

## ON REPRESENTATIONS OF ELEMENTARY SUBGROUPS OF CHEVALLEY GROUPS OVER ALGEBRAS

YU CHEN

(Communicated by Eric Friedlander)

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that every nontrivial linear or projective representation of the elementary subgroup of a Chevalley group over an algebra containing an infinite field must have degree greater than or equal to the square root of the dimension of the corresponding Chevalley-Demazure group scheme adding 1 and the equality emerges only if the Chevalley group is of type  $A_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN THEOREM

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional semisimple complex Lie algebra, and let  $\Phi$  be the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to a (fixed) Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We denote by  $P$  (resp.  $P_r$ ) the additive group generated by the weights (resp. roots) of  $\Phi$ . Then for each sublattice  $\Gamma$  between  $P$  and  $P_r$ , one can construct a Chevalley-Demazure group scheme  $G$  associated with  $\Gamma$  and  $\Phi$ , which is a representable covariant functor from the category of commutative rings with units to the category of groups and whose representing Hopf  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$  is an integral domain (cf. [2], [4], and [5]). The dimension of a Chevalley-Demazure scheme  $G$  is defined to be the transcendental degree of the fraction field of  $\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}$  over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $G$  is a Chevalley-Demazure group scheme and if  $R$  is a commutative ring with a unit, the group  $G(R)$  is called a Chevalley group over  $R$ . In particular, if  $R^+$  is the additive group of  $R$ , then for each root  $\alpha \in \Phi$  there is a canonical (exponential) homomorphism (cf. [1, §1.3])

$$u_\alpha: R^+ \rightarrow G(R).$$

We denote by  $U_\alpha(R)$  the subgroup of  $G(R)$  consisting of  $u_\alpha(r)$  for all  $r \in R^+$ . The elementary subgroup  $E(R)$  of  $G(R)$  is by definition the subgroup generated by  $U_\alpha(R)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . For example, when  $\Gamma = P$  and  $\Phi$  is of type  $A_{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ),  $G(R)$  is the special linear group  $SL_n(R)$  and  $E(R)$  is the subgroup generated by all  $n \times n$  elementary matrices over  $R$ . We call a Chevalley-Demazure group scheme (resp. Chevalley group) simple if its root system is indecomposable.

---

Received by the editors December 6, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G35, 20G05.

*Key words and phrases.* Chevalley group, elementary subgroup, algebra, representation.

The author was partially supported by Italian MURST and GNSAGA (CNR).

Let  $G(R)$  be a simple Chevalley group over an algebra  $R$  containing an infinite field, and let  $E(R)$  be the elementary subgroup of  $G(R)$ . The purpose of this note is to give a lower bound for the degrees of linear or projective representations of  $E(R)$ . Our main result is the following:

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be an associative and commutative algebra over an infinite field, and let  $G(R)$  be a simple Chevalley group over  $R$ . If  $\rho$  is a nontrivial linear or projective representation of  $E(R)$ , then*

$$(1) \quad (\deg \rho)^2 \geq \dim G + 1.$$

Moreover, if  $(\deg \rho)^2$  is equal to  $\dim G + 1$ , then  $G$  must be of type  $A_n$ , where  $n = \deg \rho - 1$ .

From this theorem the following corollary follows immediately.

**Corollary.** *Let  $G(R)$  be a simple Chevalley group over a commutative integral domain  $R$  containing an infinite field. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is of type  $A_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .
- (ii) There exists a nontrivial linear or projective representation  $\rho$  of  $E(R)$  such that

$$(\deg \rho)^2 = \dim G + 1.$$

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

To prove our theorem, we need to show some properties of Chevalley groups over a commutative ring  $R$  and algebraic groups. Let  $G$  be a Chevalley-Demazure group scheme associated with a reduced irreducible root system  $\Phi$  and a sublattice  $\Gamma$  of the weight lattice  $P$  with  $\Gamma \supseteq P_r$ . We denote by  $T$  the maximal torus of  $G$  defined by

$$T(R) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, R^*)$$

where  $R^*$  is the multiplicative group of the units of  $R$ . Let

$$h: T(R) \rightarrow G(R)$$

be the natural embedding. Then for all  $\chi \in T(R)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , we have (cf. [4])

$$(2) \quad h(\chi)u_{\alpha}(r)h(\chi)^{-1} = u_{\alpha}(\chi(\alpha)r) \quad \text{for all } r \in R.$$

