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ABSTRACT. If \( \mu \) is a finite complex Borel measure and \( \Gamma \) a Lipschitz graph in the complex plane, then for \( \lambda > 0 \)
\[
\left\{ z \in \Gamma : \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left( \int_{|\zeta - z| \geq \varepsilon} (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mu \zeta \right) > \lambda \right\} \leq c(\Gamma) \lambda^{-1} \| \mu \|_1.
\]

It follows that for any finite Borel measure \( \mu \) and any rectifiable curve \( \Gamma \) the finite principal value
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|\zeta - z| \geq \varepsilon} (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mu \zeta
\]
exists for almost all (with respect to length) \( z \in \Gamma \).

1. Introduction

For any finite complex Borel measure \( \mu \) on the complex plane \( \mathbb{C} \) the Cauchy transform
\[
\tilde{\mu}(z) = \int (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mu \zeta
\]
exists for almost all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) with respect to area. This is a rather immediate consequence of the fact that the kernel \( z^{-1} \) is locally integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure. In this paper we prove that much more is true provided we interpret the Cauchy integral as a principal value. Namely, for any rectifiable curve \( \Gamma \), the limit
\[
C_\mu(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|\zeta - z| \geq \varepsilon} (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mu \zeta
\]
exists and is finite for \( H^1 \) almost all \( z \in \Gamma \). Here \( H^1 \) is the one-dimensional Hausdorff (i.e., length) measure on \( \mathbb{C} \). This result follows from the following weak-type inequality, which we prove in \( \S 2 \). Define, for \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( z \in \mathbb{C} \),
\[
C_{\mu,\varepsilon}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus B(z,\varepsilon)} (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mu \zeta,
\]
\[
C^*_\mu(z) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |C_{\mu,\varepsilon}(z)|.
\]
where \( B(z, \varepsilon) = \{ \zeta : |\zeta - z| \leq \varepsilon \} \). Then, for any Lipschitz graph \( \Gamma \) and \( \lambda > 0 \),

\[
\mathscr{H}^1 \{ z \in \Gamma : C^*_\mu(z) > \lambda \} \leq c\lambda^{-1} \|\mu\|_1.
\]

Here \( c \) is a finite constant depending only on the Lipschitz constant of \( \Gamma \) and \( \|\mu\|_1 \) stands for the variation norm of \( \mu \); that is, \( \|\mu\|_1 = |\mu|(\mathbb{C}) \), with \( |\mu| \) denoting the total variation measure of \( \mu \).

If \( \mu \) is supported by the same curve \( \Gamma \), the above results follow from the well-known work of Calderón and others; see [C, CM, D2]. In particular, the Cauchy principal values

\[
C_\Gamma f(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Gamma \setminus B(z, \varepsilon)} (\zeta - z)^{-1} f(\zeta) d\mathscr{H}^1 \zeta
\]

exist for \( \mathscr{H}^1 \) almost all \( z \in \Gamma \) for \( f \in L^1(\Gamma) \) if \( \Gamma \) is a rectifiable curve. An immediate consequence of our results is that the same holds for any rectifiable \( \mathscr{H}^1 \) measurable set \( E \). By saying that \( E \) is rectifiable we mean that \( \mathscr{H}^1(E) < \infty \) and that there are rectifiable curves \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots \) such that \( \mathscr{H}^1(E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \Gamma_i) = 0 \). This class of sets was first extensively studied by Besicovitch who called them regular; see, for example, [F]. Although an exact converse is not known, it seems that, as for the existence of Cauchy principal values almost everywhere on \( E \), the rectifiable sets \( E \) may form the optimal class. As a partial result it was shown in [M] that, if \( E \) is \( \mathscr{H}^1 \) measurable, \( \mathscr{H}^1(E) < \infty \),

\[
\liminf_{r \to 0} r^{-1} \mathscr{H}^1(E \cap B(z, r)) > 0 \quad \text{for } \mathscr{H}^1 \text{ almost all } z \in E,
\]

and

\[
\exists \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{E \setminus B(z, \varepsilon)} (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mathscr{H}^1 \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{for } \mathscr{H}^1 \text{ almost all } z \in E,
\]

then \( E \) is rectifiable. Since (2) holds for any rectifiable set, we obtain the following characterization of rectifiability improving the one given in [M, 4.22]:

An \( \mathscr{H}^1 \) measurable subset \( E \) of \( \mathbb{C} \) with \( \mathscr{H}^1(E) < \infty \) is rectifiable if and only if (2) and (3) hold.

