

p -SEQUENTIALITY AND p -FRÉCHET-URYSOHN PROPERTY OF FRANKLIN COMPACT SPACES

S. GARCIA-FERREIRA AND V. I. MALYKHIN

(Communicated by Franklin D. Tall)

ABSTRACT. Franklin compact spaces defined by maximal almost disjoint families of subsets of ω are considered from the view of its p -sequentiality and p -Fréchet-Urysohn-property for ultrafilters $p \in \omega^*$. Our principal results are the following: CH implies that for every P -point $p \in \omega^*$ there are a Franklin compact p -Fréchet-Urysohn space and a Franklin compact space which is not p -Fréchet-Urysohn; and, assuming CH, for every Franklin compact space there is a P -point $q \in \omega^*$ such that it is not q -Fréchet-Urysohn. Some new problems are raised.

INTRODUCTION

Let us recall some definitions. All spaces are assumed to be completely regular and Hausdorff. The Greek letter ω will denote the first infinite ordinal. The set of all infinite subsets of ω is denoted by $[\omega]^\omega$. The Stone-Čech compactification of ω with the discrete topology is denoted by $\beta(\omega)$, which can be viewed as the set of all ultrafilters on ω , where $\widehat{A} = \text{cl}_{\beta(\omega)}(A) = \{q \in \beta(\omega) : A \in q\}$, for $A \subseteq \omega$, is a basic clopen subset of $\beta(\omega)$. The remainder $\omega^* = \beta(\omega) \setminus \omega$ is the set of all free ultrafilters on ω . For $A \in [\omega]^\omega$, let A^* stand for $\widehat{A} \setminus A$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous function, then $\overline{f}: \beta(X) \rightarrow \beta(Y)$ stands for the Stone-Čech extension of f . The *Rudin-Keisler* (pre-)order on ω^* is defined by $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ if there is a function $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $\overline{f}(p) = q$, for $p, q \in \omega^*$. The set of RK-predecessors of $p \in \omega^*$ is denoted by $P_{\text{RK}}(p) = \{q \in \omega^* : q \leq_{\text{RK}} p\}$. We say that p is RK-equivalent to q and write $p \approx_{\text{RK}} q$, if $p \leq_{\text{RK}} q$ and $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$. The *Type* of $p \in \omega^*$ is the set $T(p) = \{q \in \omega^* : p \approx_{\text{RK}} q\}$ and it is not hard to see that $p \approx_{\text{RK}} q$ iff there is a bijection $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $\overline{f}(p) = q$. If $p \in \omega^*$, then $T(p)$ is a dense subset of ω^* . A subset $N \subseteq \omega^*$ is said to be *RK-dense* in ω^* if for every $p \in \omega^*$ there is $q \in N$ such that $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$. If $\Sigma \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$, then $\Sigma^* = \{A^* : A \in \Sigma\}$. An *almost disjoint* (AD) family of subsets of ω is a family Σ of $[\omega]^\omega$ such that if $A, B \in \Sigma$ and $A \neq B$, then $|A \cap B| < \omega$ or $A^* \cap B^* = \emptyset$. If Σ is not a proper subset of any AD family, then Σ is called a *maximal almost disjoint* (MAD) family.

Our fundamental concept is the following.

Received by the editors July 5, 1993 and, in revised form, January 27, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54A20, 54A35.

Key words and phrases. Ultrafilter, MAD family, Franklin compact space, Rudin-Keisler order, p -sequential, p -Fréchet Urysohn, ultra-sequential, ultra-Fréchet-Urysohn.

©1996 American Mathematical Society

1.1. Definition (Katětov [Ka]). Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on ω . A point x of a topological space X is a \mathcal{F} -limit point of the sequence $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$ in X , we write $x = \mathcal{F}\text{-lim } x_n$ if $\{n < \omega : x_n \in V\} \in \mathcal{F}$ for every neighborhood V of x .

