

## ON THE APPROXIMATION OF FIXED POINTS FOR LOCALLY PSEUDO-CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

CLAUDIO H. MORALES AND SIMBA A. MUTANGADURA

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $X$  and its dual  $X^*$  be uniformly convex Banach spaces,  $D$  an open and bounded subset of  $X$ ,  $T$  a continuous and pseudo-contractive mapping defined on  $\text{cl}(D)$  and taking values in  $X$ . If  $T$  satisfies the following condition: there exists  $z \in D$  such that  $\|z - Tz\| < \|x - Tx\|$  for all  $x$  on the boundary of  $D$ , then the trajectory  $t \rightarrow z_t \in D$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$ , defined by  $z_t = tT(z_t) + (1 - t)z$  is continuous and converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$  as  $t \rightarrow 1^-$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a real Banach space, and let  $D$  be a subset of  $X$ . An operator  $T : D \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $k$ -pseudo-contractive ( $k > 0$ ) (see [9]) if for each  $x, y \in D$  and  $\lambda > k$

$$(1) \quad (\lambda - k)\|x - y\| \leq \|\lambda(x - y) - (Tx - Ty)\|.$$

For  $k = 1$  ( $k < 1$ ) such mappings are said to be *pseudo-contractive* (respectively, *strongly pseudo-contractive*). By letting  $r = 1/(\lambda - 1)$  and  $k = 1$  in (1), we may derive the original definition of pseudo-contractive mappings, due to Browder [1], as follows:

$$(2) \quad \|x - y\| \leq \|(1 + r)(x - y) - r(Tx - Ty)\|$$

holds for all  $x, y \in D$  and all  $r > 0$ . However, by taking a semi-inner product approach (see also Kato [6]) we may describe (2) by

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2$$

for some  $j \in J(x - y)$ . The mapping  $J : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$  is called the normalized duality mapping which is defined by

$$J(x) = \{j \in X^* : \langle x, j \rangle = \|x\|^2, \|j\| = \|x\|\}.$$

Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing. We should mention that this latter family of mappings is intimately related to the so-called accretive operators, which play an important role in the theory of evolution equations.

Received by the editors December 10, 1992 and, in revised form, May 3, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Pseudo-contractive mappings, uniform convexity.

Furthermore, if condition (1) holds locally, i.e., if each point  $x \in D$  has a neighborhood  $U$  such that the restriction of  $T$  to  $U$  is  $k$ -pseudo-contractive with (uniform) constant  $k$ , then  $T$  is said to be *locally  $k$ -pseudo-contractive*.

The purpose of this paper is to continue the discussion concerning the strong convergence of the path  $t \rightarrow z_t$ ,  $0 \leq t < 1$ , defined by (4). In fact, we prove for locally pseudo-contractive mappings under condition (3) that the strong  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} z_t$  exists and is a fixed point of  $T$ . We should also mention that this result appears to be new even in Hilbert spaces. The first results of this nature were established by Browder [2] and Browder and Petryshyn [3], and more recently Bruck et al. [4] proved Theorem 1 for locally nonexpansive mappings. Another result, perhaps more revealing, is Proposition 2 where we prove that the mapping  $2I - T$  is globally one-to-one. This fact, by itself, appears to have a significant connotation in the theory of locally pseudo-contractive mappings.

To fix our notation, we will denote the closure and boundary of  $D$  by  $\bar{D}$  and  $\partial D$  respectively, and for  $u, v \in X$  we use  $\text{seg}[u, v]$  to denote the segment  $\{tu + (1-t)v : t \in [0, 1]\}$ . We will also use  $B(x; r)$  and  $\bar{B}(x; r)$  to stand for the open ball  $\{z \in X : \|x - z\| < r\}$  and the closed ball  $\{z \in X : \|x - z\| \leq r\}$  respectively. We denote the distance between the sets  $A$  and  $B$  by  $\text{dist}(A, B)$ , i.e.,

$$\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf\{\|a - b\| : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