It is not known whether (2) could be deleted from this characterization.

In [D1] David studied the boundedness of \( C_\mu \) from \( L^p(\mu) \) into \( L^p(\Gamma) \) for \( 1 < p < \infty \) with some conditions on the measure \( \mu \) and curve \( \Gamma \). In fact, he considered more general kernels. Later on, further generalizations, also to higher dimensions, were derived by David and Semmes; see [D2]. It seems probable that also the methods of our paper could be considerably extended.

The basic idea in the proof of (1) consists of projecting the measure \( \mu \) to an \( L^1 \) function \( g \) on the curve \( \Gamma \), applying known results for the Cauchy integral of \( g \), and estimating the difference of the Cauchy integrals of \( g \) and \( \mu \).

We would like to thank the referee for suggesting a simplification to our original proof.

## 2. Weak-type inequalities on Lipschitz graphs

Let \( \Gamma \) be the graph of a Lipschitz function \( f : I \to \mathbb{R} \) where \( I \) is an interval on the real line \( \mathbb{R} \). Using the notation of the introduction, we now prove
2.1. **Theorem.** Let \( \mu \) be a finite complex measure on \( \mathbb{C} \). Then, for any \( \lambda > 0 \),

\[
\mathcal{H}^1 \{ z \in \Gamma : C_{\mu}^0(z) > \lambda \} \leq c \lambda^{-1} \| \mu \|_1,
\]

where \( c \) is a constant depending only on the Lipschitz constant of \( f \).

**Proof.** Obviously we may assume \( I = \mathbb{R} \). Fix \( L \), \( 1 < L < \infty \), such that

\[
|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x - y| \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

\( c_1, c_2, \ldots \) will denote positive and finite constants depending only on \( L \). We can write \( \mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 \), where \( \mu_1 \) is the restriction of \( \mu \) to \( \Gamma \) and \( |\mu_2|(\Gamma) = 0 \). Inequality (1) holds for \( \mu_1 \) by the well-known results; see, for example, [C, CM, D2, MT]. In fact, it is usually stated for \( L^1 \) functions on \( \Gamma \), but it extends easily by approximation for general measures on \( \Gamma \). Thus we may assume \( |\mu|(\Gamma) = 0 \).

We shall use a Whitney-type decomposition of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \). We may assume that \( \mu \) is concentrated on \( \{x + iy : y > f(x)\} \), and we shall decompose only this part.

Define for all integers \( m \) and \( j \)

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{m,j} &= [(j-1)2^{-m}, j2^{-m}], \\
R_{m,j} &= \{x + iy : x \in I_{m,j}, \quad L2^{1-m} + f(x) \leq y < L2^{2-m} + f(x)\}, \\
R_m &= \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{m,j}.
\end{align*}
\]

Denote

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{m,j} &= \mu(R_{m,j}), \\
M_{m,j} &= |\mu|(R_{m,j}), \\
\Gamma_{m,j} &= \{x + if(x) : x \in I_{m,j}\}.
\end{align*}
\]

For \( z \in \Gamma \) we let \( j_m(z) \) be the unique integer such that \( z \in \Gamma_{m,j_m(z)} \). Note that

\[
\begin{align*}
2^{-m} &< |z - \zeta| < L2^{2-m} \quad \text{for } z \in \Gamma_{m,j}, \quad \zeta \in R_{m,j}, \\
|z - \zeta| &\geq (|j - k| - 1)2^{-m} \quad \text{for } z \in \Gamma_{m,j}, \quad \zeta \in R_{m,k}, \\
\left| \int_{R_{m,j}} (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mu\zeta \right| &\leq 2^m M_{m,j} \quad \text{for } z \in \Gamma.
\end{align*}
\]

We define

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{m,j} &= \mu_{m,j}/\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma_{m,j}), \\
g_m : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}, \quad g_m &= a_{m,j} \text{ on } \Gamma_{m,j}, \\
g &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g_m, \quad h = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |g_m|\]
\]

and observe that

\[
\| g \|_1 \leq \| h \|_1 \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{m,j} = \| \mu \|_1.
\]

Here \( \| \cdot \|_1 \) is the \( L^1 \) norm on \( \Gamma \).

