

ALGEBRAIC AND TRIANGULAR n -HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

EUNGIL KO

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we shall prove that if an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bigoplus_1^n \mathbf{H})$ is a finite triangular operator matrix with hyponormal operators on main diagonal, then T is subscalar. As corollaries we get the following:

- (1) Every algebraic operator is subscalar.
- (2) Every operator on a finite-dimensional complex space is subscalar.
- (3) Every triangular n -hyponormal operator is subscalar.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{K} be separable, complex Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K})$ denote the space of all linear, bounded operators from \mathbf{H} to \mathbf{K} . If $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{K}$, we write $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$ in place of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K})$. An operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$ is called hyponormal if $TT^* \leq T^*T$ or, equivalently, if $\|T^*h\| \leq \|Th\|$ for each h in \mathbf{H} .

A linear bounded operator S on \mathbf{H} is called scalar of order m if it possesses a spectral distribution of order m , i.e., if there is a continuous unital morphism of topological algebras

$$\Phi: C_0^m(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$$

such that $\Phi(z) = S$, where as usual z stands for the identity function on \mathbf{C} and $C_0^m(\mathbf{C})$ stands for the space of compactly supported functions on \mathbf{C} , continuously differentiable of order m , $0 \leq m \leq \infty$. An operator is subscalar if it is similar to the restriction of a scalar operator to a closed invariant subspace.

This paper is divided into four sections. Section 2 deals with some preliminary facts. In section 3, we shall state the Putinar theorem. In section 4, we shall prove our main theorem and several corollaries.

2. PRELIMINARIES

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$ is said to satisfy the single-valued extension property if for any open subset U in \mathbf{C} , the function

$$z - T: O(U, \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow O(U, \mathbf{H})$$

defined by the obvious pointwise multiplication is one-to-one where $O(U, \mathbf{H})$ denotes the Fréchet space of \mathbf{H} -valued analytic functions on U with respect to

Received by the editors March 2, 1994 and, in revised form, June 13, 1994; the results of this paper were delivered in a talk at the Korean Mathematical Society Spring Conference in April 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B20; Secondary 47B38.

The author was partially supported by GARC.

uniform topology. If, in addition, the above function $z - T$ has closed range on $O(U, \mathbf{H})$, then T satisfies the Bishop's condition (β) .

In other terms, condition (β) means that, for any open set U and any sequence of analytic functions $f_n \in O(U, \mathbf{H})$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0$ in $O(U, \mathbf{H})$ whenever $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (z - T)f_n = 0$. In particular, $(z - T)g = 0$ if and only if $g = 0$, where $g \in O(U, \mathbf{H})$.

2.1 Lemma ([MP], Theorem 5.5). *Every hyponormal operator has property (β) .*

Let z be the coordinate in the complex plane \mathbf{C} , and let $d\mu(z)$, or simply $d\mu$, denote the planar Lebesgue measure. Fix a complex (separable) Hilbert space \mathbf{H} and a bounded (connected) open subset U of \mathbf{C} . We shall denote by $L^2(U, \mathbf{H})$ the Hilbert space of measurable functions $f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$, such that

$$\|f\|_{2,U} = \left(\int_U \|f(z)\|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The space of functions $f \in L^2(U, \mathbf{H})$ which are analytic functions in U (i.e., $\bar{\partial}f = 0$) is denoted by

$$A^2(U, \mathbf{H}) = L^2(U, \mathbf{H}) \cap O(U, \mathbf{H}).$$

$A^2(U, \mathbf{H})$ is called the Bergman space for U . Note that $A^2(U, \mathbf{H})$ is complete (i.e., $A^2(U, \mathbf{H})$ is a Hilbert space).

Let P denote the orthogonal projection of $L^2(U, \mathbf{H})$ onto $A^2(U, \mathbf{H})$. Let $L^\infty(U, \mathbf{H})$ denote the Banach space of essentially bounded \mathbf{H} -valued functions on U . Let \bar{U} be the closure in \mathbf{C} of the open set U , and let $C^p(\bar{U}, \mathbf{H})$ denote the space of continuously differentiable functions on \bar{U} of order p , $0 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Cauchy-Pompeiu formula. For a bounded disk D ,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(s)}{s-z} ds - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{\bar{\partial}f(s)}{s-z} d\mu(s)$$

where $z \in D$ and $f \in C^2(\bar{D}, \mathbf{H})$.

