

UNIT GROUPS OF INTEGRAL GROUP RINGS

VIKAS BIST

(Communicated by Maurice Auslander)

ABSTRACT. Let $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be the unit group of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$. A group G satisfies $(*)$ if either the set $T(G)$ of torsion elements of G is a central subgroup of G or, otherwise, if $x \in G$ does not centralize $T(G)$, then for every $t \in T(G)$, $x^{-1}tx = t^{-1}$. This property appears quite frequently while studying $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$. In this paper we investigate why one encounters this property and we have also given a “unified proof” for some known results regarding this property. Further, some additional results have been obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{Z}G$ be an integral group ring of a group G and let $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be its group of units. Denote by $T(G)$ the set of all torsion elements of G . A group G is Hamiltonian if G is nonabelian and every subgroup of G is normal.

We say that a group G satisfies the property $(*)$ if one of the following holds:

- (a) $T(G)$ is a central subgroup of G .
- (b) $T(G)$ is abelian, noncentral, and if $x \in G$ does not centralize $T(G)$, then $x^{-1}tx = t^{-1}$ for every $t \in T(G)$.
- (c) $T(G)$ is a Hamiltonian 2-group and every subgroup of $T(G)$ is normal in G .

The property $(*)$ appears quite frequently while studying $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$. We list the following results:

- (1) $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is nilpotent if and only if G is nilpotent and satisfies $(*)$ [7, VI.3.23].
- (2) $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is FC if and only if G is FC and G satisfies $(*)$ [7, VI.5.4].
- (3) The commutator subgroup $U(\mathbb{Z}G)'$ of $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is torsion if and only if G' is torsion and G satisfies $(*)$ [1, 2].
- (4) $U(\mathbb{Z}G)/\zeta(U(\mathbb{Z}G))$ is locally finite if and only if $G/\zeta(G)$ is locally finite and G satisfies $(*)$. Here $\zeta(G)$ denotes the center of G [1].
- (5) Let G be such that $G/T(G)$ is right ordered. Then $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is Engel (n -Engel) if and only if G is Engel (n -Engel) and satisfies $(*)$ [2].

The similarity in the above results motivates us to look for a “unified proof” for these results to see why G always has the property $(*)$. In this process we

Received by the editors August 26, 1991 and, in revised form, April 8, 1992.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16S34; Secondary 16U60.

© 1993 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/93 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

obtain easier proofs of the above mentioned results (particularly of (2) and (5)) and we also get some new results of a similar nature.

2. CONDITION (*) IS NECESSARY

The necessity of (*) in the above results will be shown by proving that the hypothesis in each of them implies the following statements:

- (I) $T(G)$ is either abelian or a Hamiltonian group and every subgroup of $T(G)$ is normal in G .
- (II) If $x \in G$ and $t \in T(G)$ are such that $x^{-1}tx \neq t$, then $x^{-1}tx = t^{-1}$.

It is easy to see that (I) and (II) imply that if $T(G)$ is nonabelian, then it is a Hamiltonian 2-group, thus establishing (*) in all cases.

If $T(U(\mathbb{Z}G))$ is a subgroup, then $T(G)$ is either an abelian or a Hamiltonian 2-group with every subgroup of $T(G)$ normal in G [5]. Thus (I) holds if $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is one of the types as described in (1)–(4). For (5) we let $x \in G$ and $t \in T(G)$. Then $1 + \alpha \in U(\mathbb{Z}G)$, where $\alpha = (1 - t)x(1 + t + \dots + t^{O(t)-1})$. Now as $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is Engel, for some k ,

$$(1 + \alpha, {}_k t^{-1}) = (1 + \alpha, \underbrace{t^{-1}, \dots, t^{-1}}_k) = 1,$$

i.e.,

$$(1 - t)^{k+1}x(1 + t + \dots + t^{O(t)-1}) = 0,$$

thus

$$(1 - t)x(1 + t + \dots + t^{O(t)-1}) = 0.$$

Since $(1 - t)^2\beta = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}G$ and $t \in T(G)$, it follows that $(1 - t)\beta = 0$ (see [7, p. 182]).

This shows that $\langle t \rangle$ is normal in G and thus $T(G)$ is either abelian or a Hamiltonian group.

For (II) first note that if G is nonabelian torsion, then by [4] G will be a Hamiltonian 2-group, otherwise $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ will have a noncyclic free subgroup.

We assume that G is nontorsion and $T(G)$ is either abelian or a Hamiltonian group and every subgroup of $T(G)$ is normal in G .

