

**BANACH SPACES IN WHICH EVERY
 p -WEAKLY SUMMABLE SEQUENCE
LIES IN THE RANGE OF A VECTOR MEASURE**

C. PIÑEIRO

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Banach space. For $1 < p < +\infty$ we prove that the identity map I_X is $(1, 1, p)$ -summing if and only if the operator $x^* \in X^* \rightarrow \sum \langle x_n, x^* \rangle e_n \in l_q$ is nuclear for every unconditionally summable sequence (x_n) in X , where q is the conjugate number for p . Using this result we find a characterization of Banach spaces X in which every p -weakly summable sequence lies inside the range of an X^{**} -valued measure (equivalently, every p -weakly summable sequence (x_n) in X , satisfying that the operator $(\alpha_n) \in l_q \rightarrow \sum \alpha_n x_n \in X$ is compact, lies in the range of an X -valued measure) with bounded variation. They are those Banach spaces such that the identity operator I_{X^*} is $(1, 1, p)$ -summing.

Let X be a Banach space. In [AD] it is proved that every sequence (x_n) in X satisfying $\sum_n |\langle x_n, x^* \rangle|^2 < +\infty$ for all $x^* \in X^*$ lies inside the range of an X -valued measure. Nevertheless, they show a sequence which does not lie in the range of an X -valued measure with bounded variation. In [PR] the authors proved that X is finite dimensional if and only if every nul sequence (equivalently, every compact set) in X lies inside the range of an X -valued measure having bounded variation. The purpose of this paper is to characterize, given a real number $p \in (1, +\infty)$, the Banach spaces in which every p -weakly summable sequence lies inside the range of an X^{**} -valued measure with bounded variation. We start by explaining some basic notation used in this paper. In general, our operator and vector measure terminology and notation follow [Ps] and [DU]. We only consider real Banach spaces. If X is a such space, B_X will denote its closed unit ball. The phrase "range of an X -valued measure" always means a set of the form $rg(F) = \{F(A) : A \in \Sigma\}$, where Σ is a σ -algebra of subsets of a set Ω and $F: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is countably additive. Given $p \geq 1$, $l_w^p(X)$ will denote the vector space of all sequences (x_n) in X such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x_n, x^* \rangle|^p < +\infty$ for all $x^* \in X^*$. It is easy to see that if $(x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$, then

$$\varepsilon_p((x_n)) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x_n, x^* \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} : x^* \in B_{X^*} \right\} < +\infty$$

and $(l_w^p(X), \varepsilon_p)$ is itself a Banach space.

Received by the editors September 12, 1994 and, in revised form, December 2, 1994.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46G10; Secondary 47B10.
This research has been partially supported by the D.G.I.C.Y.T., PB 90-893.

If $\hat{x} = (x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$ and P is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} , $\hat{x}(P) = (x_n(P))$ is the sequence defined by

$$x_n(P) = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in P, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin P \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $l_u^p(X)$ will denote the subspace of $l_w^p(X)$ consisting of the sequences $\hat{x} = (x_n)$ such that the net $(\hat{x}(P))_{P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N})}$ converges to (x_n) in $l_w^p(X)$, where $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N})$ is the set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Recall that $l_u^1(X)$ is formed by the unconditionally summable sequences in X . We need the following propositions that list some privileges that membership in $l_w^p(X)$ or in $l_u^p(X)$ entail.

Proposition A. *Let $p > 1$ and X be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $(x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$.
- (ii) The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x_n$ converges unconditionally for every sequence $(\alpha_n) \in l_q$.
- (iii) The map $(\alpha_n) \in l_q \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x_n \in X$ defines a bounded operator.

Proposition B. *Let $p \geq 1$. If $(x_n) \in l_u^p(X)$, then the operator $(\alpha_n) \in l_q \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x_n \in X$ is compact.*

1. MAIN RESULT

Throughout this section X will be a Banach space and $p \in (1, +\infty)$.