Remark. The function $g(z) = \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(s)}{s-z} d\mu(s)$ appearing in the Cauchy-Pompeiu formula is analytic in D and continuous on \bar{D} , in particular $g \in A^2(D, \mathbf{H})$ for $f \in C^2(\bar{D}, \mathbf{H})$.

Let us now define a special Sobolev type space. Let U again be a bounded open subset of \mathbf{C} and m be a fixed non-negative integer. The vector-valued Sobolev space $W^m(U, \mathbf{H})$ with respect to $\bar{\partial}$ and of order m will be the space of those functions $f \in L^2(U, \mathbf{H})$ whose derivatives $\bar{\partial}f, \dots, \bar{\partial}^m f$ in the sense of distributions still belong to $L^2(U, \mathbf{H})$. Endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^m}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^m \|\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,U}^2$$

$W^m(U, \mathbf{H})$ becomes a Hilbert space contained continuously in $L^2(U, \mathbf{H})$.

Let U be a (connected) bounded open subset of \mathbf{C} , and let m be a non-negative integer. The linear operator M of multiplication by z on $W^m(U, \mathbf{H})$ is continuous and has a spectral distribution of order m , defined by the relation

$$\Phi_M: C_0^m(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(W^m(U, \mathbf{H})), \quad \Phi_M(f) = M_f.$$

Therefore, M is a scalar operator of order m . Let

$$V: W^m(U, \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_0^m L^2(U, \mathbf{H})$$

be the operator $V(f) = (f, \bar{\partial}f, \dots, \bar{\partial}^m f)$. Then V is an isometry such that $VM_z = (\bigoplus_0^m M_z)V$. Therefore, M_z is a subnormal operator.

3. PUTINAR'S THEOREM

Let T be in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$. Then for a given open bounded subset D of \mathbf{C} , $z - T$ acts (linearly and) continuously on the space $W^2(D, \mathbf{H})$.

3.1 Lemma ([Pu], Lemma 1.1). *If U and V are bounded connected open sets in \mathbf{C} , and if V is relatively compact in U , then there is a constant $c > 0$, such that*

$$\|f\|_{\infty, V} \leq c\|f\|_{2, U}$$

for every f in $A^2(U, \mathbf{H})$.

3.2 Proposition ([Pu], Proposition 2.1). *For a bounded disk D in complex plane there is a constant C_D , such that for an arbitrary operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$ and f in $W^2(D, \mathbf{H})$ we have*

$$\|(I - P)f\|_{2, D} \leq C_D(\|(z - t)^*\bar{\partial}f\|_{2, D} + \|(z - T)^*\bar{\partial}^2 f\|_{2, D})$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, \mathbf{H})$ onto the Bergman space $A^2(D, \mathbf{H})$.

3.3 Corollary ([Pu], Corollary 2.2). *If T is hyponormal, then*

$$\|(I - P)f\|_{2, D} \leq C_D(\|(z - T)\bar{\partial}f\|_{2, D} + \|(z - T)\bar{\partial}^2 f\|_{2, D}).$$

3.4 Theorem ([Pu], Theorem 1). *Any hyponormal operator is subscalar of order 2.*

Proof. Let T be a hyponormal operator on the Hilbert space \mathbf{H} . Consider an arbitrary bounded open subset D of \mathbf{C} and the quotient space

$$H(D) = \frac{W^2(D, \mathbf{H})}{\text{cl}(z - T)W^2(D, \mathbf{H})}$$

endowed with the Hilbert space norm. The class of a vector f or an operator A on this quotient will be denoted by \tilde{f} , respectively \tilde{A} .

Note that M , the operator of multiplication by z on $W^2(D, \mathbf{H})$, leaves invariant $\text{ran}(z - T)$, hence \tilde{M} is well defined.

On the other hand, the map

$$\Phi: C_0^2(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(W^2(D, \mathbf{H})), \quad \Phi(f) = M_f$$

is a spectral distribution for M , of order 2. Thus the operator M is C^2 -scalar. Since $\text{ran}(z - T)$ is invariant under every operator M_f , $f \in C_0^2(\mathbf{C})$, we infer that \tilde{M} is a C^2 -scalar operator with spectral distribution Φ .

