

A LINEAR RECURRENCE SYSTEM

A. BLASIUS

(Communicated by William W. Adams)

ABSTRACT. We look at a triangular system of n equations and reinvestigate a related function introduced by Chen and Kuck. Our main contribution is to provide a new proof of a result which forms the basis of their work.

We investigate a function which will be used to evaluate the linear recursion

$$x_i = a_{i,0} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i,j}x_j \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

where the a_{ij} 's are arbitrary numbers.

We can express this in matrix notation as $X = C + AX$ where

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} a_{10} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ a_{n0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

A is referred to as the coefficient matrix, C as the constant vector, and X as the solution vector.

Definition. For $0 \leq j < 2^n$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, we define a sequence of functions $f_0(i, j)$, $f_1(i, j)$, $f_2(i, j)$, \dots , $f_n(i, j)$ such that

$$f_{r+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} f_r(i, j) + \sum_{k=j+2^r-b}^{j+2^{r+1}-b-1} f_r(i, k)f_r(k, j) & \text{if } j \equiv b \pmod{2^{r+1}}, \\ & \text{where } 0 \leq b < 2^r, \\ f_r(i, j) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq r < n$, with

$$f_0(i, j) = a_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \leq j.$$

Remark. $a_{i,j} = 0$ for $i \leq j$ implies $f_r(i, j) = 0$ for $i \leq j$.

By repeatedly applying this recursive definition we can express any $f_{r+1}(i, j)$ in terms of f_r , f_{r-1} , \dots , and finally f_0 , and thus express $f_{r+1}(i, j)$ as a function of a 's only.

Received by the editors November 9, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B37.

Examples.

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(4, 0) &= f_0(4, 0) + f_0(4, 1)f_0(1, 0) = a_{4,0} + a_{4,1}a_{1,0}, \\
f_1(5, 1) &= f_0(5, 1) = a_{5,1}, \\
f_2(3, 0) &= f_1(3, 0) + f_1(3, 2)f_1(2, 0) \\
&= f_0(3, 0) + f_0(3, 1)f_0(1, 0) + f_0(3, 2)[f_0(2, 0) + f_0(2, 1)f_0(1, 0)] \\
&= a_{3,0} + a_{3,1}a_{1,0} + a_{3,2}(a_{2,0} + a_{2,1}a_{1,0}).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem. Let $j \equiv b \pmod{2^r}$, $0 \leq b < 2^r$; then

$$f_r(i, j) = a_{i,j} + \sum a_{i,j(1)}a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(u),j}$$

where the sum is over all u -tuples $(j(1), \dots, j(u))$ satisfying $j < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^r - b, i\}$, where $0 < u < \min\{2^r - b, i - j\}$.

Proof. Our proof is by induction on r . We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0(i, j) &= a_{i,j}, \\
f_1(i, j) &= \begin{cases} a_{i,j} + a_{i,j+1}a_{j+1,j} & \text{if } j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ a_{i,j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

So the result is verified for $r = 0$ and $r = 1$.

Assume the result is valid for $r = s$. Now using this, we will deduce the corresponding result for $s + 1$:

$$f_{s+1}(i, j) = a_{i,j} + \sum a_{i,j(1)}a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(u),j}$$

where the sum is over all u -tuples $(j(1), \dots, j(u))$ satisfying $j < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\}$, where $0 < u < \min\{2^{s+1} - b, i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^{s+1}$.

We consider three cases.

Case 1. $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $2^s \leq b < 2^{s+1}$. In this case $f_{s+1}(i, j) = f_s(i, j)$ by definition, and by the induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{s+1}(i, j) &= a_{i,j} + \sum a_{i,j(1)}a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(u),j}, \\
& \quad j < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^s - b', i\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < u < \min\{2^s - b', i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b' \pmod{2^s}$, $0 \leq b' < 2^s$.

