

COMPACTIFICATIONS WITH DISCRETE REMAINDERS

JAMES P. HATZENBUHLER AND DON A. MATTSON

(Communicated by Franklin D. Tall)

ABSTRACT. Conditions are obtained which characterize when a space has a Hausdorff compactification with a discrete remainder. A characterization is also given for when the minimal perfect compactification of a 0-space has a discrete remainder. It is shown that a metric space has a compactification with a discrete remainder if and only if it is rimcompact. In general, however, for a space to have a compactification with a discrete remainder, it is not necessary that the space be rimcompact.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper all topological spaces are completely regular and Hausdorff and all compactifications are Hausdorff. A remainder of a compactification αX of X is the space $\alpha X - X$. A major problem in compactification theory is to determine when, for each X in a certain class of spaces, there is a member of another class of spaces which can serve as a remainder of X . (See [1], [2], [6], [9], and [13], for example.) The aim of this paper is to characterize the class of spaces X which admit compactifications αX such that $\alpha X - X$ is discrete. The problem is trivial for locally compact spaces, hence hereafter we consider only nonlocally compact spaces. Recall that the residue $R(X)$ of X is the set of points in X which do not possess compact neighborhoods. To characterize when X has a discrete remainder, then, it is natural to seek conditions which involve $R(X)$. Since $\text{Cl}_{\alpha X}(\alpha X - X) = (\alpha X - X) \cup R(X)$, in order that $\alpha X - X$ be discrete, it is necessary that $R(X)$ be compact.

A space X is a 0-space if X has a compactification with 0-dimensional remainder. Any 0-space has a compactification ϕX which is the maximal compactification with 0-dimensional remainder. A space X is rimcompact if it has a base of open sets O with compact boundaries $\text{Fr}_X O$, or π -open sets. Every rimcompact X is a 0-space and in this case ϕX is called the Freudenthal compactification of X . Clearly, for X to admit a discrete remainder, it is also necessary that X be a 0-space.

Received by the editors February 17, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54D40.

Key words and phrases. Discrete remainders, perfect compactifications, rimcompact, metric spaces.

A compactification αX of X is perfect if, for each open subset O of X , $\text{Cl}_{\alpha X}(\text{Fr}_X O) = \text{Fr}_{\alpha X} U$, where U is the largest αX -open set satisfying $U \cap X = O$. Recall that ϕX is the smallest perfect compactification of X and that βX , the Stone-Ćech compactification, is the largest perfect compactification. See [9], [10], and [12] for properties of perfect compactifications. Also, ϕX is obtained from βX by identifying the components of $\beta X - X$ to points. (See [10] and [12] for further discussion.)

Terada has shown in [13] that a metric space with compact residue has a countable discrete remainder if and only if it is rimcompact and Āech complete. In §2 of this paper we provide conditions, internal to X , which characterize when any X admits a discrete remainder. Examples show that when a space has a discrete remainder it need not have a maximal compactification with this property. However, when X has a maximal compactification with a discrete remainder, then this compactification is ϕX . Accordingly, we also characterize when $\phi X - X$ is discrete.

In §4 we show that for metric spaces (with compact residue) it is precisely the class of rimcompact spaces which have discrete remainders and all such remainders are countable.

Finally, we provide examples to illuminate the results and, in particular, to show that, in contrast to the case for metric spaces, a nonrimcompact space X can have an uncountable discrete remainder so that X is also not Āech complete.

