

## ON UNIONS AND INTERSECTIONS OF SETS OF SYNTHESIS

T. K. MURALEEDHARAN AND K. PARTHASARATHY

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

**ABSTRACT.** Local techniques introduced by Saeki and Stegeman are employed to give conditions for unions and finite intersections of  $S$ -sets to be  $S$ -sets.

Using local techniques we study unions and intersections of sets of spectral synthesis ( $S$ -sets). Beyond the well-known fact that the intersection of two  $S$ -sets need not be an  $S$ -set, there appears to be no known result about intersections. It is not known whether the union of two  $S$ -sets is an  $S$ -set, but there are some partial results (e.g., Saeki [2] and Warner [6]). We give simple sufficient conditions for finite intersections and (possibly infinite) unions of  $S$ -sets to be  $S$ -sets.

We generally follow the notation and terminology of Stegeman [4].  $A(G)$  denotes the Fourier algebra of a locally compact abelian group  $G$ . Suppose  $x \in G$ ,  $f, g \in A(G)$ , and  $I, J$  are ideals in  $A(G)$ . We write

- (i)  $f =_x g$  if  $f$  and  $g$  agree in some neighbourhood of  $x$ ;
- (ii)  $f \in_x I$  if  $f =_x g$  for some  $g \in I$ ;
- (iii)  $I \subset_x J$  if  $f \in_x J$  for every  $f \in I$ ;
- (iv)  $I =_x J$  if  $I \subset_x J$  and  $J \subset_x I$ .

For a closed subset  $E$  of  $G$ ,  $I(E)$  and  $J(E)$  denote the largest and smallest closed ideals, respectively, with hull  $E$ .  $\Delta(E)$  ("the difference spectrum of  $E$ " [3]) is the set of points of nonsynthesis of  $E$ ,

$$\Delta(E) = \{x \in G: I(E) \neq_x J(E)\},$$

so that  $E$  is an  $S$ -set if and only if  $\Delta(E)$  is empty.  $\Delta(E)$  is a subset of  $\partial E$ , the boundary of  $E$ . It was used by Saeki [2] and was later systematically exploited in [4], with different notations. We also make frequent use of the following result proved by Stegeman [4].

**Theorem A (Stegeman).** *If  $E$  is a closed subset of  $G$  such that  $\Delta(E) \subset C \subset E$  for some  $C$ -set  $C$ , then  $E$  is an  $S$ -set.*

The basic idea of our approach is to get suitable relations between the difference spectra of the sets involved and their unions and intersections.

---

Received by the editors October 30, 1992 and, in revised form, July 27, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A45, 43A46.

*Key words and phrases.*  $S$ -set,  $C$ -set, difference spectrum.

The first author is a CSIR Research Fellow.

1. **Lemma.** Let  $E_1, E_2$  be closed subsets of  $G$ . Then

- (i)  $\Delta(E_1 \cap E_2) \subset \Delta(E_1) \cup \Delta(E_2) \cup (\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2)$ ,
- (ii)  $\Delta(E_1) \cup \Delta(E_2) \subset \Delta(E_1 \cup E_2) \cup (E_1 \cap E_2)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \Delta(E_1 \cap E_2) \subset \partial(E_1 \cap E_2)$ . Suppose  $x \notin \partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2$ . Since  $\partial(E_1 \cap E_2) \subset \partial E_1 \cup \partial E_2$ , as is easily checked, we have  $x \in \partial E_1$  or  $x \in \partial E_2$ , but not both. We prove that  $x \in \Delta(E_i)$  if  $x \in \partial E_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Suppose  $x \in \partial E_1$ . Now  $x \notin \partial E_2$  and  $x \in E_2$  imply that there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  with  $V \subset E_2$ . Choose  $k \in A(G)$  with  $k = 1$  in a neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $\text{supp } k \subset V$ . Since  $x \in \Delta(E_1 \cap E_2)$ , there is an  $f \in I(E_1 \cap E_2)$  such that  $f \notin_x J(E_1 \cap E_2)$ . Then  $fk \in I(E_1)$ . If  $x \notin \Delta(E_1)$ , then  $fk \in_x J(E_1)$ , so  $f =_x fk \in J(E_1) \subset J(E_1 \cap E_2)$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $x \in \partial E_1$  implies  $x \in \Delta(E_1)$ . Similarly, if  $x \in \partial E_2$ , then  $x \in \Delta(E_2)$  and (i) is proved.

