \{x \in S_r : 0 < x_j < 1 \ \forall j \neq k\}.$$

For each such r , we apply our induction hypothesis to the restriction of P to S_r to obtain a continuous function $G_r: S_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a set $V_r \subset S_r^\circ$ which is open in S_r such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lambda^{n-1}(V_r) = 1, \\ &\frac{\partial G_r}{\partial x_j} \text{ exists and is continuous on } V_r \ \forall j \neq k \ (1 \leq j \leq n), \text{ and} \\ &\left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \left[\frac{\partial G_r}{\partial x_j}(x) - P_j(x) \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6n} \quad \forall x \in V_r. \end{aligned}$$

Next we construct a function Q_k on I^n which extends each G_r . For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$, define $x^r \in S_r$ by $(x^r)_j = x_j$ if $j \neq k$ and $(x^r)_k = r/N$. Let ψ be Lebesgue's singular function in terms of Cantor's ternary set C as in (0.1) (7), and define f_r on the slab

$$\{x \in I^n : (r-1)/N \leq x_k \leq r/N\}$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots, N$ by the rule

$$(3) \quad f_r(x) = G_{r-1}(x^{r-1}) + [G_r(x^r) - G_{r-1}(x^{r-1})] \cdot \psi(Nx_k - r + 1).$$

Since $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(1) = 1$, we have

$$x \in S_{r-1} \Rightarrow x_k = (r-1)/N \Rightarrow x^{r-1} = x \Rightarrow f_r(x) = G_{r-1}(x),$$

and

$$x \in S_r \Rightarrow x_k = r/N \Rightarrow x^r = x \Rightarrow f_r(x) = G_r(x).$$

Thus the formula

$$(4) \quad Q_k(x) = f_r(x) \quad \text{if } (r-1)/N \leq x_k \leq r/N$$

unambiguously defines the function $Q_k: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The continuity of the functions $x \rightarrow x^r$, each G_r , and ψ shows that Q_k is continuous on I^n . Define

$$\begin{aligned} W_k = (I^n)^\circ \cap &\left(\bigcap_{r=0}^N \{x \in I^n : x^r \in V_r\} \right) \\ &\cap \left(\bigcup_{r=1}^N \{x \in I^n : r-1 \leq Nx_k \leq r, (Nx_k - r + 1) \notin C\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where C is Cantor's ternary set. Then W_k is an open subset of $(I^n)^\circ$ and $\lambda^n(W_k) = 1$. By (3), (4), and the properties of the functions G_r , we see that for $j \neq k$ the partial derivative $\frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial x_j}$ exists and is continuous on W_k . Since $\psi' = 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus C$, we have

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial x_k}(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in W_k.$$

Thus ∇Q_k is continuous on W_k . Now let $x \in W_k$ with $r-1 < Nx_k < r$. Then we have

$$\|x - x^r\| < \|x^{r-1} - x^r\| = 1/N < \delta$$

so we use (1)–(4) and the fact that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ to see that $j \neq k$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial x_j}(x) - \frac{\partial G_{r-1}}{\partial x_j}(x^{r-1}) \right| &= \left| \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial x_j}(x) - \frac{\partial G_{r-1}}{\partial x_j}(x^{r-1}) \right| \\ &= \left| \left[\frac{\partial G_r}{\partial x_j}(x^r) - \frac{\partial G_{r-1}}{\partial x_j}(x^{r-1}) \right] \cdot \psi(Nx_k - r + 1) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\partial G_r}{\partial x_j}(x^r) - P_j(x^r) \right| + |P_j(x^r) - P_j(x^{r-1})| \\ &\quad + \left| P_j(x^{r-1}) - \frac{\partial G_{r-1}}{\partial x_j}(x^{r-1}) \right| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{6n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{6n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{6n} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial G_{r-1}}{\partial x_j}(x^{r-1}) - P_j(x) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{\partial G_{r-1}}{\partial x_j}(x^{r-1}) - P_j(x^{r-1}) \right| + |P_j(x^{r-1}) - P_j(x)| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{6n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{6n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$(6) \quad \left| \frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial x_j}(x) - P_j(x) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \quad \text{if } x \in W_k \text{ and } j \neq k \text{ (} 1 \leq j \leq n \text{)}.$$

Define $P^k: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$P^k(x) = (P_1^k(x), P_2^k(x), \dots, P_n^k(x)),$$

where $P_j^k = P_j$ if $j \neq k$ and $P_k^k = 0$. Then (5) and (6) yield that $x \in W_k \Rightarrow$

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla Q_k(x) - P^k(x)\| &= \left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^n \left[\frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial x_j}(x) - P_j(x) \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left((n-1) \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have constructed Q_k and W_k for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Finally, notice that $P = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n P^k$ and define

$$V = \bigcap_{k=1}^n W_k \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n Q_k.$$

Then V is an open subset of $(I^n)^\circ$, $\lambda^n(V) = 1$, $Q \in C(I^n)$, and

(i) ∇Q is defined and continuous on V .