2. THE MAIN THEOREM

For $f \in C(X)$, where $C(X)$ is the ring of continuous real-valued functions on X , the zero-set of f is denoted by $Z(f)$. A nonempty family \mathcal{F} of nonempty zero-sets of a space X which is closed under pairwise intersection is a z -filter provided any zero-set is in \mathcal{F} whenever it contains an element of \mathcal{F} . A z -ultrafilter is a maximal z -filter. Zero-sets and related concepts are studied extensively in [7]. By $C^*(X)$ we indicate the subring of bounded functions in $C(X)$. For any αX and open set O in X , the extension of O (to αX) is $\text{Ex}_\alpha O = \alpha X - \text{Cl}_\alpha(X - O)$ and is the largest open set in αX whose trace on X is O . Moreover, if αX is perfect and O is π -open, then $\text{Cl}_\alpha O = \text{Cl}_X O \cup \text{Ex}_\alpha O$. See [3] for other properties of extensions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F be a closed, noncompact locally compact subset of X with compact boundary and let O be open in X . Then*

- (A) $F - O$ compact implies $\text{Cl}_\beta F - F \subseteq \text{Ex}_\beta O$.
- (B) $F \cap \text{Cl}_X O$ compact implies $\text{Cl}_\beta F - F \subseteq \text{Ex}_\beta(X - \text{Cl}_X O)$.

Proof. (A) If $F - O$ is compact, then all points of $\text{Cl}_\beta F - F$ are limit points of $F \cap O$. Since βX is perfect and $\text{Fr}_X F$ is compact, $U = \text{Cl}_\beta F - [\text{Fr}_X F \cup (F - O)]$ is open in βX and $U \cap X \subseteq O$. Now $U \cup \text{Ex}_\beta O$ is a βX -open set whose trace on X is O . Since $\text{Ex}_\beta O$ is maximal with respect to this property, it follows that $\text{Cl}_\beta F - F \subseteq \text{Ex}_\beta O$.

(B) follows similarly and the proof is complete.

The main result of this section affords a characterization, internal to X , of when any αX has discrete remainders. We denote the space of positive integers by N and the closed unit interval by I , each equipped with its usual topology.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose a space X has compact residue $R(X)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (A) X has a compactification with a discrete remainder.
- (B) X contains a family $\{Z_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ of locally compact, noncompact zero-sets which satisfy
 - (i) $\text{Fr}_X Z_\alpha$ is compact;
 - (ii) $Z_\alpha \cap Z_\gamma$ is compact for $\alpha \neq \gamma$;
 - (iii) every free z -ultrafilter in X contains some Z_α ;
 - (iv) for each $x \neq y$ in $R(X)$, there exist open O_{xy} and U_{xy} such that $x \in O_{xy}$, $y \notin \text{Cl}_X O_{xy}$, x and $y \notin \text{Cl}_X U_{xy}$, and for all Z_α , $Z_\alpha - O_{xy}$, $Z_\alpha \cap \text{Cl}_X O_{xy}$ or $Z_\alpha - U_{xy}$ is compact.

Proof. (A) implies (B). Suppose $\delta X - X$ is discrete. For each point $p \in \delta X - X$, there is a δX -neighborhood N_p of p such that $N_p \cap (\delta X - X) = \{p\}$. Choose a continuous mapping f_p of δX into I such that $f_p(p) = 0$ and $f_p(\delta X - N_p) = 1$. Let $Z_p = f_p^{-1}[0, 1/4] \cap X$, a zero-set in X . Then $\text{Fr}_X Z_p \subseteq f_p^{-1}(1/4) \subseteq X$, hence $\text{Fr}_X Z_p$ is compact. Now $\{Z_p | p \in \delta X - X\}$ is a collection of noncompact, locally compact zero-sets which satisfies (B)(i).

For $p \neq q$ in $\delta X - X$ we have

$$f_p^{-1}[0, 1/4] \cap f_q^{-1}[0, 1/4] = Z_p \cap Z_q \subseteq X,$$

so $Z_p \cap Z_q$ is compact and (B)(ii) holds.

Next, let \mathcal{F} be any free z -ultrafilter in X . Then \mathcal{F} converges to a point $y \in \beta X - X$. If t is the canonical mapping of βX onto δX , then $t(y) = q \in \delta X - X$ and $t^{-1}(f_q^{-1}[0, 1/4])$ is a βX -neighborhood of y . Hence $Z_q = t^{-1}(f_q^{-1}[0, 1/4]) \cap X$ contains a member Z of \mathcal{F} . This implies $Z_q \in \mathcal{F}$ and (B)(iii) holds.

