

## COMBINATORIAL ORTHOGONAL EXPANSIONS

A. DE MÉDICIS AND D. STANTON

(Communicated by Jeffrey N. Kahn)

ABSTRACT. The linearization coefficients for a set of orthogonal polynomials are given explicitly as a weighted sum of combinatorial objects. Positivity theorems of Askey and Szwarz are corollaries of these expansions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a set of orthogonal polynomials  $p_n(x)$ , the linearization coefficients  $a_{mn}^k$  are given by

$$p_m(x)p_n(x) = \sum_k a_{mn}^k p_k(x).$$

Askey [1] and Szwarz [4,5] have given sufficient conditions on the three-term recurrence relation coefficients  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\beta_n$ , and  $\gamma_n$  in

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta_n)p_n(x) - \gamma_{n-1}p_{n-1}(x)$$

so that  $a_{mn}^k$  is non-negative. In this paper we give in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 explicit formulas for  $a_{mn}^k$  as a polynomial in the  $\alpha_j$ 's,  $\beta_j$ 's and  $\gamma_j$ 's, which give these theorems.

The idea is to represent  $a_{mn}^k$  as a generating function of paths, whose weights are products of differences. Monotonicity hypotheses on the coefficients force the weights to be individually positive, these are the conditions in [1] and [4]. For example, if  $p_n(x)$  is monic;  $\alpha_n = 1$ ,  $\beta_n = b_n$ , and  $\gamma_n = \lambda_{n+1}$ , we have

$$(1.2) \quad a_{33}^3 = (b_3 - b_0)(b_3 - b_1)(b_3 - b_2) + (b_3 - b_0)\lambda_4 + (b_3 - b_0)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) \\ + (b_4 - b_1)\lambda_4 + (b_3 - b_2)\lambda_4 + (b_2 - b_1)\lambda_3 + (b_3 - b_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1).$$

If  $b_j$  and  $\lambda_j > 0$  are increasing, then  $a_{33}^3$  is non-negative, see [1].

---

Received by the editors August 19, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42C05, 05E35.

The first author's work was supported by NSERC funds.

The second author's work was supported by NSF grant DMS-9001195.

## 2. THE THEOREMS

We first recall some terminology and results in [3] and [6].

We let  $L$  denote the positive definite linear functional on the space of polynomials which corresponds to the orthogonal polynomials (1.1). So  $L(x^n) = \mu_n$ , the  $n$ th moment of a measure for  $p_n(x)$ . It is easy to see that

$$a_{mn}^k = L(p_m p_n p_k) / L(p_k p_k).$$

Since  $L(p_k p_k) = \gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{k-1} / \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k > 0$ , we find instead  $L(p_m p_n p_k)$ .

Viennot [6] gave a combinatorial interpretation for the polynomials  $p_n(x)$  and their moments  $\mu_n$ , in terms of pavings and Motzkin paths respectively. We review these terms below.

A *Motzkin path*  $P$  is a lattice path in the plane, which lies at or above the  $x$ -axis, and has steps of  $(1, 0)$  (horizontal= $H$ ),  $(1, 1)$  (up= $U$ ), or  $(1, -1)$  (down= $D$ ). The weight of a path  $P$ ,  $w(P)$ , is defined by the product of the weights of its individual edges,

$$(2.1) \quad w(P) = \prod_{\text{edges } e} w(e).$$

A *paving*  $\pi$  of the integers  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  is a collection of disjoint sets of cardinalities 1 (called monominos), and 2 (called dominos). The elements of a domino must be consecutive integers. For example,  $\{\{2, 3\}, \{5\}, \{6, 7\}, \{9\}\}$  is a paving of  $\{1, \dots, 9\}$ . Points not in any of the sets are called *isolated*. The weight of a paving is defined to be the product of the individual weights of the monominos, dominos, and isolated points.

For Askey's theorem we need a special weight on edges  $e$  of a Motzkin path. Suppose the path  $P$  begins at  $(0, m)$  and ends at  $(k, n)$ . We define

$$(2.2) \quad w(\text{edge starting at } (i, j)) = \begin{cases} (b_j - b_i) & \text{if the edge is } H, \\ (\lambda_j - \lambda_{i+1}) & \text{if the edge is } D, \text{ and followed by } U, \\ \lambda_j & \text{if the edge is } D, \text{ and not followed by } U, \\ 1 & \text{if the edge is } U. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $\alpha_n = 1$ ,  $\beta_n = b_n$ , and  $\gamma_n = \lambda_{n+1}$ . Then*

$$L(p_m p_n p_k) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \sum_P w(P),$$

where  $P$  is a Motzkin path from  $(0, m)$  to  $(k, n)$ , and  $w(P)$  is given by (2.1) and (2.2).

