

THE TATE CONJECTURE FOR t -MOTIVES

YUICHIRO TAGUCHI

(Communicated by Eric Friedlander)

ABSTRACT. A version of the Tate conjecture is proved for φ -modules of “ t -motive type”.

In this note, we formulate a version of the Tate conjecture for φ -modules, and give a proof of it in a special but essential case. Similar results have been obtained independently by Tamagawa [3] in a more general setting.

Let K be an algebraic function field in one variable over a finite field, whose field of constants is \mathbb{F}_q , π a place of K , and K_π the completion of K at π . Let k be any field containing \mathbb{F}_q . We set $K_k := k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} K$, and denote by $K_{k,\pi}$ the completion of K_k with respect to the π -adic topology (these may not be fields). Let σ be the endomorphism (q -th power Frobenius of k) \otimes (id_K) of K_k , and also its natural extension to $K_{k,\pi}$. By a φ -module (M, φ) (or simply M) over K_k (resp. over $K_{k,\pi}$), we mean a free K_k -module (resp. $K_{k,\pi}$ -module) M of finite rank equipped with a σ -semi-linear map $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$. Morphisms of φ -modules are defined naturally. Tensor products $M \otimes N$ (with diagonal φ -action) exist. Internal homs $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ (with $\varphi : “f \mapsto \varphi_N \circ f \circ \varphi_M^{-1}”$) may or may not exist. For a φ -module M , let M^φ denote the fixed part of M by φ . This is a K -subspace (resp. K_π -subspace) of M . If the internal hom $H = \text{Hom}(M, N)$ exists, then H^φ is the space $\text{Hom}_\varphi(M, N)$ of φ -module homomorphisms of M to N . Now the Tate conjecture in our context is

Conjecture. Let M be a φ -module over K_k . If k is of finite type over \mathbb{F}_q , then the natural map of K_π -vector spaces

$$K_\pi \otimes_K M^\varphi \rightarrow (K_{k,\pi} \otimes_{K_k} M)^\varphi$$

is an isomorphism.

In general, this is not true (cf. [3]).

Equivalently, by fixing a K_k -basis of M , the conjecture can be stated also as follows: Let A be a matrix in $M_r(K_k)$. Consider the linear Frobenius equation

$$(*) \quad AX^\sigma = X,$$

Received by the editors April 28, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G09.

Partially supported by JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research Abroad.

where the indeterminate X is considered in $K_{k,\pi}^{\oplus r}$, on which σ acts component-wise. Let V (resp. V_π) be the space of solutions of (*) in $K_k^{\oplus r}$ (resp. $K_{k,\pi}^{\oplus r}$). Then the natural map of K_π -vector spaces $K_\pi \otimes_K V \rightarrow V_\pi$ is an isomorphism.

These problems can (and should) be considered also with K_k replaced by certain localizations of it.

The injectivity is easy to see, so the essence is in the surjectivity.

A reduction can be made: suppose K' is a subfield of K which contains \mathbb{F}_q and over which K is finite. Let π' be the restriction of π to K' . A φ -module M over K_k can be regarded as a φ -module over K'_k . If the conjecture is true for (K', π', M) , then it is also true for (K, π, M) (use the identification $K'_{\pi'} \otimes_{K'} K = \prod_{\pi|_{\pi'}} K_\pi$, etc.). So we may and do assume $K = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ and identify π with a monic irreducible element of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$. Replacing K again by the subfield $\mathbb{F}_q(\pi)$, we may assume $\pi = t$ (so K_k is the polynomial ring $k[t]$ to which the inverses of all monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ have been adjoined, and $K_{k,\pi} = k((t))$).

Now we prove the conjecture assuming that k is a function field in one variable over \mathbb{F}_q (this is not essential) and that M comes from t -motives of characteristic different from π , by which we mean the following (cf. [1]): there exist a non-zero element θ of k and positive integers d and d' such that the map φ is represented with respect to some K_k -basis of M by a matrix A of the form

$$A = (t - \theta)^d B^{-1},$$

where B is a matrix in $M_r(k[t])$ with $\det B$ of the form $u(t - \theta)^{d'}$, $u \in k^\times$ (so we allow any $A \in GL_r(k[t, \frac{1}{t-\theta}])$ in (*), which may not be in $M_r(K_k)$).