Let us note that if M is a nonempty closed subset of ω^* and $\mathcal{F}_M = \{A \in [\omega]^\omega : M \subseteq A^*\}$, then $M = \{p \in \omega^* : \mathcal{F}_M \subseteq p\} = \bigcap \{A^* : A \in \mathcal{F}_M\}$ and \mathcal{F}_M is a free filter on ω . Conversely, if \mathcal{F} is a free filter on ω and $M_{\mathcal{F}} = \bigcap \{A^* : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$, then $\mathcal{F} = \{A \in [\omega]^\omega : M_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq A^*\}$, $M_{\mathcal{F}} = \{p \in \omega^* : \mathcal{F} \subseteq p\}$ and $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a nonempty closed subset of ω^* . Hence, we have that $M = M_{\mathcal{F}_M}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{M_{\mathcal{F}}}$ for every nonempty closed subset M of ω^* and for every free filter \mathcal{F} on ω . Thus, throughout this paper, we will not distinguish between nonempty closed subsets of ω^* and the corresponding free filters on ω . This correspondence allows us to see that \mathcal{F} -limits, for an arbitrary free filter \mathcal{F} on ω , may be defined in terms of p -limits for $p \in \omega^*$ as is stated in the next lemma.

1.2. Lemma. Let \mathcal{F} be a free filter on ω , and let $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$ be a sequence in a space X . Then, $x = \mathcal{F}\text{-lim } x_n$ iff $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$ for all $p \in M_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. Only the sufficiency requires proof. Assume that there is a neighborhood V of x such that $A = \{n < \omega : x_n \in V\} \notin \mathcal{F}$. Then, $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not contained in A^* . Hence, we pick $p \in M_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus A^*$. But, we have that $\{n < \omega : x_n \in V\} \in p$, since $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$, which is a contradiction.

By using the \mathcal{F} -limits we may generalize sequentiality and Fréchet-Urysohn-property as follows: \square

1.3. Definition. Let \mathcal{F} be a free filter on ω and X a space. Then

- (1) (Kombarov [Ko]) X is \mathcal{F} -sequential if for every nonclosed subset A of X there are a sequence $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$ in A and $x \in X \setminus A$ such that $x = \mathcal{F}\text{-lim } x_n$;
- (2) (Comfort-Ponomarov-Savchenko) X is a $\text{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ -space if for each $A \subseteq X$ and each $x \in \text{cl}(A)$ there is a sequence $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$ in A such that $x = \mathcal{F}\text{-lim } x_n$;
- (3) (Malykhin) X is ultra-sequential (resp., ultra-FU) if X is p -sequential (resp., $\text{FU}(p)$ -space) for every $p \in \omega^*$.

The next lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.2.

1.4. Lemma. Let \mathcal{F} be a free filter on ω and X a space. Then

- (1) X is \mathcal{F} -sequential iff for every nonclosed subset A of X there are a sequence $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$ and $x \in X \setminus A$ such that $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$ for all $p \in M_{\mathcal{F}}$;
- (2) X is a $\text{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ -space iff for each $A \subseteq X$ and each $x \in \text{cl}(A)$ there is a sequence $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$ in A such that $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$ for all $p \in M_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Notice from 1.4 that if X is \mathcal{F} -sequential (resp., a $\text{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ -space) for a free filter \mathcal{F} on ω , then X is p -sequential (resp., a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space) for all $p \in M_{\mathcal{F}}$. The converse does not hold in general; for instance, if $q <_{\text{RK}} p$, then the subspace $\xi(q) = \omega \cup \{q\}$ of $\beta(\omega)$ is both a $\text{FU}(q)$ -space and a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space, but it is not a $\text{FU}(\mathcal{F}_M)$ -space, where $M = \{p, q\}$. If \mathcal{F} is a free filter on ω , then \mathcal{F} -sequentiality and $\text{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ -property coincide with the concepts of strong $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ -sequentiality (see [Ko]) and $\text{SFU}(M_{\mathcal{F}})$ -property (see [GT], [Koč]), respectively. If \mathcal{F}_τ is the Fréchet filter on ω (i.e., the filter generated by the cofinite subsets of ω), then sequentiality and Fréchet-Urysohn-property agree with \mathcal{F}_τ -sequentiality and $\text{FU}(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$ -property, respectively. More general, if $\text{int}_{\omega^*}(M_{\mathcal{F}}) \neq \emptyset$ for a free filter \mathcal{F} on ω , then sequentiality = \mathcal{F} -sequentiality and Fréchet-Urysohn-property = $\text{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ -property. For a nonempty closed subset M of ω^* , $\text{FU}(M)$ -space will mean $\text{FU}(\mathcal{F}_M)$ -space.