The proof of (ii) is similar but simpler: one proves that if  $x \in \Delta(E_1)$  and  $x \notin E_2$ , then  $x \in \Delta(E_1 \cup E_2)$ .

Our first application of Lemma 1 is the following result on intersections. It shows, for instance, that an annulus is an  $S$ -set.

2. **Theorem.** Let  $E_1, E_2$  be  $S$ -sets. If there is a  $C$ -set  $C$  such that  $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 \subset C \subset E_1 \cap E_2$ , then  $E_1 \cap E_2$  is an  $S$ -set. In particular, the conclusion holds if  $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* By (i) of Lemma 1

$$\Delta(E_1 \cap E_2) \subset \partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 \subset C \subset E_1 \cap E_2,$$

so the assertion is a consequence of Theorem A.

3. **Remark.** If  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  are  $S$ -sets with  $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 \cap \partial E_3 = \emptyset$ , it is not necessary that  $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3$  is an  $S$ -set. Take, for example,  $E_1 = G = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $E_2 =$  the closed unit ball, and  $E_3 =$  the complement of the open unit ball. For a finite collection  $\{E_i\}$  of  $S$ -sets, the correct version is that if  $\partial E_i \cap \partial E_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ , then  $\bigcap E_i$  is an  $S$ -set. This again is no longer true for infinite collections: take  $E_1 =$  the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|x\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}$ ,  $n > 1$ .

We now come to results on unions. We first mention the following result of Saeki [2].

4. **Theorem (Saeki).** If  $E_1, E_2$  are  $S$ -sets and if there is a  $C$ -set  $C$  such that

$$\partial(E_1) \cap \partial(E_2) \cap \partial(E_1 \cup E_2) \subset C \subset E_1 \cup E_2,$$

then  $E_1 \cup E_2$  is an  $S$ -set.

5. **Remark.** Theorem 4 is also stated as one part of Theorem 4' in Warner [6], the other part being a converse, namely, if  $E_1 \cup E_2$  is an  $S$ -set and if there is a  $C$ -set  $C$  satisfying the condition mentioned in Theorem 4, then  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are  $S$ -sets. However, it is easy to see that this converse statement is false. Just take  $E_1 = S^2$  and  $E_2 =$  any closed convex set (e.g., a ball) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  containing  $E_1$  in its interior; then  $\partial E_1 \cap \partial E_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $E_1 \cup E_2 = E_2$  is an  $S$ -set, but  $E_1$  is not an  $S$ -set. The same example shows also that Warner's Lemma 3' [6] is not true. We, however, have the following 'if and only if' result.

**6. Theorem.** Let  $E_1, E_2$  be closed subsets of  $G$ . Suppose there are  $C$ -sets  $C_1, C_2$  such that

$$\partial E_1 \cap E_2 \subset C_1 \subset E_1, \quad E_1 \cap \partial E_2 \subset C_2 \subset E_2.$$

Then  $E_1 \cup E_2$  is an  $S$ -set if and only if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are  $S$ -sets.

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta(E_i) \subset \partial E_i$ , it follows from Lemma 1(ii) that  $\Delta(E_1) \subset \Delta(E_1 \cup E_2) \cup (\partial E_1 \cap E_2)$  and similarly for  $E_2$ . Theorem A now gives one half of the result, while the other half is a consequence of Theorem 4 (recalling that the union of two  $C$ -sets is a  $C$ -set).

