SOLUTIONS OF THREE-TERM RELATIONS
IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

YUAN XU

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. A system of multivariate orthogonal polynomials satisfies a matrix equation which plays the role of a three-term relation of the orthogonal polynomial of one variable. However, unlike the case of one variable, there does not exist a second solution of this matrix equation that is linearly independent to the orthogonal polynomials. In particular, there is no analogy of the associated polynomials in several variables.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Π_n^d be the set of polynomials of total degree n in d variables, and Π^d be the set of all polynomials in d variables. Let $r_n = r_n^d$ denote $r_n = \dim \Pi_n^d - \dim \Pi_{n-1}^d$. For $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider the multiparameter finite difference equations

$$(1.1) \qquad x_i Y_k = A_{k,i} Y_{k+1} + B_{k,i} Y_k + A_{k-1,i}^T Y_{k-1}, \qquad 1 \le i \le d, \ k \ge 1,$$

and the initial values

$$(1.2) \qquad\qquad Y_0 = a, \quad Y_1 = \mathbf{b}, \qquad a \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $A_{k,i}$ and $B_{k,i}$ are matrices of size $r_k^d \times r_{k+1}^d$ and $r_k^d \times r_k^d$, respectively, and $Y_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We assume that the matrices $A_{k,i}$ satisfy a rank condition,

$$(1.3) \qquad\qquad \operatorname{rank} A_k = r_{k+1}, \qquad A_k = (A_{k,1}^T |, \dots, |A_{k,d}^T)^T.$$

This system of equations appears very naturally in the study of multivariate orthogonal polynomials. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a linear functional defined on Π^d. Assume $\mathscr{L}(1) = 1$ and $\mathscr{L}$ is square positive, i.e., $\mathscr{L}(p^2) > 0$ for $p \in \Pi^d$. Using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonal process, we have a system of orthonormal polynomials with respect to $\mathscr{L}$, denoted by $\{P_\alpha^k\}_{|\alpha|=k}{}_{k=0}^\infty$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and $P_\alpha^k \in \Pi_k^d$. Introducing a vector notation

$$\mathbb{P}_k(\mathbf{x}) = [P_{\alpha_1}^k(\mathbf{x}), P_{\alpha_2}^k(\mathbf{x}), \dots, P_{\alpha_{r_k}}^k(\mathbf{x})]^T,$$

where the elements are arranged according to the lexicographical order, we can express the orthonormal property of $\{P_\alpha^k\}$ by $\int \mathbb{P}_k \mathbb{P}_m^T W = \delta_{m,k} I$, where I is the identity matrix of size $r_k \times r_k$. For our convenience, we call $\{\mathbb{P}_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ a sequence of orthonormal polynomials. It is easily seen that $\{\mathbb{P}_k\}$ satisfies equation (1.1) and the initial values

$$\mathbb{P}_0 = 1, \qquad \mathbb{P}_1 = A_0^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - B_0),$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^T$, $B_0 = (B_{0,1}, \ldots, B_{0,d})^T$. Note that A_0 is a square matrix; the rank condition (1.3) implies that it is invertible. For $d = 1$ equation (1.1) is the classical three-term relation

$$(1.4) \qquad\qquad a_k y_{k+1} + b_k y_k + a_{k-1} y_{k-1} = x y_k, \qquad k \geq 1,$$

where $a_k > 0$. Every system of orthonormal polynomials in one variable satisfies a difference equation (1.4) and the initial conditions

$$y_0 = 1, \qquad y_1 = a_0^{-1}(x - b_0).$$

It is well known that (1.4) has another solution which corresponds to the initial conditions

$$y_0 = 0, \qquad y_1 = a_0^{-1}.$$

This solution, denoted by $\{q_k\}$, is customarily called the solution of associated polynomials, or polynomials of the second kind. Together, these two solutions of (1.4) share many interesting properties, and q_n plays a very important role in areas such as problems of moment, the spectral theory of the Jacobi matrix, Páde approximations, and continuous fractions (cf. [1, 2, 5]).

Inspired by the success and importance of the associated polynomials, we naturally look for their generalization in several variables, and expect that other linearly independent solutions of (1.1) should exist and play the role. However, it turns out surprisingly that (1.2) has no other solutions apart from the system of orthogonal polynomials. This is the main result of this paper, which we formulate as follows.