For example, if  $k = m = n = 3$  in Theorem 1, there are seven Motzkin paths from  $(0, 3)$  to  $(3, 3)$ :  $HHH$ ,  $HUD$ ,  $HDU$ ,  $UHD$ ,  $UDH$ ,  $DHU$ ,  $DUH$ . The weights of these seven paths are the seven terms in (1.2).

*Proof of Theorem 1.* One can prove that both sides in Theorem 1 have the same recurrence relation, which is given in [1].

An alternative proof is to use Viennot's combinatorial interpretation for  $L(p_m p_n p_k) / \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$  [6]. It is the generating function for ordered pairs  $(P, \pi)$ ,

where  $P$  is a Motzkin path from  $(0, m)$  to  $(l, n)$ , and  $\pi$  is a paving of the integers  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  with  $l$  isolated integers. The weight of  $(P, \pi)$  is the product of the weights of  $P$  and  $\pi$ . In  $P$ , an up edge starting at  $(i, j)$  has weight 1, a down edge  $\lambda_j$ , and an across edge  $b_j$ . For  $\pi$ , a monomino at  $\{i\}$  has weight  $-b_{i-1}$ , and a domino at  $\{i, i+1\}$  has weight  $-\lambda_i$ .

Given  $(P, \pi)$  we create a unique path  $P'$  by inserting in  $P$ , as the  $i$ th step of  $P'$ , an  $H$  edge if  $\pi$  has a monomino in position  $i$ . If  $\pi$  has a domino starting in position  $i$ , we insert two steps,  $DU$ , in  $P$ , for the  $i$ th and  $(i+1)$ st steps of  $P'$ . The result is a single path  $P'$  from  $(0, m)$  to  $(k, n)$ . The weight of the path is given by (2.2): the negative terms correspond to the weight in  $\pi$ , the positive terms to the weight in  $P$ .  $\square$

It is easy to see that Theorem 1 implies Askey's theorem.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\lambda_j$  and  $b_j$  are increasing, with  $\lambda_j > 0$ , then  $a_{mn}^k \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume by symmetry that  $k \leq n$ . Then it is clear that each vertex  $(i, j)$  in  $P$  satisfies  $i \leq j$ . Thus all weights are non-negative if the  $b_j$ 's and  $\lambda_j$ 's are increasing.  $\square$

Theorem 1 can be restated in terms of walks of length  $m$  on the non-negative integers, starting at  $k$ , and ending at  $n$ , with steps of size  $+1$ ,  $-1$ , or  $0$ .

We let  $p'_n(x)$  be another set of orthogonal polynomials satisfying

$$\alpha'_{n+1} p'_{n+1}(x) = (x - \beta'_n) p'_n(x) - \gamma'_{n-1} p'_{n-1}(x).$$

More generally, we consider

$$(2.3) \quad p_m(x) p'_k(x) = \sum_n b_{mk}^n p_n(x).$$

It is clear that  $b_{mk}^n = L(p_m p'_k p_n) / L(p_n p_n)$ . We will give an interpretation for  $L(p_m p'_k p_n)$ , which is non-negative when  $b_{mk}^n$  is, since  $L$  is positive definite.

We generalize Szwarz's theorem by finding a combinatorial interpretation for  $L(p_m p'_k p_n)$  in (2.3). A *generalized Motzkin path* allows a fourth type of edge:  $HH$  (across by two units). We define a weight  $v(P)$  on generalized Motzkin paths from  $(0, m)$  to  $(k, n)$  again as a product of weights of edges,

$$(2.4) \quad v(\text{edge starting at } (i, j)) = \begin{cases} (\beta_j - \beta'_i) & \text{if the edge is } H, \\ (\gamma_j - \alpha'_i) & \text{if the edge is } U, \text{ and preceded by } D, \\ \gamma_j & \text{if the edge is } U, \text{ and not preceded by } D, \\ (\alpha_j - \alpha'_i) & \text{if the edge is } D, \text{ and preceded by } U, \\ \alpha_j & \text{if the edge is } D, \text{ and not preceded by } U, \\ (\alpha_j + \gamma_j - \alpha'_i - \gamma'_i) \alpha'_{i+1} & \text{if the edge is } HH, \text{ preceded by } U \text{ or } D, \\ (\alpha_j + \gamma_j - \gamma'_i) \alpha'_{i+1} & \text{if the edge is } HH, \text{ not preceded by } U \text{ or } D. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.** *We have*