To prove the theorem we have to show that, for a given positive function \( \varepsilon \) on \( \Gamma \),

\[
\mathcal{H}^1 \{ z \in \Gamma : |C_{\mu,\varepsilon(z)}(z)| > \lambda \} \leq c_1 \lambda^{-1} \| \mu \|_1.
\]
Clearly we may take \( \varepsilon \) sufficiently regular—for example, piecewise constant—so that \( z \mapsto C_{\mu, \varepsilon(z)}(z) \) is a Borel function. Let

\[ B_z = B(z, \varepsilon(z)), \]

and define

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_m(z) &= \sum_{j \in Z} \left[ \int_{R_{m,j} \setminus B_z} (\zeta - z)^{-1} \, d\mu \zeta - \int_{\Gamma_{m,j} \setminus B_z} (t - z)^{-1} a_{m,j} \, dt \right], \\
    u(z) &= \sum \{ u_m(z) : L^2 - m > \varepsilon(z) \}, \\
    v(z) &= \sum \{ u_m(z) : L^2 - m \leq \varepsilon(z), |j - j_m(z)| \geq 2^{m+1} \varepsilon(z) \}, \\
    w(z) &= \sum \{ u_m(z) : L^2 - m \leq \varepsilon(z), |j - j_m(z)| < 2^{m+1} \varepsilon(z) \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then

\[ C_{\mu, \varepsilon(z)}(z) = u(z) + v(z) + w(z) + \int_{\Gamma \setminus B_z} (t - z)^{-1} g(t) \, dt. \]

We prove that

\[(8) \quad \|u\|_1 \leq c_2 \|\mu\|_1, \]
\[(9) \quad \|v\|_1 \leq c_3 \|\mu\|_1, \]
\[(10) \quad \mathcal{H}^1 \{ z \in \Gamma : |w(z)| > \lambda \} \leq c_4 \lambda^{-1} \|\mu\|_1. \]

Since by (6) and \([C]\)

\[ \mathcal{H}^1 \left\{ z \in \Gamma : \left| \int_{\Gamma \setminus B_z} (t - z)^{-1} g(t) \, dt \right| > \lambda \right\} \leq c_5 \lambda^{-1} \|\mu\|_1, \]

the theorem follows from these inequalities.

To verify (8) note that, if \( \varepsilon(z) < L^2 - m \), then \( R_{m,j} \cap B_z = \emptyset \) for all \( j \) and \( \Gamma_{m,j} \cap B_z = \emptyset \) for \( |j - j_m(z)| \geq 2L \). Hence,

\[
\begin{align*}
    |u_m(z)| &\leq \sum_{|j - j_m(z)| \geq 2L} \left| \int_{R_{m,j}} (\zeta - z)^{-1} \, d\mu \zeta - \int_{\Gamma_{m,j}} (t - z)^{-1} a_{m,j} \, dt \right| \\
    &\quad + \sum_{|j - j_m(z)| < 2L} \left[ \left| \int_{R_{m,j}} (\zeta - z)^{-1} \, d\mu \zeta \right| + \left| \int_{\Gamma_{m,j} \setminus B_z} (t - z)^{-1} a_{m,j} \, dt \right| \right].
\end{align*}
\]
Select $\zeta_{m,j} \in R_{m,j}$. Then for $|j - j_m(z)| \geq 2$ by (4)
\[
\left| \int_{R_{m,j}} (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mu_\zeta - \int_{\Gamma_{m,j}} (t - z)^{-1} a_{m,j} \, dt \right| 
\leq \left| \int_{R_{m,j}} (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\mu_\zeta - (\zeta_{m,j} - z)^{-1} \mu_{m,j} \right| 
+ \left| (\zeta_{m,j} - z)^{-1} \mu_{m,j} - \int_{\Gamma_{m,j}} (t - z)^{-1} a_{m,j} \, dt \right| 
\leq \int_{R_{m,j}} \frac{\zeta_{m,j} - \zeta}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta_{m,j} - z)} \, d\mu_\zeta + \int_{\Gamma_{m,j}} \frac{(t - \zeta_{m,j}) a_{m,j}}{(\zeta_{m,j} - z)(t - z)} \, dt 
\leq c_6 \left[ \frac{4L2^{-m}M_{m,j}}{((j - j_m(z))^2 - m)^2} + \frac{8L2^{-m}M_{m,j}L2^{-m}}{((j - j_m(z))^2 - m)^2} \right] 
\leq c_7 2^m M_{m,j} |j - j_m(z)|^{-2}.
\]