Theorem 1. *If the multiparameter difference equation* (1.1) *has solution* $\mathbb{P} = \{\mathbb{P}_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ *for the particular initial value*

$$(1.5) \qquad\qquad Y_0^* = 1, \qquad Y_1^* = A_0^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - B_0),$$

then all other solutions of (1.1) *and* (1.2) *are multiples of* $\mathbb{P}$ *with the possible exception of the first component. More precisely, if* $Y = \{Y_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ *is a solution of* (1.1) *and* (1.2)*, then* $Y_k = h\mathbb{P}_k$ *for all* $k \geq 1$*, where* h *is a function independent of* k*.*

This result reflects an essential difference between orthogonal polynomials of one variable and several variables. It shows that there is no analogy of the associated polynomials in several variables. There is another way of formulating this problem. The solution of (1.1) can be viewed as joint eigenvectors of a family of linear operators defined on l^2. These operators are defined as block Jacobi matrices, i.e., block tridiagonal matrices, with $B_{k,i}$ on the main

diagonal and $A_{k,i}$ on the subdiagonals. The structure of (1.1) allows us to use the operator theory for a commuting family of selfadjoint operators to study the joint spectrum of the block Jacobi matrices (see [7, 8, 9]). From this point of view, Theorem 1 reflects the fact that the joint spectrum is much more stringent.

2. PROOF

For properties of multivariate orthogonal polynomials we refer to [3, 4, 6–9] and the references therein. Here we need Favard's theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let* $\{\mathbb{P}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, $\mathbb{P}_0 = 1$, *be a sequence in* Π^d. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *There exists a linear functional which is square positive on* Π^d *and makes* $\{\mathbb{P}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ *an orthonormal basis in* Π^d.
(2) *For* $k \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, *there exist matrices* $A_{k,i}$: $r_k \times r_{k+1}$ *and* $B_{k,i}$: $r_k \times r_k$, *such that the* $\mathbb{P}_k$'s *satisfy* (1.1), (1.5), *and the rank condition* (1.3).

This theorem is proved in its present form in [6, 7]; it improves the earlier version of Kowalski [4] by using the rank condition. From this theorem, we have that the solution $\mathbb{P}$ in Theorem 1 is orthogonal with respect to a square linear functional $\mathscr{L}$. Since the three-term relation allows us to compute the matrices $\mathscr{L}(x_i\mathbb{P}_k\mathbb{P}_m^T)$, $m = k-1$, k, $k+1$, in two different ways, we have that the coefficient matrices of (1.1) satisfy

$$(2.1) \qquad A_{k,i}A_{k+1,j} = A_{k,j}A_{k+1,i},$$

$$(2.2) \qquad A_{k,i}B_{k+1,j} + B_{k,i}A_{k,j} = B_{k,j}A_{k,i} + A_{k,j}B_{k+1,i},$$

$$(2.3)$$
$$A_{k-1,i}^T A_{k-1,j} + B_{k,i}B_{k,j} + A_{k,i}A_{k,j}^T = A_{k-1,j}^T A_{k-1,i} + B_{k,j}B_{k,i} + A_{k,j}A_{k,i}^T,$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. We call these equations commuting ones, because they are the conditions that make the block Jacobi matrices formally commuting. From the rank condition (1.3) the generalized inverse of A_k exists, we denote it by $D_k^T = (D_{k,1}|\cdots|D_{k,d})$. Then

$$(2.4) \qquad D_k^T A_k = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{k,i}^T A_{k,i} = I.$$

Using the generalized inverse, we see that every solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$(2.5) \qquad Y_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{k,i}^T x_i Y_k - E_k Y_k - F_k Y_{k-1},$$

where

$$(2.6) \qquad E_k = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{k,i}^T B_{k,i}, \qquad F_k = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{k,i}^T A_{k-1,i}^T.$$

We now prove Theorem 1. The assumption and Favard's theorem imply that $\mathbb{P} = \{\mathbb{P}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ forms a sequence of orthonormal polynomials. Therefore, the coefficient matrices of (1.1) satisfy (2.1)–(2.3).

Suppose a sequence of vectors $\{Y_k\}$ satisfies (1.1) and initial values (1.2). From (1.1) we have

$$A_{k,i}Y_{k+1} = x_i Y_k - B_{k,i}Y_k - A_{k-1,i}^T Y_{k-1}, \qquad 1 \le i \le d.$$