Let  $\{H_{\alpha_1}, H_{\alpha_2}, \dots, H_{\alpha_n}, X_{\alpha} | \forall \alpha \in \Phi\}$  be a Chevalley basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is the set of fundamental roots of  $\Phi$  and  $H_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{h}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We write  $H_{\alpha}$  for  $[X_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}]$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , and we suppose that  $\Gamma$  is generated by  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $r \in R^*$ , let  $\chi_{\alpha,r}$  be an element of  $T(R)$  defined by

$$\chi_{\alpha,r}(\lambda_i) = r^{\lambda_i(H_{\alpha})} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then we have for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $r \in R$  (cf. [5])

$$(3) \quad \chi_{\alpha,r}(\alpha) = r^2$$

and

$$(4) \quad h(\chi_{\alpha,r}) = u_{\alpha}(r)u_{-\alpha}(-r^{-1})u_{\alpha}(r)u_{-\alpha}(1)u_{\alpha}(-1)u_{-\alpha}(1).$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be an associative and commutative algebra over a field  $k$  containing at least 4 elements. Then  $E(R)$  has no proper normal subgroup that contains  $E(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $E(R)$  such that  $N \supseteq E(k)$ . Since  $k^*$  has at least 3 elements, there exists an element  $q \in k^*$  such that  $q^2 \neq 1$ . Hence by (3) we obtain for all  $r \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} u_\alpha(r) &= u_\alpha(q^2(q^2 - 1)^{-1}r - (q^2 - 1)^{-1}r) \\ &= u_\alpha(q^2(q^2 - 1)^{-1}r) \cdot u_\alpha((q^2 - 1)^{-1}r)^{-1} \\ &= u_\alpha(\chi_{\alpha,q}(\alpha)(q^2 - 1)^{-1}r) \cdot u_\alpha((q^2 - 1)^{-1}r)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by the identity (2) we have

$$u_\alpha(\chi_{\alpha,q}(\alpha)(q^2 - 1)^{-1}r) = h(\chi_{\alpha,q})u_\alpha((q^2 - 1)^{-1}r)h(\chi_{\alpha,q})^{-1}$$

and by the identity (4) we have

$$h(\chi_{\alpha,q}) \in E(k) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Phi.$$

Hence

$$u_\alpha(r) = h(\chi_{\alpha,q})u_\alpha((q^2 - 1)^{-1}r)h(\chi_{\alpha,q})^{-1}u_\alpha((q^2 - 1)^{-1}r)^{-1} \in N.$$

This yields

$$U_\alpha(R) \subseteq N \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Phi,$$

which implies that  $N = E(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G'(K')$  be an absolutely almost simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field  $K'$ . If there exists a homomorphism from  $E(k)$  to  $G'(K')$  with Zariski dense image, then*

- (i) *the root systems of  $G$  and  $G'$  are isomorphic to each other provided that  $G'$  is neither of type  $B_n$  nor of type  $C_n$  for  $n > 2$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\dim G = \dim G'(K')$ .*

*Proof.* See [3, Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 2.4].

*Proof of the theorem.* Suppose  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra, where  $k$  is an infinite field. Let  $\rho: E(R) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}(k')$  (resp.  $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(k')$ ) be a representation over a field  $k'$ , and let  $K'$  be a universal field of  $k'$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\rho(E(k))$  is nontrivial since  $\ker \rho$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $E(R)$ . We show at first that the Zariski closure  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$  of  $\rho(E(k))$  in  $\text{GL}_{n+1}(K')$  (resp.  $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(K')$ ) is a connected subgroup. Let  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}^\circ$  be the connected component of  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$  which contains the identity element of  $\text{GL}_{n+1}(K')$  (resp.  $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(K')$ ), and let

$$\delta: \overline{\rho(E(k))} \rightarrow \overline{\rho(E(k))} / \overline{\rho(E(k))}^\circ$$

be the natural homomorphism. Consider a composition of homomorphisms

$$E(k) \xrightarrow{\delta \rho = \beta} \overline{\rho(E(k))} / \overline{\rho(E(k))}^\circ.$$

Since  $\overline{\rho(E(k))} / \overline{\rho(E(k))}^\circ$  is a finite group, we have

$$|E(k) / \ker \beta| < \infty.$$

This means that, since  $E(k)$  is infinite and does not contain any proper normal subgroup of finite index (cf. [6]),

$$E(k) \subseteq \ker \beta.$$

Thus we have

$$\rho(E(k)) \subseteq \overline{\rho(E(k))}^\circ \subseteq \overline{\rho(E(k))}.$$

By taking Zariski closures of the above groups, we obtain

$$(5) \quad \overline{\rho(E(k))}^\circ = \overline{\rho(E(k))},$$

which means that  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$  is connected.

