CORRECTION TO
"REMARKS ON AUTOMORPHISMS OF SUBFACTORS"

PHAN H. LOI

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

The proof of Proposition 2.6 in [1, p. 526 from line 7 on] should read:
"nonconjugate in \( \mathcal{G} \). Letting \( \sigma_t \) be the unique trace-scaling 1-parameter group
on \( R_{0,1} \), the uniqueness of the continuous decomposition for type III$_1$ inclusions entails that the pairs of type III$_1$ factors obtained by taking the crossed
products of \( B \otimes R_{0,1} \subset A \otimes R_{0,1} \) with \( \Phi(\theta^\varepsilon) \otimes \sigma \) are all nonconjugate for
different \( \varepsilon \)'s but their type III towers are all equal to that of \( N \subset M \) since
\( (B'^*_k \cap A)^{\Phi(\theta)} = (B'^*_k \cap A)^{\Phi(\theta^\varepsilon)} \)." Q.E.D.
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