Multiplying the ith equation by $A_{k-1,j}$ and jth equation by $A_{k-1,i}$, we obtain from (2.1) that
(2.7)
$$A_{k-1,i}(x_j Y_k - B_{k,j}Y_k - A_{k-1,j}^T Y_{k-1}) = A_{k-1,j}(x_i Y_k - B_{k,i}Y_k - A_{k-1,i}^T Y_{k-1}),$$

for $1 \le i, j \le d$, and $k \ge 1$. In particular, the case $k = 1$ means that Y_0 and Y_1 have to satisfy

$$(2.8) \qquad A_{0,i}(x_j Y_1 - B_{0,j}Y_1 - A_{0,j}^T Y_0) = A_{0,j}(x_i Y_1 - B_{0,i}Y_1 - A_{0,i}^T Y_0)$$

for $1 \le i, j \le d$. Since $A_{0,i}A_{0,j}^T$ and $B_{0,i}$ are numbers, and by (2.2) for $k = 1$

$$A_{0,i}B_{1,j} - A_{0,j}B_{1,i} = A_{0,i}B_{0,j} - A_{0,j}B_{0,i},$$

we can rewrite (2.8) as

$$(x_i - B_{0,i})A_{0,j}Y_1 = (x_j - B_{0,j})A_{0,i}Y_1.$$

However, x_i and x_j are independent variables. We see that Y_1 must be a function of $\mathbf{x}$; moreover, it has to satisfy

$$(2.9) \qquad\qquad\qquad A_{0,i}Y_1 = (x_i - b_i)h(\mathbf{x}),$$

where h is a function of $\mathbf{x}$. Substituting (2.9) into (2.8), we obtain that $b_i = B_{0,i}$. The case $h(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ corresponds to the orthogonal polynomial solution $\mathbb{P}$. Since $D_0^T = A_0^{-1}$, we have from (1.5)

$$(2.10) \qquad Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{0,i}^T (x_i + B_{0,i})h(\mathbf{x}) = A_0^{-1}(\mathbf{x} + B_0)h(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{P}_1.$$

Therefore, from (2.5),

$$(2.11) \qquad Y_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{1,i}^T (x_i I - B_{1,i})h(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{P}_1 - F_1 Y_0$$
$$= h(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{P}_2 + F_1(h(\mathbf{x}) - Y_0).$$

Since $\mathbb{P}$ is a solution of the equation (1.1) with the initial condition (1.5), we have from (2.7) that

$$A_{1,i}(x_j \mathbb{P}_2 - B_{2,j}\mathbb{P}_2 - A_{1,j}^T \mathbb{P}_1) = A_{1,j}(x_i \mathbb{P}_2 - B_{2,i}\mathbb{P}_2 - A_{1,i}^T \mathbb{P}_1).$$

Using this equation and the formulas we derived for Y_1 and Y_2, we obtain from (2.7) with $k = 2$ that

$$A_{1,i}(x_j I - B_{2,j})F_1(h(\mathbf{x}) - Y_0) = A_{1,j}(x_i I - B_{2,i})F_1(h(\mathbf{x}) - Y_0).$$

If $Y_0 = h(\mathbf{x})$, then we have from (2.5) and (2.10) that $Y_k = h(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{P}_k$ for all $k \geq 0$, which is the conclusion of the theorem. We now assume that $h(\mathbf{x}) \neq Y_0$. Thus, $h(\mathbf{x}) - Y_0$ is a nonzero number, and we have from the previous formula

$$A_{1,i}(x_j I - B_{2,j})F_1 = A_{1,j}(x_j I - B_{2,i})F_1.$$

However, x_i and x_j are independent variables. We conclude that for this equality to hold it is necessary that $A_{1,i}F_1 = 0$, which implies $F_1 = 0$ by (2.4). We then obtain from (2.11) that $Y_2 = h(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{P}_2$. Thus, by (2.5) and (2.10) we have $Y_k = h(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{P}_k$ for all $k \geq 1$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

We note that if F_1 is not zero, then we must have $Y_0 = h(\mathbf{x})$ as well. Although $F_1 \neq 0$ can be verified in many cases, for example, bivariate orthogonal polynomials on the product region, it seems to be likely that $F_1 = 0$ may hold for some particular cases.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. Akheizer, *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, Hafner, New York, 1965.

2. T. S. Chihara, *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials,* Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 13, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1978.

3. M. A. Kowalski, *The recursion formulas for orthogonal polynomials in n variables*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), 309–315.

4. _____, *Orthogonality and recursion formulas for polynomials in n variables*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), 316–323.

5. M. Stone, *Linear transformations in Hilbert space and their applications to analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1932; reprinted, 1983.

6. Y. Xu, *On multivariate orthogonal polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **24** (1993), 783–794.

7. _____, *Multivariate orthogonal polynomials and operator theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

8. _____, *Unbounded commuting operators and multivariate orthogonal polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), 1223–1231.

9. _____, *Block Jacobi matrices and zeros of multivariate orthogonal polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON 97403-1222
E-mail address: `yuan@bright.uoregon.edu`