

GENERALIZATIONS OF SEMI-FREDHOLM OPERATORS

RICHARD BOULDIN

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. On nonseparable Hilbert spaces there are multiple sets of operators that are analogous to the semi-Fredholm operators on a separable space. We develop the properties of these sets and relate those properties to some recent research. We conclude with a theorem that indicates precisely how far one can go from a given generalized semi-Fredholm operator (or generalized Fredholm operator) and retain the property of generalized semi-Fredholmness (or generalized Fredholmness).

1. INTRODUCTION

By “operator” we mean a bounded linear operator T defined on a Hilbert space H ; we do not assume H to be separable. Let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ denote the operators on H . When H is not separable there are multiple sets of operators that might be used as natural generalizations of the Fredholm operators on a separable Hilbert space. See Definition 2.7 and Theorem 2.8 of [11], and the references listed there. Much less attention has been given to the semi-Fredholm operators. This paper continues our study of nonseparable Hilbert spaces ([5], [6], [7]) by determining properties of several classes of operators analogous to the semi-Fredholm operators on a separable space.

We shall use some concepts from earlier papers. Let $U|T|$ be the usual polar factorization of T , and let $E(\cdot)$ be the spectral measure for the nonnegative operator $|T|$. In [5] we defined $\text{ess nul } T$ by the equation

$$\text{ess nul } T = \inf\{(\dim E([0, \varepsilon])H) : \varepsilon > 0\},$$

and by definition $\text{ess def } T$ is $\text{ess nul } T^*$. In [5] we showed that the closure of the invertible operators is the set of operators T such that $\text{ess nul } T = \text{ess def } T$.

In [7] we defined the modulus of invertibility, denoted $\rho(T)$, by the equation

$$\rho(T) = \inf\{\lambda : \dim E((\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon))H = \dim H \text{ for } \varepsilon > 0\}.$$

This quantity is related to the distance between T and the invertible operators. It follows from Theorem 4 in [8] that $\rho(T)$ is precisely the distance from T

Received by the editors May 27, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A58, 47A53; Secondary 47A05.

Key words and phrases. Semi-Fredholm operator, nonseparable Hilbert space, essential nullity, modulus of invertibility, stability theorem.

to the set $\{A: \text{ess nul } A = 0\}$. In this paper we use a natural generalization of $\rho(T)$ defined in §2.

Recall that the minimum modulus of T , denote $m(T)$, is defined to be $\inf\{\|Tf\|: \|f\| = 1\}$. The essential spectrum of T , denoted $\sigma_e(T)$, is the set $\{z: (T - zI) \text{ is not Fredholm}\}$, and the essential minimum modulus $m_e(T)$ is defined to be $\inf\{\lambda: \lambda \in \sigma_e(|T|)\}$. In [2] we showed that $m_e(T)$ was the minimum modulus of the projection of T into the Calkin algebra, which is defined in the next section. In [2] we computed the distance from T to the invertible operators in terms of $m_e(T)$. In this paper we shall use a generalization of $m_e(T)$.

We shall use the following standard notation. The closure of a set \mathcal{S} is denoted \mathcal{S}^- . The restriction of T to a subspace H_0 is denoted $T|H_0$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We begin by defining a set of operators that form a closed two-sided ideal for the ring of operators $\mathcal{B}(H)$. We say that A belongs to \mathcal{F}_β provided that any (closed) subspace K contained in the range of A , denoted AH , has the property that $\dim K < \beta$. The next theorem will relate the preceding definition to other definitions that have appeared in the literature.