Define

$$V: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \frac{W^2(D, \mathbf{H})}{\text{cl}(z - T)W^2(D, \mathbf{H})}$$

by $V(h) = 1 \otimes h$ where $1 \otimes h$ denotes the constant h . Then

$$VT = \widetilde{M}V.$$

Indeed, $VT h = (1 \otimes Th)^\sim = (z \otimes h)^\sim = \widetilde{M}(1 \otimes h)^\sim = \widetilde{M}Vh$. In particular $\text{ran} V$ is an invariant subspace for \widetilde{M} . In order to conclude the proof of this theorem, it is enough to show the following lemma.

3.5 Lemma ([Pu], Lemma 2.3). *Let D be a bounded disk which contains $\sigma(T)$. Then the operator V is one-to-one and has closed range.*

Proof. We have to prove the following assertion: If h_n in \mathbf{H} and f_n in $W^2(D, \mathbf{H})$ are sequences such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(z - T)f_n + h_n\|_{W^2} = 0,$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$. The assumption (1) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|(z - T)\bar{\partial} f_n\|_{2,D} + \|(z - T)\bar{\partial}^2 f_n\|_{2,D}) = 0.$$

By Corollary 3.3,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P)f_n\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

Then by (1),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(z - T)Pf_n + h_n\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

Let Γ be a curve in D surrounding $\sigma(T)$. Then for $z \in \Gamma$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Pf_n(z) + (z - T)^{-1}h_n\| = 0$$

uniformly by the preceding consequence of Proposition 3.2. Hence,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} Pf_n(z) dz + h_n \right\| \rightarrow 0.$$

But $\int_{\Gamma} Pf_n dz = 0$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$. \square

4. MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we shall prove that every algebraic and triangular n -hyponormal operator is subscalar.

4.1 Definition. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$ is algebraic if there is a non-zero polynomial p such that $p(T) = 0$.

4.2 Definition. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$ is nilpotent if $T^n = 0$ for some integer n .

4.3 Proposition. *Every nilpotent operator is an algebraic operator.*

An interesting characterization of algebraic operators was given by P. R. Halmos.

4.4 Theorem ([Ha]). *If T is an algebraic operator and p is a polynomial of minimal degree n such that $p(T) = 0$, then T is unitarily equivalent to a finite operator matrix of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & T_{12} & \dots & \dots & T_{1n} \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & T_{23} & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \alpha_{n-1} & T_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where α_i are the roots of the polynomial p .

The following theorem will be proved in this paper.

4.5 Theorem. *If an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bigoplus_1^n \mathbf{H})$ is a finite operator matrix of the form*

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \dots & \dots & T_{1n} \\ 0 & T_{22} & T_{23} & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & T_{n-1,n-1} & T_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & T_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $T_{i,i}$ are hyponormal for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then T is a subscalar operator of order $2n$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary bounded open subset D of \mathbf{C} which contains $\sigma(T)$ and the quotient space

$$H(D) = \frac{\bigoplus_1^n W^{2n}(D, \mathbf{H})}{\text{cl}(T - z) \bigoplus_1^n W^{2n}(D, \mathbf{H})}$$

endowed with the Hilbert space norm. Let M_z be the multiplication operator with z on $W^{2n}(D, \mathbf{H})$. Then $\bigoplus_1^n M_z$ is a C^{2n} -scalar subnormal operator and its spectral distribution is

$$\Phi: \bigoplus_1^n C_0^{2n}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bigoplus_1^n W^{2n}(D, \mathbf{H})), \quad \Phi(\bigoplus_1^n f_i) = \bigoplus_1^n M_{f_i}.$$

Since M_z commutes with M_{T-z} , $\bigoplus_1^n \widetilde{M}_z$ is still a scalar operator of order $2n$, with $\widetilde{\Phi}$ as spectral distribution.

Let $\bigoplus_1^n V$ be the operator

$$(\bigoplus_1^n V)(\bigoplus_1^n h_i) = (1 \otimes h_1, \dots, 1 \otimes h_n)^t + \overline{(T - z) \bigoplus_1^n W^{2n}(D, \mathbf{H})},$$

from $\bigoplus_1^n \mathbf{H}$ into $H(D)$, denoting by $(1 \otimes h_1, \dots, 1 \otimes h_n)^t$ the constant function $\bigoplus_1^n h_i$. Then

$$(\bigoplus_1^n V)T = (\bigoplus_1^n \widetilde{M}_z)(\bigoplus_1^n V)$$

In particular, $\text{ran}(\bigoplus_1^n V)$ is an invariant subspace for $\bigoplus_1^n \widetilde{M}_z$. In order to conclude the proof of this theorem, it is enough to show Lemma 4.6.

