

## ON SOME IDEALS OF NEST ALGEBRAS

CHENGJUN HOU AND DEGUANG HAN

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to characterize the relations of some ideals of a nest algebra such as the Jacobson radical  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ , Larson strong radical  $R_{\mathcal{N}}^{\infty}$ , and ideal  $\mathcal{G}$ , and to describe all the ideals between  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ .

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a nest (i.e., a totally ordered complete set of projections containing  $O$  and  $I$  which is closed in the strong operator topology) on a separable Hilbert space  $H$ . We denote by  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  the algebra of all operators in  $B(H)$  that leave invariant every element of  $\mathcal{N}$ . A nest  $\mathcal{N}$  is continuous of its core, which is the von Neumann algebra generated by the elements of  $\mathcal{N}$ , is a nonatomic von Neumann algebra.  $K(H)$  denotes the set of all the compact operators in  $B(H)$ . We shall call a set  $\{P_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of intervals  $P_{\alpha} = M_{\alpha} - N_{\alpha}$ ,  $M_{\alpha}, N_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $N_{\alpha} < M_{\alpha}$ , of the nest  $\mathcal{N}$ , a partition of  $\mathcal{N}$  if the intervals are pairwise orthogonal and the sum  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_{\alpha} = I$  in the strong topology. Since  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space, then every partition is denumerable. Given a nest  $\mathcal{N}$ , Larson strong radical  $R_{\mathcal{N}}^{\infty}$  is the collection of all operators  $X$  in  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  for which, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $\{P_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\|P_{\alpha} X P_{\alpha}\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . If we restrict all partitions to be finite sets of intervals, we will obtain the Jacobson radical  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$  of  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  [4]. It is clear that  $R_{\mathcal{N}}^{\infty}$  always contain  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ . The ideals  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ ,  $R_{\mathcal{N}}^{\infty}$  have been studied by some authors ([3], [4]). In this paper, we will study the ideal  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  and its relations with  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $R_{\mathcal{N}}^{\infty}$ . Terms and notation not defined here are taken from [4].

**Definition 1.** If  $E_1, E_2$  are nonzero orthogonal intervals from  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $E_1 B(H) E_2 \subseteq \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , we say  $E_1, E_2$  are strictly ordered and write  $E_1 \ll E_2$ .

A mutually orthogonal family of intervals is said to be strictly ordered if it is linearly ordered by the relation  $\ll$ . The length of such a family is its cardinality.

---

Received by the editors February 7, 1994 and, in revised form, May 4, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47D25, 47D15, 47D47.

*Key words and phrases.* Nest algebra, ideals, Jacobson radical, Larson strong radical.

This research was supported by NSF of China and YSF of Shandong Province.

**Definition 2.** If  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define the  $\varepsilon$ -order of  $A$  to be the number

$$R_\varepsilon(A) = \text{Sup}\{n \mid \text{there exists a strictly ordered family } \mathcal{F} \text{ of length } n \text{ with } \|EAE\| \geq \varepsilon \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

**Definition 3.** Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \mid R_\varepsilon(A) < +\infty, \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_u = \{A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \mid \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} R_\varepsilon(A) < +\infty\},$$

$$R_{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha = \{A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N} \mid EAE = 0 \text{ for every atom } E \text{ of } \mathcal{N}\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_u, R_{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$  are ideals of  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ .  $\square$

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

In the paper [1], it is shown that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a norm-closed ideal of  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  which contains  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ . In this section, we will study the relations of  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_u, R_{\mathcal{N}}, R_{\mathcal{N}}^\infty$  and  $R_{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$ .

Let  $x \otimes y$  denote the rank-one operator acting on  $H$  such that  $x \otimes y(z) = \langle z, x \rangle y$ , for all  $z \in H$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathcal{G}_u$  is closed in the norm topology if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is a finite nest.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{N}$  is finite, then  $\mathcal{G}_u = \mathcal{G} = \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}_u$  is norm-closed.

Now suppose  $\mathcal{G}_u$  is a norm-closed ideal; we claim that  $\mathcal{N}$  is finite. Otherwise, there exists  $P \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $P = P_-$  ( $P \neq 0$ ) or  $P = P_+$  ( $P \neq I$ ). We only need to consider the case:  $P = P_-$  ( $P \neq 0$ ). Choose  $\{P_n\}, P_n \in \mathcal{N}$ , such that  $P_n < P_{n+1}, P = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n$  in the strong operator topology. Let  $E_n = P_{n+1} - P_n$ , and  $x_n \in E_n$  such that  $\|x_n\| = 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Let  $T_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} x_{k+1} \otimes x_k$ , and  $T = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k^2} x_{k+1} \otimes x_k$ ; then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = T$  in the norm topology and  $T_n \in \mathcal{G}_u$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Now we prove that  $T \notin \mathcal{G}_u$ . For arbitrary positive integer  $M$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{(2M-1)^2}$ . Let  $F_k = P_{2k+1} - P_{2k-1}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ), thereby,  $\|F_k T F_k\| = \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} \geq \frac{1}{(2M-1)^2} > \varepsilon$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ), and  $R_\varepsilon(T) \geq M$ . Since  $M$  is arbitrary, we have  $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} R_\varepsilon(T) = +\infty$ . Thus  $T \notin \mathcal{G}_u$ , which contradicts the closedness of  $\mathcal{G}_u$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a nest. Then

- (1)  $R_{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha \cap \mathcal{G} = R_{\mathcal{N}}^\infty \cap \mathcal{G} = R_{\mathcal{N}}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{G} = R_{\mathcal{N}}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is continuous.
- (3)  $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq R_{\mathcal{N}}^\infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is continuous.
- (4)  $\mathcal{G} \not\supseteq R_{\mathcal{N}}^\infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is finite.