Theorem 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) For every unconditionally sequence (x_n) in X the operator $x^* \in X^* \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, x^* \rangle e_n \in l_q$ is nuclear.
- (ii) There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k, x_k^* \rangle \right| \leq c \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x_k, x^* \rangle| : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ \cdot \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x, x_k^* \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} : \|x\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

for all $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ and $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*\} \subset X^*$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) We consider the linear map

$$\hat{x} = (x_n) \in l_u^1(X) \rightarrow T_{\hat{x}} \in \mathcal{N}(X^*, l_q)$$

defined by $T_{\hat{x}}(x^*) = \sum \langle x_n, x^* \rangle e_n$ for all $x^* \in X^*$ ($\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the unit basis of l_q). It has closed graph, so there exists a positive constant c so that

$$\nu \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes e_n : X^* \rightarrow l_q \right) \leq c \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x_n, x^* \rangle| : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

for all $(x_n) \in l_u^1(X)$. By a standard argument we obtain

$$(2) \quad \nu \left(\sum_{n=1}^m x_n \otimes e_n : X^* \rightarrow l_q^m \right) \leq c \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^m |\langle x_n, x^* \rangle| : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$.

Now, given $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$ and $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*\} \subset X^*$, define two operators $v: l_q^m \rightarrow X^*$ and $u: X^* \rightarrow l_q^m$ by

$$v(\alpha_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i^* \quad \text{and} \quad u(x^*) = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, x^* \rangle e_i.$$

Note that $tr(u \circ v) = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, x_i^* \rangle$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, x_i^* \rangle \right| &\leq \nu(u \circ v) \leq \nu(u) \|v\| \\ &= \nu(u) \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\langle x, x_i^* \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} : \|x\| \leq 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and using (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k, x_k^* \rangle \right| &\leq c \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x_k, x^* \rangle| : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\cdot \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x, x_k^* \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} : \|x\| \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Given $(x_n^*) \in l_w^p(X^*)$, we define a linear form ϕ by

$$(x_n) \in l_u^1(X) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_n, x_n^* \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By (ii) $\phi \in l_u^1(X)^*$ and $\|\phi\| \leq c \|(x_n^*)\|_p$. So, the linear map $x \in X \rightarrow (\langle x, x_n^* \rangle) \in l_\infty$ is integral (see [DU, p. 232]). Equivalently, $x \in X \rightarrow (\langle x, x_n^* \rangle) \in c_0$ is integral. Then, so is its adjoint $(\alpha_n) \in l_1 \rightarrow \sum \alpha_n x_n^* \in X^*$. Therefore, the linear map ψ defined by

$$(x_n^*) \in l_w^p(X^*) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \otimes x_n^* \in I(l_1, X^*)$$

is well defined and $\|\psi\| \leq c$. Now denote the restriction map of ψ to $l_u^p(X^*)$ by ψ_u . Since $\hat{x}^* = \lim_P \hat{x}^*(P)$ for all $\hat{x}^* \in l_u^p(X^*)$, it follows that ψ_u takes all its values in $\mathcal{N}(l_1, X^*)$ (note that $\mathcal{N}(l_1, X^*)$ is a subspace of $I(l_1, X^*)$ because $(l_1)^*$ has the metric approximation property). If we also denote the operator

$$(x_n^*) \in l_u^p(X^*) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \otimes x_n^* \in \mathcal{N}(l_1, X^*)$$

by ψ_u , then $(\psi_u)^*$ maps $B(X^*, l_1)$ into $l_u^p(X^*)^*$. In particular, for all $(x_n) \in l_u^1(X)$, the operator $x^* \in X^* \rightarrow \sum \langle x_n, x^* \rangle e_n \in l_q$ is integral. This completes the proof because nuclear and integral operators into a reflexive space are the same.

Recall that an operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called (r, q, p) -summing if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle Tx_k, y_k^* \rangle|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq c \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x_k, x^* \rangle|^q \right)^{1/q} \cdot \sup_{y \in B_Y} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle y, y_k^* \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for all finite families of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and functionals $y_1^*, \dots, y_n^* \in Y^*$. So, Theorem 1 gives us a characterization of Banach spaces X for which I_X is $(1, 1, p)$ -summing.

An operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is (p, q) -summing if there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|Tx_k\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\langle x_k, x^* \rangle|^q \right)^{1/q} : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

for all finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of X .

Following [Ps] we will say that a Banach space X satisfies Grothendieck's Theorem (in short, X is a G.T. space) if $B(X, l_2) = \Pi_1(X, l_2)$. The next proposition shows the relationship between the Banach spaces X for which I_X is absolutely $(1, 1, p)$ -summing and the above classes. \square

Proposition 2. (i) *If X is a G.T. space, then I_X is $(1, 1, p)$ -summing for $1 < p \leq 2$.*

(ii) *If $1 < p < +\infty$ and $T \in B(X, Y)$, then*

$$T \text{ is } (1, 1, p)\text{-summing} \Rightarrow T \text{ is } (q, 1)\text{-summing.}$$

Proof. If $(x_n) \in l_u^1(X)$, then the operator $T: x^* \in X^* \rightarrow \sum \langle x_n, x^* \rangle e_n \in l_q$ admits the following factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^* & \xrightarrow{T} & l_q \\ J \searrow & \nearrow I & \\ & l_1 & \end{array}$$

where $I: l_1 \rightarrow l_q$ is the natural inclusion and $J: X^* \rightarrow l_1$ is defined by $Jx^* = (\langle x_n, x^* \rangle)$ for all $x^* \in X^*$. I is obviously 1-summing and J is 2-summing by [Ps, 6.6.2], so T is nuclear.

(ii) If T is $(1, 1, p)$ -summing there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Tx_i, y_i^* \rangle \right| \leq c \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, x^* \rangle| : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \right\} \cdot \varepsilon_p((y_i^*)_{i=1}^n)$$

for all $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ and $\{y_1^*, \dots, y_n^*\} \subset Y^*$. Given $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$, choose $y_i^* \in B_{Y^*}$ so that $|\langle Tx_i, y_i^* \rangle| = \|Tx_i\|$ for each $i \leq n$. By (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \|Tx_i\| &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| |\langle Tx_i, y_i^* \rangle| \leq c\varepsilon_1((x_i)_{i=1}^n) \varepsilon_p((\alpha_i y_i^*)_{i=1}^n) \\ &\leq c\varepsilon_1((x_i)_{i=1}^n) \|(\alpha_i)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\alpha_i) \in l_p^n$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|Tx_i\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, x^* \rangle| : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

for all $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$.

In [P, 17.1.6], Pietsch formulated the following conjecture: for $1/r > 1/q + 1/p - 1/2$, I_X is (r, q, p) -summing if and only if X is finite dimensional. The conjecture is true for $q = r = 1$. Certainly, let $p > 2$. If I_X is $(1, 1, p)$ -summing, it follows from Proposition 2(ii) that I_x is $(q, 1)$ -summing. By [P, Theorem 17.2.7.] X has to be finite dimensional since $q < 2$. \square

2. SEQUENCES IN THE RANGE OF A VECTOR MEASURE WITH BOUNDED VARIATION

In this section we use Theorem 1 to obtain a characterization of Banach spaces X for which every p -weakly summable sequence (x_n) in X lies inside the range of an X^{**} -valued measure having bounded variation. The following lemma collects some elementary facts we need (see [Pi 2]).

Lemma 3. *Let X be a Banach space. If $\hat{x} = (x_n)$ is a bounded sequence in X , we consider the linear operator $T_{\hat{x}}: l_1 \rightarrow X$ defined by $T_{\hat{x}}(\alpha_n) = \sum \alpha_n x_n$ for all $(\alpha_n) \in l_1$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) (x_n) lies inside the range of an X^{**} -valued measure with bounded variation iff $T_{\hat{x}}$ is integral.
- (ii) (x_n) lies inside the range of an X -valued measure with bounded variation iff $T_{\hat{x}}$ is Pietsch-integral.

Now we are ready to face our problem.

Theorem 4. *Let X a Banach space and $1 < p < +\infty$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) Every sequence $(x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$ lies inside the range of an X^{**} -valued measure with bounded variation.
- (ii) Every sequence $(x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$, satisfying that the operator $(\alpha_n) \in l_q \rightarrow \sum \alpha_n x_n \in X$ is compact, lies inside the range of an X -valued measure with bounded variation.
- (iii) Every sequence $(x_n) \in l_u^p(X)$ lies inside the range of an X -valued measure with bounded variation.
- (iv) I_{X^*} is $(1, 1, p)$ -summing.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) By Lemma 3, we can consider the linear map

