

## DARBOUX'S LEMMA ONCE MORE

HANS SAMELSON

(Communicated by Christopher Croke)

**ABSTRACT.** Darboux's lemma states that a closed nondegenerate two-form  $\Omega$ , defined on an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (or in a  $2n$ -dimensional manifold), can locally be given the form  $\sum dq_i \wedge dp_i$ , in suitable coordinates, traditionally denoted by  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . There is an elegant proof by J. Moser and A. Weinstein. The author has presented a proof that was extracted from Carathéodory's book on Calculus of Variations. Carathéodory works with a (local) "integral" of  $\Omega$ , that is, with a one-form  $\alpha$  satisfying  $d\alpha = \Omega$ . It turns out that the proof becomes much more transparent if one works with  $\Omega$  itself.

As in [3] we start by writing  $\Omega$  (locally) as  $\sum_1^N df_i \wedge dg_i$ , with some functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N$ , and with  $N \geq n$  of course. (For this step we take an integral  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega$  and write it as  $\sum_1^N f_i dg_i$ .) We now try to reduce  $N$ , if it is larger than  $n$ .

Since  $\Omega^n$  is not 0, some  $n$  of the terms in the sum for  $\Omega$  must have nonzero exterior product; the corresponding  $f$ 's and  $g$ 's can then be taken as coordinates  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  and we can write  $\Omega \sum_1^n du_i \wedge v_i + \sum_{n+1}^N df_j \wedge dg_j$ . To this situation we apply a standard classical and basic proposition of Hamiltonian transformation theory [5].

Let  $\omega$  be a closed nondegenerate two-form on an open set in a manifold  $M^{2n}$  (with local coordinates  $x_i$  when needed), and let  $H$  be a "time-dependent Hamiltonian", i.e., a function  $H(x, t)$  on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  (or on a suitable open subset thereof). Write  $\omega_H$  for the two-form  $\omega - dH \wedge dt$  (here  $\omega$  has been pulled back to  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $t$  is the standard coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

**Proposition.** *There exists a (local) diffeomorphism  $F$  of  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  "over  $\mathbb{R}$ ", i.e., of the form  $x' = F(x, t)$ ,  $t' = t$  (or, briefly, of the form  $x' = F(x, t)$ ) with inverse  $x = G(x', t)$  such that*

$$F^* \omega_H = \omega \quad (\text{and } G^* \omega = \omega_H).$$

One says that " $H$  has been reduced to 0 by  $F$ ". As a matter of fact,  $F$  is simply the expression for the solutions of the associated "canonical equations" in terms of the initial values for  $t = 0$ . The proof is a simple computation; we bring it, for completeness, at the end.

Received by the editors July 28, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C15; 58A10; 70H15.

*Key words and phrases.* Canonical coordinates, Hamiltonian transformation theory.

Support by NSF DMS 91-02078 is acknowledged.

We apply the proposition to  $\Omega$  above by using  $\sum du_i \wedge dv_i$  as  $\omega$  and  $-f_N$  as  $H$ . Thus we have functions  $u'_i = \phi_i(u_j, v_j, t)$ ,  $v'_i = \psi_i(u_j, v_j, t)$  such that the equation

$$\sum du_i \wedge dv_i + df_N \wedge dt = \sum d\phi_i \wedge d\psi_i$$

holds identically in  $(u_j, v_j, t)$ . We now substitute the function  $g_N$ , from the expression for  $\Omega$ , for  $t$  in this equation (i.e., we take the pullback of the equation under the embedding of  $M$  into  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  via  $x \mapsto (x, g_N(x))$ ). Thus  $\sum du_i \wedge dv_i + df_N \wedge dg_N$  equals  $\sum d\Phi_i \wedge d\Psi_i$ , where  $\Phi_i(u_j, v_j)$  means  $\phi_i(u_j, v_j, g_N(u_j, v_j))$  and similarly for  $\Psi_i$ . So  $\Omega = \sum du_i \wedge dv_i + \sum_{n+1}^N df_j \wedge dg_j$  equals  $\sum_1^n d\Phi_i \wedge d\Psi_i + \sum_{n+1}^{N-1} df_j \wedge dg_j$ , and so the number of terms in the expression for  $\Omega$  has been reduced by 1. Darboux's lemma follows by iteration.  $\square$

Now we prove the proposition. We express the usual canonical differential equations of Hamiltonian theory in the language of exterior forms: A vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  (or on an open subset thereof) will be called Hamiltonian (to  $H$ ) if

(a) it is of the form  $(X, \partial_t)$ , where  $\partial_t$  is the standard vector field  $\mathbb{R}$  (thus  $\partial_t f = f'$ ) and where  $X$  at any point  $(x, t)$  is tangent to  $M \times t$ , so that  $X$  is a "time-dependent vector field" on  $M$ ; and

(b) the substitution operator  $i_{\tilde{X}}$  nullifies the form  $\omega_H = \omega - dH \wedge dt$ .