For arbitrary nest  $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{G} \neq R_{\mathcal{N}}^\infty$ .

*Proof.* (1) The chain  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq R_{\mathcal{N}}^\infty \cap \mathcal{G} \subseteq R_{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha \cap \mathcal{G}$  is evident. It remains to show that each  $X \in R_{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha \cap \mathcal{G}$  belongs to  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Apply Ringrose's Criterion, using the seminorms  $i_N^+(X)$  and  $i_N^-(X)$  (see [4]). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . By symmetry it suffices to show that  $i_N^+(X) \leq \varepsilon$ . If  $N^+ > N$ , then  $N^+ - N$  is an atom and

$$i_N^+(X) = \|(N^+ - N)X(N^+ - N)\| = 0.$$

Otherwise there is a sequence  $N_n$  decreasing to  $N$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Suppose that  $i_N^+(X) > \varepsilon$ . For each fixed  $k, (N_k - N_n)X(N_k - N_n)$  converges strongly to

$(N_k - N)X(N_k - N)$ , which is greater than  $\varepsilon$  in norm. So, by the strong lower semicontinuity of the norm there is a  $k'$  such that

$$\|(N_k - N_{k'})X(N_k - N_{k'})\| > \varepsilon.$$

Passing to a subsequence, we can assume

$$\|(N_k - N_{k+1})X(N_k - N_{k+1})\| > \varepsilon$$

for all  $k$ . But this means that  $R_\varepsilon(X) = +\infty$ , which is a contradiction.

(2) It is easy to prove that  $E \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $E \notin R_{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$ , for every atom  $E$ . By (1),  $\mathcal{G} = R_{\mathcal{N}}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq R_{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is continuous.

For (3), (4), by (1), we can prove them easily.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a nest. Then*

- (1)  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{G}_u}$ , where the closure is in the norm topology.
- (2)  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}_u$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is finite.
- (3)  $\mathcal{G}_u \subset R_{\mathcal{N}}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is continuous.

For arbitrary nest  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \neq \mathcal{G}_u$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $R_{\mathcal{N}} = \overline{\text{span}\{PAP^\perp \mid P \in \mathcal{N}, A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}\}}$ , where the closure is in the norm topology, and  $PAP^\perp \in \mathcal{G}_u$ , for every  $P \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , (1) holds.

(2) If  $\mathcal{N}$  is finite, then  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}_u = \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  is infinite, we have constructed  $T \in R_{\mathcal{N}}$ , but  $T \notin \mathcal{G}_u$  in the proof of Theorem 1. This contradicts  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}_u$ .

(3) If  $\mathcal{N}$  is continuous, from Theorem 2 (2),  $\mathcal{G} = R_{\mathcal{N}} \supset \mathcal{G}_u$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  is not continuous, then there exists an atom  $E$ . Let  $x, y \in E$  such that  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ ; then  $x \otimes y \notin R_{\mathcal{N}}$ ,  $x \otimes y \in \mathcal{G}_u$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}_u \not\subseteq R_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

In the paper [2], Lance gave a standard form for all ideals containing the radical of a nest algebra, but not in a very explicit manner. In the last theorem of this paper, we will, by the index function of atoms, characterize all the norm-closed ideals between  $R_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  in an explicit way.

Let  $\{E_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let

$$\text{ind}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dim E_\alpha < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \dim E_\alpha = \infty \end{cases}$$

denote the index function of  $\{E_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi \mid \varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\} \text{ such that } \varphi(\alpha) \leq \text{ind}(\alpha) + 1\}$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , let

$$M_{\varphi(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varphi(\alpha) = 0, \\ E_\alpha K(H) E_\alpha & \text{if } \varphi(\alpha) = 1, \\ E_\alpha B(H) E_\alpha & \text{if } \varphi(\alpha) = 2. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 4.** For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ , let

$$\mathcal{D}_\varphi = \left\{ T \mid \begin{array}{l} T = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} T_\alpha, T_\alpha \in M_{\varphi(\alpha)}, \\ \lim \|T_\alpha\| = 0 \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $\lim \|T_\alpha\| = 0$  means: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , set  $\{\alpha \in \Lambda \mid \|T_\alpha\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is finite, and let

$$\mathcal{G}_\varphi = R_{\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathcal{D}_\varphi.$$

In the next theorem, we will identify  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \oplus D_0$ , where  $D_0$  is the set of operators which are norm convergent sums of the form  $\sum E_i T E_i$  (with  $E_i$  an enumeration of the atoms). Clearly,  $D_0 = D_{\varphi_0}$ , where

$$\varphi_0(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{ind}(\alpha) = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } \text{ind}(\alpha) = 1. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a nest. Then*