Also, (7) yields $x \in V \Rightarrow$

$$\|\nabla Q(x) - P(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \|\nabla Q_k(x) - P^k(x)\| < \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n-1}{n} \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

This is (ii). We have completed the proof. \square

The next theorem tells us what can be done if the mapping P in the above theorem is only measurable.

(1.2) **Theorem.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}(I^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C(I^n)$ such that*

- (i) ∇Q_k exists and is continuous on some open set $V_k \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(V_k) = 1 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- (ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla Q_k(x) = \phi(x)$ for almost every $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty V_k$.

Proof. Let $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)$ as we mentioned in (0.1).

By Luzin's theorem, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there exist an open set $E_{k,i} \subset I^n$ with $\lambda^n(E_{k,i}) < 2^{-k}/n$ and a function $f_{k,i} \in C(I^n)$ such that

$$f_{k,i}(x) = \phi_i(x) \quad \forall x \in I^n \setminus E_{k,i}.$$

Let $E_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_{k,i}$. Then $\lambda^n(E_k) < 2^{-k}$ and

$$f_{k,i}(x) = \phi_i(x) \quad \forall x \in I^n \setminus E_k, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Take $f_k = (f_{k,1}, f_{k,2}, \dots, f_{k,n})$. Then $f_k \in C(I^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(1) \quad f_k(x) = \phi(x) \quad \forall x \in I^n \setminus E_k \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem (1.1) says that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a $Q_k \in C(I^n)$ and an open set $V_k \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(V_k) = 1$ such that ∇Q_k exists and is continuous on V_k and

$$(2) \quad \|\nabla Q_k(x) - f_k(x)\| < 2^{-k} \quad \forall x \in V_k.$$

Define $E = \bigcap_{r=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=r}^\infty E_k$ and $V = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty V_k$. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\lambda^n(E) \leq \lambda^n\left(\bigcup_{k=r}^\infty E_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=r}^\infty \lambda^n(E_k) \leq 2^{-r+1},$$

so $\lambda^n(E) = 0$. Obviously $\lambda^n(V) = 1$.

Now let $x \in V \setminus E$ be given. Then

$$x \in \bigcap_{k=r_x}^\infty (V \setminus E_k)$$

for some $r_x \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$f_{k,i}(x) = \phi_i(x) \quad \forall k \geq r_x, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and by (2) we have

$$\|\nabla Q_k(x) - f_k(x)\| < 2^{-k} \quad \forall k \geq r_x.$$

Thus (1) yields

$$\|\nabla Q_k(x) - \phi(x)\| < 2^{-k} \quad \forall k \geq r_x.$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla Q_k(x) = \phi(x).$$

Since $x \in V \setminus E$ was arbitrary and $\lambda^n(V \setminus E) = 1$, we are done. \square

As a consequence of (1.2), we obtain an interesting dense subspace of the F -space $\mathfrak{M}(I^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with its metric of coordinatewise convergence in measure.

(1.3) **Corollary.** For $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ and $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ in $\mathfrak{M}(I^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ put

$$\rho(f, g) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \int_{I^n} \frac{|f_j - g_j|}{1 + |f_j - g_j|} d\lambda^n.$$

Then ρ is a complete invariant metric for $\mathfrak{M}(I^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the linear subspace $\{\nabla F : F \in C(I^n) \text{ and } \nabla F \text{ exists and is continuous on an open set } V \subset (I^n)^\circ \text{ with } \lambda^n(V) = 1\}$ is dense in this space.

Proof. The completeness of ρ is immediate from the well-known special case $n = 1$ in which ρ -convergence is equivalent to convergence in measure (see [3, p. 93, Theorem E] for completeness). Since a.e. convergence on a finite measure space implies convergence in measure (see [4, (11.31)]), we need only apply (1.2). \square

2. DENSITY IN $C(I^n)$ OF APPROXIMATE ANTIGRADIENTS

In this section we present our main theorem as stated in the abstract above. It improves Theorem (1.1) in that it shows that, for a given P and ε , the set of Q 's that satisfy the conclusion of (1.1) is dense in $C(I^n)$. We need the results of this section for our work on *universal primitives* that is in preparation.

We begin with three lemmas.