(For any vector field  $Y$  the operator  $i_Y$  operates on an exterior form  $\pi$  by substituting  $Y$  into the first slot to  $\pi$ . It is characterized by three properties: (1) it nullifies functions (i.e., 0-forms); (2) one has  $i_Y dh = dh(Y) = Y.h$  for any function  $h$ ; (3) it is a (graded) derivation:  $i_Y(\lambda \wedge \mu) = i_Y \lambda \wedge \mu + (-1)^{\deg \lambda} \lambda \wedge i_Y \mu$ .)

We split the differential  $dH$  into its  $M$ - and  $\mathbb{R}$ -components (defined by restriction to the  $M$ - or  $\mathbb{R}$ -factor at  $(x, t)$ ); we write this as  $dH = d_M H + H_t dt$ . The Hamiltonian condition  $i_{\tilde{X}} \omega_H = 0$ , i.e.,  $i_{\tilde{X}} \omega = (i_{\tilde{X}} dH) dt - dH$ , means then  $i_X \omega = -d_M H$  and  $i_{\tilde{X}} dH (= \tilde{X}.H) = H_t$ ; the second relation can also be written as  $X.H = 0$  or  $d_M H(X) = 0$  and is a consequence of the first, since  $\omega$  is skewsymmetric, and so  $-d_M H(X) = i_X \omega(X) = \omega(X, X) = 0$ . Since  $\omega$  is nondegenerate, the relation  $i_X \omega = -d_M H$  shows that the Hamiltonian field  $\tilde{X}$  exists and is unique. For the case  $\omega = \sum dp_i \wedge dq_i$  the relation  $i_X \omega = -d_M H$  amounts to the canonical equations  $\dot{q}_i = H_{p_i}$ ,  $\dot{p}_i = -H_{q_i}$ .

We now construct the map  $F$  of the proposition: as noted after the proposition, it simply sends each line  $x \times \mathbb{R}$  to the trajectory of  $\tilde{X}$  through  $(x, 0)$ . (In particular, we have  $x = F(x, 0)$ .) This is a diffeomorphism by standard theorems about ordinary differential equations. Clearly the vector fields  $\partial_t$  on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  map to  $\tilde{X}$  under  $F$ . It follows that  $i_{\partial_t}$  nullifies  $F^* \omega_H$ .

We write  $F^* \omega_H$  as  $\omega_0 + \beta \wedge dt$ , where  $\omega_0$  and  $\beta$  are nullified by  $\partial_t$ , i.e., do not involve any  $dt$ . The relation  $i_{\partial_t} F^* \omega_H = 0$  then says  $\beta = 0$ ; so we have  $F^* \omega_H = \omega_0$ . Since  $\omega_H$  is closed, so is  $\omega_0$ ; the equation  $d\omega_0 = 0$  implies that the  $t$ -derivatives of the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  of  $\omega_0 = \sum a_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$  vanish and that the form  $\omega_0$  does not depend on  $t$  (for this the domain of definition should be convex in the  $t$ -direction and connected). Thus  $F^* \omega_H$  is simply a two-form on  $M$ , pulled back to  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ ; and finally, since the map  $F$  is the identity on the slice  $t = 0$ ,  $F^* \omega_H$  equals  $\omega$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. C. Carathéodory, *Variationsrechnung und partielle Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1935, p. 124; English transl., *Calculus of variations and partial differential equations of first order*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1965, p. 125.
2. J. Moser, *On the volume elements of a manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1965), 286–294.
3. H. Samelson, *On Darboux's lemma*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1978), 126–128.
4. A. Weinstein, *Symplectic structures on Banach manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1040–1041.
5. E. T. Whittaker, *A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies*, Dover, New York, 1944, p. 317.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305  
E-mail address: `samelson@gauss.stanford.edu`