## II. PRELIMINARIES

The main objective of this paper is to extend Theorem 1 of Morales [11]. We begin by stating this result under the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** ([11]). *Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be uniformly convex Banach spaces, let  $D$  be a bounded open subset of  $X$ , and let  $T : \bar{D} \rightarrow X$  be a uniformly continuous mapping which is locally pseudo-contractive on  $D$ . Suppose there exists  $z \in D$  such that*

$$(3) \quad \|z - Tz\| < \|x - Tx\| \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial D.$$

*Then there exists a unique path  $t \rightarrow z_t \in D$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$ , satisfying*

$$(4) \quad z_t = tT(z_t) + (1-t)z,$$

*where the strong  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} z_t$  exists, and this limit is a fixed point for  $T$ .*

As a consequence of the proof of this previous result, the following can easily be derived.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be uniformly convex Banach spaces, let  $D$  be a bounded open subset of  $X$ , and let  $T : \bar{D} \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping which is locally pseudo-contractive on  $D$ . Suppose there exists  $z \in D$  such that (3) holds. Then there exists a unique path  $t \rightarrow z_t \in D$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$ , satisfying (4). If, in addition, this path  $\{z_t : 0 \leq t < 1\}$  satisfies*

$$(*) \quad \text{dist}(\{z_t\}, \partial D) > 0,$$

*then the strong  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} z_t$  exists, and this limit is a fixed point of  $T$ .*

In view of Corollary 1, we should observe that uniform continuity is essential to prove condition (\*). On the other hand, due to the well-known fact that

every locally nonexpansive mapping is globally nonexpansive on convex sets, condition (\*) can also be shown (see [7]). This fact allows us to derive the following special case, which was obtained earlier by Bruck et al. [4].

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be uniformly convex Banach spaces, let  $D$  be an open subset of  $X$ , and let  $T: \bar{D} \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping which is locally nonexpansive on  $D$ . Suppose (3) holds for some  $z \in D$ . Then there exists a unique path  $t \rightarrow z_t \in D$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$ , satisfying (4). If, in addition, this path  $\{z_t: 0 \leq t < 1\}$  is bounded, then the strong  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} z_t$  exists, and this limit is a fixed point of  $T$ .*

### III. MAIN RESULT

Now we are ready to extend Proposition 1 by replacing the uniform continuity by mere continuity. In fact, this process will take place by reformulating the original problem into a problem involving locally nonexpansive mappings. However, using this argument, we will lose some properties which will not allow us to use the result of [11].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $X^*$  be uniformly convex Banach spaces, let  $D$  be a bounded open set of  $X$ , and let  $T: \bar{D} \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping which is locally pseudo-contractive on  $D$ . Suppose there exists  $z \in D$  such that*

$$\|z - Tz\| < \|x - Tx\| \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial D.$$

*Then there exists a unique path  $t \rightarrow z_t \in D$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$ , satisfying*

$$z_t = tTz_t + (1 - t)z,$$

*where the strong  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} z_t$  exists, and this limit is a fixed point of  $T$ .*

Before proving this result, we need to introduce some basic facts that will be used in the proof of the main theorem. We begin with a lemma, whose proof can be found in Kirk [7]. It might be worthwhile to mention that the existence of the path  $t \rightarrow z_t$  was previously established by Kirk and Morales [8] for general Banach spaces. Therefore, it is the strong convergence of this path which is actually at stake.

**Lemma 1** (cf. Fact II of [7]). *Let  $D$  be an open subset of a Banach space  $X$ , and suppose  $F: \bar{D} \rightarrow X$  is a continuous mapping which is locally strongly accretive on  $D$ . Let  $u = F(x)$ ,  $x \in D$ , and let  $S = \text{seg}[u, v]$  such that  $\text{seg}[u, v] \subset F(D)$  for some  $v \in X$ . Then  $v \in F(\bar{D})$  and there exists a unique path (up to parametrization) whose image  $\Gamma$  begins at  $x$ , ends at a point  $w \in F^{-1}(v)$ , and for which  $F(\Gamma) = S$ . Moreover, the inverse of the restriction of  $F$  to  $\Gamma$  is a Lipschitz mapping of  $S$  onto  $\Gamma$ .*

Our next lemma can also be found in Kirk [7]. For complete details of its proof, see pages 94 and 97 of [7] respectively.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $T: \bar{D} \rightarrow X$  be as in Theorem 1, satisfying (3) for some  $z \in D$ . Suppose  $Fx = 2x - Tx$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\text{seg}[z, Fz] \subset F(D)$ .
- (ii) Let  $x \in D$  such that  $\|x - Tx\| < \rho = \|z - Tz\|/3$ .