For $x \neq y$ in $R(X)$, select \widehat{O}_{xy} , open in δX , such that $x \in \widehat{O}_{xy}$ and $y \notin \text{Cl}_\delta \widehat{O}_{xy}$. Let $A = \text{Cl}_\delta \{y \in \delta X - X | y \in \text{Fr}_\delta \widehat{O}_{xy}\}$, a compact set with $x, y \notin A$. Choose any δX -open \widehat{U}_{xy} for which $A \subseteq \widehat{U}_{xy}$ and $x, y \notin \text{Cl}_\delta \widehat{U}_{xy}$. Set $O_{xy} = \widehat{O}_{xy} \cap X$ and $U_{xy} = \widehat{U}_{xy} \cap X$. Now suppose some Z_p satisfies $Z_p - O_{xy}$ and $Z_p \cap \text{Cl}_X O_{xy}$ are noncompact. Then $p \notin \text{Ex}_\delta O_{xy}$ and $p \notin \text{Ex}_\delta (X - \text{Cl}_X O_{xy})$, hence $p \in A$. Thus $p \in \text{Ex}_\delta U_{xy}$ so that $Z_p - U_{xy}$ is compact and (B)(iv) holds.

(B) implies (A). For each $\alpha \in A$, let $F_\alpha = \text{Cl}_\beta Z_\alpha - Z_\alpha$. Then each F_α is compact, and since βX is perfect, each F_α is open in $\beta X - X$. Suppose $y \in F_\alpha \cap F_\gamma$, for $\alpha \neq \gamma$. Then Z_α has compact boundary and $\text{int}_X Z_\alpha \neq \phi$, so $y \in \text{Ex}_\beta (\text{int}_X Z_\alpha)$, a βX -open set. Now $N_y = \text{Ex}_\beta (\text{int}_X Z_\alpha) - (Z_\alpha \cap Z_\gamma)$ is a βX -neighborhood of y which misses Z_γ , a contradiction. Hence $F_\alpha \cap F_\gamma = \phi$ whenever $\alpha \neq \gamma$.

Take any point $y \in \beta X - X$. Since a free z -ultrafilter \mathcal{F} in X converges to y and by (B)(iii) some $Z_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $y \in F_\alpha$. Thus the family $\{F_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ is a partition of $\beta X - X$ into compact $(\beta X - X)$ -open sets.

Next, for $x \neq y$ in $R(X)$ choose O_{xy} and U_{xy} as in (B)(iv). We show that there exist disjoint neighborhoods of x and y , respectively, which "split" along the F_α 's. If $Z_\alpha - O_{xy}$ is compact, Lemma 2.1 provides that $F_\alpha \subseteq \text{Ex}_\beta O_{xy}$. A similar condition holds when $Z_\alpha \cap \text{Cl}_X O_{xy}$ is compact. Observe that $\text{Ex}_\beta O_{xy}$ and $\text{Ex}_\beta (X - \text{Cl}_X O_{xy})$ are disjoint, and suppose that some F_α meets both sets. Then both $Z_\alpha - O_{xy}$ and $Z_\alpha \cap \text{Cl}_X O_{xy}$ are noncompact, hence $Z_\alpha - U_{xy}$ is

compact. Thus, $F_\alpha \subseteq \text{Ex}_\beta U_{xy}$ by Lemma 2.1. Let

$$B = \text{Cl}_\beta \left[\bigcup \{F_\alpha \mid F_\alpha \subseteq \text{Ex}_\beta U_{xy}\} \right].$$

Then $B \subseteq \text{Cl}_\beta \text{Ex}_\beta U_{xy}$ and $x, y \notin B$. Now $\widehat{O}_x = \text{Ex}_\beta O_{xy} - B$ and $\widehat{O}_y = \text{Ex}_\beta (X - \text{Cl}_X O_{xy}) - B$ are βX -open disjoint sets containing x and y , respectively. Moreover, O_x satisfies the condition that $F_\alpha \cap \widehat{O}_x \neq \emptyset$ implies $F_\alpha \subseteq \widehat{O}_x$, and O_y satisfies a similar condition.

Finally, if t is the projection of βX onto the quotient space $\beta X/C$ taken with respect to the decomposition $C = \{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\} \cup \{\{x\} \mid x \in X\}$, then t satisfies $t^{-1}(t(\widehat{O}_x)) = \widehat{O}_x$ and $t^{-1}(t(\widehat{O}_y)) = \widehat{O}_y$. This is enough to ensure that $\beta X/C$ is a Hausdorff compactification of X with discrete remainder. This completes the proof.

We note in [8] that condition (B)(iii) of 2.2 can be replaced by the following equivalent condition: for each X -closed subset F of $X - R(X)$ there exists finitely many Z_{α_i} such that $F - \bigcup \{\text{int}_X Z_{\alpha_i} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is compact.

3. TWO SPECIAL CASES

Here we characterize when $\phi X - X$ is discrete, which occurs if and only if X has a maximal compactification with a discrete remainder. Also, we consider the case when $R(X)$ is totally disconnected and compact. 0-spaces having this property are rimcompact by 2.4 of [4] so that ϕX is the Freudenthal compactification. In both cases we obtain characterizations having sharpened versions of (B)(iv) of 2.2. We first consider an example. Let W be the space of all countable ordinals equipped with the usual topology and $W^* = \beta W$, so that $W^* - W = \{\omega_1\}$, where ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal. Take $Y = \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ and set $X = W \times Y$. Then $\beta X = \phi X = W^* \times Y$ and it is clear that X has discrete remainders but no maximal compactification with discrete remainder.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose X is 0-space with $R(X)$ compact. Then $\phi X - X$ is discrete if and only if there is a family $\{Z_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ of locally compact, noncompact zero-sets satisfying*

- (i) $\text{Fr}_X Z_\alpha$ is compact;
- (ii) $Z_\alpha \cap Z_\gamma$ is compact when $\alpha \neq \gamma$;
- (iii) every free z -ultrafilter in X contains some Z_α ;
- (iv) for each π -open set O in X , either $Z_\alpha - O$ or $Z_\alpha \cap \text{Cl}_X O$ is compact, for all $\alpha \in A$.

Proof. Obtain a family $\{Z_p \mid p \in \phi X - X\}$ of zero-sets which satisfies (i)–(iii) as in the proof of 2.2. For (iv), let O be any π -open set in X . Since ϕX is perfect, the sets $\text{Ex}_\phi O \cap (\phi X - X)$ and $\text{Ex}_\phi (X - \text{Cl}_X O) \cap (\phi X - X)$ partition $\phi X - X$; hence for $p \in \phi X - X$, either $p \in \text{Ex}_\phi O$ or $p \in \text{Ex}_\phi (X - \text{Cl}_X O)$. If $p \in \text{Ex}_\phi O$, since p is the only limit point of Z_p in $\phi X - X$, we have $Z_p - \text{Ex}_\phi O = Z_p - O$ compact. Similarly, $p \in \text{Ex}_\phi (X - \text{Cl}_X O)$ implies $Z_p \cap \text{Cl}_X O$ is compact, so that (iv) holds.

Now assume $\{Z_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of noncompact, locally compact zero-sets in X satisfying (i)–(iv). As in the proof of 2.2, take $F_\alpha = \text{Cl}_\beta Z_\alpha - Z_\alpha$, so that $\{F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is a partition of $\beta X - X$ into compact sets which are open in $\beta X - X$. We show that each F_α is a component of $\beta X - X$.

