

## ACCP IN POLYNOMIAL RINGS: A COUNTEREXAMPLE

WILLIAM J. HEINZER AND DAVID C. LANTZ

(Communicated by Wolmer V. Vasconcelos)

**ABSTRACT.** We describe an example showing that ACCP need not extend from a ring to a polynomial ring over it.

A ring  $R$  (always commutative with unity) is said to satisfy the *ascending chain condition on principal ideals* (ACCP) if, for any infinite ascending chain of principal ideals  $a_1R \subseteq a_2R \subseteq \cdots$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  for which  $a_nR = a_{n+1}R = \cdots$  (cf. [Gi]). This property has been studied, even in the case of a noncommutative ring, in a number of papers, for example, [AAZ, AN, Gr, N, R]. It is well known and easy to see that if  $R$  is an integral domain satisfying ACCP, then for any family  $X$  of indeterminates, the polynomial ring  $R[X]$  also satisfies ACCP. (In [Gr], where it seems to be asserted that this holds for any ring, there appears to be a tacit hypothesis of domain.)

**Example.** A ring  $R$  that satisfies ACCP but for which the polynomial ring  $R[x]$ , in a single indeterminate  $x$ , does not satisfy ACCP. Let  $k$  be a field and  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be indeterminates over  $k$ , and set

$$S = k[A_1, A_2, \dots] / (\{A_n(A_{n-1} - A_n) : n \geq 2\})k[A_1, A_2, \dots] .$$

Denote by  $a_n$  the image of  $A_n$  in  $S$  and by  $R$  the localization of  $S$  at the ideal  $(a_1, a_2, \dots)S$ . We note two facts about these rings: (1) the elements of  $S$  that become units in  $R$  are nonzerodivisors, so  $R$  contains (an isomorphic copy of)  $S$ ; and (2) in  $S$ , no power of  $a_{n-1}$  annihilates the difference  $a_{n-1} - a_n$ . For (1), note that, as the factor ring of a polynomial ring over  $k$  by a homogeneous ideal (in total degree in the  $A_n$ 's),  $S$  is a graded ring. Thus, we can refer to the order of an element of  $S$ , i.e., the degree of the smallest-degree nonzero term in that element; and for elements  $f, g$  in  $S$ ,  $\text{ord}(fg) \geq \text{ord}(f) + \text{ord}(g)$ . Since an element outside  $(a_1, a_2, \dots)S$  has unit degree-0 term, its product with any

---

Received by the editors July 13, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13E99, 13B25, 13A02.

The first author gratefully acknowledges support under NSF Grant DMS 91-01176. The second author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of Purdue University while this work was done. The authors are grateful to Ralf Schmücker for re-awakening interest in the properties of ACCP and  $n$ -acc.

The second author will present this example to the conference on commutative algebra in connection with the 1994 John H. Barrett Memorial Lectures, University of Tennessee-Knoxville, April 7-9, 1994.

nonzero element  $g$  of  $S$  has the same order as  $g$ ; in particular, the product is not zero.

For (2), we regard  $S$  as the limit of the rings  $S_n$  where  $S_1 = k[a_1]$  and

$$S_n = S_{n-1}[a_n] = S_{n-1}[A_n]/A_n(a_{n-1} - A_n)S_{n-1}[A_n]$$

for  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $A_n(a_{n-1} - A_n)$  is the negative of a monic polynomial of degree 2,  $S_n$  is a free module over  $S_{n-1}$  on the generators  $1, a_n$ . Thus,  $S_{n-1}$  is a subring of  $S_n$ , and a power of  $a_{n-1}$  annihilates  $a_{n-1} - a_n$  only if  $a_{n-1}$  is nilpotent. Assume  $a_{n-1}^m = 0$  where, without loss of generality,  $m > n - 2$ . Then, using the defining relations of  $S$ , we have  $a_{n-1}^m = a_1^{m-n+2}a_2a_3 \cdots a_{n-1}$ . Since each  $a_k$  is an element of a free basis over  $S_{k-1}$ , we conclude that  $a_1$  is nilpotent. But that is a contradiction, since  $S_1$  is (isomorphic to) the polynomial ring in the indeterminate  $a_1$  over  $k$ .

To see that  $R$  satisfies ACCP, we again use the grading on  $S$ : Suppose we have  $f_1R \subset f_2R$ , where  $f_1, f_2$  are chosen from  $S$ . Then since elements of  $S$  of order 0 are units in  $R$ , we must have elements  $g, h$  in  $S$  for which  $\text{ord}(g) > 0$ ,  $\text{ord}(h) = 0$ , and  $f_2(g/h) = f_1$  in  $R$ , so that in  $S$ , using (1) above, we have  $f_1h = f_2g$ . It follows that  $\text{ord}(f_1) > \text{ord}(f_2)$ . Since orders in  $S$  are bounded below by 0, it follows that  $R$  satisfies ACCP.

Now in  $R[x]$ , we have

$$(a_nx + 1)((a_{n-1} - a_n)x + 1) = a_{n-1}x + 1$$

for each  $n \geq 2$ , so

$$(a_1x + 1)R[x] \subseteq (a_2x + 1)R[x] \subseteq \cdots$$

To see that these containments are proper, suppose by way of contradiction that, for some  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(a_{n-1}x + 1)b(x) = a_nx + 1$  where  $b(x)$  is the polynomial  $b(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots$ . Then we must have  $b_0 = 1$  and by induction  $b_m = (-1)^{m-1}a_{n-1}^{m-1}(a_n - a_{n-1})$  for each  $m > 0$ . By (2), all the  $b_m$  are nonzero, so  $b(x)$  is not a polynomial, which is the desired contradiction.

*Remark.* It is shown in [HL, (3.8) and (3.9)] that if  $R$  is a quasilocal ring having the property that the annihilator of each finitely generated ideal in  $R$  has only finitely many minimal primes or if  $R$  is of dimension zero, then ACCP extends to a polynomial ring over  $R$ . The  $R$  in the example above is quasilocal of dimension one and has a countably infinite number of minimal primes. Moreover, by using [HL, Proposition 2.1] it follows that  $R$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on  $n$ -generated ideals for every positive integer  $n$  (i.e.,  $R$  has "pan-acc").

## REFERENCES

- [AAZ] D. D. Anderson, D. F. Anderson, and M. Zafrullah, *Factorization in integral domains*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **69** (1990), 1-19.
- [AN] M. E. Antunes-Simões and A. M. Nicolas, *Anneaux  $n$ -acc*, Comm. Algebra **12** (1984), 1653-1665.
- [Gi] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [Gr] A. Grams, *Atomic rings and the ascending chain condition for principal ideals*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **75** (1974), 321-329.

- [HL] W. Heinzer and D. Lantz, *Commutative rings with ACC on  $n$ -generated ideals*, J. Algebra **80** (1983), 261–278.
- [N] A. M. Nicolas, *Thèse — modules factorables, modules  $n$ -acc*, Université de Limoges, 1981.
- [R] G. Renault, *Sur des conditions de chaînes ascendantes dans des modules libres*, J. Algebra **47** (1977), 268–275.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907-1395  
*E-mail address:* `heinzer@math.purdue.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLGATE UNIVERSITY, 13 OAK DRIVE, HAMILTON, NEW YORK  
13346-1398  
*E-mail address:* `dlantz@center.colgate.edu`