(2.1) **Lemma.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and let $\{0, 1\}^n = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : u_j \in \{0, 1\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that $y_u \in \mathbb{R}$ is given $\forall u \in \{0, 1\}^n$, and let m be the minimum and M the maximum of $\{y_u : u \in \{0, 1\}^n\}$. Then there exist a function $f \in C(I^n)$ and an open set $V \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(V) = 1$ such that

- (i) $\nabla f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in V$,
- (ii) $f(u) = y_u \quad \forall u \in \{0, 1\}^n$, and
- (iii) $m \leq f(x) \leq M \quad \forall x \in I^n$.

Proof. If $n = 1$, let f be defined by

$$f(x) = y_0 + (y_1 - y_0) \cdot \psi(x) \quad \forall x \in I = [0, 1],$$

and take $V = I \setminus C$, where ψ is Lebesgue's singular function and C is Cantor's ternary set. Then (i)–(iii) are obvious.

Suppose, as an induction hypothesis, that $n > 1$ and the theorem is true if n is replaced with $n - 1$.

For $l \in \{0, 1\}$, we identify the *slice*

$$S_l = \{x \in I^n : x_n = l\}$$

with I^{n-1} in the obvious way and write

$$\begin{aligned} S_l^\circ &= \{x \in S_l : 0 < x_j < 1, 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}, \\ A_l &= \{u \in \{0, 1\}^n : u_n = l\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, we obtain an $f_l \in C(S_l)$ and an open set $V_l \subset S_l^\circ$ with $\lambda^{n-1}(V_l) = 1$ ($l = 0, 1$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial x_j}(x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in V_l, 1 \leq j < n, \\ f_l(u) &= y_u \quad \forall u \in A_l \quad \text{and} \quad m \leq f_l(x) \leq M \quad \forall x \in S_l. \end{aligned}$$

For any $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$, define $x^l \in S_l$ by $(x^l)_j = x_j$ if $j < n$ and $(x^l)_n = l$ for $l = 0, 1$. Define $f: I^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f_0(x^0) + [f_1(x^1) - f_0(x^0)] \cdot \psi(x_n) \\ &= [1 - \psi(x_n)] \cdot f_0(x^0) + \psi(x_n) \cdot f_1(x^1). \end{aligned}$$

Also define

$$V = (I^n)^\circ \cap \left(\bigcap_{l=0}^1 \{x \in I^n : x^l \in V_l\} \right) \cap (\{x \in I^n : x_n \notin C\}).$$

It is obvious that V is open and $\lambda^n(V) = 1$. The continuity of the functions $x \rightarrow x^l$ ($l = 0, 1$), each f_l , and ψ ensures that f is continuous on I^n . Plainly, $\nabla f(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in V$ by the definition of f and the definition of V . This proves (i).

If $u \in A_0$, then $u_n = 0$, $u = u^0$, and $\psi(u_n) = 0$, hence $f(u) = f_0(u) = y_u$. If $u \in A_1$, then $u_n = 1$, $u = u^1$, and $f(u) = f_1(u) = y_u$. Thus (ii) holds.

Since $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $f(x)$ is between $f_0(x^0)$ and $f_1(x^1)$ so (iii) holds too. \square

(2.2) *Remark.* It is not difficult to replace I^n with any closed interval

$$\Omega = [a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_j \leq x_j \leq b_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

where $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$.

(2.3) **Lemma.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G \in C(I^n)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist a function $H \in C(I^n)$ and an open set $V \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(V) = 1$ such that

- (i) $\nabla H(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in V$,
- (ii) $\|G - H\|_u < \varepsilon$,

where $\|F\|_u = \sup\{|F(x)| : x \in I^n\}$ for any $F \in C(I^n)$.

Proof. Suppose $n = 1$. Find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|G(u) - G(v)| < \varepsilon/2$ if $u, v \in I$ and $|u - v| \leq 1/N$. As before, let C be Cantor's ternary set and let ψ be Lebesgue's singular function. Define

$$H(x) = G\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) + \left[G\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) - G\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) \right] \cdot \psi(Nx - k)$$

if $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ and $\frac{k}{N} \leq x \leq \frac{k+1}{N}$, also define

$$V = \bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} \{x \in I : k \leq Nx \leq k+1, \ (Nx - k) \notin C\}.$$

Notice that $H(\frac{k}{N}) = G(\frac{k}{N})$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $H \in C(I)$, $\lambda(V) = 1$, and $k \leq Nx \leq k+1 \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} |H(x) - G(x)| &\leq \left| H(x) - G\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) \right| + \left| G\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) - G(x) \right| \\ &< \left| G\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) - G\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) \right| \cdot \psi(Nx - k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Plainly, $x \in V \Rightarrow \nabla H(x) = H'(x) = 0$ so the lemma holds for $n = 1$.