Let t be the canonical mapping of βX into ϕX . Suppose $F_\alpha = A \cup B$, where A and B are disjoint, nonempty, and open in $\beta X - X$. Since t identifies components of $\beta X - X$ to points, for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ we have $t(a) \neq t(b)$ in $\phi X - X$. Also, $R(X)$ is compact, so X is almost rimcompact (see Theorem 2.8 of [3]), hence there is a π -open set O in X for which $t(a) \in \text{Ex}_\phi O$ and $t(b) \in \text{Ex}_\phi(X - \text{Cl}_X O)$. But $a \in t^{-1}(\text{Ex}_\phi O)$ implies $\text{Cl}_X O \cap Z_\alpha$ is not compact and $b \in t^{-1}(\text{Ex}_\phi(X - \text{Cl}_X O))$ implies $Z_\alpha - O$ is not compact, which contradicts (iv). Hence F_α is connected, and since F_α is compact and $(\beta X - X)$ -open, it is a component of $\beta X - X$, whence it follows that $\phi X - X$ is discrete. This completes the proof.

It is easy to find examples of spaces with $R(X)$ totally disconnected and compact which have discrete remainders, but for which $\phi X - X$ is not discrete. Such spaces possess families of zero-sets which satisfy (i)-(iv) of the following theorem but fail to satisfy (iv) of 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume X has compact totally disconnected residue $R(X)$. Then X has a compactification δX with discrete remainder if and only if X contains a family $\{Z_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ of noncompact, locally compact zero-sets satisfying (i)-(iii) of 3.1 and*

(iv) *there is a family of π -open sets O which separate points of $R(X)$, and exactly one of $Z_\alpha - O$ or $Z_\alpha \cap \text{Cl}_X O$ is compact, for all $\alpha \in A$.*

Proof. Assume $\delta X - X$ is discrete and, as in 2.2, obtain a family of noncompact, locally compact zero-sets $\{Z_r | r \in \delta X - X\}$ which satisfy (i)-(iii) of 2.2. For (iv), let $p, q \in R(X)$. Let $Y = (\delta X - X) \cup R(X)$. Then Y is compact and 0-dimensional. Thus there is a compact Y -open neighborhood N_{pq} with $p \in N_{pq}$ and $q \notin N_{pq}$ and a continuous map f_{pq} of δX into I satisfying $f_{pq}(N_{pq}) = 0$ and $f_{pq}(Y - N_{pq}) = 1$. Let $O_{pq} = f_{pq}^{-1}[0, 1/2) \cap X$. It follows that O_{pq} is π -open with $p \in O_{pq}$ and $q \notin \text{Cl}_X O_{pq}$. Take any Z_r in $\{Z_r | r \in \delta X - X\}$. Either $r \in N_{pq}$ or $r \in Y - N_{pq}$. When $r \in N_{pq}$, $f_{pq}(r) = 0$. But $f_{pq} \geq 1/2$ on $Z_r - O_{pq}$, so that r cannot be a limit point of $Z_r - O_{pq}$. Thus $Z_r - O_{pq}$ is compact, but $Z_r \cap \text{Cl}_X O_{pq}$ is not. Similarly, when $r \in Y - N_{pq}$, $Z_r \cap \text{Cl}_X O_{pq}$ is compact but $Z_r - O_{pq}$ is not. Hence, (iv) holds.

Now assume $\{Z_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of locally compact, noncompact zero sets satisfying (i)-(iv). As in 2.2 the sets $\{F_\alpha | \alpha \in A\}$, where $F_\alpha = \text{Cl}_\beta Z_\alpha - Z_\alpha$, are a partition of $\beta X - X$ into compact open sets. For $p \neq q$ in $R(X)$, choose π -open O according to (iv) which separates p and q . If some F_α meets both $\text{Ex}_\beta O$ and $\text{Ex}_\beta(X - \text{Cl}_X O)$, then both $Z_\alpha \cap \text{Cl}_X O$ and $Z_\alpha - O$ are noncompact, which contradicts (iv). Thus, for any such O , either $F_\alpha \subseteq \text{Ex}_\beta O$ or $F_\alpha \subseteq \text{Ex}_\beta(X - \text{Cl}_X O)$.