Let $\bar{\Gamma}_{m,j} = \bigcup \{ \Gamma_{m,i} : |j - i| < 2L \}$. Since the maximal operator $f \mapsto \mathcal{C}_f^*$ (with $f$ identified with the measure $f \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma)$) is bounded on $L^2(\Gamma)$ (see [CM, D2, MT]), we have by the Schwarz inequality
\[
\int_{\bar{\Gamma}_{m,j}} \int_{\Gamma_{m,j} \setminus B_z} (t - z)^{-1} a_{m,j} \, dt \, dz \leq c_8 |a_{m,j}| \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma_{m,j}) \leq c_8 M_{m,j}.
\]

Combining these inequalities with (5), we obtain
\[
\int_{\{ z \in \Gamma : \varepsilon(z) < L2^{-m} \}} |u_m| \, d\mathcal{H}^1 
\leq c_7 2^m \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{|j - j_m(z)| \geq 2L} M_{m,j} (j - j_m(z))^{-2} \, d\mathcal{H}^1 z 
+ 2^m \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{|j - j_m(z)| < 2L} M_{m,j} \, d\mathcal{H}^1 z + c_8 2L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{m,j} 
= c_7 2^m \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{m,j} \sum_{|j - k| \geq 2L} (j - k)^{-2} \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma_{m,k}) 
+ 2^m \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{m,j} \sum_{|j - k| < 2L} \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma_{m,k}) + c_8 2L \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{m,j} 
\leq c_9 |\mu|(R_m).
\]

Summing over $m$ we get (8).

When $\varepsilon(z) \geq L2^{-m}$ and $|j - j_m(z)| \geq 2^m+1 \varepsilon(z)$, we have $R_{m,j} \cap B_z = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{m,j} \cap B_z = \emptyset$. Consequently the same estimates as above yield (9).

To prove (10), first observe that, if $\varepsilon(z) \geq L2^{-m}$ and $|j - j_m(z)| < 2^m+1 \varepsilon(z)$, then $\Gamma_{m,j} \cup R_{m,j} \subset \tilde{B}_z$ with $\tilde{B}_z = B(z, 5L \varepsilon(z))$. Hence,
\[
|u(z)| \leq \int_{\tilde{B}_z \setminus B_z} |\zeta - z|^{-1} \, d|\mu| \zeta + \int_{\Gamma \cap \tilde{B}_z \setminus B_z} |t - z|^{-1} |h(t)\, d\mathcal{H}^1 t 
\leq \varepsilon(z)^{-1} |\mu|(\tilde{B}_z) + \varepsilon(z)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma \cap \tilde{B}_z} h \, d\mathcal{H}^1.
\]
The following maximal inequality holds for any positive Borel measure \( \nu \) on \( \mathbb{C} \); see, for example, [GM]: For all \( \lambda > 0 \),
\[
\mathcal{H}^1 \left\{ z \in \Gamma : \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \nu B(z, \varepsilon) > \lambda \right\} \leq c_10 \lambda^{-1} \|\nu\|_1.
\]

Applying this to \( |\mu| \) and \( h(\mathcal{H}^1|\Gamma) \) and recalling (6), we obtain (10). This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Existence of principal values

We begin by applying Theorem 2.1 on a Lipschitz graph.