The basic relationship between Rudin-Keisler order and the concepts given in Definition 1.3 is established in the following lemma.

1.5. Lemma (Ferreira [G]). *For $p, q \in \omega^*$, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$;
- (2) every q -sequential space is p -sequential;
- (3) every $\text{FU}(q)$ -space is a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space.

For $p \in \omega^*$ and a subset Y of a space X , we define $Y^p = \{x \in X : x = p\text{-lim } x_n \text{ for some sequence } (x_n)_{n < \omega} \text{ in } Y\}$. By induction, we define $Y_0^p = Y$ and, for every ordinal number ν , $Y_\nu^p = (Y_\mu^p)^p$ if $\nu = \mu + 1$ and $Y_\nu^p = \bigcup_{\lambda < \nu} Y_\lambda^p$ if ν is a limit ordinal. Notice that if X is a p -sequential space, then $\text{cl}_X(Y) = Y_{\omega_1}^p = \bigcup_{\nu < \omega_1} Y_\nu^p$ for each $Y \subseteq X$. Hence, we have that a space X is p -sequential iff the ordinal number

$$\sigma_p(X) = \min\{\nu \leq \omega_1 : \text{cl}_X(Y) = Y_\nu^p \text{ for all } Y \subseteq X\}$$

exists. If X is p -sequential, then $\sigma_p(X)$ is called the *degree of p -sequentiality* of X . Observe that a space X is a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space iff $\sigma_p(X) = 1$.

2. FRANKLIN COMPACT SPACES

We start with the definition of Franklin compact space: For a MAD family Σ , the Franklin compact space $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ of Σ is defined as follows: $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is a factor-space of $\beta(\omega)$ in which every A^* , for $A \in \Sigma$, is identified with a single point and $\omega^* \setminus \bigcup \Sigma^*$ is also identified with a single point ∞ ; hence, the point set of $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is $\omega \cup \{A^* : A \in \Sigma\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Franklin compact spaces were introduced in [F], and they have been studied in many papers, for instance, [B₁], [B₂], [Ma₁] and [Ma₂]. It is known that Franklin compact spaces are sequential spaces with degree of sequentiality equal to 2 and are not Fréchet-Urysohn. In this section, we will consider these spaces from the view of p -sequentiality and $\text{FU}(p)$ -property for $p \in \omega^*$. So $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is ultra-sequential and its degree of p -sequentiality is not greater than 2, for every $p \in \omega^*$. The next two lemmas are fundamental in the study of the p -sequentiality and the $\text{FU}(p)$ -property of Franklin compact spaces.

2.1. Lemma. *Let $p \in \omega^*$ and Σ a MAD family. Then, $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is not a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space if and only if there is $C \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that*

$$C^* \setminus \bigcup \Sigma^* \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap C^* \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*.$$

Proof. Necessity: If $\sigma_p(\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)) = 2$, then there must be $C \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $\infty \in \text{cl}(C)$ and no sequence of C p -converges to ∞ . Let $q \in P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap C^*$. Then, we may find $f: \omega \rightarrow C$ such that $\bar{f}(p) = q$. Since $(f(n))_{n < \omega}$ is a sequence in C and $q = p\text{-lim } f(n)$, we have that $q \in A^*$ for some $A \in \Sigma$. This shows that $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap C^* \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$.

Sufficiency: Let $C \in [\omega]^\omega$ satisfy that $C^* \setminus \bigcup \Sigma^* \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap C^* \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$. It is enough to prove that no sequence of C p -converges to ∞ in $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$. Indeed, let $f: \omega \rightarrow C$ be such that $\bar{f}(p) = q \in \omega^*$. Then $q \in P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap C^* \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$ and so there is $A \in \Sigma$ for which $q \in A^*$; hence, $A^* = p\text{-lim } f(n)$. \square

2.2. Lemma (Boldjiev and Malykhin [BM]). *Let Σ be an AD family. If $p \in \omega^*$ is not a P -point, then $T(p)$ is not contained in $\bigcup \Sigma^*$.*

From 2.2 it follows that if $T(p) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$ for some AD family Σ , then p must be a P -point. The following theorem is proved in [BM]: now it can be considered as a consequence of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