But $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $2^s \leq b < 2^{s+1}$, implies $j \equiv b - 2^s \pmod{2^s}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, and $b' = b - 2^s$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{s+1}(i, j) &= a_{i,j} + \sum a_{i,j(1)}a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(u),j}, \\
& \quad j < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < u < \min\{2^{s+1} - b, i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $2^s \leq b < 2^{s+1}$.

Case 2. $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, and $i \leq j + 2^s - b$. It follows by definition that $f_{s+1}(i, j) = f_s(i, j)$ and by the induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{s+1}(i, j) &= a_{i,j} + \sum a_{i,j(1)}a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(u),j}, \\
& \quad j < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^s - b', i\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < u < \min\{2^s - b', i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b' \pmod{2^s}$, $0 \leq b' < 2^s$. Since $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, implies $j \equiv b \pmod{2^s}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, we have

$b' = b$. Then $\min\{j + 2^s - b, i\} = i = \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\}$, since $i \leq j + 2^s - b$.
Therefore

$$f_{s+1}(i, j) = a_{i,j} + \sum a_{i,j(1)} a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(u),j},$$

$$j < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\},$$

where $0 < u < \min\{2^{s+1} - b, i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, and $i \leq j + 2^s - b$.

Case 3. $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, and $i > j + 2^s - b$. By definition

$$f_{s+1}(i, j) = f_s(i, j) + \sum_{k=j+2^s-b}^{j+2^{s+1}-b-1} f_s(i, k) f_s(k, j)$$

$$= f_s(i, j) + \sum_{k=j+2^s-b}^{\min\{j+2^{s+1}-b, i\}-1} f_s(i, k) f_s(k, j).$$

From the induction hypothesis

$$f_s(i, k) = a_{i,k} + \sum a_{i,k(1)} a_{k(1),k(2)} \cdots a_{k(u),k},$$

$$k < k(u) < \cdots < k(1) < \min\{k + 2^s - c, i\},$$

where $0 < u < \min\{2^s - c, i - k\}$ and $k \equiv c \pmod{2^s}$, $0 \leq c < 2^s$. When $j + 2^s - b \leq k < j + 2^{s+1} - b$, $k = j + 2^s - b + c$ and $k + 2^s - c = j + 2^{s+1} - b$.
Therefore, for all k such that $j + 2^s - b \leq k < j + 2^{s+1} - b$ we have

(i)
$$f_s(i, k) = a_{i,k} + \sum a_{i,k(1)} a_{k(1),k(2)} \cdots a_{k(u),k},$$

$$k < k(u) < \cdots < k(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\},$$

where $0 < u < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\} - k$ and $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$.
Also, by the induction hypothesis

$$f_s(k, j) = a_{k,j} + \sum a_{k,j(1)} a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(v),j},$$

$$j < j(v) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^s - b', k\},$$

where $0 < v < \min\{2^s - b', k - j\}$ and $j \equiv b' \pmod{2^s}$, $0 \leq b' < 2^s$.
But since $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, it follows that $b' = b$, and when $j + 2^s - b \leq k < j + 2^{s+1} - b$ we have $\min\{j + 2^s - b, k\} = j + 2^s - b$.
Therefore, for all k such that $j + 2^s - b \leq k < j + 2^{s+1} - b$

(ii)
$$f_s(k, j) = a_{k,j} + \sum a_{k,j(1)} a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(v),j},$$

$$j < j(v) < \cdots < j(1) < j + 2^s - b,$$

where $0 < v < 2^s - b$ and $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$.

It follows from (i) and (ii) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=j+2^s-b}^{\min\{j+2^{s+1}-b, i\}-1} f_s(i, k) f_s(k, j) \\ &= \sum_{k=j+2^s-b}^{\min\{j+2^{s+1}-b, i\}-1} \left(a_{i, k} a_{k, j} + \sum_{j(v)} a_{i, k} a_{k, j(1)} \cdots a_{j(v), j} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k(u)} a_{i, k(1)} \cdots a_{k(u), k} a_{k, j} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k(u), j(v)} a_{i, k(1)} \cdots a_{k(u), k} a_{k, j(1)} \cdots a_{j(v), j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $k < k(u) < \cdots < k(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\}$,

$$j < j(v) < \cdots < j(1) < j + 2^s - b,$$

$$0 < u < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\} - k,$$

$$0 < v < 2^s - b, \text{ and } j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}, 0 \leq b < 2^s.$$

By removing the outer summation symbol and making a change of variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=j+2^s-b}^{\min\{j+2^{s+1}-b, i\}-1} f_s(i, k) f_s(k, j) \\ &= \sum_{C(1)} a_{i, j(1)} a_{j(1), j} + \sum_{C(2)} a_{i, j(1)} a_{j(1), j(2)} \cdots a_{j(v+1), j} \\ & \quad + \sum_{C(3)} a_{i, j(1)} \cdots a_{j(u), j(u+1)} a_{j(u+1), j} \\ & \quad + \sum_{C(4)} a_{i, j(1)} \cdots a_{j(u), j(u+1)} a_{j(u+1), j(u+2)} \cdots a_{j(u+v+1), j} \end{aligned}$$

where $C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4)$ are the summation conditions:

$$C(1): j + 2^s - b \leq j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\} \text{ where } j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}, 0 \leq b < 2^s,$$

$$C(2): j < j(v + 1) < \cdots < j(2) < j + 2^s - b \leq \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\} \text{ where } 0 < v < 2^s - b \text{ and } j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}, 0 \leq b < 2^s,$$

$$C(3): j + 2^s - b \leq j(u + 1) < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\} \text{ where } 0 < u < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\} - j(u + 1) \text{ and } j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}, 0 \leq b < 2^s,$$

$$C(4): j < j(u + v + 1) < \cdots < j(u + 2) < j + 2^s - b \leq j(u + 1) < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\} \text{ where } 0 < u < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\} - j(u + 1), 0 < v < 2^s - b, \text{ and } j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}, 0 \leq b < 2^s.$$

Remarks. 1. $u + v + 1 < \min\{2^{s+1} - b, i - j\}$.

2. $C(1), C(2), C(3), C(4)$ describe a partition of the condition

$$j + 2^s - b \leq j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\}, \quad j < j(w) < \cdots < j(2) < j(1),$$

where $0 < w < \min\{2^{s+1} - b, i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$.

We now conclude

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{k=j+2^s-b}^{\min\{j+2^{s+1}-b, i\}-1} f_s(i, k) f_s(k, j) = \sum a_{i, j(1)} \cdots a_{j(w), j},$$

$$j + 2^s - b \leq j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\},$$

$$j < j(w) < \cdots < j(2) < j(1),$$

where $0 < w < \min\{2^{s+1} - b, i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$. It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$(iv) \quad f_s(i, j) = a_{i, j} + \sum a_{i, j(1)} \cdots a_{j(x), j},$$

$$j < j(x) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^s - b', i\},$$

where $0 < x < \min\{2^s - b', i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b' \pmod{2^s}$, $0 \leq b' < 2^s$.

We have $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, therefore $b' = b$, and since $i > j + 2^s - b$ the $\min\{j + 2^s - b, i\} = j + 2^s - b$.

From (iii) and (iv) we conclude that when $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, and $i > j + 2^s - b$, then

$$f_{s+1}(i, j) = a_{i, j} + \sum_{S(1)} a_{i, j(1)} \cdots a_{j(x), j} + \sum_{S(2)} a_{i, j(1)} \cdots a_{j(w), j}$$

where $S(1)$ and $S(2)$ denote the summation conditions

$$j < j(x) < \cdots < j(1) < j + 2^s - b, \quad 0 < x < 2^s - b,$$

and $j + 2^s - b \leq j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\}$,

$$j < j(w) < \cdots < j(2) < j(1), \quad 0 < w < \min\{2^{s+1} - b, i - j\},$$

respectively. Hence we have

$$f_{s+1}(i, j) = a_{i, j} + \sum a_{i, j(1)} a_{j(1), j(2)} \cdots a_{j(y), j},$$

$$j < j(y) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{j + 2^{s+1} - b, i\},$$

where $0 < y < \min\{2^{s+1} - b, i - j\}$ and $j \equiv b \pmod{2^{s+1}}$, $0 \leq b < 2^s$, and $i > j + 2^s - b$.