$$\phi: \hat{x} \in l_w^p(X) \rightarrow T_{\hat{x}} \in I(l_1, X).$$

It is continuous because its graph is closed. Since $(l_q)^*$ has the approximation property, for each sequence $\hat{x} = (x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$ satisfying that the operator $(\alpha_n) \in l_q \rightarrow \sum \alpha_n x_n \in X$ is compact, there exists a sequence (\hat{y}_k) in $l_w^p(X)$ such that $\hat{x} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{y}_k$ in $l_w^p(X)$ and each sequence \hat{y}_k is finite dimensional. Then $\phi(\hat{y}_k)$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}(l_1, X)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By continuity, so does $\phi(\hat{x})$ (recall that $\mathcal{N}(l_1, X)$ is a closed subspace of $I(l_1, X)$). Hence, we have proved that such a sequence

$(x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$ actually lies inside a sum of segments

$$\sum [-z_n, z_n] = \left\{ \sum \alpha_n z_n : (\alpha_n) \in l_\infty, \|(\alpha_n)\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}$$

where $\sum \|z_n\| < +\infty$ (see [Pi 1]).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) It is obvious because the operator

$$(\alpha_n) \in l_q \rightarrow \sum \alpha_n x_n \in X$$

is compact for each sequence $(x_n) \in l_n^p(X)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Now we consider the linear map

$$\psi: \hat{x} \in l_u^p(X) \rightarrow T_{\hat{x}} \in I(l_1, X).$$

Having a closed graph, ψ is continuous. Since $\hat{x} = \lim_P \hat{x}(P)$ for every sequence $\hat{x} \in l_u^p(X)$, it follows that ψ takes its values into $\mathcal{N}(l_1, X)$. As mentioned earlier, using the trace duality it is easy to prove that ψ^* takes every $(x_n^*) \in l_u^1(X^*)$ in $\sum x_n^* \otimes e_n \in I(X, l_q)$. Again the reflexivity of l_q yields (iv).

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove that the linear map

$$(x_n^{**}) \in l_w^p(X^{**}) \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \otimes x_n^{**} \in I(l_1, X^{**})$$

is well defined and continuous. In particular, it follows from the above lemma that every $(x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$ lies inside the range of an X^{**} -valued measure of bounded variation.

In view of Theorem 4 and the notes at the end of section 1, for $p > 2$, only finite-dimensional Banach spaces X have the property that every sequence $(x_n) \in l_w^p(X)$ lies inside the range of an X -valued measure having bounded variation. That is why from now on we only consider $p \in [1, 2]$. \square

3. FINAL NOTES AND EXAMPLES

It is well known that every sequence $(x_n) \in l_w^1(X)$ lies inside the range of an X -valued measure with bounded variation. In fact, the vector measure F defined by

$$F(A) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_A r_n(t) dt \right) x_n,$$

for any Lebesgue measurable subset A of $[0, 1]$, has bounded variation whenever $(x_n) \in l_w^1(X)$. In [AD] it is proved that $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset rg(F)$. Then, given an infinite-dimensional Banach space X , we can consider the set $r(X)$ formed by all real numbers $r \in [1, 2]$ such that every sequence $(x_n) \in l_w^r(X)$ lies inside the range of an X -valued measure having bounded variation. Then $r(X)$ is an interval whose bounds are 1 and $\sup(r(X))$. In the following we will determine the set $r(X)$ for some classical Banach spaces.

- (i) $r(X) = [1, 2]$ for every Banach space X satisfying:
 - (a) X^* is a G.T. space,
 - (b) X is a dual space.

By Proposition 2(i), I_{X^*} is $(1, 1, r)$ -summing for all $r \in (1, 2]$. Then Theorem 4 implies that $r \in r(X)$ for all $r \in [1, 2]$.

In particular, if μ is a σ -finite positive measure, $r(L^\infty(\mu)) = [1, 2]$.

(ii) $r(l_p) = \{1\}$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$.

I_p will denote the identity map $l_p \rightarrow l_p$. First, we consider the case $p = 1$. If (e_n^*) denotes the unit basis of $l_\infty = (l_1)^*$, then $(e_n^*) \in l_w^1(l_\infty)$. Since $\sum \|e_n^*\|^s = \infty$ for $s \geq 1$ it follows that I_∞ cannot be $(s, 1)$ -summing for $s \geq 1$. So, Proposition 2(ii) tells us that I_∞ is not $(1, 1, r)$ -summing for $r > 1$. By Theorem 4, $r(l_1) = \{1\}$.