2.3. Theorem (Boldjiev and Malykhin). *Every Franklin compact space is a FU(p)-space for each non- P -point $p \in \omega^*$.*

2.4. Corollary (Boldjiev and Malykhin). *If there are not P -points in ω^* , then every Franklin compact space is ultra-FU.*

The existence of P -points in ω^* is independent of the axioms of ZFC. In fact, W. Rudin [R] showed that CH implies the existence of P -points in ω^* and Shelah [W], [Mi] constructed a model of ZFC in which there are not P -points in ω^* . Hence, in Shelah's model the Franklin compact spaces are ultra-FU. So, it is natural to ask whether every Franklin compact space is not a FU(p)-space whenever $p \in \omega^*$ is a P -point. We shall show that CH implies that for every P -point $p \in \omega^*$ there is a MAD family Σ such that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is not a FU(p)-space.

Let ρ be the smallest cardinal, such that if \mathcal{A} is a centered family of clopen subsets of ω^* and $|\mathcal{A}| < \mathfrak{c}$, then $\text{int}(\bigcap \mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$. It is well known that $\rho = \mathfrak{c}$ under MA.

2.5. Theorem ($\rho = \mathfrak{c}$). *If N is a nowhere dense closed subset of ω^* , then there is a MAD family Σ such that $N \subseteq \omega^* \setminus \bigcup \Sigma^*$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is a FU(N)-space; hence, $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is a FU(p)-space for all $p \in N$.*

Proof. The desired family will be constructed by transfinite induction. Enumerate the set $\{C \in [\omega]^\omega : C^* \cap N = \emptyset\}$ as $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$. We define $A_0 = C_0$ and $N_0 = N$. Suppose that $A_\beta \in [\omega]^\omega$ and a nowhere dense closed subset N_β have been defined for each $\beta < \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, where α is a fixed ordinal smaller than \mathfrak{c} , so that

- (1) $\Sigma_\beta = \{A_\gamma : \gamma < \beta\}$ is AD for each $\beta < \alpha$;
- (2) $(\bigcup \Sigma_\beta^*) \cap (\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} N_\gamma) = \emptyset$ for each $\beta < \alpha$;
- (3) $N_\beta \subseteq \bigcup \{P_{\text{RK}}(p) : p \in N\}$ and $N_\beta \cap P_{\text{RK}}(p) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\beta < \alpha$; and
- (4) if C_β^* is not contained in $\bigcup \Sigma_\beta^*$, then $A_\beta^* \subseteq C_\beta^* \setminus [(\bigcup \Sigma_\beta^*) \cup (\bigcup_{\gamma \leq \beta} N_\gamma)]$.

Consider C_α^* and $\Sigma_\alpha = \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. If $C_\alpha^* \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma_\alpha^*$, then we let $N_\alpha = \emptyset$. If this is not the case, then put $W = \text{int}(C_\alpha^* \setminus [(\bigcup \Sigma_\alpha^*) \cup (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} N_\beta)])$. Since $\rho = \mathfrak{c}$, we have that $W \neq \emptyset$. Hence, we choose $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ so that $B^* \subseteq W$. Now, we fix a one-to-one function $f_\alpha : \omega \rightarrow B$ and set $N_\alpha = \overline{f_\alpha(N)}$. Then we choose $A_\alpha \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $A_\alpha^* \subseteq B^* \setminus N_\alpha$. Thus, we have defined A_α and N_α . It is not hard to verify that $\Sigma = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is the required MAD family.

It follows from 2.5, in particular, that if $\rho = \mathfrak{c}$ and $K \subseteq \omega^*$ satisfies $|K| < \mathfrak{c}$, then there is a MAD family Σ such that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is a FU(p)-space for every $p \in \text{cl}(K)$. \square

The next result, for only one P -point of ω^* , is contained in [BM, Example 1], but there is a small defect in its proof there. Here, we give a more general statement and the correct proof.