Theorem 1. *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$.
- (ii) *There is a sequence $\{A_k\} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\|A - A_k\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\dim(A_k H)^- < \beta$.*
- (iii) *For each positive ε there is a subspace H_ε such that $\|A|H_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ and $\dim(H_\varepsilon)^\perp < \beta$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $U|A|$ be the usual polar factorization of A , and let $E(\cdot)$ be the spectral measure for $|A|$. Let a positive ε be given, and let H_ε denote $E([\varepsilon, \infty))H$. Since $|A|$ is obviously bounded below on H_ε and U is isometric on $(|A|H)^-$, we see that $|A|H_\varepsilon$ and $AH_\varepsilon = U|A|H_\varepsilon$ are both closed subspaces. Clearly AH_ε is contained in AH , and, consequently, $\dim AH_\varepsilon < \beta$.

Define the operator A_ε to agree with A on H_ε and to agree with 0 on $(H_\varepsilon)^\perp$. Clearly $\dim A_\varepsilon H < \beta$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - A_\varepsilon\| &= \|(A - A_\varepsilon)|(H_\varepsilon)^\perp\| \\ &= \|A|(H_\varepsilon)^\perp\| = \||A| |(H_\varepsilon)^\perp\| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that (ii) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let positive ε be given, and choose k such that $\|A - A_k\| < \varepsilon$ with $\dim(A_k H)^- < \beta$. Let $K_\varepsilon = ((A_k)^* H)^-$, and note that A_k is zero on $(K_\varepsilon)^\perp$. Clearly, $\|A|(K_\varepsilon)^\perp\| < \varepsilon$ holds. Let $H_\varepsilon = (K_\varepsilon)^\perp$, and note that

$$\dim(H_\varepsilon)^\perp = \dim K_\varepsilon = \dim(A_k H) < \beta.$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let K be a (closed) subspace contained in AH , and let A^+ be the inverse of $A|(A^* H)^-$. Let $H_0 = A^+ K$, and note that $A|H_0$ maps H_0 onto K in a one-to-one way. Thus, H_0 and K have the same dimension, and there is a positive ε such that

$$\|Af\| \geq 2\varepsilon\|f\| \quad \text{for } f \in H_0.$$

By hypothesis there is a subspace H_ϵ such that $\|A|H_\epsilon\| < \epsilon$ and $\dim(H_\epsilon)^\perp < \beta$. Let P be the projection onto $(H_\epsilon)^\perp$. If f is a nonzero vector belonging to $\ker P|H_0$ then $f = (I - P)f \in H_\epsilon$ and $\|Af\| \leq \epsilon\|f\|$. This contradicts the construction of H_0 , and so we conclude that $P|H_0$ is one-to-one. It follows that

$$\dim K = \dim H_0 = \dim PH_0 \leq \dim(H_\epsilon)^\perp < \beta,$$

which verifies (i).

We need a modest generalization of the modules of invertibility, which was defined in [7]. Let β be an infinite cardinal number that does not exceed $\dim H$. Define $\rho_\beta(T)$ by the equation

$$\rho_\beta(T) = \inf\{\lambda: \dim E((\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta))H \geq \beta \text{ for } \delta > 0\}$$

where $E(\cdot)$ is the spectral measure for $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$. Consideration of the function $u(x) = \dim E([0, x])H$ shows that the set of λ above cannot be empty. It is clear that $\gamma \geq \beta$ implies $\rho_\gamma(T) \geq \rho_\beta(T)$.

The first part of the next result is well known (see [11]); the rest of it is new.

Theorem 2. \mathcal{I}_β is a closed two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, and $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{I}_\beta$ is a C^* -algebra. If $m_\beta(T)$ denotes the minimum modulus of the image of T in $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{I}_\beta$, denoted $[T]$, then $m_\beta(T) > 0$ if and only if $[T]$ is left-invertible. Furthermore, $m_\beta(T) = \rho_\beta(T)$.

Proof. It is routine to show that \mathcal{I}_β is a closed two-sided ideal; see Theorem 0 of [11]. It follows from Theorem 5.38 of [10] that $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{I}_\beta$ is a C^* -algebra provided the involution $[T]^*$ is defined to be $[T^*]$. Let this C^* -algebra be represented as an algebra of operators. Thus, $m_\beta(T)$ is meaningful. Since the square root is the limit of polynomials, we have

$$\|[T]\| = ([T]^*[T])^{1/2} = ([T^*T])^{1/2} = [(T^*T)^{1/2}] = [|T|].$$

If $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of A , then it is elementary to see that

$$m(A) = \inf\{\lambda: \lambda \in \sigma(|A|)\}$$

and, thus,

$$m_\beta(T) = \inf\{\lambda: \lambda \in \sigma([T])\}.$$

Suppose $[B]$ is a left inverse for $[T]$. For the sake of a contradiction assume that $m_\beta(T) = 0$ and choose a sequence of unit vectors $\{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$ from the space K on which $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{I}_\beta$ is represented such that $\|[T]g_k\| \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$1 = \|g_k\| = \|[B][T]g_k\| \leq \|[B]\| \|[T]g_k\|$$

holds, we have a contradiction. Thus, $m_\beta(T)$ is positive provided $[T]$ is left-invertible.

In order to prove the converse we assume that $m_\beta(T) > 0$. Choose ϵ_0 such that $0 < \epsilon < 2\epsilon_0$ implies that $\dim E([0, \epsilon])H = \text{ess nul } T$. Since $[E([0, \epsilon])]$ is a nonnegative idempotent, it is a projection. If g is a unit vector in the range of $[E([0, \epsilon])]$, then

$$\epsilon > \|[T][E([0, \epsilon])]g\| \geq m_\beta(T),$$

which implies that no such unit vector exists. Thus, $\dim E([0, \epsilon])H = \text{ess nul } T$ is less than β .

Define R on $E((\varepsilon_0, \infty))H$ to be the inverse of $|T|E((\varepsilon_0, \infty))H$ and on $E([0, \varepsilon_0])H$ let it be zero. The preceding paragraph implies that $[E([0, \varepsilon_0])] = [0]$ or $[E((\varepsilon_0, \infty))] = [I]$. Since

$$(RU^*)T = RU^*U|T| = R|T| = E((\varepsilon_0, \infty)),$$

we see that $[T]$ is left-invertible.

Now we direct our attention to proving that $m_\beta(T) = \rho_\beta(T)$. First, we recall a useful fact from Theorem 2.6 of [11]. The coset $[T]$ is left-invertible if and only if T is bounded below on some subspace with codimension less than β .

Consider the case that $m_\beta(T) = 0$. So $[T]$ is not left-invertible. If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $E(\cdot)$ is the spectral measure for $|T|$, then $|T|$ is bounded below on $E((\varepsilon, \infty))H$. According to the preceding paragraph, the codimension of $E((\varepsilon, \infty))H$, which is $\dim E([0, \varepsilon])H$, must not be less than β . It follows from

$$\dim E([0, \varepsilon])H \geq \beta$$

and the arbitrariness of ε that $\rho_\beta(T) = 0$.

Conversely, assume that $\rho_\beta(|T|) = 0$ and note that $\dim E([0, \varepsilon])H \geq \beta$ for all positive ε sufficiently small. If $m_\beta(T)$ were positive, then there would be a subspace H_0 such that $T|_{H_0}$ is bounded below and $\text{codim } H_0 < \beta$. If Q is the orthogonal projection onto $(H_0)^\perp$ and ε is a positive number such that $\|Tf\| \geq 2\varepsilon\|f\|$ for $f \in H_0$, then the restriction of Q to $E([0, \varepsilon])H$ must have nontrivial kernel. Suppose $g \in E([0, \varepsilon])H$ and $Qg = 0$. Since $g \in H_0 \cap E([0, \varepsilon])H$, we have a contradiction; this proves that no subspace such as H_0 exists. Hence, $m_\beta(T) = 0$, and we have proved that $m_\beta(T) = 0$ if and only if $\rho_\beta(T) = 0$.