Now the decomposition $\{F_\alpha | \alpha \in A\} \cup \{\{x\} | x \in X\}$ of βX into compact sets can be projected onto its associated quotient space to obtain a Hausdorff compactification δX of X for which $\delta X - X$ is discrete, and the proof is complete.

4. METRIC SPACES WITH DISCRETE REMAINDERS

Recall that X is Čech complete whenever X is a G_δ -set in βX . In [13] Terada showed that a metric space X with nonempty compact residue has a compactification with a countable discrete remainder if and only if X is Čech

complete and rimcompact. Here we show that a metric space X with compact residue has a discrete remainder if and only if X is rimcompact, or equivalently, X is a 0-space. Moreover, any discrete remainder of a metric space must be countable.

In general, a set S in any space X is said to have countable character whenever S has a countable neighborhood base, and X is Lindelöf at infinity if every compact set is contained in a compact set of countable character. (See [9, p. 113].)

We shall need the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be any space with compact $R(X)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (A) X is Lindelöf at infinity;
- (B) $\alpha X - X$ is a Lindelöf space, for all compactifications $\alpha X - X$ of X ;
- (C) $\alpha X - X$ is a σ -compact, for all compactifications αX of X ;
- (D) X is Čech complete;
- (E) $R(X)$ is contained in a compact set of countable character.

Proof. That (A) and (B) are equivalent is Theorem 35, Chapter VI of [9], and (B) and (C) are equivalent when $\alpha X - X$ is locally compact by Theorem 7.2 of XI, [5]. That (C) and (D) are equivalent is obvious, as is (A) implies (E).

Now assume (E) and let K be a compact set of countable character containing $R(X)$. Let $\{G_n | n \in N\}$ be a countable open neighborhood base for K and take $F_n = X - G_n$, for all $n \in N$. Then $\hat{F}_n = \text{Cl}_{\beta X} F_n - F_n$ is a compact subset of $\beta X - X$. For $p \in \beta X - X$, there is a free z -ultrafilter \mathcal{F} in X which converges to p . Since K is compact, we can find $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $Z \cap K = \emptyset$. Thus, $Z \subseteq X - G_n = F_n$, for some n , so that $p \in \text{Cl}_\beta Z \subseteq \text{Cl}_\beta F_n$. Hence $p \in \hat{F}_n$, and $\{\hat{F}_n | n \in N\}$ is a cover of $\beta X - X$ by compact sets which insures that X is Čech complete. This completes the proof.

If δX and γX are compactifications of any nonlocally compact X with discrete remainders, then

$$\text{card}(\delta X - X) = \text{card}(\gamma X - X).$$

To see this, let t_δ and t_γ be the respective canonical mappings of βX onto δX and γX . For each $p \in \delta X - X$, $t_\delta^{-1}(p)$ is a compact open subset of $\beta X - X$. Similarly, $t_\gamma^{-1}(q)$ is compact and open in $\beta X - X$ for each $q \in \gamma X - X$. Since at most finitely many $t_\delta^{-1}(p)$'s can meet any $t_\gamma^{-1}(q)$ and vice versa, the result follows.

Note that in any metric space X all compact sets have countable character, so if X has compact residue, then X is Čech complete. Thus, the requirement that X be Čech complete can be omitted from Terada's theorem.

Using Terada's result and the fact that for metric spaces X is a 0-space if and only if X is rimcompact, the following is now immediate.

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a metric space with $R(X)$ compact. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (A) X is a 0-space;
- (B) X is rimcompact;
- (C) X has a discrete remainder of countable cardinality.

5. EXAMPLES

The following example shows that for X to have a discrete remainder it is not enough that $\phi X - X$ be 0-dimensional and locally compact.