Together, Cases 1, 2, and 3 show that the theorem holds for $r = s + 1$, and thus for all nonnegative integers less than or equal to n . \square

Corollary. $f_n(i, 0) = a_{i, 0} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i, j} x_j = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$.

Proof. Our proof is by induction on i . The corollary is true for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, since $f_n(1, 0) = a_{1, 0} = x_1$ for $n \geq 0$ and $f_n(2, 0) = a_{2, 0} + a_{2, 1} a_{1, 0} = x_2$ for $n \geq 1$. As an induction hypothesis, assume it holds for all $i \leq k < 2^n$. Thus, by hypothesis we have

$$f_n(i, 0) = a_{i, 0} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i, j} x_j = x_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Now we prove the lemma for $i = k + 1$. We know by definition that

$$x_{k+1} = a_{k+1, 0} + \sum_{j=1}^k a_{k+1, j} x_j,$$

and by the induction hypothesis this can be written as

$$x_{k+1} = a_{k+1,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k a_{k+1,j} f_n(j, 0).$$

It follows from our theorem that

$$x_{k+1} = a_{k+1,0} + \sum_{j=1}^k a_{k+1,j} \left(a_{j,0} + \sum a_{j,j(1)} a_{j(1),j(2)} \cdots a_{j(u),0} \right),$$

$$0 < j(u) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{2^n, j\}, \quad 0 < u < \min\{2^n, j\},$$

and we obtain

$$x_{k+1} = a_{k+1,0} + \sum_{1 \leq j(1) \leq k} a_{k+1,j(1)} a_{j(1),0} + \sum a_{k+1,j(1)} \cdots a_{j(u+1),0},$$

$$0 < j(u+1) < \cdots < j(2) < j(1) \leq k, \quad 0 < u < j(1).$$

Therefore,

$$x_{k+1} = a_{k+1,0} + \sum a_{(k+1),j(1)} \cdots a_{j(v),0},$$

$$0 < j(v) < \cdots < j(1) \leq k, \quad 0 < v \leq k,$$

which can be written as

$$x_{k+1} = a_{k+1,0} + \sum a_{k+1,j(1)} \cdots a_{j(v),0},$$

$$0 < j(v) < \cdots < j(1) < \min\{2^n, k+1\}, \quad 0 < v < \min\{2^n, k+1\},$$

and it follows by our theorem that

$$x_{k+1} = f_n(k+1, 0). \quad \square$$

Based on the result that $f_n(i, 0) = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ Chen and Kuck [CK] give a parallel algorithm for evaluating x_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$. Time and processor bounds for solving the linear recurrence system are then obtained. Sameh and Brent [SB] later presented an alternate derivation of this algorithm.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper is an abstract of the author's doctoral thesis, completed under the guidance of David Lubell at Adelphi University.

REFERENCES

- [B] A. Blasius, *Parallel processing of linear recurrence systems*, Rep. No. 8712611, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adelphi University, New York, 1987.
- [CK] S. C. Chen and D. J. Kuck, *Time and parallel processor bounds for linear recurrence systems*, IEEE Trans. Comput. C-24 (1975), 701-717.
- [K] D. J. Kuck, *Parallel processing of ordinary programs*, Advances in Computers (M. Rubinoff and M. C. Yovits, eds.), vol. 15, Academic Press, New York, 1976, pp. 119-179.
- [SB] A. H. Sameh and R. P. Brent, *Solving triangular systems on a parallel computer*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 14 (1977), 1101-1113.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY, COLLEGE AT OLD WESTBURY, OLD WESTBURY, NEW YORK 11568

E-mail address: blasiusa@snyoldva