2.6. Theorem (CH). *If $p_\alpha \in \omega^*$ is a P -point for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a MAD family Σ such that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} P_{\text{RK}}(p_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$ and hence $\sigma_{p_\alpha}(\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)) = 2$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. Let $p_\alpha \in \omega^*$ be a P -point for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. Notice that each element of $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} P_{\text{RK}}(p_\alpha)$ is also a P -point of ω^* . We may enumerate $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} P_{\text{RK}}(p_\alpha)$ as $\{q_\mu : \mu < \omega_1\}$; this is possible because $|\bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} P_{\text{RK}}(p_\alpha)| = 2^\omega = \omega_1$. To define the MAD family we proceed by transfinite induction. Let $\{A_n : n < \omega\}$ be a partition of ω in infinite subsets. Suppose that A_μ has been defined for $\mu < \nu < \omega_1$ so that

- (1) $\{A_\mu : \mu < \nu\}$ is an AD family; and

$$(2) \{q_\mu : \mu < \nu\} \subseteq \bigcup \{A_\mu^* : \mu < \nu\}.$$

If $q_\nu \in \bigcup \{A_\mu^* : \mu < \nu\}$, then we choose any $A_\nu \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $|A_\nu \cap A_\mu| < \omega$ for all $\mu < \nu$. Otherwise, we take $A_\nu \in q_\nu$ so that $A_\nu^* \cap A_\mu^* = \emptyset$ for every $\mu < \nu$. Set $\Sigma = \{A_\mu : \mu < \nu\}$. By construction, we have that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} P_{\text{RK}}(p_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcup \{A_\mu^* : \mu < \omega_1\}$. Now, if $A \in [\omega]^\omega$, then there are $\mu, \nu < \omega_1$ such that $q_\mu \in A^* \cap A_\nu^*$ and so $A \cap A_\nu$ is infinite. This shows that Σ is a MAD family. From 2.1 it follows that $\sigma_{p_\alpha}(\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)) = 2$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

A solution to the following problem could provide some information about the FU(p)-property of Franklin compact spaces for the case when $p \in \omega^*$ is a P -point. \square

2.7. Problem. Is it possible that an ultra-FU Franklin compact space exists if P -points of ω^* exist?

The proof of the next theorem is analogous to the previous one.

2.8. Theorem (MA). For every $P_\mathfrak{c}$ -point $p \in \omega^*$ there exists a MAD family Σ such that $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$ and hence $\sigma_p(\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)) = 2$.

2.9. Problem. Assume $[MA + \neg CH]$ and let $p \in \omega^*$ be a P_κ -point for some $\omega < \kappa < \mathfrak{c}$ (such P_κ -point exists under $[MA + \neg CH]$, see [S]).

Is there a MAD family Σ family that:

- (a) $T(p) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$ or
- (b) $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$?

We turn now to prove that CH implies that for every MAD family Σ there is a P -point $q \in \omega^*$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is not a FU(q)-space. We need the next lemma.

2.10. Lemma. Let Σ be a MAD family. If $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is finite-to-one and onto, then $f^{-1}[\Sigma] = \{f^{-1}(A) : A \in \Sigma\}$ is also a MAD family.

Proof. Let $T = f^{-1}[\Sigma]$. Since Σ is AD and f is finite-to-one, we have that $|f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)| < \omega$ whenever $A, B \in \Sigma$ and $A \neq B$. Now, let $B \in [\omega]^\omega$. Since $f[B] \in [\omega]^\omega$, there is $A \in \Sigma$ such that $|A \cap f[B]| = \omega$ and so $|f^{-1}(A) \cap B| = \omega$. Thus, T is a MAD family. \square

2.11. Theorem (CH). For every MAD family Σ there is a P -point $p \in \omega^*$ such that $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$ and hence $\sigma_p(\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)) = 2$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f \mid f: \omega \rightarrow \omega \text{ is finite-to-one and onto}\}$ and $\Sigma = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Enumerate \mathcal{F} as $\{f_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. We proceed by transfinite induction. Set $B_0 = A_0$, and assume that B_β and $A_{\gamma_\beta} \in \Sigma$ have been defined for each $\beta < \alpha$ so that

$$(1) B_\beta^* \subseteq [B_0 \cap f_\beta^{-1}(A_{\gamma_\beta})]^* \text{ for } \beta < \alpha; \text{ and}$$