*Then  $B(Fx; \rho) \subset F(D)$ .*

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space, let  $D$  be a connected open subset of  $X$ , and let  $T: \bar{D} \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping which is locally pseudo-contractive on  $D$ . Then the mapping  $Fx = 2x - Tx$  is globally one-to-one on  $D$ .*

*Proof.* We first observe that  $F$  is continuous on  $\bar{D}$  and locally strongly accretive on  $D$  and, thus, locally expansive on  $D$ . This means, for each  $x \in D$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  such that for  $u, v \in U$

$$\|u - v\| \leq \|Fu - Fv\|.$$

Also, as a consequence of Deimling's domain invariance theorem [5, Theorem 3],  $F$  maps open subsets of  $D$  onto open sets of  $X$ . Now we are ready to show that  $F$  is a one-to-one mapping on  $D$ . To see this, let  $y \in F(D)$ . Since  $F(D)$  is open, there exists  $v \in F(D)$  so that  $\text{seg}[v, y] \subset F(D)$ . Choose  $u \in D$  such that  $v = Fu$ . Then, by Lemma 1, there exists a unique path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow D$  so that  $\gamma(0) = u$ ,  $\gamma(1) = x$  for some  $x \in F^{-1}(y)$ , and for which  $F(\gamma([0, 1])) = \text{seg}[v, y]$ . Suppose there is  $x_1 \in D$  such that  $x_1 \neq x$  and  $x_1 \in F^{-1}(y)$ . Let  $B(x_1; \eta) \subset D$  for some  $\eta > 0$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which  $B(y; \varepsilon) \subset F(B(x_1; \eta))$ . On the other hand, due to the continuity of  $F$  at  $x$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $F(B(x; \delta)) \subset B(y; \varepsilon)$ . Now, if we consider the restriction of  $F$  to  $\tilde{D} = D \setminus \bar{B}(x; \delta/2)$ , it follows that  $\text{seg}[v, y] \subset F(\tilde{D})$ . Once again, there exists a (unique) path  $\gamma_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{D}$  such that  $\gamma_1(0) = u$ ,  $\gamma_1(1) = x_2$  for some  $x_2 \neq x$ , and for which  $F(\gamma_1[0, 1]) = \text{seg}[v, y]$ . This contradicts the uniqueness of the path  $\gamma$ . This implies  $F^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$ , and hence  $F$  is a homeomorphism from  $D$  onto  $F(D)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* In view of Proposition 2, the mapping  $F$  is not necessarily one-to-one on  $\bar{D}$ . Therefore, we will redefine the domain of  $T$  to assure that  $F$  is also invertible on the boundary of its domain. Due to Theorem 2 of [10], we may select  $w \in D$  such that

$$(5) \quad \|w - Tw\| < \|z - Tz\|.$$

We now replace  $D$  by the open set  $D_0$  defined by

$$D_0 = \{x \in D: \|x - Tx\| < \|z - Tz\|\}.$$

Then  $\partial D_0 \subset D$  and

$$\|w - Tw\| < \|x - Tx\| \quad \text{for } x \in \partial D_0.$$

This means the path  $t \rightarrow w_t$  for which  $w$  satisfies (5) exists and is uniquely defined on  $[0, 1)$  (see Lemma 3 of [11]). By Lemma 2(i), we know that  $\text{seg}[w, Fw] \subset F(D_0)$ , and since by Proposition 2  $F^{-1}$  exists on  $F(D_0)$ , we derive that  $F^{-1}$  is nonexpansive on  $\text{seg}[w, Fw]$  and

$$\|w - F^{-1}(w)\| \leq \|w - F(w)\| < \|x - Tx\|$$

for all  $x \in \partial D_0$ . Due to the fact  $\partial F(D_0) = F(\partial D_0)$ , we may say that for each  $y \in \partial F(D_0)$ , there exists  $x \in \partial D_0$  such that  $y = Fx$  and