For the sake of a contradiction suppose $m_\beta(T)$ and $\rho_\beta(T)$ are positive and

$$m_\beta(T) = \lambda < \rho_\beta(T).$$

Then $m_\beta(|T| - \lambda) = 0$ while $\rho_\beta(|T| - \lambda) > 0$, which is a contradiction. A similar argument dismisses the opposite inequality, and we have proved that $m_\beta(T) = \rho_\beta(T)$.

If $\beta = \aleph_0$, then it is well known that \mathcal{K}_β is the set of compact operators, and $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}_\beta$ is the usual Calkin algebra. In that case the notation $m_\beta(T)$ is replaced with the notation $m_e(T)$ for the essential minimum modulus, which was studied in [2]. Of course, in that case $\rho_\beta(T)$ is the modulus of invertibility $\rho(T)$.

3. BASIC RESULTS

Let β be an infinite cardinal number that does not exceed $\dim H$. We say that A is β -left-invertible provided there is an operator B such that $(I - BA)$ belongs to \mathcal{K}_β . Define β -right-invertible analogously. We say that A is β -semi-invertible if it has at least one of the two preceding properties and that it is β -Fredholm if it has both properties.

If H is a separable Hilbert space and $\beta = \dim H$, then the preceding concepts reduce to "left-semi-Fredholm", and "right-semi-Fredholm", "semi-Fredholm", and "Fredholm", respectively. A strong argument can be made that the generalization that is most analogous to the original concept is obtained by setting $\beta = \dim H$ in the case that H is non-separable.

We can give some enlightening characterizations of the previously defined concepts.

Theorem 3. *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) T is β -left-invertible.
- (ii) $\rho_\beta(T) > 0$.
- (iii) $\text{ess nul } T < \beta$.
- (iv) For all positive ε sufficiently small the inequality $\|T|H_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ implies that $\dim H_\varepsilon < \beta$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This follows from Theorem 2.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) For brevity let $\tau = \rho_\beta(T)$ and choose λ to satisfy the inequalities $0 \leq \lambda < \tau$. For each λ there is a positive number δ_λ such that

$$\dim E((\lambda - \delta_\lambda, \lambda + \delta_\lambda))H < \beta.$$

Choose positive ε sufficiently small that $\dim E([0, \varepsilon])H = \text{ess nul } T$ and $\varepsilon < \tau$; note that $\{(\lambda - \delta_\lambda, \lambda + \delta_\lambda) : 0 \leq \lambda < \tau\}$ is an open cover of $[0, \varepsilon]$. Let $\{(\lambda_1 - \delta_1, \lambda_1 + \delta_1), \dots, (\lambda_n - \delta_n, \lambda_n + \delta_n)\}$ be a finite subcover. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim E([0, \varepsilon])H &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \dim E((\lambda_j - \delta_j, \lambda_j + \delta_j))H \\ &< n\beta = \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε was arbitrarily small, it follows that $\text{ess nul } T < \beta$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Choose positive ε sufficiently small that $\dim E([0, \varepsilon])H = \text{ess nul } T$. By Lemma 4 of [5] it follows that $\|T|H_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ implies that $\dim H_\varepsilon \leq \text{ess nul } T < \beta$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Theorem 2 showed the equivalence “ T is β -left-invertible” and “ $m_\beta(T) > 0$ ”; the final conclusion of Theorem 2 shows that this is equivalent to “ $\rho_\beta(T) > 0$ ”. Thus, it suffices to show that (iv) implies $\rho_\beta(T) > 0$.

Let ε be a positive number such as promised in (iv), and let $E(\cdot)$ be the spectral measure for $|T|$. Let $H_\varepsilon = E([0, \varepsilon/2])H$, and note that $\|T|H_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$. Thus, we know that $\dim H_\varepsilon < \beta$. It follows that we can choose positive δ for any λ satisfying $0 \leq \lambda < \varepsilon/2$ such that $\dim E((\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta))H \leq \dim H_\varepsilon < \beta$. It is now clear that $\rho_\beta(T) \geq \varepsilon/2$, which concludes the proof.