- (1) *If  $I$  is a closed two-sided ideal of  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  in the norm topology and  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq I \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , then there exists  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $I = \mathcal{G}_\varphi$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{G} = R_{\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathcal{D}_0$ .*
- (2) *For every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_\varphi$  is a norm-closed two-sided ideal of  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , and  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ .*
- (3)  *$\mathcal{G}_\varphi = \mathcal{G}_{\varphi'}$  if and only if  $\varphi = \varphi'$ .*

*Proof.* (1) For every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $E_\alpha I E_\alpha$  is an ideal of  $E_\alpha(\text{Alg } \mathcal{N})E_\alpha$ , which is closed in the norm topology. If  $\text{ind}(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $E_\alpha I E_\alpha = 0$  or  $E_\alpha I E_\alpha = E_\alpha B(H)E_\alpha = E_\alpha K(H)E_\alpha$ . If  $\text{ind}(\alpha) = 1$ , then  $E_\alpha I E_\alpha = 0$  or  $E_\alpha I E_\alpha = E_\alpha K(H)E_\alpha$  or  $E_\alpha I E_\alpha = E_\alpha B(H)E_\alpha \neq E_\alpha K(H)E_\alpha$ . Let

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E_\alpha I E_\alpha = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } E_\alpha I E_\alpha = E_\alpha K(H)E_\alpha, \\ 2 & \text{if } E_\alpha I E_\alpha = E_\alpha B(H)E_\alpha \neq E_\alpha K(H)E_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $M_{\varphi(\alpha)} \subseteq I$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{G}_\varphi$ , we have  $\mathcal{G}_\varphi \subseteq I$ . On the other hand, for  $T \in I$ , let  $S = T - \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} T_\alpha$ , where  $T_\alpha = E_\alpha T E_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Since  $T \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} T_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\lim \|T_\alpha\| = 0$ . Therefore  $S \in \mathcal{G}$ . Furthermore  $E_\alpha S E_\alpha = 0$ , for  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ; then  $S \in R_{\mathcal{N}}$  and so  $S \in R_{\mathcal{N}} \cap \mathcal{G} = R_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Thus  $T = S + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} T_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\varphi$ . Since  $T$  is arbitrary, we have  $I \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\varphi$ , which implies that  $I = \mathcal{G}_\varphi$ .

(2) Letting  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ , by the definition of  $\mathcal{G}_\varphi$ , we know that  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\varphi \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ . Let  $I$  be the norm-closed two-sided ideal of  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$  generated by  $\mathcal{G}_\varphi$ . Then  $R_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq I \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ . By the proof of (1), we have  $I = \mathcal{G}_\varphi$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}_\varphi$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$ , which is closed in the norm topology.

(3) If  $\varphi \neq \varphi'$ , then there exists  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  such that  $\varphi(\alpha) \neq \varphi'(\alpha)$ . Thus  $M_{\varphi(\alpha)} \neq M_{\varphi'(\alpha)}$ , and therefore, by a trivial argument,  $\mathcal{G}_\varphi \neq \mathcal{G}_{\varphi'}$ .

The following corollary follows Theorem 3 immediately.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $s$  denote the cardinality of set  $\{I | R_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq I \subseteq \mathcal{G}, I \text{ is a norm closed two-sided ideal of } \text{Alg } \mathcal{N}\}$ . Then*

(1) *If  $\text{ind}(\alpha) = 0$ , for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then  $s = 2^\Lambda$ , where  $2^\Lambda$  denotes the cardinality of set which consists of all subsets of  $\Lambda$ .*

(2) *If  $\text{ind}(\alpha) = 1$ , for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then  $s = 3^\Lambda$ , where  $3^\Lambda$  denotes the cardinality of the set which consists of all maps from  $\Lambda$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ . Particularly, if  $\bar{\Lambda} = n$  (i.e. the cardinality of  $\Lambda$ ) and  $\text{ind}(\alpha) = 1$  for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then  $s = 3^n$ .*

(3) *If  $\bar{\Lambda} = n$ ,  $\bar{\Lambda}_0 = \{\alpha | \text{ind}(\alpha) = 0\}$ ,  $\bar{\Lambda}_1 = \{\alpha | \text{ind}(\alpha) = 1\}$ , and  $\bar{\Lambda}_0 = m$ ,  $\bar{\Lambda}_1 = n - m$ , then  $s = 2^m \cdot 3^{n-m}$ .*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are very grateful to the referee for providing a short proof of the Theorem 2 and some other suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. Alan Hopenwasser and David Larson, *The carrier space of a reflexive operator algebra*, Pacific J. Math. **81** (1979), 417–434.
2. E. C. Lance, *Some properties of nest algebra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **19** (1969), 45–68.
3. D. R. Larson, *Nest algebras and similarity transforms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **121** (1985), 409–427.
4. J. R. Ringrose, *On some algebras of operators*, London Math. Soc. **15** (1965), 61–83.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, QUFU, SHANDONG 273165,  
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA