

## SHIFT-INVARIANT SPACES ON THE REAL LINE

RONG-QING JIA

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. We investigate the structure of shift-invariant spaces generated by a finite number of *compactly supported* functions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Based on a study of linear independence of the shifts of the generators, we characterize such shift-invariant spaces in terms of the semi-convolutions of the generators with sequences on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, we show that such a shift-invariant space provides  $L_p$ -approximation order  $k$  if and only if it contains all polynomials of degree less than  $k$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the structure of shift-invariant spaces on the real line. In particular, we are interested in those properties of shift-invariant spaces on the real line which are not shared by shift-invariant spaces on higher dimensional spaces  $\mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $s > 1$ .

Finitely generated shift-invariant subspaces of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^s)$  were studied in [4] by de Boor, DeVore, and Ron, who gave a simple characterization for such spaces in terms of the Fourier transforms of their generators. However, when  $p \neq 2$ , few results have been known for shift-invariant subspaces of  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^s)$ .

In this paper, we are mainly concerned with shift-invariant spaces generated by a finite number of *compactly supported* functions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). We will give a characterization for such spaces in terms of the semi-convolutions of their generators with sequences on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The result is then applied to give a characterization of the approximation order provided by such shift-invariant spaces.

Let  $S$  be a linear space of distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $S$  is *shift-invariant* if

$$f \in S \Rightarrow f(\cdot - j) \in S \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A mapping from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  is called a *sequence*. The linear space of all sequences on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is denoted by  $\ell(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\phi$  be a compactly supported distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $a : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a sequence. The *semi-convolution* of  $\phi$  with  $a$ , denoted  $\phi *' a$ , is defined by

$$\phi *' a := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(\cdot - j)a(j).$$

---

Received by the editors April 13, 1995 and, in revised form, August 10, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A25, 41A15, 46E30.

*Key words and phrases*. Shift-invariant spaces, approximation order.

The author was supported in part by NSERC Canada under Grant OGP 121336.

Given a finite collection  $\Phi$  of compactly supported distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $S_0(\Phi)$  the linear span of  $\{\phi(\cdot - j) : \phi \in \Phi, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , and by  $S(\Phi)$  the linear space of all distributions of the form  $\sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi *' a_\phi$  with  $a_\phi$  being a sequence on  $\mathbb{Z}$  for each  $\phi \in \Phi$ . The elements in  $\Phi$  are called the *generators* for  $S(\Phi)$ .

Now suppose that  $\Phi$  is a finite subset of  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We denote by  $S_p(\Phi)$  the closure of  $S_0(\Phi)$  in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . One of the main results of this paper is a characterization of  $S_p(\Phi)$  in terms of semi-convolution. In Section 3, we shall prove that for  $1 < p < \infty$ , a function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$  lies in  $S_p(\Phi)$  if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad f = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi *' a_\phi$$

for some sequences  $a_\phi \in \ell(\mathbb{Z})$ . When  $p = \infty$ , a modified result will also be established.

We observe that this result is not valid for the case  $p = 1$ . To see this, let  $\chi$  be the characteristic function of the interval  $[0, 1)$ , and let  $\phi := \chi - \chi(\cdot - 1)$ . Then for any  $f \in S_1(\phi)$  we have  $\int f = 0$ ; hence  $\chi \notin S_1(\phi)$ . But  $\chi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi(\cdot - j)$ .

Next, we consider approximation in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  spaces ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). For  $f, g \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ , we write  $\text{dist}_p(f, g)$  for  $\|f - g\|_p$ . Moreover, for a subset  $G$  of  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ , the distance from  $f$  to  $G$ , denoted  $\text{dist}_p(f, G)$ , is defined by

$$\text{dist}_p(f, G) := \inf_{g \in G} \|f - g\|_p.$$

Let  $\Phi$  be a finite collection of compactly supported functions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . The preceding result tells us that  $S_p(\Phi) = S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Suppose  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $S := S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $S^h := \{g(\cdot/h) : g \in S\}$  for  $h > 0$ . Given a real number  $r \geq 0$ , we say that  $S(\Phi)$  provides  *$L_p$ -approximation order  $r$*  if, for each sufficiently smooth function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\text{dist}_p(f, S^h) \leq Ch^r,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $h$  ( $C$  may depend on  $f$ ). We say that  $S(\Phi)$  provides  *$L_p$ -density order  $r$*  (see [3]) if, for each sufficiently smooth function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \text{dist}_p(f, S^h)/h^r = 0.$$

In [7] Jia characterized the  $L_\infty$ -approximation order of  $S(\Phi)$  in terms of the Strang-Fix conditions (see [16]). When  $\Phi$  consists of a single generator  $\phi$ , Ron [13] proved that, for a positive integer  $k$ ,  $S(\phi)$  provides  $L_\infty$ -approximation order  $k$  if and only if  $S(\phi)$  contains  $\Pi_{k-1}$ , the set of all polynomials of degree  $\leq k - 1$ . Zhao [18] also gave a characterization for the  $L_p$ -approximation order ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) provided by  $S(\phi)$ .

In Section 4, we shall prove that  $S(\Phi)$  provides  $L_p$ -approximation order ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) if and only if  $S(\Phi)$  contains  $\Pi_{k-1}$ . This result is no longer true for shift-invariance spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $s > 1$ . See the counterexamples given in [5] and [6].

In our study of shift-invariant spaces linear independence plays a crucial role. Let  $\Phi$  be a finite collection of compactly supported distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The shifts of the elements in  $\Phi$  are said to be *linearly independent* if

$$\sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi *' a_\phi = 0 \Rightarrow a_\phi = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi.$$

When the shifts of the elements in  $\Phi$  are linearly independent, we say that  $S(\Phi)$  has linearly independent generators.

In Section 2 we shall show that a finitely generated shift-invariant space always has linearly independent generators. More precisely, if  $\Phi$  is a finite collection of compactly supported distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then there exists a finite collection  $\Psi$  of compactly supported distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $S(\Psi) = S(\Phi)$  and the shifts of the elements in  $\Psi$  are linearly independent. When  $\Phi$  consists of compactly supported continuous functions, this result was essentially known to de Boor and DeVore (see [2]). When  $\Phi$  consists of a single generator  $\phi$ , Ron [12] showed that  $S(\phi)$  contains a linearly independent generator. Our contribution is to give a concrete construction for  $\Psi$  so that  $\Psi$  inherits most properties possessed by  $\Phi$ . For instance, if  $\Phi \subset L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then  $\Psi$  can be chosen to be a subset of  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Furthermore, for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $\Psi$  can be chosen to be a subset of  $S_p(\Phi)$ . These properties enable us to characterize shift-invariant subspaces of  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  and the approximation order provided by them.

## 2. LINEAR INDEPENDENCE

This section is devoted to a study of linear independence. Linear independence can be characterized in terms of the Fourier transforms of the generators. For a compactly supported integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the Fourier-Laplace transform of  $f$  is given by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-ix\xi} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The domain of the Fourier-Laplace transform can be extended to all compactly supported distributions. If  $f$  is a compactly supported distribution, then  $\hat{f} : \xi \mapsto \hat{f}(\xi)$  is an entire function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is known (see [10] and the references cited there) that the shifts of the elements in  $\Phi$  are linearly independent if and only if for every  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ , the sequences  $(\hat{\phi}(\zeta + 2\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$ , are linearly independent.

For later use we introduce some concepts related to compactly supported distributions. Let  $\phi$  be a compactly supported distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\phi \neq 0$ . The support of  $\phi$ , denoted  $\text{supp } \phi$ , is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $[r_\phi, s_\phi]$  be the smallest integer-bounded interval containing  $\text{supp } \phi$ . The length of the interval  $[r_\phi, s_\phi]$  is

$$l(\phi) := s_\phi - r_\phi.$$

We call  $l(\phi)$  the *length* of  $\phi$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be a finite collection of compactly supported distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The *length* of  $\Phi$ , denoted  $l(\Phi)$ , is defined by

$$l(\Phi) := \sum_{\phi \in \Phi} l(\phi).$$

Also, we denote by  $\#\Phi$  the number of elements in  $\Phi$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a finite collection of nontrivial distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support. Then there exists a finite collection  $\Psi$  of compactly supported distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the following properties:*

- (a) *The shifts of the elements in  $\Psi$  are linearly independent;*
- (b)  *$\#\Psi \leq \#\Phi$ ;*
- (c)  *$\Phi \subset S_0(\Psi)$ ;*
- (d)  *$S(\Psi) = S(\Phi)$ .*

If, in addition,  $\Phi \subset L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then  $\Psi$  can be chosen to be a subset of  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Furthermore, for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $\Psi$  can be chosen to be a subset of  $S_p(\Phi)$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that, if the shifts of the elements in  $\Phi$  are linearly dependent, then there exists  $\Psi$  with  $l(\Psi) \leq l(\Phi) - 1$  satisfying all the conclusions of the theorem, except perhaps (a). Suppose  $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m\}$ . Let

$$K(\Phi) := \left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in (\ell(\mathbb{Z}))^m : \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j *' b_j = 0 \right\}.$$

Then the shifts of the elements in  $\Phi$  are linearly independent if and only if  $K(\Phi) = \{0\}$ . If  $K(\Phi) = \{0\}$ , then we may take  $\Psi = \Phi$ . Suppose  $K(\Phi) \neq \{0\}$ . By [10, Theorem 3.3],  $K(\Phi) \neq \{0\}$  implies that there exists some  $\theta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad (a_1\theta^0, \dots, a_m\theta^0) \in K(\Phi),$$

where  $\theta^0$  denotes the sequence  $k \mapsto \theta^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j \theta^k \phi_j(\cdot - k) = 0.$$

For each  $\phi_j$ , let  $r_j := r_{\phi_j}$  and  $s_j := s_{\phi_j}$ . After shifting the  $\phi_j$  appropriately, we may assume that all  $r_j = 0$ . Then  $s_j = l(\phi_j)$ , the length of  $\phi_j$ . Let

$$l := \max\{l(\phi_j) : a_j \neq 0\}.$$

For simplicity, we assume that  $a_1 \neq 0$  and  $l(\phi_1) = l$ . Let

$$\rho := \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \phi_j$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \psi := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \rho(\cdot - k).$$

By our choice of  $\rho$ , we deduce from (2.2) that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \theta^k \rho(\cdot - k) = 0.$$

Let  $\Psi := \{\psi, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m\}$ . We have

$$\psi - \theta\psi(\cdot - 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \rho(\cdot - k) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^{k+1} \rho(\cdot - k - 1) = \rho = a_1\phi_1 + \dots + a_m\phi_m.$$

Since  $a_1 \neq 0$ , we obtain  $\phi_1 \in S_0(\psi, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m)$ , and hence  $\Phi \subset S_0(\Psi)$ . It follows that  $S(\Phi) \subseteq S(\Psi)$ .

Evidently,  $\psi \in S(\Phi)$ . If  $f = \psi *' b$  for some sequence  $b$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then for any bounded open interval  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists an element  $g \in S(\Phi)$  such that  $g$  agrees with  $f$  on  $E$ . Thus, by [8, Theorem 4],  $f$  belongs to  $S(\Phi)$ . This shows  $S(\Psi) \subseteq S(\Phi)$ . Therefore  $S(\Psi) = S(\Phi)$ .

Let us show  $l(\Psi) < l(\Phi)$ . For this purpose we only have to prove  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq [0, l - 1]$ . Clearly,  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq [0, \infty)$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $\langle \psi, u \rangle = 0$  for

every  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } u \subset (l - 1, \infty)$ . Let  $u$  be such a test function. Note that for each  $j$ ,  $\phi_j(\cdot - k)$  is supported on  $[k, l + k]$ . Hence  $\langle \phi_j(\cdot - k), u \rangle = 0$  for  $k \leq -1$ . It follows that  $\langle \rho(\cdot - k), u \rangle = 0$  for  $k \leq -1$ . This in connection with (2.4) gives

$$\langle \psi, u \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \rho(\cdot - k), u \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \theta^k \rho(\cdot - k), u \right\rangle = 0.$$

Consequently,  $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq [0, l - 1]$ .

Now suppose  $\Phi \subset L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $\rho \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ , and (2.3) tells us that for each integer  $k$ ,  $\psi$  is  $p$ th power integrable on the interval  $[k, k + 1]$ . But  $\psi$  is compactly supported; hence  $\psi \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

It remains to prove that  $\psi \in S_p(\Phi)$  if  $\Phi \subset L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . If  $|\theta| < 1$ , then (2.3) implies  $\psi \in S_p(\Phi)$ . If  $|\theta| > 1$ , then  $\psi - \theta\psi(\cdot - 1) = \rho$  implies

$$\psi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -\theta^{-k} \rho(\cdot + k) \in S_p(\Phi).$$

When  $|\theta| = 1$ , we set

$$f_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - k/n)\theta^k \rho(\cdot - k),$$

where  $n$  is an integer greater than  $l$ . Then  $f_n \in S_0(\Phi)$ . The desired result  $\psi \in S_p(\Phi)$  will be established if we can show

$$(2.5) \quad \|f_n - \psi\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To prove (2.5) we observe that  $\rho$  is supported on  $[0, l]$ ,  $\psi$  is supported on  $[0, l - 1]$ , and  $f_n$  is supported on  $[0, n + l - 1]$ . For  $x \in [0, l - 1]$  we have

$$\psi(x) - f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} (k/n)\theta^k \rho(x - k).$$

Hence

$$(2.6) \quad \|\psi - f_n\|_{L_p([0, l-1])} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For  $x \in [n - 1, n + l - 1]$ , we have  $\psi(x) = 0$  and

$$\psi(x) - f_n(x) = \sum_{k=n-l}^{n-1} -(1 - k/n)\theta^k \rho(x - k).$$

But  $|1 - k/n| \leq l/n$  for  $n - l \leq k \leq n - 1$ ; hence

$$(2.7) \quad \|\psi - f_n\|_{L_p([n-1, n+l-1])} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It remains to prove

$$(2.8) \quad \|\psi - f_n\|_{L_p([l-1, n-1])} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For this purpose let  $j$  be an integer in  $[l - 1, n - 2]$ . We observe that for almost every  $x \in [j, j + 1]$ ,  $\rho(x - k) = 0$  for  $k \notin (j - l, j + 1)$ , and hence by (2.4) we have

$$\sum_{k=j-l+1}^j \theta^k \rho(x - k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \theta^k \rho(x - k) = 0.$$

Therefore, for almost every  $x \in [j, j + 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) - f_n(x) &= (1 - j/n) \sum_{k=j-l+1}^j \theta^k \rho(x - k) - \sum_{k=j-l+1}^j (1 - k/n)\theta^k \rho(x - k) \\ &= \sum_{k=j-l+1}^j \frac{k - j}{n} \theta^k \rho(x - k). \end{aligned}$$

But  $|k - j| \leq l$  for  $j - l + 1 \leq k \leq j$ . Consequently, (2.8) holds true for  $p = \infty$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $n$  such that

$$\int_{[j, j+1]} |\psi(x) - f_n(x)|^p dx \leq C^p/n^p, \quad l - 1 \leq j \leq n - 2.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{[l-1, n-1]} |\psi(x) - f_n(x)|^p dx \leq nC^p/n^p = C^p/n^{p-1}.$$

This verifies (2.8) for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Finally, (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8) together imply (2.5). We conclude that  $\psi \in S_p(\Phi)$  for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .

The results obtained so far can be summarized as follows: If the shifts of the elements in  $\Phi$  are linearly dependent, then we can find a collection  $\Psi$  of distributions such that  $\#\Psi \leq \#\Phi$ ,  $l(\Psi) < l(\Phi)$ ,  $\Phi \subset S_0(\Psi)$ , and  $S(\Psi) = S(\Phi)$ . Furthermore, if  $\Phi \subset L_p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ), then  $\Psi$  possesses the additional properties stated in the theorem. Repeat the preceding process until  $l(\Psi)$  achieves its minimum. The resulting set  $\Psi$  has the property that the shifts of the elements in  $\Psi$  are linearly independent. Moreover,  $\Psi$  meets the requirement of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. CHARACTERIZATION OF SHIFT-INVARIANT SPACES

In this section we investigate the structure of shift-invariant spaces generated by a finite number of compactly supported functions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ).