We omit the proof of the next theorem since it can be proved by analogy to the proof of Theorem 3 or deduced as a consequence of that theorem.

Theorem 4. *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) T is β -right-invertible.
- (ii) $\rho_\beta(T^*) > 0$.
- (iii) $\text{ess def } T < \beta$.
- (iv) For all positive ε sufficiently small the inequality $\|T^*|H_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ implies that $\dim H_\varepsilon < \beta$.

The next theorem follows from Theorems 3 and 4. Part (iii) of the next theorem gives a particularly simple characterization of “ β -Fredholm”.

Theorem 5. *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) T is β -Fredholm.
- (ii) $\min\{\rho_\beta(T), \rho_\beta(T^*)\} > 0$.

- (iii) $\max\{\text{ess nul } T, \text{ess def } T\} < \beta$.
- (iv) For all positive ε sufficiently small either of the inequalities $\|T|H_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ or $\|T^*|H_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ implies that $\dim H_\varepsilon < \beta$.

If $\rho_\beta(T)$ and $\rho_\beta(T^*)$ are both positive, then they are equal. This follows from the fact that $\rho_\beta(A) = m_\beta(A)$, proved in Theorem 2, and the argument used to prove part (vii) of Theorem 2 of [2].

Let \mathcal{S}_β denote the β -semi-invertible operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. The next theorem establishes some properties analogous to familiar properties of the semi-Fredholm operators.

Theorem 6. (i) \mathcal{S}_β is open in operator norm.

- (ii) \mathcal{S}_β is dense in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- (iii) If every subspace of KH has dimension less than β and $A \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$, then $(A + K) \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$.
- (iv) If A and B are β -left-invertible (β -right-invertible), then AB is the same.
- (v) If $A \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$ and B is β -Fredholm, then $AB, BA \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$.
- (vi) If B is invertible and $A \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$, then $BAB^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$.

Proof. (i) In view of Theorems 3 and 4, for each $T \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$ we have either $\rho_\beta(T) > 0$ or $\rho_\beta(T^*) > 0$. For a representative case we assume the former. Recalling the notation and conventions in Theorem 2 and its proof, we note that $m_\beta(T) > 0$. Let $\delta = m_\beta(T)$, and choose A such that $\|T - A\| < \delta$.

Let g be any unit vector in the Hilbert space on which $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{I}_\beta$ is represented. In the notation of the proof of Theorem 2 we have

$$\|[A]g\| \geq \|[T]g\| - \|[T - A]g\| \geq \delta - \|T - A\| > 0.$$

We may conclude that

$$\rho_\beta(A) = m_\beta(A) \geq \delta - \|T - A\| > 0.$$

It follows that $A \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$ and that \mathcal{S}_β is open.

(ii) It suffices to approximate a given $T \notin \mathcal{S}_\beta$. Let positive ε be given, and let $T = U|T|$ be the usual polar factorization of T . Let $E(\cdot)$ be the spectral measure for $|T|$; define R to coincide with $|T|$ on $E([\varepsilon/3, \infty))H$, and let it coincide with $(\varepsilon/3)I$ on $E([0, \varepsilon/3))H$. Note that

$$\|Rf\| \geq (\varepsilon/3)\|f\| \quad \text{for } f \in H.$$

Define A to be UR on $E((0, \infty))H$ and R on $E(\{0\})H$; note that it is left-invertible since it is bounded below. Since we have

$$\|T - A\| \leq \||T| - R\| = \|(|T| - R)|E([0, \varepsilon/3))H\| < \varepsilon,$$

we see that $T \in (\mathcal{S}_\beta)^-$, as desired.

(iii) Since $[A + K] = [A]$, we see that $m_\beta(A + K) = m_\beta(A)$ and this follows from Theorems 2, 3, and 4.

