

SCHOTTKY'S FORM AND THE HYPERELLIPTIC LOCUS

CRIS POOR

(Communicated by Albert Baernstein II)

ABSTRACT. We show that Schottky's modular form, J_g , has in every genus an irreducible divisor which contains the hyperelliptic locus. We also improve a corollary of Igusa concerning Siegel modular forms that must necessarily vanish on the hyperelliptic locus.

§1. INTRODUCTION

In 1888 Schottky gave the famous modular cusp form J_4 which vanishes on the Jacobian locus in \mathcal{H}_4 , the Siegel upper half space of degree four. In 1981 Igusa represented J_4 as a rational multiple of $\vartheta_{D_8^+}^2 - \vartheta_{D_{16}^+}$ where $\vartheta_{D_8^+}$ and $\vartheta_{D_{16}^+}$ are the theta series associated to the lattices D_8^+ and D_{16}^+ , respectively. This representation could be "accidental" in that the dimension of cusp forms of weight 8 on \mathcal{H}_4 is small enough to make the the proportionality of forms arising from different sources likely. On the other hand this representation could point to a deeper relationship between differences of theta series and geometrically interesting loci in \mathcal{H}_g .

This paper provides a piece of data which supports the hypothesis of a deeper relationship. We show that $\vartheta_{D_8^+}^2 - \vartheta_{D_{16}^+}$ vanishes on the hyperelliptic locus in \mathcal{H}_g for every degree g . If we view $\vartheta_{D_8^+}$ and $\vartheta_{D_{16}^+}$ as *complete invariants* of their associated lattices, then we see that Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves of any genus cannot distinguish the $D_8^+ \oplus D_8^+$ lattice from the D_{16}^+ lattice. The proof we give is a simple modification of an argument due to Igusa in [3, page 845] that uses his homomorphism $\rho_g : A(\Gamma_g) \rightarrow S(2, 2g + 2)$. Theorem 3.8 shows that if $f \in A(\Gamma_g)$ vanishes at the cusps of the hyperelliptic locus, then $\rho_g(f)$ is divisible by the discriminant in $S(2, 2g + 2)$. Theorem 3.8 provides a brief proof of the more interesting Corollary 3.10 that the modular form $\vartheta_{D_8^+}^2 - \vartheta_{D_{16}^+}$ always vanishes on the hyperelliptic locus. The author is presently investigating whether or not this form vanishes on the Jacobian locus for $g \geq 5$. I thank William Duke for the interesting talk at Columbia University on Siegel modular forms and codes which led me to this investigation. I also thank my colleague Armand Brumer for his explanations on these topics.

Received by the editors October 24, 1994 and, in revised form, January 30, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F46; Secondary 14K25, 11E45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic class invariant, theta series, hyperelliptic.

§2. NOTATION

We first review the notation concerning modular forms and subvarieties of the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties. We let \mathcal{H}_g denote the Siegel upper half space of degree $g \geq 1$, and let $\Gamma_g = \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the Siegel modular group which acts on \mathcal{H}_g . Let $A_k(\Gamma_g)$ denote the Siegel modular forms of weight k for Γ_g , and let $A(\Gamma_g) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k(\Gamma_g)$ be the graded ring of Siegel modular forms. For $g \geq 2$ a homomorphism of graded rings $\Phi_g : A(\Gamma_g) \rightarrow A(\Gamma_{g-1})$ is defined for $f \in A(\Gamma_g)$ and $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_{g-1}$ by

$$(2.1) \quad (\Phi_g f)(\Omega) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} f \begin{pmatrix} \Omega & 0 \\ 0 & i\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Elements in the kernel of Φ_g are called *cusp forms*. We view $\mathcal{A}_g = \mathcal{H}_g/\Gamma_g$ as the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties. The Torelli map sends a compact Riemann surface of genus g to its Jacobian's class in \mathcal{A}_g . We let \mathcal{J}_g denote the closure in \mathcal{A}_g of the image of the Torelli map and refer to \mathcal{J}_g as the *Jacobian locus*. In the same way we let h_g denote the closure of the image of the restriction of the Torelli map to hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, and call h_g the *hyperelliptic locus*. We say that a Siegel modular form $f \in A(\Gamma_g)$ vanishes on h_g if for all $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_g$ such that $[\Omega] \in h_g$ we have $f(\Omega) = 0$.

We now discuss lattices in \mathbb{R}^n and their associated theta series. A lattice $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *integral* if for any $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \Lambda$ the value of the inner product $\langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$ is an integer. The following sequence of analytic functions ϑ_Λ are invariant under isometries of the lattice Λ .