We will show that, if the equation (*) has a solution \hat{x} in $K_{k,\pi}^{\oplus r}$, then it has a solution \underline{x} in $K_k^{\oplus r}$ which is sufficiently close (in the t -adic = π -adic topology) to \hat{x} (so that, if (\hat{x}_i) is a basis for V_π , so is (\underline{x}_i) for V). By assumption, we have

$$(**) \quad (t - \theta)^d \hat{x}^\sigma = B \hat{x}, \quad \theta \neq 0.$$

Write $(t - \theta)^d = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i t^i$ (with $\theta_i \in k$); $B = \sum_{i=0}^N B_i t^i$ (with $B_i \in M_r(k)$); and $\hat{x} = \sum_{i \geq 0} x_i t^i$ (with $x_i \in k^{\oplus r}$). Then (**) yields

$$(***) \quad \theta_0 x_i^\sigma + \dots + \theta_d x_{i-d}^\sigma = B_0 x_i + \dots + B_N x_{i-N}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

(Here negatively indexed terms are zero.) For any valuation v of k , let $v(x_i)$ denote the minimum of the valuations of the entries of x_i , and $v(B)$ the minimum of the valuations of the entries of B_i for all $i \geq 0$. If $v(\theta) \leq 0$, then

$$v(x_i) \geq \min\{v(x_{i-1}), \dots, v(x_{i-d}), \frac{v(x_i) + v(B)}{q}, \dots, \frac{v(x_{i-N}) + v(B)}{q}\},$$

so we see recursively that $v(x_i) \geq v(B)/(q - 1)$ for all $i \geq 0$. If $v(\theta) > 0$, we replace X in (**) by $\theta^{-e} X$ (resp. B by $\theta^{e(q-1)} B$) for some e to have $v(\theta^{e(q-1)} B) \geq 0$. Then Anderson's arguments¹ in §4 of [2] imply the

¹His arguments there show in particular the following: let \mathcal{O} be the integer ring of the completion k_v of k at the place v . Let B be a matrix in $M_r(\mathcal{O}[[t]])$ such that $\det B = u(t - \theta)^{d'}$ with a non-zero $u \in \mathcal{O}$. Then any solution X to the equation $(t - \theta)^d X^\sigma = BX$ in $k_v[[t]]^{\oplus r}$ is

holomorphy of the new solution $\theta^e \hat{x}$, hence the old solution \hat{x} satisfies $v(x_i) \geq -ev(\theta)$ for all $i \geq 0$. Thus the values $v(x_i)$, $i \geq 0$, are bounded below for all valuations v of k , by constants which are non-negative for almost all v . By the bounded height theorem, there appear in fact only finitely many x_i 's in the sequence x_0, x_1, \dots . Accordingly, there appear only finitely many equations (***), and one can choose a "periodic" (except for finitely many terms) solution $\underline{x} = \sum x'_i t^i$ in $K_{k,\pi}^{\oplus r}$ to (***) closely enough to the original \hat{x} . Periodicity implies that \underline{x} is rational with denominator in $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, hence $\underline{x} \in K_k^{\oplus r}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank Greg Anderson for a careful reading of the manuscript and helpful comments. I also thank Akio Tamagawa for his encouragement, and the Institute for Advanced Study for its hospitality.

REFERENCES

1. G. Anderson, *t*-motives, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 457–502.
2. ———, *On Tate modules of formal t-modules*, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2** (1993), 41–52.
3. A. Tamagawa, *The Tate conjecture for A-premotives*, preprint.

TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-03, JAPAN
E-mail address: taguchi@math.metro-u.ac.jp

automatically in $\mathcal{O}[[t]]^{\oplus r}$. (The proof is found in the last page of [2], except that we need to use his Lemma 7 for a more general $\Phi = B$ as above, with the maximal ideal \mathcal{A}^{sep} in the statement replaced by the integer ring \mathcal{O}^{sep} of a separable closure of k_v . This can be proved easily by looking at each term of the t -adic expansion of the given equation, just as we did in (***)).