It is well known that a closed countable union of  $C$ -sets is a  $C$ -set. Here is a result for infinite unions of  $S$ -sets.

**7. Lemma.** Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a collection of mutually disjoint closed sets in  $G$ . Suppose that, for each  $j$ ,  $\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i$  is closed. Then

- (i)  $\Delta(\bigcup E_i) \subset \bigcup \Delta(E_i)$ ,
- (ii)  $\Delta(E_j) \subset \Delta(\bigcup E_i)$  for each  $j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \Delta(\bigcup E_i)$ . Then  $x \in E_j$  for a unique  $j$ . We prove  $x \in \Delta(E_j)$ . Choose a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\overline{V} \cap (\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i) = \emptyset$ , and then choose a  $k \in A(G)$  such that  $k = 1$  near  $x$  and  $k = 0$  off  $V$ . Since  $x \in \Delta(\bigcup E_i)$ , there is an  $f \in I(\bigcup E_i)$  with  $f \notin_x J(\bigcup E_i)$ .

Assume  $x \notin \Delta(E_j)$ . Then there is a  $g \in J(E_j)$  with  $f =_x g$ . But  $gk \in J(\bigcup E_i)$ , so that  $f =_x fk =_x gk \in J(\bigcup E_i)$ , a contradiction. Hence (i) is proved. The proof of (ii) does not need any new ideas, and we omit it.

**8. Theorem.** Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a collection of mutually disjoint closed sets in  $G$  satisfying the condition that  $\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i$  is closed for each  $j$ . Then  $\bigcup E_i$  is an  $S$ -set if and only if each  $E_i$  is an  $S$ -set.

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 7.

Using the fact that a closed set which is a countable union of  $C$ -sets is a  $C$ -set, arguments similar to the above give the following result.

**9. Theorem.** Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a collection of closed subsets of  $G$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ , except for countably many  $i, j$ .
- (b)  $E_i \cap E_j$  is a  $C$ -set for all  $i, j$ ,  $i \neq j$ .
- (c)  $\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i$  is closed for each  $j$ .
- (d)  $\bigcup_{i, j, i \neq j} (E_i \cap E_j)$  is closed.

Then  $\bigcup E_i$  is an  $S$ -set if and only if each  $E_i$  is an  $S$ -set.

**10. Corollary.** Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a collection of  $S$ -sets in  $G$  satisfying (a), (b) and (d) of Theorem 9. In place of (c), suppose that  $C = \bigcup_j \{\overline{\bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i} \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} E_i\}$  is a  $C$ -set. Then  $\overline{\bigcup E_i}$  is an  $S$ -set.

*Proof.*  $F_i = E_i \cup C$  are  $S$ -sets satisfying the conditions of Theorem 9 and  $\overline{\bigcup E_i} = \bigcup F_i$ .

**11. Remark.** It is easy to construct examples of  $S$ -sets using our results, e.g., the Hawaiian ear ring in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  [5, pp. 111–112]. Lemma 4.3.7 of [1], which says

that  $(\bigcup E_n) \cup \{0\}$  is an  $S$ -set in  $\mathbb{R}$ , where each  $E_n \subset (\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n})$  is an  $S$ -set, can also be deduced from the results given above.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the referee for useful remarks.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. C. Graham and O. C. McGehee, *Essays in commutative harmonic analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
2. S. Saeki, *Spectral synthesis for Kronecker sets*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **21** (1969), 549–563.
3. D. L. Salinger and J. D. Stegeman, *Difference spectra of ideals for non-metrizable groups*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **26** (1982), 531–540.
4. J. D. Stegeman, *Some problems on spectral synthesis*, *Proceedings, Harmonic Analysis (Iraclion, 1978)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 781, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980, pp. 194–203.
5. C. T. C. Wall, *A geometric introduction to topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972.
6. C. R. Warner, *A class of spectral sets*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **57** (1976), 99–102.

RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS, MADRAS 600 005, INDIA