2.2 Definition. Let Λ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n . For each $g \geq 1$ the *theta series* of Λ , $\vartheta_\Lambda : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, is defined for $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_g$ by

$$\vartheta_\Lambda(\Omega) = \sum_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(i\pi \sum_{j,k=1}^g \Omega_{jk} \langle \ell_j, \ell_k \rangle \right).$$

An integral lattice Λ is called *even* if for all $\ell \in \Lambda$ we have $\langle \ell, \ell \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. If Λ is an even self-dual lattice of dimension n we have $\vartheta_\Lambda \in A_{n/2}(\Gamma_g)$ for each g . For such Λ we necessarily have that 8 divides n , and the examples relevant here are the lattices D_n^+ for $n \in 8\mathbb{Z}^+$ in the notation of Conway and Sloane [1, page 119]. For $n = 8$ there is one isometry class of even self-dual lattices, given by D_8^+ ; for $n = 16$ there are two isometry classes, given by $D_8^+ \oplus D_8^+$ and D_{16}^+ . Theta series satisfy $\Phi_g(\vartheta_\Lambda \text{ on } \mathcal{H}_g) = \vartheta_\Lambda \text{ on } \mathcal{H}_{g-1}$.

2.3 Definition. For $g \geq 1$, define $J_g \in A_8(\Gamma_g)$ by $J_g = \vartheta_{(D_8^+ \oplus D_8^+)} - \vartheta_{D_{16}^+}$.

From the work of Igusa in [4] we know that the vanishing of J_4 defines \mathcal{J}_4 in \mathcal{A}_4 .

Finally, we shall use the standard notation $\mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_r]$, $\mathbb{C}(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ for polynomial domains and their quotient fields in r variables. Let $\mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_r]^{\mathrm{sym}}$ and $\mathbb{C}(a_1, \dots, a_r)^{\mathrm{sym}}$ denote, respectively, the polynomial domain and rational function field fixed under the action of the symmetric group S_r on $\mathbb{C}(a_i)$ induced by permutations of a_1, \dots, a_r . For $s \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}[a_i]_s^{\mathrm{sym}}$ the polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[a_i]^{\mathrm{sym}}$ of degree s in any one, hence in any, of the a_i . Elements of $\mathbb{C}[a_i]_s^{\mathrm{sym}}$ are said to have *weight* s . We note that $\mathbb{C}[a_i]^{\mathrm{sym}} = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \mathbb{C}[a_i]_s^{\mathrm{sym}}$ but that this is not the usual

grading on $\mathbb{C}[a.]^{\text{sym}}$ given by the homogeneous degree in the a_i ; rather it is the grading given by the homogeneous degree in the elementary symmetric functions of the a_i . If we let $\Delta_r = \prod_{i < j} (a_i - a_j) \in \mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_r]$ as usual, then the element $\Delta_r^2 \in \mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_r]_s^{\text{sym}}$ has weight $s = 2(r - 1)$.

§3. \mathbf{J}_g VANISHES ON \mathbf{h}_g

In this section we prove that a hyperelliptic cusp form of weight less than $8 + 4/g$ must vanish on the hyperelliptic locus, h_g . The main tools are Igusa's homomorphism $\rho_g : A(\Gamma_g) \dashrightarrow S(2, 2g + 2)$ from a subring of Siegel modular forms to a graded ring of "binary invariants", and Tsuyumine's map $T_g : S(2, 2g + 2) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}(a_1, \dots, a_{2g})$ that gives the ρ -induced action of Φ_g on $S(2, 2g + 2)$.

3.1 Definition. For $r \geq 1, s \geq 0$, let $S(2, r)_s$ be the set of $f \in \mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_r]$ such that both 1. and 2. hold.

1. For all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$f\left(\frac{aa_1 + b}{ca_1 + d}, \dots, \frac{aa_i + b}{ca_i + d}, \dots, \frac{aa_r + b}{ca_r + d}\right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r (ca_i + d)\right)^{-s} f(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_r).$$

2. $f \in \mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_r]_s^{\text{sym}}$.

3.2 Definition. For $r \geq 1$, let $S(2, r) = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} S(2, r)_s$.

3.3 Remarks. If we apply condition 1. of Definition 3.1 to $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ we see that $S(2, r) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[a_i - a_j \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}] \cap \mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_r]_s^{\text{sym}}$. Also notice that Δ_r satisfies condition 1. with $s = r - 1$.

3.4 Theorem (Igusa, [3, page 844]). *Let $g \geq 1$. There exists a homomorphism of graded rings $\rho_g : \text{Dom}(\rho_g) \subseteq A(\Gamma_g) \rightarrow S(2, 2g + 2)$ such that conditions 1.-3. hold.*

1. $\text{Dom}(\rho_g)$ contains all modular forms of even weight.
2. $\text{Ker}(\rho_g)$ is the ideal of $\text{Dom}(\rho_g)$ vanishing on h_g .
3. ρ_g multiplies weights by $\frac{1}{2}g$.

3.5 Definition [7, page 762]. Let $g, m \geq 1$. Define $T_g : \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} S(2, 2g + 2)_{gm} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(a_1, \dots, a_{2g})$ by, for $I \in S(2, 2g + 2)_{gm}$,

$$(T_g I)(a_1, \dots, a_{2g}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2g} a_i\right)^{-m} I(a_1, \dots, a_{2g}, 0, 0).$$

In [7] the domain of T_g (designated by Φ in [7]) is given as $S(2, 2g + 2)$ and the range space of T_g is given as $\mathbb{C}(a_1, \dots, a_{2g})$, but the formula defining T_g gives $T_g I$ in the algebraic extension $\mathbb{C}(a_1, \dots, a_{2g})(\sqrt[2g]{a_1 \dots a_{2g}})$. One easy way to mend this discrepancy is to define $\text{Dom}(T_g) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} S(2, 2g + 2)_{gm}$ so that the range space of T_g is $\mathbb{C}(a_1, \dots, a_{2g})$. This is what has been done in Definition 3.5. The $\text{Dom}(T_g)$ in Definition 3.5 includes the ρ_g -images of even weight modular forms; this inclusion is all we use here and all used in [7] to prove the following proposition.

3.6 Proposition (Tsuyumine [7, page 786]). *Let $g \geq 1$. There is a choice of ρ_g in Theorem 3.4 such that for even k and for all $f \in A_k(\Gamma_g)$ we have $(T_g \circ \rho_g)(f) = (\rho_{g-1} \circ \Phi_g)(f)$ in $S(2, 2g)$.*

3.7 Definition. Let $g \geq 2$, and let $f \in A(\Gamma_g)$. We say that f is a hyperelliptic cusp form when $\Phi_g(f) \equiv 0$ on h_{g-1} .

3.8 Theorem. *Let $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $f \in A_k(\Gamma_g)$ be a hyperelliptic cusp form. Then we have Δ_{2g+2}^2 divides $\rho_g(f)$ in $S(2, 2g + 2)$.*

Proof. Let f be a hyperelliptic cusp form so that we have $\Phi_g(f) \equiv 0$ on h_{g-1} by Definition 3.7. From 2. of Theorem 3.4 we have $\Phi_g(f) \in \text{Ker}(\rho_{g-1})$. From Tsuyumine’s Proposition 3.6 we have $T_g(\rho_g(f)) = \rho_{g-1}(\Phi_g(f)) = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}(a_1, \dots, a_{2g})$. From the definition of T_g we see that $\rho_g(f)$ is in the ideal (a_{2g+1}, a_{2g+2}) of the ring $\mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_{2g+2}]$. Since $\rho_g(f) \in S(2, 2g + 2) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[a_i - a_j \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2g + 2\}]$, we have $(a_{2g+1} - a_{2g+2})$ divides $\rho_g(f)$ in $\mathbb{C}[a.]$. Since $\mathbb{C}[a.]$ is a unique factorization domain, the facts that $\rho_g(f)$ is divisible by $(a_{2g+1} - a_{2g+2})$ and that $\rho_g(f) \in \mathbb{C}[a.]^{\text{sym}}$ imply that Δ_{2g+2} divides $\rho_g(f)$ in $\mathbb{C}[a.]$. Since $\frac{\rho_g(f)}{\Delta_{2g+2}}$ is an alternating polynomial in the $a.$, we have that Δ_{2g+2} divides $\frac{\rho_g(f)}{\Delta_{2g+2}}$ in $\mathbb{C}[a.]$. Since Δ_{2g+2}^2 and $\rho_g(f)$ are both in $S(2, 2g + 2)$, their quotient is as well, and we have that Δ_{2g+2}^2 divides $\rho_g(f)$ in $S(2, 2g + 2)$. \square

3.9 Corollary. *Let $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $f \in A_k(\Gamma_g)$ be a hyperelliptic cusp form. If $k < 8 + \frac{4}{g}$, then f vanishes identically on h_g .*

Proof. We have that Δ_{2g+2}^2 divides $\rho_g(f)$ in $S(2, 2g + 2)$ by Theorem 3.8. The weight of Δ_{2g+2}^2 is $2(2g + 1)$ and the weight of $\rho_g(f)$ is $\frac{1}{2}gk$ when $\rho_g(f)$ is nontrivial. However, we have $\frac{1}{2}gk < 2(2g + 1)$ by hypothesis so that $\rho_g(f)$ being divisible by Δ_{2g+2}^2 implies that $\rho_g(f) = 0$. Therefore we have $f \equiv 0$ on h_g by Igusa’s Theorem 3.4. \square