4.6 Lemma. *Let D be a bounded disk which contains $\sigma(T)$. Then the operator $\bigoplus_1^n V: \bigoplus_1^n \mathbf{H} \rightarrow H(D)$ is one-to-one and has closed range.*

Proof of Lemma 4.6. Let $\bigoplus_1^n h_i^k \in \bigoplus_1^n \mathbf{H}$ and $\bigoplus_1^n f_i^k \in \bigoplus_1^n W^{2n}(D, \mathbf{H})$ be sequences (in k) such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - z) \bigoplus_1^n f_i^k + \bigoplus_1^n (1 \otimes h_i^k)\|_{\bigoplus_1^n W^{2n}} = 0.$$

It suffices to show that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bigoplus_1^n h_i^k = 0$. The limit given directly above can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{11} - z)f_1^k + T_{12}f_2^k + \cdots + T_{1n}f_n^k + 1 \otimes h_1^k\|_{W^{2n}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{j,j} - z)f_j^k + T_{j,j+1}f_{j+1}^k + \cdots + T_{j,n}f_n^k + 1 \otimes h_j^k\|_{W^{2n}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{n,n} - z)f_n^k + 1 \otimes h_n^k\|_{W^{2n}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

In order to prove Lemma 4.6 we need the following:

Fact. For $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{cases} (1, 1) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{11} - z)f_1^k + T_{12}f_2^k + \cdots + T_{1t}f_t^k + 1 \otimes h_1^k\|_{W^{2t}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{j,j} - z)f_j^k + T_{j,j+1}f_{j+1}^k + \cdots + T_{j,t}f_t^k + 1 \otimes h_j^k\|_{W^{2t}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{t,t} - z)f_t^k + 1 \otimes h_t^k\|_{W^{2t}} = 0. \end{array} \right. \\ (1, j) \\ (1, t) \end{cases}$$

We prove this fact by induction. We assume that Lemma 4.7 holds for some given $t = 2, 3, \dots, n$. We only need to verify that

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{11} - z)f_1^k + T_{12}f_2^k + \cdots + T_{1,t-1}f_{t-1}^k + 1 \otimes h_1^k\|_{W^{2(t-1)}} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{t-1,t-1} - z)f_{t-1}^k + 1 \otimes h_{t-1}^k\|_{W^{2(t-1)}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

However the reader will note that this result follows directly from $(1, 1), \dots, (1, t)$ provided $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k\|_{2,D} = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2(t-1)$. So this will be shown to be true.

Claim 1. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_i^k = 0$.

The proof of Lemma 3.5 suitably modified to include the higher order partials with $T = T_{i,t}$ shows the claim to be true.

Claim 2. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P)\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k\|_{2,D} = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, 2(t-1)$.

By Claim 1 and the equation $(1, t)$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{t,t} - z)f_t^k\|_{W^{2t}} = 0$. Then

we can apply Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 with $T = T_{t,t}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(I - P)(f_t^k, \bar{\partial} f_t^k, \dots, \bar{\partial}^{2t-2} f_t^k)\|_{2,D} \\ & \leq C_D(\|(T_{t,t} - z)^*(\bar{\partial} f_t^k, \dots, \bar{\partial}^{2t-1} f_t^k)\|_{2,D} \\ & \quad + \|(T_{t,t} - z)^*(\bar{\partial}^2 f_t^k, \dots, \bar{\partial}^{2t} f_t^k)\|_{2,D}) \\ & \leq C_D(\|(T_{t,t} - z)(\bar{\partial} f_t^k, \dots, \bar{\partial}^{2t-1} f_t^k)\|_{2,D} \\ & \quad + \|(T_{t,t} - z)(\bar{\partial}^2 f_t^k, \dots, \bar{\partial}^{2t} f_t^k)\|_{2,D}), \end{aligned}$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $\bigoplus_{2t-1} L^2(D, \mathbf{H})$ onto the Bergman space $\bigoplus_{2t-1} A^2(D, \mathbf{H})$. Thus Claim 2 follows from (1, t).

