

ON THE DISTANCE OF THE RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE OPERATOR FROM COMPACT OPERATORS

BOHUMÍR OPIC

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. We consider generalized Hardy operators

$$Tf(x) = \int_a^x \varphi(x, y)f(y) dy, \quad x \in (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R},$$

acting between two weighted Lebesgue spaces $X = L^p(a, b; v)$ and $Y = L^q(a, b; w)$, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and present lower and upper bounds on the distance of T from the space of all compact linear operators P , $P: X \rightarrow Y$. The conditions on the kernel $\varphi(x, y)$ are patterned in such a way that the above mentioned class of operators T contains the Riemann-Liouville fractional operators of orders equal to or greater than one.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$. By $\mathscr{W}(a, b)$ we denote the set of all weights, i.e., the set of all functions measurable, positive, and finite almost everywhere on (a, b) . For $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $v \in \mathscr{W}(a, b)$ the weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(a, b; v)$ is defined as the set of all measurable functions on (a, b) with a finite norm

$$\|u\|_{p, (a, b), v} = \left(\int_a^b |u(x)|^p v(x) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

(If $v \equiv 1$, we write simply $L^p(a, b)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p, (a, b)}$ instead of $L^p(a, b; v)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p, (a, b), v}$, respectively.)

Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $v, w \in \mathscr{W}(a, b)$. Several authors (cf. [6, 8, 2]) have established necessary and sufficient conditions for the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \|Tf\|_{q, (a, b), w} \leq C \|f\|_{p, (a, b), v}$$

to hold on $L^p(a, b; v)$, where the operator T is given by

$$(1.2) \quad Tf(x) = \int_a^x \varphi(x, y)f(y) dy$$

with a kernel φ satisfying various assumptions. Here we consider the kernel φ

Received by the editors January 12, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B38, 26A33; Secondary 26D10.

with the following properties (cf. [2]):

- (i) $\varphi: \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2: x, y \in (a, b), x > y\} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$;
- (ii) $\varphi(x, y)$ is nondecreasing in x and nonincreasing in y ;
- (iii) there exists $D > 0$ such that $\varphi(x, y) \leq D[\varphi(x, z) + \varphi(z, y)]$ if $y < z < x$.

The Riemann-Liouville operator T_σ , given by

$$(1.4) \quad T_\sigma f(x) = \int_0^x (x - y)^{\sigma-1} f(y) dy, \quad x > 0, \sigma \geq 1,$$

is an example of an operator T from (1.2) whose kernel satisfies (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iii). Note that in all papers mentioned above it is assumed that $a = 0$, $b = \infty$, and the kernel φ is such that conditions (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iii) are fulfilled.

Let $p' = p/(p - 1)$, $q' = q/(q - 1)$, $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$, $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Set

$$(1.5) \quad B_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{(i)}(t) = \left(\int_t^\beta \varphi(x, t)^{q_i} w(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_\alpha^t \varphi(t, x)^{p'(1-i)} v(x)^{1-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'}$$

$$B_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{(i)} = \sup_{\alpha < t < \beta} B_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{(i)}(t), \quad B_{(\alpha, \beta)} = \max_{i=0,1} B_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{(i)}.$$

Applying the method of [6] one can prove

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and let the function φ satisfy (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iii). Then there exists a positive constant C such that inequality (1.1) holds for all $f \in L^p(a, b; v)$ if, and only if,*

$$(1.6) \quad B_{(a,b)} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if C is the least constant for which (1.1) holds on $L^p(a, b; v)$, then

$$(1.7) \quad B_{(a,b)} \leq C \leq k(q, p, D) B_{(a,b)},$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad k(q, p, D) = 2^{1/q'} (D + 1)^2 \left[D^q \left(1 + \frac{q}{p'} \right) \left(1 + \frac{p'}{q} \right)^{q/p'} + 1 \right]^{1/q}$$

with D from (1.3)(iii).

In other words, Theorem 1.1 provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the boundedness of T from $X := L^p(a, b; v)$ into $Y := L^q(a, b; w)$, $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. The aim of the paper is to establish lower and upper bounds on the distance of T from the space of all compact linear operators from X into Y provided $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded and the function φ , in addition to conditions (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iii), satisfies

- (1.3)(iv) For any $\gamma \in (a, b)$ the function φ is uniformly continuous on the set $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2: x, y \in (a, b), y < x < \gamma\}$.

(Note that for the Riemann-Liouville operator T_σ from (1.4) condition (1.3)(iv) is again fulfilled.) In particular, from such bounds one easily obtains

a characterization of those operators T that are compact. The main result of the paper is Theorem 3.1. Our work is patterned on [10, 5, 4], where operators $P: L^p(a, b) \rightarrow L^q(a, b)$, given by

$$Pf(x) = v(x) \int_a^x v(y)f(y) dy, \quad x \in (a, b),$$

with $v, w \in \mathscr{W}(a, b)$, are considered. We also use some techniques drawn from [9, 3].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X, Y be Banach spaces. The symbol $\mathscr{B}(X, Y)$ is used to denote the space of all bounded linear maps from X into Y . The subset of $\mathscr{B}(X, Y)$ consisting of all compact maps or maps having a finite-dimensional range is denoted by $\mathscr{K}(X, Y)$ or $\mathscr{F}_r(X, Y)$, respectively. Evidently, for any $P \in \mathscr{B}(X, Y)$,

$$\text{dist}(P, \mathscr{K}(X, Y)) \leq \text{dist}(P, \mathscr{F}_r(X, Y)).$$

It is easy to see that the map

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi: L^q(a, b) \rightarrow L^q(a, b; w) \quad (1 \leq q < \infty, w \in \mathscr{W}(a, b))$$

given by $\Phi(u) = uw^{-1/q}$ is an isometric isomorphism. Using this map and Corollaries V.5.2, V.5.3 from [3] we see that the following analogue of Corollary V.5.4 of [3] is true.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $P \in \mathscr{B}(X, Y)$, where $Y = L^q(a, b; w)$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $w \in \mathscr{W}(a, b)$. Then $\text{dist}(P, \mathscr{K}(X, Y)) = \text{dist}(P, \mathscr{F}_r(X, Y))$.*

Similarly, one can verify that the following analogue of Lemma V.5.6 from [3] holds.

Lemma 2.2. *Set $Y = L^q(a, b; w)$, where $1 \leq q < \infty$, $w \in \mathscr{W}(a, b)$. Let $P \in \mathscr{F}_r(X, Y)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist $R \in \mathscr{F}_r(X, Y)$ and $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \subset (a, b)$ such that $\|P - R\| < \epsilon$ and $\text{supp } Rf \subset [\bar{a}, \bar{b}]$ for all $f \in X$.*

Further, we shall need

Proposition 2.3 (see [1, Theorem 2.21]). *Let $1 \leq q < \infty$. A bounded set $S \subset L^q(a, b)$ is precompact in $L^q(a, b)$ if, and only if, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a number $\delta > 0$ and an interval $G = [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \subset (a, b)$ such that for every $u \in S$ and every $h \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|h| < \delta$ we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_a^b |u(x+h) - u(x)|^q dx \leq \epsilon^q$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{(a,b) \setminus G} |u(x)|^q dx \leq \epsilon^q.$$

(We define $u(x) = 0$ for $x \notin (a, b)$).

3. RESULTS

First, let us formulate the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$, $v, w \in \mathscr{W}(a, b)$, $X = L^p(a, b; v)$, $Y = L^q(a, b; w)$. Let T be the operator (1.2)*

with the kernel φ satisfying (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iv). Moreover, let $B_{(a,b)} < \infty$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2}J \leq \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{H}(X, Y)) \leq k(q, p, D)J,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad J = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow a_+} B_{(a,\alpha)} + \lim_{\beta \rightarrow b_-} B_{(\beta,b)}$$

and $k(q, p, D)$ is given by (1.8).

Corollary 3.2. *Let all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be fulfilled. Then*

$$T \in \mathcal{H}(X, Y) \iff J = 0.$$

Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5.

From this point on we shall assume that symbols a, b, p, q, T, X , and Y have the same meaning as in Theorem 3.1. Further, we shall need a certain decomposition of the operator T .

For any $\alpha, \beta \in (a, b)$, $\alpha < \beta$, the operator T can be written as

$$(3.3) \quad T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3,$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad T_1 f(x) = \chi_{(a,\alpha]}(x) \int_a^x \varphi(x, y) f(y) \chi_{(a,\alpha]}(y) dy,$$

$$(3.5) \quad T_2 f(x) = \chi_{(\alpha,b)}(x) \int_a^x \varphi(x, y) f(y) \chi_{(\alpha,\beta]}(y) dy,$$

$$(3.6) \quad T_3 f(x) = \chi_{[\beta,b)}(x) \int_a^x \varphi(x, y) f(y) \chi_{[\beta,b)}(y) dy$$

for $x \in (a, b)$; here χ_M is the characteristic function of the set M .

We have

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the function φ satisfies (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iv). Moreover, let $B_{(a,b)} < \infty$. Then $T_2 \in \mathcal{H}(X, Y)$.*

Proof. The proof can be done by means of Proposition 2.3 (cf. the proof of Theorem 7.3 in [7] or the proof of Theorem 3 of [9]). Let us point out that assumption (1.3)(iv) is essential for this proof.

Now it is easy to establish an upper bound on $\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{H}(X, Y))$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that the function φ satisfies (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iv). Let $B_{(a,b)} < \infty$. Then*

$$(3.7) \quad \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{H}(X, Y)) \leq k(q, p, D)J.$$

Proof. Let T_2 be the operator from (3.5). According to Lemma 3.3, $T_2 \in \mathcal{H}(X, Y)$. Thus, using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{H}(X, Y)) &= \inf \{ \|T - P\| : P \in \mathcal{H}(X, Y) \} \\ &\leq \|T_1 + T_3\| \leq \|T_1\| + \|T_3\| \end{aligned}$$

with T_1, T_3 from (3.4), (3.6). However, each of the operators T_1, T_3 defines a bounded operator of the type given by (1.2). Therefore, by Theorem 1.1,

$$\|T_1\| \leq k(q, p, D)B_{(a,\alpha)}, \quad \|T_3\| \leq k(q, p, D)B_{(\beta,b)}.$$

Consequently, for any $\alpha, \beta \in (a, b)$ with $\alpha < \beta$,

$$\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{K}(X, Y)) \leq k(q, p, D) [B_{(a, \alpha)} + B_{(\beta, b)}],$$

and (3.7) follows.

The following lemma provides a lower bound on $\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{K}(X, Y))$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that the function φ satisfies (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iii). Moreover, let $B_{(a, b)} < \infty$. Then*

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{2}J \leq \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{K}(X, Y)).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda > \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{K}(X, Y))$. By Lemma 2.1 there exist $P \in \mathcal{F}_r(X, Y)$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \|T - P\| < \lambda.$$

Set $\epsilon = (\lambda - \|T - P\|)/2$. Then, according to Lemma 2.2, there exist $R \in \mathcal{F}_r(X, Y)$ and $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \subset (a, b)$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \|P - R\| < \epsilon$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad \text{supp } Rf \subset [\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \quad \text{for every } f \in X.$$

Inequalities (3.9) and (3.10) yield $\|T - R\| < \lambda$, and consequently,

$$\|Tf - Rf\|_Y \leq \lambda \|f\|_X \quad \text{for all } f \in X.$$

This together with (3.11) implies that for all $f \in X$

$$(3.12) \quad \int_a^{\bar{a}} |Tf(x)|^q w(x) dx + \int_{\bar{b}}^b |Tf(x)|^q w(x) dx \leq \lambda^q \|f\|_X^q.$$

I. Take $\beta \in [\bar{b}, b)$, $r \in (\beta, b)$, $f \in X$, $f \geq 0$, and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^b |Tf(x)|^q w(x) dx &= \int_r^b \left(\int_a^x \varphi(x, y) f(y) dy \right)^q w(x) dx \\ &\geq \int_r^b \left(\int_\beta^r \varphi(x, y) f(y) dy \right)^q w(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.3)(ii) we have

$$\varphi(x, y) \geq \varphi(r, y)^{1-i} \varphi(x, r)^i$$

for $x > r > y$ and hence

$$(3.13) \quad \int_r^b |Tf(x)|^q w(x) dx \geq \left(\int_r^b \varphi(x, r)^{qi} w(x) dx \right) \left(\int_\beta^r \varphi(r, y)^{1-i} f(y) dy \right)^q.$$

Now set

$$f(y) = \varphi(r, y)^\mu v(y)^{1-p'} \chi_{(\beta, r)}(y), \quad y \in (a, b),$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \mu = (1 - i)/(p - 1).$$

Then

$$(3.15) \quad \|f\|_X^q = \left(\int_\beta^r \varphi(r, y)^{p'(1-i)} v(y)^{1-p'} dy \right)^{q/p}$$

and, by (3.13),

$$(3.16) \quad \int_r^b |Tf(x)|^q w(x) dx \geq \left(\int_r^b \varphi(x, r)^{qi} w(x) dx \right) \left(\int_\beta^r \varphi(r, y)^{p'(1-i)} v(y)^{1-p'} dy \right)^q.$$

Thus from (3.12), (3.15), and (3.16) we obtain

$$\lambda \geq \left(\int_r^b \varphi(x, r)^{qi} w(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_\beta^r \varphi(r, y)^{p'(1-i)} v(y)^{1-p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} = B_{(\beta, b)}^{(i)}(r)$$

for any $r \in (\beta, b)$, for all $\beta \in [\bar{b}, b)$, and for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. This shows that $B_{(\beta, b)} \leq \lambda$ for all $\beta \in [\bar{b}, b)$. Since λ may be chosen arbitrarily close to $\text{dist}(T, \mathcal{N}(X, Y))$, we obtain that $B_{(\beta, b)} \leq \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{N}(X, Y))$ for every $\beta \in [\bar{b}, b)$, and consequently,

$$(3.17) \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow b_-} B_{(\beta, b)} \leq \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{N}(X, Y)).$$

II. Take $\alpha \in (a, \bar{a})$, $r \in (a, \alpha)$, $f \in X$, $f \geq 0$, and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, using (1.3)(ii), we obtain (cf. (3.13))

$$\int_r^\alpha |Tf(x)|^q w(x) dx \geq \left(\int_r^\alpha \varphi(x, r)^{qi} w(x) dx \right) \left(\int_a^r \varphi(r, y)^{1-i} f(y) dy \right)^q,$$

and, taking

$$f(y) = \varphi(r, y)^\mu v(y)^{1-p'} \chi_{(a, r)}(y), \quad y \in (a, b),$$

with μ from (3.14), we get

$$(3.18) \quad \int_r^\alpha |Tf(x)|^q w(x) dx \geq \left(\int_r^\alpha \varphi(x, r)^{qi} w(x) dx \right) \left(\int_a^r \varphi(r, y)^{p'(1-i)} v(y)^{1-p'} dy \right)^q;$$

moreover,

$$(3.19) \quad \|f\|_X^q = \left(\int_a^r \varphi(r, y)^{p'(1-i)} v(y)^{1-p'} dy \right)^{q/p}.$$

Now, using (3.12), (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain (similarly as in part I)

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow a_+} B_{(a, \alpha)} \leq \text{dist}(T, \mathcal{N}(X, Y)).$$

Together with (3.17) this yields (3.8), and the proof is complete.

Remark 3.6. If we suppose that

$$(3.20) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow a_+} B_{(a, b)}^{(i)}(r) = 0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow b_-} B_{(a, b)}^{(i)}(r),$$

then it is easy to see that $J = 0$. Thus, the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and (3.20) imply that $T \in \mathcal{N}(X, Y)$.

On the other hand, if, for example, the function φ satisfying (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iii) is bounded, then one can prove the implication $J = 0 \implies (3.20)$ (cf. the proof of Corollary (ii) from [5]).

Moreover, let us note that, using the arguments from [9], it is possible to prove the implication $T \in \mathcal{N}(X, Y) \implies (3.20)$ provided the function φ satisfies (1.3)(i)–(1.3)(iii).

Remark 3.6 and Corollary 3.2 imply

Corollary 3.7. *Let all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be fulfilled. Then $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ if, and only if, (3.20) is true.*

The statement of Corollary 3.7 was proved by V. D. Stepanov provided $\varphi(x, y) = k(x - y)$ with a suitable function k (cf. [8]).

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, and London, 1975.
2. S. Bloom and R. Kerman, *Weighted norm inequalities for operators of Hardy type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991) 135–141.
3. D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Spectral theory and differential operators*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1987.
4. D. E. Edmunds, W. D. Evans, and D. J. Harris, *Approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1988), 471–489.
5. R. K. Juberg, *The measure of non-compactness in L^p for a class of integral operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **23** (1974), 925–936.
6. F. J. Martin-Reyes and E. Sawyer, *Weighted inequalities for Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order one and greater*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), 727–733.
7. B. Opic and A. Kufner, *Hardy-type inequalities*, Pitman Res. Notes in Math. Ser., vol. 219, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1990.
8. V. D. Stepanov, *Weighted inequalities for a class of Volterra convolution operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **45** (1992), 232–242.
9. ———, *Two-weighted estimates for Riemann-Liouville integrals*, (Československá akademie věd) Matematický ústav, preprint no. 39, Praha, 1988, pp. 1–28.
10. C. A. Stuart, *The measure of non-compactness of some linear integral operators*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **71** (1973), 167–179.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: OPIC@CSEARN.BITNET