

A COMPACTUM THAT CANNOT BE AN ATTRACTOR OF A SELF-MAP ON A MANIFOLD

BERND GÜNTHER

(Communicated by James E. West)

ABSTRACT. A one-dimensional compactum (in fact, a certain solinoid) is constructed, such that there does not exist a self-map on a manifold having this compactum as attractor.

1. THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

In [2] it is shown that a finite-dimensional compactum can be an attractor of a flow on a manifold if and only if it has the shape of a finite polyhedron. Here a flow is a continuous mapping $X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ satisfying the usual functional equation [5, Chapter 4, §7, Theorem 12], and X is a topological manifold. If \mathbb{R} is replaced by the integers \mathbb{Z} we simply get a cyclic group of homeomorphisms, generated by some element $f : X \approx X$. We may generalize still further and consider arbitrary self-maps $f : X \rightarrow X$ instead of homeomorphisms. A compact subset $A \subseteq X$ with $f(A) = A$ is an attractor of f if there is a neighborhood U of A in X , such that $f(U) \subseteq U$ and for each neighborhood V of A in X there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f^n(U) \subseteq V$; this implies $f^m(U) \subseteq V$ for all $m \geq n$. In this situation the classification of attractors seems to be much more complicated than in [2], but at least we are able to produce an example of a one-dimensional compactum that can never be an attractor of a self-map.

It is well known that the *dyadic* solenoid is an attractor of a homeomorphism of a three-dimensional manifold (see [4; 2, Example 3]). The dyadic solenoid is the limit of an inverse sequence of circles $S_{(n)}^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$, and the bonding map $g_n : S_{(n+1)}^1 \rightarrow S_{(n)}^1$ is given by $g_n(z) = z^2$. Of course, we might as well choose different bonding maps such as $g_n(z) = z^{\nu_n}$, where $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an arbitrary sequence of integers. The compacta obtained in this way are *generalized* solenoids.

Theorem 1. *The generalized solenoid obtained from a sequence of pairwise relatively prime integers ν_n cannot be an attractor of a self-map on a manifold.*

Received by the editors July 7, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P55, 57N25, 54H20, 58F12.

Key words and phrases. Dynamical systems, attractors, shape, solenoid.

For the proof the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 1. *We suppose A is an attractor of $f : X \rightarrow X$ and denote by $\varphi := \check{H}^*(f|_A) : \check{H}^*(A) \rightarrow \check{H}^*(A)$ the induced homomorphism of Čech cohomology groups with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . Then $\check{H}^*(A)$ contains a finitely generated, φ -invariant subgroup G with $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-n}(G) = \check{H}^*(A)$.*

Proof. Let U be a domain of attraction as above. A is the inverse limit of a sequence of finite polyhedra P_n with projections $g_n : A \rightarrow P_n$ [3, Chapter I, §5.2, Corollary 4]. The inclusion map $i : A \hookrightarrow U$ can be factored over one of these g_n up to homotopy [3, Chapter I, §5.3, Theorem 9], because the interior of U is a manifold and hence an ANR. We may assume $n = 1$: $i \simeq hg_1$ for a suitable map $h : P_1 \rightarrow U$ and set

$$G' := \text{im } \check{H}^*(g_1) \quad \text{and} \quad G := \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} \varphi^{-m}(G').$$

Any given element $\xi \in \check{H}^*(A)$ can be written $\xi = \check{H}^*(g_k)(\eta)$ for some k [3, p. 128]. There is a neighborhood V of A in X and a map $g'_k : V \rightarrow P_k$ extending g_k . Let n be such that $f^m(U) \subseteq V$ for all $m \geq n$. Then $g'_k f^m h g_1 : X \rightarrow P_k$ is homotopic to $g_k f^m$ and consequently

$$\varphi^m(\xi) = \check{H}^*(g_k f^m)(\eta) = \check{H}^*(g_1) \check{H}^*(g'_k f^m h)(\eta) \in G'.$$

This means $\varphi^m \varphi^n(\xi) \in G'$ for all $m \geq 0$ and therefore $\varphi^n(\xi) \in G$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. We suppose the contrary and denote by H the first Čech cohomology group of our solenoid; it is isomorphic to the subgroup of \mathbb{Q} consisting of all numbers $m/\nu_1 \cdots \nu_n$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let G be the subgroup of H from Lemma 1; it must be cyclic with a generator $M/\nu_1 \cdots \nu_N$. The homomorphism $\varphi : H \rightarrow H$ must be of the form $\varphi(r) = rp/q$. We choose a number $k > N$ such that ν_k is relatively prime to p . Then for all $m \geq 0$ we have $\varphi^m(1/\nu_k) \notin G$, contradicting Lemma 1. \square

Remark. The subgroup G in Lemma 1 behaves like an “attractor” of the endomorphism φ : each element of $\check{H}^*(A)$ is eventually moved into G . This puts restrictions on the map $f|_A : A \rightarrow A$; in the case of the dyadic solenoid φ must be multiplication by a number 2^m with $m > 0$. Observing $\check{H}^1(A) = \mathbf{HTop}(A, S^1)$ one can deduce that in the shape category $f|_A$ coincides with ϑ^m , where ϑ is the shift map of the dyadic solenoid [4, Lemma 29].

Note. For expanding attractors of diffeomorphisms Theorem 1 can also be obtained by using Williams’s characterization [6] and the classification of solenoids [1, p. 154].

REFERENCES

1. K. Borsuk, *Theory of shape*, Monografie Matematyczne, vol. 59, Polish Scientific Publishers, 1975.
2. B. Günther and J. Segal, *Every attractor of a flow on a manifold has the shape of a finite polyhedron*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), 321–329.
3. S. Mardešić and J. Segal, *Shape theory*, North-Holland Math. Library, vol. 26, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

4. R. M. Schori, *Chaos: An introduction to some topological aspects*, Continuum Theory and Dynamical Systems (Morton Brown, ed.), Contemp. Math., vol. 117, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 149–161.
5. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
6. R. F. Williams, *Classification of one-dimensional attractors*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970, pp. 341–361.

HELPERTSEESTRASSE 21, 6052 MÜHLHEIM, GERMANY
E-mail address: 100034.3630@CompuServe.COM