3.10 Corollary. *For all $g \geq 1$, the Siegel modular form J_g vanishes on the hyperelliptic locus h_g .*

Proof. For g such that $1 \leq g \leq 4$ this is known from the work of Witt [8], Kneser and Igusa [4], [5]. Corollary 3.10 follows by induction on g . Suppose that we have $J_g \equiv 0$ on h_g ; then we have $\Phi_{g+1}(J_{g+1}) = J_g \equiv 0$ on h_g so that J_{g+1} is a hyperelliptic cusp form. J_{g+1} is of even weight 8 so that we may apply Corollary 3.9 to conclude that $J_{g+1} \equiv 0$ on h_{g+1} . \square

3.11 Remark. Corollary 3.10 may also be proven using Thomæ’s formula [3, pg. 838] and the theta identities in Lemma 1 of [5, pg. 354]. It then reduces to the following interesting polynomial identity which can be proven inductively by letting $a_{2g+1} = a_{2g+2}$. For $g \geq 1$ we have the polynomial identity in $\mathbb{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_{2g+2}]$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\{T \cap T^c\}} \prod_{i < j; i, j \in T} (a_i - a_j)^2 \prod_{i < j; i, j \in T^c} (a_i - a_j)^2 \right)^2 \\ &= 2^g \sum_{\{T \cap T^c\}} \prod_{i < j; i, j \in T} (a_i - a_j)^4 \prod_{i < j; i, j \in T^c} (a_i - a_j)^4. \end{aligned}$$

The above sum is over the $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2g+2}{g+1}$ partitions $T \amalg T^c$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2g+2\}$ for which both T and T^c have $g+1$ elements. This formula was our original method of proof and was also noted by the referee.

Finally, we mention that J_g is irreducible in $A(\Gamma_g)$.

3.12 Proposition. *For all $g \geq 4$, the divisor of J_g in \mathcal{A}_g is irreducible.*

Proof. We will show by induction on g that the divisor of J_g is proper and irreducible in $A(\Gamma_g)$ for $g \geq 4$. The case $g = 4$ is due to Igusa [4]. We use a principle of Freitag which he deduces from his ‘‘Satz 2’’ in [2, page 256]. ‘‘For $g \geq 3$ an $f \in A(\Gamma_g)$ has an irreducible divisor, $\text{div}(f)$, if f may not be written as the product of modular forms of lower weight.’’ If we had $J_g = \psi_1\psi_2$ in $A(\Gamma_g)$ where $0 < \deg \psi_1, \deg \psi_2 < 8$, then applying the map Φ_g to J_g and using the induction hypothesis show that J_g is nontrivial on \mathcal{H}_g and that $J_{g-1} = \Phi_g(\psi_1)\Phi_g(\psi_2)$ in $A(\Gamma_{g-1})$ where $0 < \deg \Phi(\psi_1), \deg \Phi(\psi_2) < 8$. This is impossible because $\text{div}(J_{g-1})$ is irreducible by the induction hypothesis. This shows that $\text{div}(J_g)$ is both proper and irreducible in \mathcal{A}_g . \square

REFERENCES

1. J.H. Conway, and N.J.A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, Grund. der math. Wiss. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993. MR **93h**:11069
2. E. Freitag, *Die Irreduzibilitat der Schottkyrelation (Bemerkung zu einen Satz von J. Igusa)*, Arch. Math. **40** (1983), 255-259. MR **84m**:14034
3. J. I. Igusa, *Modular forms and projective invariants*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967), 817-855. MR **37**:5217
4. J. I. Igusa, *On the irreducibility of Schottky's divisor*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **28** (1981), 531-545. MR **83f**:14023
5. J. I. Igusa, *Schottky's invariant and quadratic forms*, Christoffel Symposium, Birkhuser Verlag, 1981, pp. 352-362. MR **83m**:10031
6. J. I. Igusa, *Theta Functions*, Grundlehren der math. Wiss., Springer Verlag 194, 1972. MR **48**:3972
7. S. Tsuyumine, *On Siegel modular forms of degree three*, Amer. J. Math. **108** (1986), 755-862, 1001-1003. MR **88a**:11047a,b
8. E. Witt, *Eine Identitat zwischen Modulformen zweiten Grades*, Abhandlungen aus dem Math. Sem. Hansischen Universitat **14** (1941), 323-337. MR **3**:163d

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY, BRONX, NEW YORK 10458
E-mail address: `poor@murray.fordham.edu`