

TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE POLYDISK

SUNHUA SUN AND DECHAO ZHENG

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. In this paper it is shown that two analytic Toeplitz operators essentially doubly commute if and only if they doubly commute on the Bergman space of the polydisk.

Let D be the open unit disk in \mathbb{C} . Its boundary is the circle T . The polydisk D^n and the torus T^n are the subsets of \mathbb{C}^n which are cartesian products of n copies D and T , respectively. Let $dA(z)$ be the normalized volume measure on D^n . The Bergman space $L_a^2(D^n)$ is the subspace of $L^2(D^n, dA)$ whose functions are holomorphic in D^n . There is an orthogonal projection P from $L^2(D^n, dA)$ onto $L_a^2(D^n)$. The Toeplitz operator with symbol f in $L^\infty(D^n)$ is defined by $T_f(h) = P(fh)$, for all $h \in L_a^2(D^n)$. Two analytic Toeplitz operators T_f and T_g are said to be essentially doubly commuting if $T_f^*T_g - T_gT_f^*$ is compact. They are said to be doubly commuting if $T_f^*T_g - T_gT_f^* = 0$.

The function theory on the polydisk D^n is quite different from the function theory on the unit disk [R]. One may expect that there should exist some differences in operator theory on the Bergman spaces between on the polydisk and on the disk. In this paper we will show that two analytic Toeplitz operators essentially doubly commute if and only if they doubly commute on the polydisk. But this is false on the disk [AG], [Z].

Observe that T^n is only a small part of the boundary of D^n if $n > 1$. But it is an important part and is called the distinguished boundary of D^n . T^n is also a compact group (with componentwise multiplication as group operation) and as such carries a Haar measure. Its dual group is Z^n where Z is the integer group. As in [SW] we consider multiple Fourier series on the n -torus T^n . The multiple Fourier series on the n -torus T^n can be viewed as the Fourier transformation on $L^1(T^n)$. For f in $L^1(T^n)$ the Fourier transformation is given by

$$\tilde{f}(\vec{m}) = \int_{T^n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{x})} d\sigma(x_1) \cdots d\sigma(x_n)$$

where $\vec{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in Z^n$ and $(\vec{m}, \vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i x_i$ and $\sigma_i(x_i)$ is the normalized Haar measure on T for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Theorem 1.7 in [SW], the Fourier transformation is injective, i.e. if $f \in L^1(T^n)$ and $\tilde{f}(m) = 0$ for all $m \in Z^n$, then $f \equiv 0$. The main result in this paper is the following theorem.

Received by the editors October 6, 1994 and, in revised form, April 21, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Toeplitz operator, Bergman space, polydisk.

The first author was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

The second author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Theorem. *Let f and g be two bounded analytic functions on the polydisk D^n for $n > 1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (A) T_f essentially doubly commutes with T_g .
- (B) T_f doubly commutes with T_g .
- (C) Either $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}$ or $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_i}$ is zero for all i .

Before beginning the proof of the Theorem, we need some notations and definitions. Since for any z in D^n , the pointwise evaluation of functions in $L^2_a(D^n)$ at z is a bounded functional, there is a function K_z in $L^2_a(B_n)$ such that

$$f(z) = (f, K_z)$$

for all f in $L^2_a(D^n)$. K_z is called the Bergman reproducing kernel and sometimes we use $K(z, w)$ to denote $K_z(w)$.

Let K_{z_1} denote the Bergman producing kernel $\frac{1}{(1-\bar{z}_1 w_1)^2}$ of the Bergman space $L^2_a(D)$ of the unit disk at the point z_1 in D , and k_{z_1} the normalized Bergman reproducing kernel of the Bergman space $L^2_a(D)$ at the point $z_1 \in D$. We use z to denote the vector (z_1, \dots, z_n) in C^n of an n -dimensional complex plane. It is easy to check that the reproducing kernel K_z of the Bergman space $L^2_a(D^n)$ of the polydisk is the multiple product $\prod_{i=1}^n k_{z_i}(w_i)$ of the Bergman kernel of the unit disk D . So the normalized producing kernel k_z of $L^2_a(D^n)$ is also the multiple product $\prod_{i=1}^n k_{z_i}$ of the normalized kernel of the unit disk. Then k_z weakly converges to zero in $L^2_a(D^n)$ as z tends to the boundary of D^n .

In addition, the producing kernel K_z has the following nice property:

$$(1) \quad T_{\bar{f}} K_z = \overline{f(z)} K_z$$

for z in D^n if f is in $L^2_a(D^n)$.

Let Z_+^n denote the subset $\{\vec{m} \in Z^n : m_i \geq 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of Z^n . For a function f in the Hardy space $H^2(T^n)$, we write the power series of f as follows:

$$f(z) = \sum_{\vec{m} \in Z_+^n} a_{\vec{m}} z^{\vec{m}}$$

where $a_{\vec{m}}$ is a sequence of numbers such that

$$\sum_{\vec{m} \in Z_+^n} |a_{\vec{m}}|^2 < \infty,$$

and $z^{\vec{m}}$ means the product $\prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{m_i}$ [R].

Proof of the Theorem. Obviously (B) \Rightarrow (A). First we prove that (C) \Rightarrow (B). Without loss of generality we assume that $f(z) = F(z_1, \dots, z_r)$ and $g(z) = G(z_{r+1}, \dots, z_n)$. Since the Bergman kernel of D^n is the multiple product $\prod_{i=1}^n K_{z_i}(w_i)$ of the Bergman kernel of D , by (1), we have

$$\left(T_f T_g^* \prod_{i=1}^n K_{z_i} \right) (w) = F(w_1, \dots, w_r) \overline{G(z_{r+1}, \dots, z_n)} \prod_{i=1}^n K_{z_i}(w_i)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(T_g^* T_f \prod_{i=1}^n K_{z_i}\right)(w) &= F(w_1, \dots, w_r) \prod_{i=1}^r K_{z_i}(w_i) \left(T_g^* \prod_{i=r+1}^n K_{z_i}\right)(w_{r+1}, \dots, w_n) \\ &= F(w_1, \dots, w_r) \bar{G}(z_{r+1}, \dots, z_n) \prod_{i=1}^n K_{z_i}(w_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$T_f T_g^* \left(\prod_{i=1}^n K_{z_i}\right) = T_g^* T_f \left(\prod_{i=1}^n K_{z_i}\right).$$

This implies that $T_f T_g^* = T_g^* T_f$ since the values of an operator on the Bergman kernels completely determine the operator on the Bergman space.

(A)⇒(C) Since D^n is symmetric with respect to all z_i , it is sufficient to prove that either $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}$ or $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}$ is zero. By (1), the elementary computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} &((T_f T_g^* - T_g^* T_f)k_z, k_z) \\ (2) \quad &= \int_{D^n} f(w) \bar{g}(w) \left| \prod_{i=1}^n k_{z_i}(w_i) \right|^2 dA(w) - f(z) \bar{g}(z) \end{aligned}$$

for any z in D^n . Let $\vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ and $U_{\vec{\theta}} = \text{diag}\{e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}\}$. Replacing z by $U_{\vec{\theta}}z$ in (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &((T_f T_g^* - T_g^* T_f)k_{U_{\vec{\theta}}z}, k_{U_{\vec{\theta}}z}) \\ (3) \quad &= \int_{D^n} f(w) \bar{g}(w) \left| \prod_{j=1}^n k_{e^{i\theta_j} z_j}(w_j) \right|^2 dA(w) - f(U_{\vec{\theta}}z) \bar{g}(U_{\vec{\theta}}z). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (3) by $e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})}$ and then integrating with respect to $\vec{\theta}$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{T^n} ((T_f T_g^* - T_g^* T_f)k_{U_{\vec{\theta}}z}, k_{U_{\vec{\theta}}z}) e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} d\theta \\ (4) \quad &= \int_{D^n} \int_{T^n} f(U_{\vec{\theta}}w) \bar{g}(U_{\vec{\theta}}w) \left| \prod_{j=1}^n k_{z_j}(w_j) \right|^2 e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} dA(w) d\theta \\ &\quad - \int_{T^n} f(U_{\vec{\theta}}z) \bar{g}(U_{\vec{\theta}}z) e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $H_{\vec{m}}(z) = \int_{T^n} f(U_{\vec{\theta}}z) \bar{g}(U_{\vec{\theta}}z) e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} d\theta$. Since both f and g are in $H^\infty(T^n)$, we write

$$f = \sum_{\vec{l} \in Z_+^n} a_{\vec{l}} z^{\vec{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{\vec{j} \in Z_+^n} b_{\vec{j}} z^{\vec{j}}$$

where $a_{\vec{l}}$ and $b_{\vec{j}}$ are two sequences of numbers such that

$$\sum_{\vec{l} \in Z_+^n} |a_{\vec{l}}|^2 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\vec{j} \in Z_+^n} |b_{\vec{j}}|^2 < \infty.$$

To show that $H_{\vec{m}}(z)$ is continuous on the closure \overline{D}^n of the polydisk, for the sake of convenience, we consider the special case that $\vec{m} \in Z_+^n$. Then

$$H_{\vec{m}}(z) = \sum_{\vec{i} \in Z_+^n} a_{\vec{i}} \overline{b_{(\vec{i}+\vec{m})}} z^{\vec{i}} \overline{z}^{(\vec{i}+\vec{m})}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\vec{i} \in Z_+^n} |a_{\vec{i}} \overline{b_{(\vec{i}+\vec{m})}}| \leq \left(\sum_{\vec{i} \in Z_+^n} |a_{\vec{i}}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\vec{i} \in Z_+^n} |b_{\vec{i}}|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

$H_{\vec{m}}(z)$ is continuous on the closure \overline{D}^n of D^n for any $\vec{m} \in Z^n$. It follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad & \int_{T^n} ((T_f T_g^* - T_g^* T_f) k_{U_{\vec{\theta}z}}, k_{U_{\vec{\theta}z}}) e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} d\theta \\ &= \int_{D^n} H_{\vec{m}}(w) |k_z(w)|^2 dA(w) - H_{\vec{m}}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\phi_{z_i}(w_i)$ be the Möbius map $\frac{z_i - w_i}{1 - \overline{z_i} w_i}$. Changing variables $w_i = \phi_{z_i}(\lambda_i)$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T^n} ((T_f T_g^* - T_g^* T_f) k_{U_{\vec{\theta}z}}, k_{U_{\vec{\theta}z}}) e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} d\theta \\ &= \int_{D^n} H_{\vec{m}}(w_1, \phi_{z_2}(\lambda_2), \dots, \phi_{z_n}(\lambda_n)) |k_{z_1}|^2 dA(w_1) dA(\lambda_2) \cdots dA(\lambda_n) \\ &\quad - H_{\vec{m}}(z_1, \dots, z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Let (μ_2, \dots, μ_n) be a point in T^{n-1} . Since $n > 1$, we can choose a sequence $\{(z_2, \dots, z_n)_\alpha\} \subset D^{n-1}$ converging to $\{\mu_2, \dots, \mu_n\}$. For a fixed $z_1 \in D$, let $z_\alpha = (z_1, \dots, z_n)_\alpha$. Since k_z weakly converges to zero as z goes to the boundary of D^n and $T_f T_g^* - T_g^* T_f$ is compact,

$$\lim_{(z)_\alpha} \int_{T^n} ((T_f T_g^* - T_g^* T_f) k_{U_{\vec{\theta}z_\alpha}}, k_{U_{\vec{\theta}z_\alpha}}) e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} d\theta = 0.$$

Then we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{z_\alpha} \int_{D^n} H_{\vec{m}}(w_1, \phi_{(z_2)_\alpha}(\lambda_2), \dots, \phi_{(z_n)_\alpha}(\lambda_n)) |k_{z_1}|^2 dA(w_1) dA(\lambda_2) \cdots dA(\lambda_n) \\ &= H_{\vec{m}}(z_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n). \end{aligned}$$

Because $H_{\vec{m}}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is continuous on \overline{D}^n and $\phi_{(z_i)_\alpha}(\lambda_i)$ converges to μ_i point-wise for $i = 2, \dots, n$, by the dominated convergence theorem, we thus have

$$\int_D H_{\vec{m}}(w_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) |k_{z_1}|^2 dA(w_1) = H_{\vec{m}}(z_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n).$$

So $H_{\vec{m}}(z_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$ is continuous on \overline{D} and satisfies the invariant volume mean value property, i.e.

$$\int_D H_{\vec{m}}(\phi_{z_1}(w_1), \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) dA(w_1) = H_{\vec{m}}(z_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$$

for all $z_1 \in D$. By [AFR], it is harmonic. Thus $\Delta_{z_1} H_{\vec{m}}(z_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = 0$. On the other hand, if we write

$$f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial z_1^k}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) z_1^k$$

and

$$g(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^k g}{\partial z_1^k}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) z_1^k,$$

and let $\vec{m} = (0, m_2, \dots, m_n)$, then

$$H_{\vec{m}}(z_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{T^{n-1}} \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial z_1^k}(0, \mu_2 e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, \mu_n e^{i\theta_n}) \times \overline{\frac{\partial^k g}{\partial z_1^k}(0, \mu_2 e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, \mu_n e^{i\theta_n})} e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n |z_1|^{2k},$$

and

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{T^{n-1}} \left| \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial z_1^k}(0, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) \right|^2 d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_{T^{n-1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(0, \mu_2 e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, \mu_n e^{i\theta_n}) \overline{\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(0, \mu_2 e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, \mu_n e^{i\theta_n})} e^{i(\vec{m}, \vec{\theta})} d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n = 0$$

for all $\vec{m} \in Z^{n-1}$, and both $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ are in $H^2(T^{n-1})$. So $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) \overline{\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n)}$ is in $L^1(T^{n-1})$ and the Fourier transformation of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) \overline{\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n)}$ on Z^{n-1} is zero. The injection of the Fourier transformation implies that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) \overline{\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n)}$ is zero on T^{n-1} . Thus

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) \overline{\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n)}$$

is zero on T^{n-1} .

Let $\phi_z(w)$ denote the Möbius transform $(\phi_{z_1}(w_1), \dots, \phi_{z_n}(w_n))$ in the polydisk D^n for each point $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in D^n$. For a fixed point $z \in D^n$, we define a unitary operator U_z on $L^2(D^n)$ by

$$U_z h(w) = h \circ \phi_z(w) k_z(w)$$

for all $h \in L^2(D^n)$. Thus $U_z^* T_f U_z = T_{f \circ \phi_z}$. If T_f essentially commutes with T_g , then $T_{f \circ \phi_{(z_1, 0, \dots, 0)}}$ essentially commutes with $T_{g \circ \phi_{(z_1, 0, \dots, 0)}}$.

Replacing f and g respectively by $f \circ \phi_{(z_1, 0, \dots, 0)}$ and $g \circ \phi_{(z_1, 0, \dots, 0)}$ in the above argument, we can get that for all z_1 in D ,

$$\frac{\partial(f \circ \phi_{(z_1, 0, \dots, 0)})}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) \overline{\frac{\partial(g \circ \phi_{(z_1, 0, \dots, 0)})}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n)} = 0$$

on T^{n-1} . But

$$\frac{\partial(f \circ \phi_{(z_1, 0, \dots, 0)})}{\partial z_1}(0, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (|z_1|^2 - 1) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n).$$

This implies

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = 0$$

for $(z_2, \dots, z_n) \in T^{n-1}$ and $z_1 \in D$. Thus either

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$$

is zero on D^n . This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [AFR] P. Ahern, M. Flores and W. Rudin, *An invariant volume-mean-value property*, J. Funct. Anal. **111** (1993), 380–397. MR **94b**:31002
- [AG] S. Axler and P. Gorkin, *Algebras on the disk and doubly commuting operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988), 711–723. MR **90a**:46133
- [R] W. Rudin, *Function theory on the polydiscs*, Benjamin, New York, 1969. MR **41**:501
- [SW] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971. MR **46**:4102
- [Z] D. Zheng, *Hankel operators and Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space*, J. Funct. Anal. **83** (1989), 98–120. MR **91b**:47057

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37240