$$L(p_m p_n p'_k) = \frac{\gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{k-1}}{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m \alpha'_1 \cdots \alpha'_k} \sum_P v(P),$$

where  $P$  is a generalized Motzkin path from  $(0, m)$  to  $(k, n)$ , and  $v(P)$  is given by (2.1) and (2.4).

*Proof.* Again we will use Viennot's interpretation for

$$L(p_m p_n p'_k) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m / \gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{k-1}.$$

The weights on the edges, monominos, and dominos slightly change. Let  $P'$  denote the Motzkin path and  $\pi'$  the paving. In  $P'$ , the  $U$ ,  $D$ , and  $H$  edges starting at  $(i, j)$  have weights  $\gamma_j$ ,  $\alpha_j$ , and  $\beta_j$  respectively. In  $\pi'$ , a monomino  $\{i\}$  has weight  $-\beta'_{i-1}/\alpha'_i$ , a domino  $\{i, i+1\}$  has weight  $-\gamma'_{i-1}\alpha'_i/(\alpha'_i\alpha'_{i+1})$ , and an isolated point  $i$  has weight  $1/\alpha'_i$ . Note that every paving has a factor of  $1/\alpha'_1 \cdots \alpha'_k$ . We therefore disregard the denominators of the weights of the pavings, and put this constant factor in the statement of Theorem 2.

As in Theorem 1, we will merge pavings  $\pi'$  with the paths  $P'$  to create a generalized Motzkin path  $P$  whose weights are given by (2.1) and (2.5):

$$(2.5) \quad u(\text{edge starting at } (i, j)) = \begin{cases} (\beta_j - \beta'_i) & \text{if the edge is } H, \\ \gamma_j & \text{if the edge is } U, \\ \alpha_j & \text{if the edge is } D, \\ -\gamma'_i\alpha'_{i+1} & \text{if the edge is } HH. \end{cases}$$

The basic idea is to insert certain edges into  $P'$  to create  $P$ , while simultaneously deleting all monominos and dominos in  $\pi'$ . This is done by inserting an  $H$  edge in  $P'$  starting at  $(i, j)$ , if  $\pi'$  has the monomino  $\{i+1\}$ . We insert an  $HH$  edge in  $P'$  starting at  $(i, j)$ , if  $\pi'$  has the domino  $\{i+1, i+2\}$ . We obtain a multiset of generalized Motzkin paths  $P : (0, m) \rightarrow (k, n)$ , from which the multiplicities are eliminated by using the weight (2.5).

Let  $S$  be the set of all generalized Motzkin paths from  $(0, m)$  to  $(k, n)$ . We just found that the linearization coefficients are, up to a constant, the generating function for  $S$  with weight (2.5). We want weight (2.4) instead of (2.5). We will do this via an involution.

The (2.4) weights of the edges of  $P \in S$  are not monomials, instead they are sums of monomials. Thus we can consider the multiset  $M_1$  of paths  $P \in S$ , where the multiplicity of  $P$  in  $M_1$  is the product of the number of monomials in the weight of the edges  $e \neq H$  of  $P$ . The weight of any element of  $M_1$  is the product of a choice of monomials for each edge. On  $M_1$  we will construct a weight-preserving sign-reversing involution, whose fixed point set consists of all paths  $P$  exactly once, with weights (2.5).

It remains to give the involution  $\Phi$  on the multiset  $M_1$  of paths  $P$ . Note that we want to eliminate all weights in the edges that include  $\alpha'$ , except for the  $-\gamma'_i\alpha'_{i+1}$  term in  $HH$ . Scan the path  $P$  from right to left, and find the first such term in the choice of monomials for the weights. Suppose the edge containing this term is  $HH$ , preceded by  $U$  or  $D$ . From (2.5), the weight we need to eliminate is one term