Example 5.1. According to 2.1 of [14] we can find a locally compact, zero-dimensional Y for which $\beta Y - Y = I$. Then for any infinite discrete space Z , there is no continuous mapping t of Y onto Z . For, if such t exists, then t has a continuous extension t^β from βY onto βZ . It is readily seen that t^β must carry I onto $\beta Z - Z$, which is impossible since Z is strongly 0-dimensional and $\beta Z - Z$ is nontrivial.

Now set $X = W^* \times \beta Y - (\{\omega_1\} \times Y)$. Then $\beta X - X$ is 0-dimensional and locally compact but there can be no mapping of $\beta X - X$ onto a discrete $\alpha X - X$.

In Example 5.2 we use Theorem 3.2 to show that X does not possess a compactification with a discrete remainder.

Example 5.2. Let $Y = I \times I - \{(1/2, 1/n + 1) | n \in N\}$, $T = W^* \times (I \times I)$ and $X = W^* \times Y$. For each ordinal $\alpha \leq \omega_1$, set $X_\alpha = \{\alpha\} \times Y$, so that each X_α is a copy of Y . For notational convenience let $p_n^\alpha = (\alpha, (1/2, 1/n + 1))$, for all $\alpha \leq \omega_1$ and $n \in N$, and $p_0^\alpha = (\alpha, (1/2, 0))$, for all α . Clearly, X is a 0-space and since $R(X) = \{p_0^\alpha | \alpha \leq \omega_1\}$ is compact and totally disconnected, X is rimcompact by 2.4 of [4].

Suppose some compactification δX has discrete $\delta X - X$. Now there must exist a family of noncompact, locally compact zero-sets $\{Z_\gamma | \gamma \in A\}$ which satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 3.2. By (iii) of 3.2 each point $p_n^{\omega_1}$ must be contained in some $\text{Cl}_T Z_\gamma$ which we denote by $Z_{\gamma(n)}$. Then $Z_{\gamma(n)}$ is the zero-set of some $f_n \in C^*(X)$. The values of f_n on any X_α are determined uniquely by values of f_n on a countable dense subset of X_α and since f_n is “eventually constant” on a copy of W^* , one can find $\alpha(n) < \omega_1$ such that $f_n(\gamma, (x, y)) = f_n(\mu, (x, y))$, for all $\gamma, \mu \geq \alpha(n)$. Let $\alpha_0 = \sup_{n \in N} \alpha(n) < \omega_1$.

Since $R(X)$ is compact and totally disconnected, condition (iv) of Theorem 3.2 applies. Accordingly, let O be any π -open set which satisfies $p_0^{\omega_1} \in O$ and let \hat{O} , open in T , satisfy $\hat{O} \cap X = O$. We show that O cannot separate $p_0^{\alpha_0}$ and $p_0^{\omega_1}$. Now there is a $k \in N$ such that $p_n^{\omega_1} \in \hat{O}$, for all $n \geq k$. But if O is a “separating” set according to (iv) of 3.2, we require $Z_{\gamma(n)} - O$ or $Z_{\gamma(n)} \cap \text{Cl}_X O$ to be compact, for all $n \in N$. But $\text{Cl}_T Z_{\gamma(n)}$ contains p_n^α , for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and \hat{O} contains $p_n^{\omega_1}$, hence $\text{Cl}_T O$ contains p_n^α , for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and $n \geq k$. It follows that $p_0^\alpha \in \text{Cl}_X O$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, so that, in particular, O cannot separate $p_0^{\omega_1}$ and $p_0^{\alpha_0}$. This contradicts (iv) of 3.2, hence no $\delta X - X$ can be discrete.

Recall that for metric spaces, if $\delta X - X$ is discrete, then X must be rimcompact and Čech complete. However, the following is an example of a space with a discrete remainder which is neither rimcompact nor Čech complete.

Example 5.3. As in 2.1 of [14], choose a maximal family \mathcal{R} of almost disjoint infinite subsets of N such that the space $N \cup \mathcal{R}$ satisfies $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R}) - (N \cup \mathcal{R}) = I$. Then $X = W^* \times \beta(N \cup \mathcal{R}) - (\{\omega_1\} \times \mathcal{R})$, so that $R(X)$ is a copy of I and $\beta X - X = \phi X - X$ is a homeomorph of \mathcal{R} , hence is discrete. For

notational clarity, subspaces of $\{\omega_1\} \times \beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})$ will be regarded as subspaces of $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})$. We show that the points $1/3$ and $2/3$ in $R(X)$ cannot be separated by a π -open set in X .

For, suppose V is π -open in X with $1/3 \in V$ and $2/3 \notin \text{Cl}_X V$. Since βX is perfect, for $\gamma \in \mathcal{R} = \beta X - X$, either $\gamma \in \text{Ex}_\beta V$ or $\gamma \in \text{Ex}_\beta W$, where $W = X - \text{Cl}_X V$. Since $\text{Ex}_\beta V$ and $\text{Ex}_\beta W$ are disjoint, γ is not a boundary point of $\widehat{V} = \text{Ex}_\beta V \cap (N \cup \mathcal{R})$ nor of $\widehat{W} = \text{Ex}_\beta W \cap (N \cup \mathcal{R})$. Also, no point of N can be a boundary point of \widehat{V} or \widehat{W} . Thus \widehat{V} and \widehat{W} are clopen and disjoint in $N \cup \mathcal{R}$, so that $\text{Cl}_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})} \widehat{V} \cap \text{Cl}_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})} \widehat{W} = \phi$. But $\text{Cl}_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})} \widehat{V} = \text{Cl}_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})}(\text{Ex}_{\beta X} V \cap \beta(N \cup \mathcal{R}))$ contains $1/3$ and, similarly, $2/3 \in \text{Cl}_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})} \widehat{W}$. Since $\text{Cl}_{\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})} \widehat{V}$ is clopen in $\beta(N \cup \mathcal{R})$, its intersection with I now disconnects I , a contradiction.

Thus, no such V can exist and X is not rimcompact. By Proposition 4.1 and the fact that \mathcal{R} cannot be countable, it follows that X also fails to be Čech complete.

REFERENCES

1. G. L. Cain, *Countable compactifications*, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra VI (Proc. Sixth Prague Topological Symposium, 1986), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
2. R. E. Chandler, *Hausdorff compactifications*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 23, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1976.
3. B. Diamond, *Some properties of almost rimcompact spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **118** (1985), 63–77.
4. B. Diamond, J. Hatzenbuehler, and D. Mattson, *On when a 0-space is rimcompact*, Topology Proc. **13** (1988), 189–201.
5. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
6. W. Fleissner, J. Kulesza, and R. Levy, *Remainders of normal spaces*, Topology Appl. **49** (1993), 167–174.
7. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
8. J. Hatzenbuehler and D. Mattson, *Paracompact and metrizable remainders* (submitted).
9. J. R. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, Math. Surveys Monographs, vol. 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1962.
10. J. R. McCartney, *Maximum zero-dimensional compactifications*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **68** (1970), 653–661.
11. M. Rayburn, *On Hausdorff compactifications*, Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973), 707–714.
12. E. G. Sklyarenko, *Some questions in the theory of bicompletions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1966), 216–244.
13. T. Terada, *On countable discrete compactifications*, Topology Appl. **7** (1977), 321–327.
14. J. Terasawa, *Spaces $N \cup \mathcal{R}$ and their dimensions*, Topology Appl. **11** (1980), 93–102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MOORHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY, MOORHEAD, MINNESOTA 56563

E-mail address: hatzenbu@mhdma.moorhead.msus.edu

E-mail address: mattson@mhd1.moorhead.msus.edu