$$\|w - F^{-1}(w)\| < \|y - F^{-1}(y)\|.$$

Consequently, by Corollary 2, there exists a unique path  $t \rightarrow u_t \in F(D_0)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$ , satisfying the equation

$$u_t = tF^{-1}(u_t) + (1 - t)w$$

where the  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} u_t$  exists and is a fixed point of  $F^{-1}$ . Due to uniqueness of the path  $t \rightarrow w_t$ ,  $F^{-1}(u_t) = w_s$  where  $s = 1/(2 - t)$ , and hence the strong  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} w_t$  exists. Since this limit exists for every  $w \in D_0$  that satisfies (5), we choose a sequence  $\{z^n\}$  in  $D_0$  such that  $z^n \rightarrow z$ . For each  $z^n$ , the corresponding path can be written as

$$(6) \quad z_t^n = tT(z_t^n) + (1 - t)z^n, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Let  $\eta > 0$  such that  $B(z; \eta) \subset D$ , and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $z^n \in B(z; \eta/4)$  for all  $n \geq k$ . From (6) and the fact that each  $z_t^n \in D_0$ , we have

$$\|z_t^n - z^n\| = \frac{t}{1-t} \|z_t^n - T(z_t^n)\| \leq \frac{t}{1-t} \|z - Tz\|.$$

Then there exists  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  for which  $\|z_t^n - z^n\| < \eta/4$  for  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $z_t^n \in B(z; \eta/2)$  for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and for all  $n \geq k$ . Since  $T$  is globally pseudo-contractive on  $B(z; \eta)$ , there exists  $j \in J(z_t^n - z_t^m)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_t^n - z_t^m, j \rangle &= t \langle Tz_t^n - Tz_t^m, j \rangle + (1 - t) \langle z^n - z^m, j \rangle \\ &\leq t \|z_t^n - z_t^m\|^2 + (1 - t) \langle z^n - z^m, j \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for  $n, m \geq k$  and  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Then we may obtain that

$$\|z_t^n - z_t^m\| \leq \|z^n - z^m\| \quad \text{for all } m, n \geq k \text{ and } t \in [0, t_0].$$

This means the sequence  $\{z_t^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a convergent sequence for each  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , say,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_t^n = \tilde{z}_t$ . Therefore, by (6) we have

$$\tilde{z}_t = tT(\tilde{z}_t) + (1 - t)z \quad \text{for } t \in [0, t_0].$$

Once again due to uniqueness of the path,  $\tilde{z}_t = z_t$  for all  $t$  for which  $\{z_t^n\}$  is convergent. We now define the set

$$E = \{s \in [0, 1]: \|z_t^n - z_t^m\| \leq \|z^n - z^m\| \text{ for all } t \in [0, s], n, m \geq n_s, \text{ for some } n_s \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Since  $t_0 \in E$  and  $z_{t_0} \in D$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B(z_{t_0}; \delta) \subset D$  and

$$\|z_{t_0}^n - z_{t_0}\| < \delta/5 \quad \text{for all } n \geq l.$$

Hence there exists  $\alpha > 0$  for which

$$z_t^l \in B(z_{t_0}; \delta/4) \quad \text{for all } t \in (t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha).$$

This implies that  $\|z_t^n - z_{t_0}\| < \delta/2$  for all  $n \geq l$  and  $t \in (t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha)$ . Otherwise, we may choose  $j \geq l$  and  $t_1 \in (t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha)$  so that  $\|z_{t_1}^j - z_{t_0}\| = \delta/2$ . This implies  $z_{t_1}^l, z_{t_1}^j \in B(t_{t_0}; \delta)$ , and since  $T$  is pseudo-contractive on  $B(z_{t_0}; \delta)$ , we obtain

$$\|z_{t_1}^l - z_{t_1}^j\| \leq \|z^l - z^j\| < \delta/5.$$

This is a contradiction, since  $\|z_{t_1}^l - z_{t_1}^j\| \geq \delta/4$ . Therefore,  $(t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha) \subset E$ . Due to the continuity of the path  $t \rightarrow z_t^n$ , we deduce that  $t_0 + \alpha \in E$ . This

means  $[0, 1) \subset E$ . It remains to show that  $1 \in E$ . To see this, we first mention that

$$\|z_t^n - T(z_t^n)\| = \left(\frac{1}{t} - 1\right) \|z^n - z_t^n\|.$$

Since  $D$  is bounded, there exists  $s \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\|z_t^n - T(z_t^n)\| \leq \rho \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } t \in [s, 1].$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2(ii),  $B(F(z_t^n); \rho) \subset F(D)$ . We choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k \geq n_s$  and  $\|z^n - z^m\| < \rho$  for all  $n, m \geq k$ . Then

$$\|F(z_t^n) - F(z_t^m)\| \leq \|z^n - z^m\| \quad \text{for } n, m \geq k \text{ and } t \in [s, 1].$$

Otherwise, we may find  $i, j \geq k$  and  $r \in (s, 1)$  such that  $\|F(z_r^i) - F(z_r^j)\| > \|z^i - z^j\|$ , while  $\|F(z_s^i) - F(z_s^j)\| \leq \|z^i - z^j\|$ . Then there exists  $t \in [s, r]$  such that

$$(7) \quad \|z^i - z^j\| < \|F(z_t^i) - F(z_t^j)\| < \rho.$$

Hence  $\text{seg}[F(z_t^i), F(z_t^j)] \subset F(D)$ , and thus  $\|z_t^i - z_t^j\| \leq \|F(z_t^i) - F(z_t^j)\|$ . Since

$$F(z_t^i) - F(z_t^j) = (2 - \frac{1}{t})(z_t^i - z_t^j) + (\frac{1}{t} - 1)(z^i - z^j),$$

we derive that  $\|F(z_t^i) - F(z_t^j)\| \leq \|z^i - z^j\|$ . This contradicts (7). Therefore,

$$\|z_t^n - z_t^m\| \leq \|z^n - z^m\| \quad \text{for } n, m \geq k \text{ and } t \in [s, 1].$$

This implies  $1 \in E$ , and hence  $E = [0, 1]$ . This means there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$(8) \quad \|z_t^n - z_t^m\| \leq \|z^n - z^m\| \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq n_0 \text{ and } t \in [0, 1].$$

In particular,  $\{z_1^n\}$  is a convergent sequence, say, to  $z_1$ . Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , (8) implies there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|z_t^n - z_t\| < \varepsilon/3$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also, we may choose  $\delta > 0$  satisfying

$$\|z_t^n - z_1^n\| < \varepsilon/3 \quad \text{for all } t \in (1 - \delta, 1].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_t - z_1\| &\leq \|z_t - z_t^n\| + \|z_t^n - z_1^n\| + \|z_1^n - z_1\| \\ &< \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in (1 - \delta, 1]$ . This completes the proof.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This manuscript was prepared while the second author was visiting the Alabama A & M University, and he would like to thank Professor Jerry Shipman for his hospitality in the Department of Mathematics. The authors wish to thank the referee for his valuable comments.

## REFERENCES

1. F. E. Browder, *Nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type in Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 875–882.
2. ———, *Convergence of approximants to fixed points of nonexpansive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **24** (1967), 82–90.
3. F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, *The solution by iteration of nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 571–575.
4. R. E. Bruck, W. A. Kirk, and S. Reich, *Strong and weak convergence theorems for locally nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **6** (1982), 151–155.
5. K. Deimling, *Zeros of accretive operators*, Manuscripta Math. **13** (1974), 365–374.
6. T. Kato, *Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1967), 508–520.
7. W. A. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for local pseudo-contractions in uniformly convex spaces*, Manuscripta Math. **30** (1979), 89–102.
8. W. A. Kirk and C. H. Morales, *On the approximation of fixed points of locally nonexpansive mappings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24** (1981), 441–445.
9. C. H. Morales, *Pseudo-contractive mappings and the Leray-Schauder boundary condition*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **20** (1979), 745–756.
10. ———, *On the fixed-point theory for local  $k$ -pseudocontractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 71–74.
11. ———, *Strong convergence theorems for pseudo-contractive mappings in Banach space*, Houston J. Math. **16** (1990), 549–557.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA IN HUNTSVILLE,  
HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA 35899  
E-mail address: MORALES@MATH.UAH.EDU

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZIMBABWE, HARARE, ZIMBABWE