3.1. Theorem. If \( \mu \) is a finite complex Borel measure and \( \Gamma \) a Lipschitz graph on \( \mathbb{C} \), then the principal value \( C_\mu(z) \) exists and is finite for \( \mathcal{H}^1 \) almost all \( z \in \Gamma \).

Proof. We may assume \( \Gamma \) is compact. We write \( \mu = \nu + \sigma \), where \( \nu \) is absolutely continuous and \( \sigma \) is singular with respect to \( \mathcal{H}^1|\Gamma \). For \( \nu \) the principal values exist almost everywhere on \( \Gamma \) by [C]. The same follows easily for \( \sigma \) once we prove the following statement:

For every \( \alpha > 0 \) there is \( \beta > 0 \) such that there exists \( A_\alpha \subset \Gamma \) for which \( \mathcal{H}^1(A_\alpha) < \alpha \) and
\[
|C_\sigma,\delta(z) - C_\sigma,\varepsilon(z)| < \alpha \quad \text{for } z \in \Gamma \setminus A_\alpha, \quad \delta, \varepsilon \in (0, \beta).
\]

Since \( \sigma \) is singular with respect to \( \mathcal{H}^1|\Gamma \), there are for any \( \gamma > 0 \) an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( \Gamma \) and a compact subset \( F \) of \( \Gamma \) such that
\[
|\sigma|((U \setminus \Gamma) \cup (\Gamma \setminus F)) < \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^1(F) < \gamma.
\]
We can choose \( \beta > 0 \) such that \( \text{dist}(\Gamma, C \setminus U) > \beta \) and \( \mathcal{H}^1(F_\beta) < \gamma \), where
\[
F_\beta = \{ z \in \Gamma: \text{dist}(z, F) \leq \beta \}.
\]
Let \( \tau \) be the restriction of \( \sigma \) to \( (U \setminus \Gamma) \cup (\Gamma \setminus F) \). Then \( \|\tau\|_1 < \gamma \), and
\[
C_\sigma,\varepsilon(z) = C_\tau,\varepsilon(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \Gamma \setminus F_\beta, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \beta.
\]
Let
\[
A = F_\beta \cup \{ z \in \Gamma: C_\tau^*(z) > \alpha/2 \}.
\]
Then, for \( \delta, \varepsilon \in (0, \beta) \) and \( z \in \Gamma \setminus A \),
\[
|C_\sigma,\delta(z) - C_\sigma,\varepsilon(z)| \leq 2C_\tau^*(z) \leq \alpha.
\]
By Theorem 2.1,
\[
\mathcal{H}^1(A) \leq \gamma + c\alpha^{-1}\|\tau\|_1 < (1 + c\alpha^{-1})\gamma.
\]
We obtain the desired inequality by choosing \( \gamma \) sufficiently small.

The other results mentioned in the introduction on the existence of principal values almost everywhere on more general rectifiable curves and sets follow from Theorem 3.1 and the fact that any rectifiable curve \( \Gamma \) can be written as \( \Gamma = A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \Gamma_i \), where \( \mathcal{H}^1(A) = 0 \) and each \( \Gamma_i \) is a Lipschitz graph.

3.2. Remarks. After the first version of this paper was completed, Khavinson showed that the results of [K] can be used to obtain some related information on
Cauchy integrals of measures. For example, he proved that if $\Gamma$ is a Lipschitz graph, or more generally a regular arc (see [CM, D2]), and $\mu$ is a finite complex measure on $\mathbb{C}$, then there is a decreasing sequence $\epsilon_i \downarrow 0$ such that

$$\left\{ z \in \Gamma : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\check{\mu}_i(z)| > \lambda \right\} \leq c\lambda^{-1} \|\mu\|_1,$$

where $\mu_i$ is the restriction of $\mu$ to $\{\zeta : \epsilon_i+1 < \text{dist}(\zeta, \Gamma) \leq \epsilon_i\}$ and $c$ is an absolute constant. It is not clear whether Khavinson's method can be adapted also to our $\epsilon$-truncated integrals.

Still later Verdera [V] found a new shorter proof for Theorem 2.1. His methods make use of well-known techniques of harmonic analysis.
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