from  $(\alpha_j + \gamma_j - \alpha'_i)\alpha'_{i+1}$ . If the preceding edge is  $D$ , replacing the  $HH$  edge by a pair  $UD$  will cancel the  $(\gamma_j - \alpha'_i)\alpha'_{i+1}$  terms, while replacing the  $HH$  edge by  $DU$  will cancel the  $\alpha_j\alpha'_{i+1}$  term. Similarly, if the preceding edge to  $HH$  is  $U$ , replacing  $HH$  by  $UD$  and  $DU$  will cancel the  $\gamma_j\alpha'_{i+1}$  and  $(\alpha_j - \alpha'_i)\alpha'_{i+1}$  terms, respectively. If the first edge containing  $\alpha'$  is  $HH$ , not preceded by  $U$  or  $D$ , we must eliminate  $(\alpha_j + \gamma_j)\alpha'_{i+1}$ . This time replacing  $HH$  by  $DU$  and  $UD$  eliminates a single term each.

This defines  $\Phi(P) = Q$ , when the first appropriate  $\alpha'$  edge of  $P$  is  $HH$ . If the first appropriate  $\alpha'$  edge of  $P$  is not  $HH$ , then  $\alpha'$  must be a choice of weight from a  $DU$  or  $UD$ . Then we invert the previous case. It is easy to check that the involution  $\Phi$  is well defined on  $M_1$ , with the stated fixed points.  $\square$

Corollary 2 generalizes [4, Theorem 2].

**Corollary 2.** *If  $\alpha_i, \alpha'_i, \gamma_i, \gamma'_i > 0$ ,  $\beta_j \geq \beta'_i$ ,  $\alpha_j \geq \alpha'_i$ ,  $\alpha_j + \gamma_j \geq \alpha'_i + \gamma'_i$ ,  $\gamma_j \geq \alpha'_i$ , for  $j \geq i$ , and  $k \leq \max\{m, n\}$ , then  $b_{mk}^n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $k \leq n$ . The inequalities insure that the individual weights in Theorem 2 are positive, since the indices of the primed variables cannot be greater than the indices of the unprimed variables. By symmetry we obtain the  $k \leq \max\{m, n\}$  case.  $\square$

The connection coefficient problem is the  $m = 0$  special case of Theorem 2. Non-zero coefficients occur only for  $k \geq n$ . In this case, along our path  $P$ , vertices  $(i, j)$  satisfy  $i \geq j$ , so we assume the inequalities of Corollary 2 hold in this range. This implies Askey's theorem in [2].

The theorems in [5] can also be generalized, for example:

**Corollary 3.** *If  $\beta_j = \beta'_i = 0$ ,  $\alpha_i, \alpha'_i, \gamma_i, \gamma'_i > 0$ ,  $\alpha_{2j} \geq \alpha'_{2i}$ ,  $\alpha_{2j+1} \geq \alpha'_{2i+1}$ ,  $\alpha_{2j} + \gamma_{2j} \geq \alpha'_{2i} + \gamma'_{2i}$ ,  $\alpha_{2j+1} + \gamma_{2j+1} \geq \alpha'_{2i+1} + \gamma'_{2i+1}$ ,  $\gamma_{2j} \geq \alpha'_{2i}$ ,  $\gamma_{2j+1} \geq \alpha'_{2i+1}$ , for  $j \geq i$ ,  $m$  is even, and  $k \leq n$ , then  $b_{mk}^n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Under the assumption that  $m$  is even, and all  $\beta$ 's = 0, all vertices  $(i, j)$  on the path  $P$  of Theorem 2 have the property that  $i$  and  $j$  have the same parity.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Askey, *Linearization of the product of orthogonal polynomials*, Problems in Analysis (R. Gunning, ed.), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970, pp. 223–228. MR **49**:9525
2. ———, *Orthogonal expansions with positive coefficients*. II, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **2** (1971), 340–346. MR **45**:5650
3. T. Chihara, *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978. MR **58**:1979
4. R. Swarc, *Orthogonal polynomials and a discrete boundary value problem I*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992), 959–964. MR **93i**:33007
5. ———, *Orthogonal expansions and a discrete boundary value problem II*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992), 965–969. MR **93i**:33007
6. G. Viennot, *Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux*, Lecture Notes, UQAM, 1983.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

*Current address*, Anne de Médecis: LACIM, Département de Mathématiques, UQAM, C.P. 8888, succ. A, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3P8

*E-mail address*: [medicis@lacim.uqam.ca](mailto:medicis@lacim.uqam.ca)

*E-mail address*: [stanton@s2.math.umn.edu](mailto:stanton@s2.math.umn.edu)