(iv) If D and C are β -left-inverses for A and B , respectively, then CD is a β -left-inverse for AB .

(v) This follows from (iv) since B has both a β -left-inverse and a β -right-inverse.

(vi) If C is a β -left-inverse for A , then BCB^{-1} is a β -left-inverse for BAB^{-1} .

4. A STABILITY THEOREM

Now we are prepared to prove the kind of stability theorem that has been significant in perturbation theory.

- Theorem 7.** (i) *If $\delta_1 = \rho_\beta(T)$ and $\|T - A\| < \delta_1$, then A is β -left-invertible.*
 (ii) *If $\delta_2 = \rho_\beta(T^*)$ and $\|T - A\| < \delta_2$, then A is β -right-invertible.*
 (iii) *If $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ and $\|T - A\| < \delta$, then A is β -Fredholm.*
 (iv) *Each of the above statements is false if the δ constant is replaced with a larger number.*

Proof. The proof of part (i) of Theorem 6 actually proved part (i) above. To prove (ii) apply (i) to T^* and A^* . Part (iii) follows from (i) and (ii).

In order to prove (iv) we assume that a positive ε is given. Let $E(\cdot)$ represent the spectral measure for $|T|$ where $T = U|T|$ is the usual polar factorization. Let Q denote the projection $E([0, \varepsilon + \rho_\beta(T)])$, and let H_ε denote QH . By the definition of $\rho_\beta(T)$ we know that $\dim H_\varepsilon \geq \beta$. Define B to coincide with T on $(H_\varepsilon)^\perp$ and to be zero on H_ε ; so $B = T(I - Q)$. Note that

$$\|T - B\| = \|T|H_\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon + \rho_\beta(T).$$

Since

$$\text{ess nul } B = \text{nul } B = \dim H_\varepsilon \geq \beta,$$

we see that B is not β -left-invertible. This proves that δ_1 is the largest constant that makes (i) true.

Applying the construction in the preceding paragraph to T^* shows that δ_2 is the largest constant that makes (ii) true. It follows that replacing δ in part (iv) with a larger constant will result in the loss of either “ β -left-invertible” or “ β -right-invertible”, which means that “ β -Fredholm” is lost.

If one chooses β in Theorem 7 to be \aleph_0 , so that \mathcal{F}_β consists of the compact operators, then part (i) of the previous theorem gives the distance from a left-semi-Fredholm operator to the set of operators that are not left-semi-Fredholm. If $\beta = \aleph_0$, then part (ii) describes the distance from a right-semi-Fredholm operator to the set of operators that are not right-semi-Fredholm, and part (iii) computes the distance from a Fredholm operator to the complement of the Fredholm operators.

REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol, L. A. Fialkow, D. A. Herrero, and D. Voiculescu, *Approximation of Hilbert space operators*, Vol. II, Pitman, Boston, 1984.
2. R. H. Boulidin, *The essential minimum modulus*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), 513-517.
3. ———, *Approximation by operators with fixed nullity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 141-144.
4. ———, *The distance to operators with a fixed index*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **54** (1990), 139-143.
5. ———, *Closure of invertible operators on a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), 721-726.

6. ———, *Approximating Fredholm operators on a nonseparable Hilbert space*, Glasgow Math. J. **35** (1993), 167–178.
7. ———, *Distance to invertible operators without separability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), 489–497.
8. ———, *Largely singular operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **188** (1994), 141–150.
9. L. Burlando, *Distance formulas on operators whose kernel has fixed Hilbert dimension*, Rend. Mat. (7) **10** (1990), 209–238.
10. R. G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
11. G. Edgar, J. Ernest, and S. G. Lee, *Weighing operator spectra*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **121** (1971), 61–80.
12. R. Harte, *Regular boundary elements*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), 328–330.
13. D. A. Herrero, *Approximation of Hilbert space operators*, Vol. I, Pitman, Boston, 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GEORGIA 30602