(2) $\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ has the finite intersection property. Since $\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ has the finite intersection property, there is $D \in [\omega]^\omega$ for which $D^* \subseteq \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta^*$. By Lemma 2.10, we may find $A_{\gamma_\alpha} \in \Sigma$ such that $|D \cap f_\alpha^{-1}(A_{\gamma_\alpha})| = \omega$. Then, we put $B_\alpha = D \cap f_\alpha^{-1}(A_{\gamma_\alpha})$. Thus, $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ has the finite intersection property and hence there is $p \in \omega^*$ such that $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq p$. So $p \in A_0^*$. If $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is a bijection, then $f = f_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$; hence, $\bar{f}(p) \in A_{\gamma_\alpha}^*$. This shows that $T(p) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$. According to 2.2, we have that p must be a P -point of ω^* . Notice, in general, that if $q \leq_{\text{RK}} p$ and $\underline{p} \in \omega^*$ is a P -point, then there is a finite-to-one surjection $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $\bar{f}(p) = q$. We claim that $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$. Indeed, fix $q \in P_{\text{RK}}(p)$. Then, there is $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $\bar{f}_\alpha(p) = q$. Since $p \in [f_\alpha^{-1}(A_{\gamma_\alpha})]^*$, $q = \bar{f}_\alpha(p) \in A_{\gamma_\alpha}^*$. This proves the claim. \square

In a similar way we may prove the following.

2.12. Theorem (MA). *For every MAD family Σ there is a P_c -point $p \in \omega^*$ such that $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \subseteq \bigcup \Sigma^*$ and hence $\sigma_p(\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)) = 2$.*

We could predict something like Theorems 2.14 and 2.15 after knowing Malykhin's result [Ma₃, Theorem 1], about the coincidence of ultra-FU and ultra-sequentiality with Fréchet-Urysohn property and sequentiality, respectively, under the assumption $n(\omega^*) > \mathfrak{c}$, where $n(X)$ is the Novak number of X (i.e., the smallest power of a family of nowhere dense subsets of X covering X). Indeed, under CH, MA or $n(\omega^*) > \mathfrak{c}$ a Franklin compact space $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ cannot be ultra-FU (i.e., there is $p \in \omega^*$ such that $\sigma_p(\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)) > 1$) since it is not Fréchet-Urysohn. As a consequence of this result and Lemma 1.5 we have the following two theorems.

2.13. Problem. Under CH, is it true that for every MAD family Σ there is a P -point $p \in \omega^*$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space?

2.14. Theorem. *Let Σ be a MAD family. Then, $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is ultra-FU if and only if $\{p \in \omega^* : \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) \text{ is a } \text{FU}(p)\text{-space}\}$ is RK-dense in ω^* .*

The next result is a direct application of Malykhin's Theorem quoted above and 2.14.

2.15. Theorem ($n(\omega^*) > \mathfrak{c}$). *Let Σ be a MAD family. Then, the set $\{p \in \omega^* : \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) \text{ is a } \text{FU}(p)\text{-space}\}$ is not RK-dense in ω^* .*

We formulate and prove the following proposition that is stronger than Malykhin's theorem.

2.16. Proposition ($n(\omega^*) > \mathfrak{c}$). *Let \mathfrak{C} be a family of nonempty closed subsets of ω^* such that $|\mathfrak{C}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ and $\bigcup \mathfrak{C}$ is RK-dense in ω^* . Then, $\text{int}(F) \neq \emptyset$ for some $F \in \mathfrak{C}$.*

Proof. We have that for every $p \in \omega^*$ there are $F_p \in \mathfrak{C}$ and a function $f_p: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $p \in \overline{f_p^{-1}(F_p)}$. Hence, $\{\overline{f_p^{-1}(F_p)} : p \in \omega^*\}$ is a family of nonempty closed subsets of ω^* and covers ω^* . But this family has power less than or equal to \mathfrak{c} . So there must be $F \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\text{int}(F) \neq \emptyset$.

