

## AN INTRINSIC CHARACTERIZATION FOR ZERO-DIAGONAL OPERATORS

PENG FAN AND CHE-KAO FONG

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to present the following intrinsic characterization for zero-diagonal operators.

**Theorem.** *An operator  $T$  has a zero diagonal if and only if  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta})_+ = \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}T)_-$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ .*

A bounded linear operator  $T$  on a complex separable Hilbert space  $H$  is said to have a zero diagonal if there is an orthonormal basis  $\{b_n\}$  such that  $(Tb_n, b_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ . It is well known that if the dimension of  $H$  is finite, then an operator on  $H$  has a zero diagonal if and only if its trace is zero; see, e.g., [3, p. 109]. This was generalized to operators on Hilbert spaces in the following form.

**Theorem A** [1, Theorem 1]. *An operator  $T$  has a zero diagonal if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{b_n\}$  such that the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  of partial sums of the diagonal entries*

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (Tb_k, b_k)$$

*has a subsequence converging to zero.*

The above characterization is very sensitive to a base change. However, it can be reformulated into base free descriptions in special cases. For instance, it reduces to  $\operatorname{tr} T = 0$  if  $T$  is a trace class operator. When  $T$  is hermitian, it can be converted into the following.

**Theorem B** [2, Theorem 2]. *A hermitian operator  $H$  has a zero diagonal if and only if  $\operatorname{tr} H_+ = \operatorname{tr} H_-$ .*

The purpose of this paper is to present the following intrinsic characterization.

---

Received by the editors October 12, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A99; Secondary 47A12.

*Key words and phrases.* Zero diagonal operators, trace, trace-class operators.

**Theorem.** *An operator  $T$  has a zero diagonal if and only if*

$$\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_+ = \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_-$$

for all  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ .

Throughout this paper,  $\operatorname{Re} T$  denotes the real part of  $T$ ;  $H_+$  and  $H_-$  stand for  $(|H| + H)/2$  and  $|H| - H_+$  respectively where  $H$  is hermitian; and  $\operatorname{tr} P$  means the trace of a positive operator  $P$ . In addition, we denote by  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  the set of all sums  $\sum(Tb_n, b_n)$  whenever the series converges with respect to some orthonormal basis  $\{b_n\}$ .

The proof of our Theorem relies primarily on the following result about the “shape” of  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$ .

**Theorem C** [2, Theorem 4]. *For an operator  $T$ ,  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is either empty, or a point, or a line, or the complex plane. Precisely:*

- (i)  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\} = \emptyset$  iff  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_- < +\infty$  but  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_+ = +\infty$  for some  $\theta$ .
- (ii)  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is a point iff  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_+ < +\infty$  for all  $\theta$ .
- (iii)  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is a line iff  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_\pm < +\infty$  and  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Im}(e^{i\theta} T)_\pm = +\infty$  for some  $\theta$ .
- (iv)  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is the complex plane iff  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_+ = +\infty$  for all  $\theta$ .

*Proof of Theorem. Necessity.* Suppose  $T$  has a zero diagonal. Then obviously  $0 \in R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  and thus  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  takes only three shapes by Theorem C. When  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\} = \{0\}$ ,  $T \in C_1$ , the trace class; hence  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_\pm = 0$ . When  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is a line containing 0, by (iii) of Theorem C, there is a  $\theta$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T) \in C_1$  and  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Im}(e^{i\theta} T)_\pm = +\infty$ . This implies  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\phi} T)_\pm = +\infty$  for  $e^{i\phi} \neq e^{i\theta}$  and  $e^{i(\theta+\pi)}$ . Lastly when  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is the plane,  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_+ = +\infty$  for all  $\theta$ .

*Sufficiency.* If  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_+ = \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_-$  for all  $\theta$ ,  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  acquires the same three shapes. It is enough to show  $0 \in R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  in each case because this proves that  $T$  has a zero diagonal, by Theorem A. When  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is the complex plane, obviously it contains 0. When  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is a point, the hypothesis implies that  $\operatorname{tr} T = 0$ . Finally when  $R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  is a line, by (iii) we can write  $e^{i\theta} T = H + iK$  such that  $\operatorname{tr} H_\pm = +\infty$  and  $\operatorname{tr} K_+ = \operatorname{tr} K_- < +\infty$ . Now according to Theorem B,  $H$  has a zero diagonal with respect to some orthonormal basis  $\{b_n\}$ . Thus

$$\sum(Tb_n, b_n) = e^{-i\theta} \sum(iKb_n, b_n) = 0.$$

This shows  $0 \in R\{\operatorname{tr} T\}$  and completes the proof.

*Remarks.* (i) We point out here that not a single  $\theta$  can be omitted from the hypothesis in the proof of the sufficiency part above. Indeed, define  $T = \operatorname{diag}\{1, -1, i, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{i}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}, \dots\}$ . Observe that  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)_+ = +\infty$  for all  $\theta$  except for  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (in fact,  $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Re}(iT)_+ = 0$ ). But this operator does not have a zero diagonal because the imaginary part is positive.

(ii) We provide here an alternative proof for the necessity part. Suppose  $(Tb_n, b_n) = 0$ , for all  $n$ , with respect to an orthonormal basis  $\{b_n\}$ . Write  $H$  for  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} T)$ . Obviously  $(Hb_n, b_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{tr} H_+ = \sum(H_+b_n, b_n) = \sum((H + H_-)b_n, b_n) = \sum(H_-b_n, b_n) = \operatorname{tr} H_-.$$

## REFERENCES

1. P. Fan, *On the diagonal of an operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984), 239–251.
2. P. Fan, C.-K. Fong, and D. A. Herrero, *On zero-diagonal operators and traces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), 445–451.
3. P. R. Halmos, *Finite-dimensional vector spaces*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1958.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY, FT. WORTH, TEXAS 76129-0001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CARLETON UNIVERSITY, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, CANADA K1S 5B6