We show now

$$(6) \quad \dim G \leq \dim \overline{\rho(E(k))}.$$

Let  $[E(k), E(k)]$  (resp.  $[\rho(E(k)), \rho(E(k))]$ ) be the commutator subgroup of  $E(k)$  (resp.  $\rho(E(k))$ ). Since (cf. [6])

$$(7) \quad E(k) = [E(k), E(k)],$$

we have

$$\overline{\rho(E(k))} = \overline{[\rho(E(k)), \rho(E(k))]} = \overline{[\rho(E(k))], \overline{\rho(E(k))}},$$

where  $\overline{[\rho(E(k)), \rho(E(k))]}$  is the Zariski closure of  $[\rho(E(k)), \rho(E(k))]$  while  $\overline{[\rho(E(k))], \overline{\rho(E(k))}}$  is the commutator subgroup of  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$ . In particular,  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$  is not a solvable group. Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the solvable radical of  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$ . Then  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}/\mathfrak{R}$  is a nontrivial semisimple algebraic group. Suppose  $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is the family of the simple components of  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}/\mathfrak{R}$ , and let  $G_i^{\text{ad}}$  be an adjoint simple algebraic group of the same type as  $G_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then there exists an isogeny

$$\varepsilon: \overline{\rho(E(k))}/\mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m G_i^{\text{ad}}.$$

Let  $\pi$  be the natural morphism from  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$  to  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}/\mathfrak{R}$ , and let

$$p_j: \prod_{i=1}^m G_i^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow G_j^{\text{ad}}$$

be the canonical projection for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Note that the image of a Zariski dense subset of  $\prod_{i=1}^m G_i^{\text{ad}}$  (resp.  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}/\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$ ) under the map  $p_j$  (resp.  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\pi$ ) is Zariski dense in  $G_j^{\text{ad}}$  (resp.  $\prod_{i=1}^m G_i^{\text{ad}}$ ,  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}/\mathfrak{R}$ ), hence the image of a Zariski dense subset of  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$  under the composite

$$p_j \varepsilon \pi: \overline{\rho(E(k))} \rightarrow G_j^{\text{ad}}$$

is also a Zariski dense subset for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . In particular, we have for  $1 \leq j \leq m$

$$\overline{p_j \varepsilon \pi \rho(E(k))} = p_j \varepsilon \pi(\overline{\rho(E(k))}) = G_j^{\text{ad}},$$

which means that  $p_j \varepsilon \pi \rho$  is a homomorphism from  $E(k)$  to  $G_j^{\text{ad}}$  with Zariski dense image. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.2(ii) that for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$

$$(8) \quad \dim G = \dim G_j^{\text{ad}}.$$

Since  $G_j$  and  $G_j^{\text{ad}}$  have the same dimension while

$$\dim G_j \leq \dim \overline{\rho(E(k))} / \mathfrak{A} \leq \dim \overline{\rho(E(k))},$$

the identity (8) implies immediately (6).

If  $\rho$  is a linear representation, we then have by (7)

$$\rho(E(k)) \subseteq \text{SL}_{n+1}(k'),$$

hence

$$\overline{\rho(E(k))} \subseteq \text{SL}_{n+1}(K').$$

Thus for a linear (resp. projective) representation  $\rho$  we have

$$(9) \quad \dim \overline{\rho(E(k))} \leq \dim \text{SL}_{n+1}(K') \quad (\text{resp. } \dim \text{PGL}_{n+1}(K')) = (\deg \rho)^2 - 1$$

from which (1) follows.

Moreover, if  $(\deg \rho)^2$  is equal to  $\dim G + 1$ , it follows from (6) and (9)

$$\dim G = \dim \overline{\rho(E(k))} = \dim \text{SL}_{n+1}(K') \quad (\text{resp. } \dim \text{PGL}_{n+1}(K')),$$

which implies, since  $\overline{\rho(E(k))}$  is connected by (5),

$$\overline{\rho(E(k))} = \text{SL}_{n+1}(K') \quad (\text{resp. } \text{PGL}_{n+1}(K')).$$

In other words,  $\rho$  induces a homomorphism from  $E(k)$  to  $\text{SL}_{n+1}(K')$  (resp.  $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(K')$ ) with Zarisiki dense image. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.2(i) that  $G$  must be of type  $A_n$  and  $n = \deg \rho - 1$ .

### REFERENCES

1. E. Abe, *Chevalley groups over local rings*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **21** (1969), 474–494.
2. A. Borel, *Properties and linear representations of Chevalley groups*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 131, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1970, pp. 1–55.
3. Y. Chen, *Isomorphic Chevalley groups over integral domains*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova **92** (1994), 231–237.
4. C. Chevalley, *Certains schémas de groupes semisimples*, Sém. Bourbaki Exp. **219** (1960/61).
5. M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes. III*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1970.
6. R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Mimeographed Lecture Notes, Yale Univ., New Haven, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, I-10123 TORINO, ITALY

*E-mail address:* yuchen@unimat.polito.it

INSTITUTE OF SYSTEM SCIENCE, ACADEMIA SINICA, BEIJING 100080, CHINA