Now suppose $1 < p < +\infty$.

Claim. $r(l_p) \cap (1, q) = \emptyset$. Let $r \in r(l_p) \cap (1, q)$. Theorems 1 and 4 assure us that there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, x_i^* \rangle| \leq c\varepsilon_1((x_i^*)_{i=1}^n)\varepsilon_r((x_i)_{i=1}^n)$$

for all $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset l_p$ and $\{x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*\} \subset l_q$. Given $(\alpha_n) \in l_q$ and $(\beta_n) \in l_u$ with $u = rq(q-r)^{-1}$, define $x_n^* = \alpha_n e_n^*$ and $x_n = \beta_n e_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (4) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i| |\beta_i| \leq c\varepsilon_1((\alpha_i e_i^*)_{i=1}^m)\varepsilon_r((\beta_i e_i)_{i=1}^m)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying Holder's inequality we obtain

$$\varepsilon_1((\alpha_i e_i^*)_{i=1}^m) \leq \|(\alpha_n)\|_q \varepsilon_p((e_n^*)) = \|(\alpha_n)\|_q$$

and

$$\varepsilon_r((\beta_i e_i)_{i=1}^m) \leq \varepsilon_q((e_n)) \|(\beta_n)\|_u = \|(\beta_n)\|_u.$$

Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\alpha_n) \in l_q$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i| |\beta_i| \leq c \|(\alpha_n)\|_q \|(\beta_n)\|_u.$$

This implies that $(\beta_n) \in l_p = (l_q)^*$. Choosing $(\beta_n) \in l_u \setminus l_p$ we fall in a contradiction since $rq(q-r)^{-1} > p$.

With our claim established we already have proved that $r(l_p) = \{1\}$ for $p < 2$. Finally, we are going to show that $r(l_p) \cap [q, 2] = \emptyset$ for $p \geq 2$. This is the easy part. Certainly, the identity map $l_1 \rightarrow l_p$ is not nuclear, hence Lemma 3(ii) allows us to conclude that the sequence (e_n) does not lie inside the range of an l_p -valued measure of bounded variation. Nevertheless, $(e_n) \in l_w^r(l_p)$ for all $r \geq q$. Thus $[q, 2] \cap r(l_p) = \emptyset$.

(iii) $r(X) = \{1\}$ for all infinite-dimensional \mathcal{L}_p -space X with $1 \leq p < +\infty$.

By [LP, Proposition 7.3], X has a complemented subspace H isomorphic to l_p . Then $r(X) \subset r(H) = r(l_p) = \{1\}$.

REFERENCES

- [AD] R. Anantharaman and J. Diestel, *Sequences in the range of a vector measure*, Anna. Soc. Math. Polon. Ser. I Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **30** (1991), 221–235. MR **92g**:46049
- [DU] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys Monographs, vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977. MR **56**:12216
- [LP] J. Lindenstrauss and Pelczynski, *Absolutely summing operators in \mathcal{L}_p -spaces and their applications*, Studia Math. **29** (1968), 275–326. MR **37**:6743
- [P] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980. MR **81j**:47001

- [Pi 1] C. Piñeiro, *Operators on Banach spaces taking compact sets inside ranges of vector measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), 1031–1040. MR **93b**:47076
- [Pi 2] ———, *Sequences in the range of a vector measure with bounded variations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 3329–3334. CMP 95:16
- [PR] C. Piñeiro and L. Rodríguez-Piazza, *Banach spaces in which every compact lies inside the range of a vector measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 505–517. MR **92e**:46038
- [Ps] G. Pisier, *Factorization of linear operators and geometry of Banach spaces*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., vol. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986. MR **88a**:47020
- [T] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Banach-Mazur distances and finite-dimensional operator ideals*, Pitman Monographs Surveys Pure Appl. Math., vol. 38, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1989. MR **90k**:46039

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, APTDO. 1160, SEVILLA, 41080, SPAIN

Current address: Departamento de Matemáticas, Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad de Huelva, 21810 La Rábida, Huelva, Spain