By Claim 2, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2(t - 1)$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{t,t} - z)\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k - (T_{t,t} - z)P\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{t,t} - z)f_t^k\|_{W^{2t}} = 0$ by Claim 1 and equation (1, t),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{t,t} - z)P\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k\|_{2,D} = 0$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2(t - 1)$. Since every hyponormal operator has property (β) by Lemma 2.1, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2(t - 1)$, $P\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of D .

Consider $\sigma(T) \subset B(0, r) \subset \overline{B(0, r)} \subset D$. For $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2(t - 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k\|_{2,D}^2 &= \int_D \|P\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k(z)\|^2 d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{B(0,r)} \|P\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k(z)\|^2 d\mu(z) = \int_{D \setminus B(0,r)} \|P\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k(z)\|^2 d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

By property (β) , the first integral converges to 0. Since $T - z$ is invertible on $D \setminus \overline{B(0, r)}$, the second integral also converges to 0. Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|P\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k\|_{2,D} = 0.$$

From Claim 2, we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\partial}^i f_t^k\|_{2,D} = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2(t - 1)$. So this completes the proof of the fact stated above.

Let us come back now to the proof of Lemma 4.6. By the fact, we get the equation

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{11} - z)f_1^k + 1 \otimes h_1^k\|_{W^2} = 0.$$

By the application of Lemma 3.5 with $T = T_{11}$, we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_1^k = 0$. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_j^k = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h^k = 0$ where $h^k = (h_1^k, \dots, h_n^k)$. Thus $\bigoplus_1^n V$ is one-to-one and has closed range. \square

This also concludes the proof of Theorem 4.5, because $\text{ran}(\bigoplus_1^n V)$ is a closed invariant subspace for the scalar operator $(\bigoplus_1^n \widehat{M}_z)$. \square

4.8 Corollary. *If T is an algebraic operator, then T is a subscalar operator.*

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5. \square

4.9 Corollary. *Every operator on a finite-dimensional complex space is subscalar.*

4.10 Definition. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$ is said to have property (α) if for every (not necessarily strict) contraction A , every operator X with dense range such that $XA = TX$, and every h in \mathbf{H} , there exists a non-zero polynomial p such that $p(T)h \in \text{ran } X$.

4.11 Lemma ([ABFP], Proposition 3.9). *If a strict contraction T (i.e., $\|T\| < 1$) has the property (α) , then T is an algebraic operator.*

4.12 Corollary. *If a strict contraction T has property (α) , then T is subscalar.*

4.13 Corollary. *If $A \prec T$ and T is algebraic, then A is subscalar.*

Proof. By hypothesis, we can show A is algebraic. \square

Remark. Let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where T is hyponormal. Then B is a non-hyponormal, but B is a subscalar operator of order 4.

4.14 Definition. An operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\bigoplus_1^n \mathbf{H})$ is said to be a triangular n -hyponormal operator if

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \dots & \dots & T_{1n} \\ 0 & T_{22} & \dots & \dots & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & T_{n-1,n-1} & T_{n-1,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & T_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where (T_{ij}) are commuting hyponormal operators on \mathbf{H} .

4.15 Corollary. *Let T be a triangular n -hyponormal operator. Then T is a subscalar operator.*

4.16 Question. *Let*

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\{T_i\}$ are commuting hyponormal operators. *Is T subscalar?*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank Professor Scott W. Brown for his considerable guidance.

REFERENCES

- [ABFP] C. Apostol, H. Bercovici, C. Foias, and C. Pearcy, *Quasiaffine transforms of operators*, Michigan Math. J. **29** (1982), 243–255.
- [Co 1] J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [Co 2] ———, *Subnormal operators*, Pitman, London, 1981.
- [Ha] P. R. Halmos, *Capacity in Banach algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1971), 855–863.
- [Ko] Eungil Ko, *Subscalar and quasisubscalar operators*, Ph.D. thesis, Indiana University, 1993.

- [MP] M. Martin and M. Putinar, *Lectures on hyponormal operators*, Birkhauser, Basel and Boston, 1989.
- [Pu] M. Putinar, *Hyponormal operators are subscalar*, J. Operator Theory **12** (1984), 385–395.

GLOBAL ANALYSIS RESEARCH CENTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA