

## ON $p$ -HYPONORMAL CONTRACTIONS

B. P. DUGGAL

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** The contraction  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is said to be  $p$ -hyponormal,  $0 < p < 1$ , if  $(A^*A)^p \geq (AA^*)^p$ . Let  $A$  be an invertible  $p$ -hyponormal contraction. It is shown that  $A$  has  $C_0$  completely nonunitary part. Now let  $H$  be separable. If  $A$  is pure and the defect operator  $D_A = (1 - A^*A)^{1/2}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt class, then  $A \in C_{10}$ . Let  $B^*$  be a contraction such that  $B^*$  has  $C_0$  completely nonunitary part,  $D_{B^*}$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt class, and  $B^*$  satisfies the property that if the restriction of  $B^*$  to an invariant subspace is normal, then the subspace reduces  $B^*$ . It is shown that if  $AX = XB$  for some quasi-affinity  $X$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are unitarily equivalent normal contractions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $B(H)$  denote the algebra of operators, i.e., bounded linear transformations, on  $H$  into itself. An operator  $A \in B(H)$  is said to be hyponormal if  $A^*A \geq AA^*$ . The class of semihyponormal or  $\frac{1}{2}$ -hyponormal, operators was introduced by Xia [12] by weakening the hyponormality condition  $A^*A \geq AA^*$  to  $(A^*A)^{1/2} \geq (AA^*)^{1/2}$ . More generally,  $A \in B(H)$  is said to be  $p$ -hyponormal,  $0 < p < 1$ , if  $(A^*A)^p \geq (AA^*)^p$ . The class of  $p$ -hyponormal operators has been studied in a number of papers, mainly by Xia and Aluthge (see [1, 2, 12, 13] and some of the references in [2]). Although the class of  $p$ -hyponormal operators is independent of the class of hyponormal operators (there exist  $p$ -hyponormal operators which are not hyponormal [1, 2, 13]), it is nevertheless true that  $p$ -hyponormal operators share many properties with hyponormal operators (see [2] for some instances of this). In this note we consider invertible  $p$ -hyponormal contractions  $A$ , and show that (just as for hyponormal contractions) the completely nonunitary part of  $A$  is of class  $C_0$ . Also it will be shown that (in common with hyponormal contractions) if the Hilbert space  $H$  is separable,  $A$  is pure, and the defect operator  $D_A$  is of Hilbert-Schmidt class, then  $A \in C_{10}$ . We also prove a Putnam-Fuglede theorem type commutativity result for  $p$ -hyponormal contractions.

---

Received by the editors November 5, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B10, 47B20, 47A10.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -hyponormal contraction, Hilbert-Schmidt class, quasi-similar,  $C_0$ -contraction.

## 2. NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

The closure of the range and the orthogonal complement of the kernel of an  $X \in B(H)$  will be denoted by  $\overline{\text{ran } X}$  and  $\ker^\perp X$ , respectively. An  $X \in B(H)$  is said to be a quasi-affinity if both  $X$  and  $X^*$  have dense range, and  $A, B \in B(H)$  are said to be quasi-similar if there exist quasi-affinities  $X, Y \in B(H)$  such that  $AX = XB$  and  $BY = YA$ . We shall denote the (open) unit disc (in the complex plane) by  $D$ , and the boundary of  $D$  will be denoted by  $\partial D$ . The spectrum, the joint point spectrum, and the point spectrum of an  $A \in B(H)$  will be denoted by  $\sigma(A)$ ,  $\sigma_{jp}(A)$ , and  $\sigma_p(A)$ . (The point  $\lambda = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , is said to be in the joint point spectrum of  $A \in B(H)$ , where  $A$  has polar decomposition  $A = V|A|$ , if there exist a common eigenvector  $f \neq 0$  of  $V$  and  $|A|$  such that  $Vf = e^{i\theta}f$  and  $|A|f = rf$ .)

The contraction  $A$  is said to be c.n.u. (= completely nonunitary) if there exists no nontrivial reducing subspace  $H_0$  of  $H$  such that  $A|_{H_0}$  is unitary. We say that the contraction  $A$  is of class  $C_0$ ,  $A \in C_0$ , if  $A^{*n} \rightarrow 0$  strongly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and that  $A \in C_1$  if  $\inf_n \|A^n x\| > 0$  for all nonzero  $x \in H$ . The class  $C_{\alpha\beta}$ , for  $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1$ , is defined by  $C_{\alpha\beta} = C_\alpha \cap C_\beta$ . The c.n.u. contraction  $A$  belongs to the class  $C_0$  if there exists an inner function  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(A) = 0$ . Recall that if  $A \in C_0$ , then amongst all inner functions  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(A) = 0$  there is a minimal one (i.e., one which is a divisor, in the Hardy space  $H^\infty$ , of all others) called the minimal function of  $A$ . The defect operator  $D_A$  of the contraction  $A$  is defined by  $D_A = (1 - A^*A)^{1/2}$ ;  $D_A$  is said to be of the Hilbert-Schmidt class if  $\text{trace } D_A^2 < \infty$ .

## 3. RESULTS

Throughout the following  $A$  will denote an invertible  $p$ -hyponormal contraction ( $0 < p < 1$ ) with polar decomposition

$$A = V|A|, \quad V \text{ unitary and } |A| > 0.$$

The contraction  $\widehat{A}$  will be defined by

$$(1) \quad \widehat{A} = |A|^{1/2} V |A|^{1/2},$$

and it will be assumed that  $\widehat{A}$  has polar decomposition

$$\widehat{A} = \widehat{V} |\widehat{A}|.$$

The contraction  $W$  will be defined by

$$(2) \quad W = |\widehat{A}|^{1/2} \widehat{V} |\widehat{A}|^{1/2}.$$

Then  $A$  is similar to  $W$ ; and if  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $W$  is hyponormal [2, Corollary 3.3].

**Theorem 1.** *The c.n.u. part of  $A$  is of class  $C_0$ .*

*Proof.* By Löwner's theorem [7] a  $p$ -hyponormal operator is  $q$ -hyponormal for  $q \leq p$ . Hence if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq p < 1$  and  $A$  is  $p$ -hyponormal, then  $A$  is  $q$ -hyponormal for  $0 < q < \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus it is sufficient to prove the theorem for  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Suppose  $A$  is c.n.u. Let  $(0 \neq)y \in H$ , and let  $\{y_n\}$  be the sequence defined by  $y_n = A^{*n}y$ . Then  $\{\|y_n\|\}$  is a monotonic decreasing bounded numerical sequence which converges to its greatest lower bound  $p_y$  (say). We have two possibilities: either  $p_y = 0$  or  $p_y > 0$ . If  $p_y = 0$  for all  $y \in H$ , then  $A \in C_{0,0}$  and we are done; if  $p_y > 0$ , then let  $M$  be the subspace

$$M = \{y \in H : 0 < p_y \leq \|A^{*n}y\|, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Then there exists a co-isometry  $U$  and a quasi-affinity  $X$  such that

$$AX = XU$$

(this follows from the proof of [8, Proposition II.5.3]). Define the quasi-affinity  $S$  by  $S = |\widehat{A}|^{-1/2} \widehat{V}^* |A|^{-1/2} V^* X$ ; then

$$(3) \quad WS = SU,$$

where  $W$  is hyponormal. By the Putnam-Fuglede theorem for hyponormal  $W$  and co-hyponormal  $U$  applied to (3) we conclude that  $W$  and  $U$  are unitarily equivalent unitary operators [10]. Since  $A$  is similar to  $W$ ,  $A$  is similar to a unitary operator, and so  $\sigma(A) \subseteq \partial D$ .

Recall that

$$\|A\| = r_{\text{sp}}(A) \quad (= \text{spectral radius of } A)$$

[2, Theorem 3.1] and

$$\|A^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{\min\{|z| : z \in \sigma(A)\}}$$

[2, Theorem 3.12(3)]. Hence  $\|A\| = \|A^{-1}\| = 1$ . We have

$$\|Ax\|^k \leq \|x\|^k = \|x\| \|x\|^{k-1} = \|A^{-k}A^kx\| \|x\|^{k-1} \leq \|A^kx\| \|x\|^{k-1}$$

for given natural numbers  $k \geq 2$  and all  $x \in M$ . Consequently,  $A$  is  $k$ -paranormal. (An operator  $T$  is said to be  $k$ -paranormal on  $H$  if  $\|Tx\|^k \leq \|T^kx\| \|x\|^{k-1}$  for all  $x \in H$ .) Since a  $k$ -paranormal contraction similar to a unitary is unitary [3, Corollary 1],  $M$  reduces  $A$  and  $A|M$  is unitary—a contradiction. Hence  $A \in C_0$ .

**Corollary 1.** *If  $AXB = X$  for some contraction  $B^*$  with  $C_0$  c.n.u. part and operator  $X$ , then  $\overline{\text{ran}} X$  reduces  $A$ ,  $\ker^\perp X$  reduces  $B^*$ , and  $A|_{\overline{\text{ran}} X}$  and  $B^*|_{\ker^\perp X}$  are unitarily equivalent unitary operators.*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  has  $C_0$  c.n.u. part, [4, Theorem 2(a)] applies.

It is immediate from Theorem 1 that the pure part of  $A$  (i.e., the completely  $p$ -hyponormal part of  $A$ ) has representation of type

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{00} & * \\ 0 & C_{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Takahashi and Uchiyama [11, Theorem 4] have shown that a pure hyponormal contraction  $B$  (on a separable Hilbert space  $H$ ) with the defect operator  $D_B$  in the Hilbert-Schmidt class (denoted in the sequel by  $C_2$ ) if of class  $C_{10}$ . That this result holds for  $A$  such that  $D_A \in C_2$  is the content of our next theorem. We shall assume henceforth that our Hilbert space  $H$  is separable.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $A$  is pure and  $D_A \in C_2$ , then  $A \in C_{10}$ .*

*Proof.* We start by considering the case  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then the contraction  $\widehat{A}$  (of (1)) is  $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal and so semihyponormal ( $= \frac{1}{2}$ -hyponormal) by Löwner's theorem. This implies

$$\widehat{V}^*|\widehat{A}|\widehat{V} \geq |\widehat{A}| \geq \widehat{V}|\widehat{A}|\widehat{V}^*.$$

Set  $T = V^*|A|V$  and  $S = |A|$ ; then

$$|\widehat{A}|^2 = \widehat{A}^*\widehat{A} = |A|^{1/2}V^*|A|V|A|^{1/2} = S^{1/2}TS^{1/2} \geq S^{1/2+1/2+1} = S^2 = |A|^2$$

(see Furuta [6]). Hence

$$|\widehat{A}|^{1/2}\widehat{V}^*|\widehat{A}|\widehat{V}|\widehat{A}|^{1/2} \geq |\widehat{A}|^2 \geq |A|^2$$

and

$$(1 - A^*A) = 1 - |A|^2 \geq 1 - |\widehat{A}|^{1/2}\widehat{V}^*|\widehat{A}|\widehat{V}|\widehat{A}|^{1/2} = 1 - W^*W \quad (\geq 0).$$

Since  $D_A \in C_2$ ,  $D_W \in C_2$ . The contraction  $W$  being hyponormal, and similar to  $A$ , is of class  $C_0$  (since  $A$  is, by Theorem 1). Hence  $W$  has direct sum decomposition  $W_0 \oplus W_{10}$ , where  $W_0 \in C_0$  and  $W_{10} \in C_{10}$  [11, Theorems 1 and 4]. Also,  $W_0$  is normal, so that  $\sigma(W_0) = \sigma_p(W_0) \subset D$  is countable [8, Theorem III.5.1].

Suppose  $A$  has a nontrivial  $C_{00}$  part. Then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & * \\ 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } A_0 \in C_{00} \text{ and } A_1 \in C_{10}.$$

The similarity of  $A$  and  $W$  implies the existence of invertible operators  $Y = [Y_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$  and  $Z = [Z_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$  ( $Z^{-1} = Y$ ) such that  $AY = YW$  and  $ZA = WZ$ . Since  $A_1Y_{21} = Y_{21}W_0$  and  $Z_{21}A_0 = W_{10}Z_{21}$ ,  $Y_{21} = 0 = Z_{21}$ . Thus  $A_0Y_{11} = Y_{11}W_0$  and  $Z_{11}A_0 = W_0Z_{11}$ , where  $Y_{11}$  and  $Z_{11}$  are injective. Consequently,  $A_0$  and  $W_0$  are quasi-similar  $C_0$  contractions [9, Theorem 1] and so have the same spectrum [8]. Thus  $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma_p(A_0) \subset D$  is countable.

Let  $m_{A_0}$  denote the minimal function of  $A_0$ . Then since  $A_1$  has empty point spectrum, the point spectrum of  $A$  consists of the zeros of  $m_{A_0}$ . Since  $\sigma_{jp}(A) = \sigma_p(A)$  (see [13; 2, Theorem 3.8]) and the eigenspaces of  $V$  reduce  $A$  (see [13; 2, Theorem 3.5]),  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ . We assert that  $A_0$  is normal: this would then imply that  $A$  could not have a nontrivial  $C_{00}$  part and hence that  $A \in C_{10}$  (completing thereby the proof for the case  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ ).

Let  $B = V|A_0|^p$ ; then  $B$  is a hyponormal contraction with  $\sigma(B) = \sigma_p(B) = \tau(\sigma(A_0)) = \tau(\sigma_p(A_0))$ , where  $\tau(re^{i\theta}) = r^pe^{i\theta}$  [2, Theorem 3.14]. Since  $\sigma(B) = \sigma_p(B)$  is countable,  $\sigma(B)$  has zero Lebesgue area measure, implying thereby that  $B$  is normal. Thus

$$0 = B^*B - BB^* = (A_0^*A_0)^p - (A_0A_0^*)^p,$$

i.e.,  $A_0$  is normal.

To complete the proof we note (as before) that if  $A$  is  $p$ -hyponormal,  $\frac{1}{2} \leq p < 1$ , then  $A$  is  $q$ -hyponormal for  $q \leq p$ . Hence the argument above applies.

We prove now a Putnam-Fuglede theorem for  $p$ -hyponormal contractions. It will be assumed in the following theorem that the contraction  $B^*$  satisfies the property: If the restriction of  $B^*$  to an invariant subspace is normal, then the subspace reduces  $B^*$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $AX = XB$  for some quasi-affinity  $X$  and contraction  $B^*$ , with  $C_0$  c.n.u. part, satisfying  $D_{B^*} \in C_2$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are unitarily equivalent normal contractions.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the quasi-affinity  $S = |\widehat{A}|^{-1/2} \widehat{V}^* |A|^{-1/2} V^* X$ . Then, with  $W$  as defined in (2),  $WS = SB$ . Since  $W$  is hyponormal,  $W$  and  $B$  are unitarily equivalent normal contractions of type unitary  $\oplus C_0$  (apply [5, Theorem 1']). Since  $A$  is similar to  $W$ ,  $AY = YW$  for some invertible operator  $Y$ . We show that  $A$  has no pure part. For suppose that  $A$  has the direct sum decomposition  $A = A_n \oplus A_c$ , where  $A_n$  is normal and  $A_c \in C_0$  is pure. Decompose  $W$  by  $W = W_u \oplus W_0$ , where  $W_u$  is unitary and  $W_0 \in C_0$  is normal, and let  $Y$  have the corresponding matrix representation  $Y = [Y_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$ . Then, since  $A_c Y_{21} = Y_{21} W_u$ ,  $Y_{21} = 0$ . We have  $A_c Y_{22} = Y_{22} W_0$  or  $A_c (Y_{22} | \ker^\perp Y_{22}) = (Y_{22} | \ker^\perp Y_{22}) (W_0^* | \ker^\perp Y_{22})^*$ . Since  $Y_{22}$  has dense range and  $W_0 \in C_0$  is normal,  $A_c \in C_0$  and  $\sigma(A_c) = \sigma_p(A_c) \subset D$  is countable. Since  $A_c$  is  $p$ -hyponormal, an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 2 shows that  $A_c$  is normal—a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Recall that given a  $C_{10}$  contraction  $B^*$  there exists an isometry  $L$  and a quasi-affinity  $Z$  satisfying  $LZ = ZB^*$ . Hence if  $AX = XB$ ,  $B^* \in C_{10}$ , for some quasi-affinity  $X$ , then  $AXZ^* = XZ^*L^*$ . Applying Theorem 3 it follows that  $A$  is unitarily equivalent to a unitary operator. Hence

$$\|Xx\| = \|A^n Xx\| = \|XB^n x\| \leq \|X\| \|B^n x\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all  $x \in H$ . We have:

**Corollary 2.** *There exists no quasi-affinity  $X$  such that  $AX = XB$  for some  $C_{10}$  contraction  $B^*$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It is my pleasure to thank Dr. Ariyadasa Aluthge for supplying me with a copy of [2].

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Aluthge, *On  $p$ -hyponormal operator for  $0 < p < 1$* , Integral Equations Operator Theory **13** (1990), 307–315.
2. ———, *Properties of  $p$ -hyponormal operators*, unpublished dissertation, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, August 1990.
3. B. P. Duggal, *Contractions with a unitary part*, J. London Math. Soc. **31** (1985), 131–136.
4. ———, *On intertwining operators*, Monatsh. Math. **106** (1988), 139–148.
5. ———, *A generalized commutativity theorem*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen **10** (1991), 265–274.
6. T. Furuta,  *$A \geq B \geq 0$  assures  $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \geq B^{(p+2r)/q}$  and  $A^{(p+2)/q} \geq (A^r B^p A^r)^{1/q}$  for  $r \geq 0, q \geq 1$ , with  $(1+2r)q \geq (p+2r)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1981), 85–88.
7. K. Löwner, *Über monotone matrix functionen*, Math. Z. **38** (1934), 177–216.
8. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
9. ———, *On injections intertwining operators of class  $C_0$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **40** (1978), 163–167.
10. J. G. Stampfli and B. L. Wadhwa, *An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for dominant operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **25** (1976), 359–365.

11. K. Takahashi and M. Uchiyama, *Every  $C_{00}$  contraction with Hilbert-Schmidt defect operator is of class  $C_0$* , *J. Operator Theory* **10** (1983), 331–335.
12. D. Xia, *On the non-normal operators—semihyponormal operators*, *Sci. Sinica* **23** (1980), 700–713.
13. ———, *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston, 1983.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LESOTHO, P.O. ROMA 180,  
LESOTHO, SOUTHERN AFRICA

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Sultan Qaboos University, College of Science,  
P.O. Box 36, Al Khod 123, Oman