Let $t \in T(G)$ be an element of order l , $x \in G$, and $x^{-1}tx \neq t$. We can assume that x is of infinite order.

Now consider the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}\langle t \rangle$. By [7, II.2.6],

$$\mathbb{Q}\langle t \rangle = \bigoplus_{d|l} \sum \mathbb{Q}(\xi_d); \quad t \in \sum_{d|l} \xi_d,$$

where ξ_d is a primitive d th root of unity. Also as $x^{-1}tx = t^r$; $(l, r) = 1$, and $t^x = \sum_{d|l} \xi_d^r$. By [7, VI.1.16], if e is an idempotent $\mathbb{Q}\langle t \rangle$, then $x^{-1}ex = e$, thus $x^{-1}\mathbb{Q}(\xi_d)x = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_d)$ and $\theta_x: \xi_l \mapsto \xi_l^r$ is a \mathbb{Q} -automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_l)$.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{d|l} \mathbb{Z}[\xi_d]$. Then by [7, II.2.9], $|U(R) : U(\mathbb{Z}\langle t \rangle)| < \infty$. Now $U(\mathbb{Z}[\xi_l]) = \langle \pm \xi_l \rangle \times A$, where A is free abelian of finite rank. Thus for some positive integer s , $V = U(\mathbb{Z}[\xi_l])^{2ls} \subseteq U(\mathbb{Z}\langle t \rangle)$.

Clearly $\mathcal{G} = \langle V, x \rangle$ is finitely generated and torsion free. We claim that \mathcal{G} is actually abelian.

In fact, if \mathcal{G} is nilpotent, then $\mathcal{G}/\zeta(\mathcal{G})$ is torsion free as \mathcal{G} is torsion free. Further, $\langle t \rangle$ is normal in G so x^n commutes with t for some n , i.e., $x^n \in \zeta(\mathcal{G})$. Hence \mathcal{G} is abelian. This also covers the case if \mathcal{G} is Engel as a finitely generated soluble Engel group is nilpotent.

In the other cases ((2), (3), and (4)) \mathcal{G}' is torsion, but since $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq V$ and V is torsion free, it follows that \mathcal{G} is abelian.

Finally we have that x commutes elementwise with V . Hence $\mathbb{Q}(V) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_i)}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_i)}$ is the fixed field of the automorphism θ_x . Since $\text{rank } U(\mathbb{Z}[\xi_i]) = \text{rank}(V)$, by [7, II.2.10], $x^{-1}\xi_i x = \xi_i^{-1}$ and thus $x^{-1}tx = t^{-1}$.

3. CONDITION (*) IS SUFFICIENT

We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let G be a group satisfying (*) and let $G/T(G)$ be right ordered. Then $U(\mathbb{Z}G) = \mathcal{H}G$ for some $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \zeta(U(\mathbb{Z}G))$.*

Proof. By [4], $U(\mathbb{Z}G) = U(\mathbb{Z}T(G))G$. If $T(G)$ is central, then let $\mathcal{H} = U(\mathbb{Z}T(G))$. If $T(G)$ is a Hamiltonian 2-group, then $U(\mathbb{Z}T(G)) = \pm T(G)$ [7, II.2.1 and II.2.2] and so $U(\mathbb{Z}G) = \pm G$. Here $\mathcal{H} = \{+1, -1\}$.

Further, for any $\alpha = \sum_{t \in T(G)} \alpha(t)t$ let $\alpha^* = \sum_{t \in T(G)} \alpha(t)t^{-1}$. If $T(G)$ is noncentral and abelian, then for any $\alpha \in U(\mathbb{Z}T(G))$, $x^{-1}\alpha x = \alpha$ or α^* . Therefore $\mathcal{H} = \{\alpha \in U(\mathbb{Z}T(G)) \mid \alpha = \alpha^*\}$ is a central subgroup of $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

To prove that $U(\mathbb{Z}G) = \mathcal{H}G$, we let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i x_i \in U(\mathbb{Z}T(G))$, with $\varepsilon(\alpha) = 1$, where ε is the augmentation map. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m z_i(x_i - 1) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{z_i} \right) + \theta, \quad \theta \in I_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(T(G)) \\ &= t + \theta, \quad t = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{z_i} \in T(G). \end{aligned}$$

Here $I_{\mathbb{Z}}(T(G))$ denotes the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}T(G)$. Thus $\alpha = (1 + \delta)t$; $\delta = \theta t^{-1} \in I_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(T(G))$.