We proceed to prove, under the assumption of $n(\omega^*) > \mathfrak{c}$, a result more general than the one given in Theorem 2.11: it is an immediate consequence of 2.1 and 2.16. \square

2.17. Theorem ($n(\omega^*) > \mathfrak{c}$). *Let Σ_α be a MAD family for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. Then, there is (a P -point) $p \in \omega^*$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ is not a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space for all $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. For each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, put $F_\alpha = \omega^* \setminus \bigcup \Sigma_\alpha^*$. Consider the set $\mathfrak{C} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$. Since $\text{int}(F_\alpha) = \emptyset_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, by 2.16, there is $p \in \omega^*$ such that $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \cap \bigcup \mathfrak{C} = \emptyset$ and so $P_{\text{RK}}(p) \subseteq \omega^* \setminus \bigcup \mathfrak{C} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} [\bigcup \Sigma_\alpha^*]$. Lemma 2.1 implies that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma_\alpha)$ is not a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space for all $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. \square

The next problem now appears to be natural.

2.18. Problem. Is it possible that some $p \in \omega^*$ exists such that every Franklin compact space is not a $\text{FU}(p)$ -space?

Perhaps it will be so in models obtained from GCH and by adding greater than ω_1 of new Cohen reals.

We recall that, for $p \in \omega^*$, a space X is a *strictly* $FU(p)$ -space if $x \in \bigcap_{n < \omega} \text{cl}_X(Y_n)$, where $Y_n \subseteq X$ for each $n < \omega$; then for each $n < \omega$ there is $x_n \in Y_n$ such that $x = p\text{-lim } x_n$.

2.19. Problem. Let $p \in \omega^*$, and let Σ be a MAD family. Can $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ be a strictly $FU(p)$ -space?

REFERENCES

- [B₁] A. I. Baškirov, *On the classification of quotient mappings and compact sequential spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **15** (1974), 1104–1109. MR **50**:11159
- [B₂] ———, *On maximal disjoint systems and Franklin bicompecta*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **19** (1978), 864–868. MR **80m**:54032
- [BM] B. Boldjiev and V. I. Malichin, *The sequentiality is equivalent to the \mathcal{F} -Fréchet-Urysohn property*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **31** (1990), 23–25. MR **91g**:54005
- [F] S. P. Franklin, *Spaces in which sequences suffice II*, Fund. Math. **61** (1967), 51–56. MR **36**:5882
- [G] S. Garcia-Ferreira, *On $FU(p)$ -spaces and p -sequential spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **32** (1991), 161–171. MR **92m**:54006
- [GT] S. Garcia-Ferreira and A. Tamariz-Mascarua, *On p -sequential p -compact spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **34** (1993), 347–356. MR **94m**:54008
- [Ka] M. Katětov, *Products of filters*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **9** (1968), 173–189. MR **40**:3496
- [Koč] L. D. Kočinac, *A generalization of chain-net spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **44** (58) (1988), 109–114. MR **90h**:54006
- [Ko] A. P. Kombarov, *On the theorem of A. M. Stone*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **27** (1983), 544–547. MR **85j**:54029
- [Ma₁] V. I. Malykhin, *Sequential bicompecta: Čech-Stone extensions and π -points*, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. **30** (1975), 18–23. MR **51**:11432
- [Ma₂] ———, *On sequential and Fréchet-Urysohn bicompecta*, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. **31** (1976) 33–37. MR **58**:7534
- [Ma₃] ———, *The sequentiality and the Fréchet-Urysohn property with respect to ultrafilters*, Acta Univ. Carolin.—Math. Phys. **31** (1990), 65–69. MR **92h**:54006
- [Mi] Ch. Mills, *An easier proof of the Shelah P -point independence theorem* (to appear).
- [R] W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Čech compactifications*, Duke Math. J. **23** (1956), 409–419. MR **18**:324
- [S] A. Szymanski, *The existence of $P(\alpha)$ -points of N^* for $\aleph_0 < \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$* , Colloq. Math. **37** (1977), 279–184. MR **58**:7571
- [W] E. L. Wimmers, *The Shelah P -point independence theorem*, Israel J. Math. **43** (1982), 28–48. MR **85e**:03118

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICAS, UNIDAD MORELIA (UNAM), NICOLÁS ROMERO 150, MORELIA, MICHOACAN 58000, MÉXICO

E-mail address: `garcia@servidor.unam.mx`

E-mail address: `sgarcia@zeus.ccu.umich.mx`

STATE ACADEMY OF MANAGEMENT, RJAZANSKIJ PROSPEKT 99, MOSCOW, RUSSIA 109 542

E-mail address: `matem@acman.msk.su`