Suppose $n > 1$ and, as an induction hypothesis, that the lemma is true if n is replaced by $n - 1$. The uniform continuity of G provides $\delta > 0$ such that

(1) $u, v \in I^n, \ \|u - v\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|G(u) - G(v)\| < \varepsilon/8.$

Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N\delta > 1$. For any $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$, we identify the slice

$$S_r = \{x \in I^n : x_n = r/n\}$$

with I^{n-1} in the obvious way, and we write

$$S_r^\circ = \{x \in S_r : 0 < x_j < 1 \ \forall j < n\}.$$

For each such r , we apply our induction hypothesis to the restriction of G to S_r to obtain a continuous function $G_r \in C(S_r)$ and an open set $V_r \subset S_r^\circ$ which is open in S_r such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^{n-1}(V_r) &= 1, \\ \frac{\partial G_r}{\partial x_j}(x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in V_r \ (1 \leq j < n), \text{ and} \\ |G(x) - G_r(x)| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \quad \forall x \in S_r. \end{aligned}$$

Next we construct H on I^n which extends each G_r and satisfies the requirements of the lemma.

The construction of H is exactly the same as that of Q_n in the proof of Theorem (1.1). That is,

$$H(x) = G_{r-1}(x^{r-1}) + [G_r(x^r) - G_{r-1}(x^{r-1})] \cdot \psi(Nx_n - r + 1)$$

if $(r-1)/N \leq x_n \leq r/N$. Also define

$$\begin{aligned} V &= (I^n)^\circ \cap \left(\bigcap_{r=0}^N \{x \in I^n : x^r \in V_r\} \right) \\ &\quad \cap \left(\bigcup_{r=1}^N \{x \in I^n : r-1 \leq Nx_n \leq r, (Nx_n - r + 1) \notin C\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where C is Cantors ternary set. Plainly V is open, $\lambda^n(V) = 1$, $H \in C(I^n)$, and $\nabla H(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in V$.

Now let $x \in V$ with $r-1 < Nx_n < r$. Then we have

$$\|x - x^r\| < \|x^{r-1} - x^r\| = 1/N < \delta \quad \text{and also} \quad \|x - x^{r-1}\| < \delta.$$

From (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H(x) - G(x)| &= |G_{r-1}(x^{r-1}) + [G_r(x^r) - G_{r-1}(x^{r-1})] \cdot \psi(Nx_n - r + 1) - G(x)| \\ &\leq |G_{r-1}(x^{r-1}) - G(x^{r-1})| + |G(x^{r-1}) - G(x)| \\ &\quad + |G_r(x^r) - G_{r-1}(x^{r-1})| \cdot |\psi(Nx_n - r + 1)| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + |G_r(x^r) - G_{r-1}(x^{r-1})| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + |G_r(x^r) - G(x^r)| + |G(x^r) - G(x^{r-1})| \\ &\quad + |G(x^{r-1}) - G_{r-1}(x^{r-1})| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|H - G\|_u < \varepsilon$ on I^n . This completes the proof. \square

(2.4) **Lemma.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Q \in C(I^n)$ be such that there exists an open set $V \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(V) = 1$ on which ∇Q exists and is continuous. Suppose

that $F_0 \in C(I^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $F \in C(I^n)$ and an open set $W \subset V$ with $\lambda^n(W) = 1$ such that

- (i) ∇F exists and is continuous on W ,
- (ii) $\nabla F(x) = \nabla Q(x) \quad \forall x \in W$, and
- (iii) $\|F - F_0\|_u < \varepsilon$.

Proof. Apply Lemma (2.3) to $G = F_0 - Q$ to obtain $H \in C(I^n)$ and an open set $V_0 \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(V_0) = 1$ such that $\|H - G\|_u < \varepsilon$ and $\nabla H(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in V_0$. Take $F = Q + H$ and $W = V \cap V_0$ to complete the proof.

(2.5) **Main Theorem.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f \in C(I^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, let $F_0 \in C(I^n)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $F \in C(I^n)$ and an open set $W \subset (I^n)^\circ$ with $\lambda^n(W) = 1$ such that

- (i) ∇F exists and is continuous on W ,
- (ii) $\|\nabla F(x) - f(x)\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in W$, and
- (iii) $|F - F_0|_u < \varepsilon$,

where $\|y\| = (\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2)^{1/2} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Take $P = f$ in (1.1) to produce a Q and then use (2.4) to replace Q with F . \square

REFERENCES

1. Stanislaw Saks, *Theory of the integral*, Stechert, New York, 1937.
2. Karl R. Stromberg, *An introduction to classical real analysis*, Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1981.
3. Paul R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
4. Edwin Hewitt and Karl Stromberg, *Real and abstract analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21239
E-mail address: GAN@MOENG2.MORGAN.EDU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTEN, KANSAS 66506