We now show that $(1 + \delta)^* = 1 + \delta$, hence $1 + \delta \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\beta = 1 + \delta$ and $\gamma = \beta^* \beta^{-1}$. Then $\gamma^* \gamma = 1$ and $\varepsilon(\gamma) = 1$ imply that $\gamma = x \in T(G)$. This gives the result that $x = \beta^* \beta^{-1} \in (1 + I_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(T(G))) \cap T(G) = T(G)' = 1$. Hence $\beta^* = \beta$ implies that $U(\mathbb{Z}T(G)) = \mathcal{H}T(G)$ and $U(\mathbb{Z}G) = \mathcal{H}G$. \square

Now due to the previous lemma, the converse follows easily since $U(\mathbb{Z}G) = \mathcal{H}G$. For $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in U(\mathbb{Z}G)$, there are β_1, \dots, β_n in \mathcal{H} and x_1, \dots, x_n in G such that $\alpha_k = \beta_k x_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Thus $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Also $\mathcal{H}\zeta(G) \subseteq \zeta(U(\mathbb{Z}G))$, thus $U(\mathbb{Z}G)/\mathcal{H}\zeta(G) \cong G/\zeta(G)$.

4. FURTHER RESULTS

The discussions of §§2 and 3 show that if P is a group-theoretical property which is subgroup closed, then $U(\mathbb{Z}G) \in P$ implies that $G \in P$ and satisfies (*) if it can be proved that $T(G)$ is either abelian or a Hamiltonian group and

every subgroup of $T(G)$ is normal in G . Then the group \mathcal{G} defined in §2 is abelian. To show the converse, the lemma of §3 should hold. Thus we have the following straightforward results, which we state as corollaries.

Corollary 1. $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is locally nilpotent if and only if G is locally nilpotent and satisfies (*).

Corollary 2. $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is locally FC if and only if G is locally FC and satisfies (*).

Corollary 3. $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is hypercentral if and only if G is hypercentral and satisfies (*).

This is so because hypercentral groups are locally nilpotent.

Corollary 4. $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ satisfies the normalizer condition if and only if G satisfies the normalizer condition and (*).

Corollary 5. Every subgroup of $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is subnormal if and only if every subgroup of G is subnormal and G satisfies (*).

Corollary 6. If $U(\mathbb{Z}G)/\zeta(U(\mathbb{Z}G))$ is torsion, then $G/\zeta(G)$ is torsion and satisfies (*). Conversely, if $G/\zeta(G)$ is torsion and satisfies (*) and $G/T(G)$ is right ordered, then $U(\mathbb{Z}G)/\zeta(U(\mathbb{Z}G))$ is torsion.

The following is an analogue of the theorem in [3] for integral group rings.

Theorem. $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is soluble and n -Engel if and only if $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is nilpotent.

Proof. Suppose that $U(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is soluble and n -Engel. Then G is soluble and n -Engel and satisfies (*).

It is sufficient to show that G is nilpotent. Since $G/T(G)$ is torsion free soluble n -Engel, by [6, Corollary 7.36] $G/T(G)$ is nilpotent. Hence $\gamma_l(G) \subseteq T(G)$ for some l . Here $\gamma_l(G)$ is the l th term of the lower central chain of G .

If $T(G)$ is central, then G is nilpotent. If $T(G)$ is noncentral, then for every $t \in T(G)$ and $x \in G$, $(t, {}_n x) = 1$. Now as $x^{-1}tx = t$ or t^{-1} , we have $o(t) \leq 2^n$. Thus $T(G)$ is of exponent at most 2^n .

Again, since $x^{-1}tx = t$ or t^{-1} for $t \in T(G)$, $x \in G$, it follows that $(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ for every $t \in T(G)$ and $x_i \in G$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus $\gamma_{l+n}(G) = 1$, i.e., G is nilpotent. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions and corrections which improved this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Vikas Bist, *The structure of unit groups of group rings*, Ph.D. thesis, 1990.
2. A. A. Bovdi, *Construction of an integral group ring with trivial elements of finite order*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **21** (1987), 28–37.
3. J. L. Fischer, M. M. Parmenter, and S. K. Sehgal, *Group rings with soluble n -Engel unit groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1976), 195–200.
4. B. Hartley and P. F. Pickel, *Free subgroups in the unit groups of integral group rings*, Canad. J. Math. **32** (1981), 1342–1352.

5. C. Polcino Milies, *Group rings whose torsion units form a subgroup*, Proc Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 172–174.
6. D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups, Part 2*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
7. S. K. Sehgal, *Topics in group rings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH-160014, INDIA