We use  $\ell_0(\mathbb{Z})$  to denote the linear space of all finitely supported sequences on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\ell_0(\mathbb{Z})$  is dense in  $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ . For  $p = \infty$ , the closure of  $\ell_0(\mathbb{Z})$  in  $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$  is  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ , the linear space of all sequences  $a$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\lim_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} a(k) = 0$ . For a measurable subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and a measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $\|f\|_\infty(E)$  the essential supremum of  $f$  on  $E$ . Let  $L_{\infty,0}(\mathbb{R})$  be the linear space of all functions  $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  for which  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-r, r]) = 0$ .

Let  $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m\}$  be a finite collection of compactly supported functions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . We say that the shifts of the functions of  $\Phi$  are *stable*, if there exist two positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that for any choice of sequences  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$C_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \|a_j\|_{\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})} \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j *' a_j \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_2 \sum_{j=1}^m \|a_j\|_{\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})}.$$

It was proved by Jia and Micchelli in [10] and [11] that the shifts of the functions in  $\Phi$  are stable if and only if for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , the sequences  $(\hat{\phi}_j(\xi + 2\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , are linearly independent. Thus, if the shifts of the functions in  $\Phi$  are linearly independent, then they are stable.

Consider the linear mapping  $T_\Phi$  from  $(\ell_p(\mathbb{Z}))^m$  to  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  given by

$$T_\Phi(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j *' a_j, \quad a_1, \dots, a_m \in \ell_p(\mathbb{Z}).$$

If the shifts of the functions in  $\Phi$  are stable, then  $T_\Phi$  is a continuous mapping and the range of  $T_\Phi$  is closed (see [14, p. 70]). Therefore, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $S_p(\Phi)$  is the range of  $T_\Phi$ . In other words, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $f$  lies in  $S_p(\Phi)$  if and only if  $f = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi *' a_\phi$  for some sequences  $a_\phi \in \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$ . In the case  $p = \infty$ ,  $f \in S_\infty(\Phi)$  if and only if  $f = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi *' a_\phi$  for some sequences  $a_\phi \in c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$ .

In general, we have the following characterization for  $S_p(\Phi)$  ( $1 < p \leq \infty$ ), where the stability condition is not assumed.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a finite collection of compactly supported functions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$  is closed in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,*

$$(3.1) \quad S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}) = S_p(\Phi).$$

*In other words, for  $1 < p < \infty$ , a function  $f$  lies in  $S_p(\Phi)$  if and only if  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$  and*

$$(3.2) \quad f = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi *' a_\phi$$

*for some sequences  $a_\phi \in \ell(\mathbb{Z})$ . In the case  $p = \infty$ ,  $f \in S_\infty(\Phi)$  if and only if  $f \in L_{\infty,0}(\mathbb{R})$  and (3.2) holds true for some sequences  $a_\phi \in \ell(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, there exists a finite collection  $\Psi \subset L_p(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $S(\Psi) = S(\Phi)$  and the shifts of the functions in  $\Psi$  are linearly independent. Moreover, for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $\Psi$  can be so chosen that  $S_p(\Psi) = S_p(\Phi)$ .

We first show that  $S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$  is closed in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). This can be derived from [8, Theorem 4]. Here we establish this result by using the dual functionals discussed in [1] and [17]. Suppose  $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m\}$ . Let  $f \in S(\Psi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad f = \sum_{j=1}^m \psi_j *' a_j,$$

where  $a_j \in \ell(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . From [1] and [17] we see that there are functions  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that for  $j, k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\langle \psi_j, u_k(\cdot - \alpha) \rangle = \delta_{jk} \delta_{\alpha 0},$$

where  $\delta_{jk}$  stands for the Kronecker sign:  $\delta_{jk} = 1$  for  $j = k$  and  $\delta_{jk} = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ . It follows that

$$(3.4) \quad a_j(\alpha) = \langle f, u_j(\cdot - \alpha) \rangle, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ , we obtain  $a_j \in \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  (see [11, Theorem 3.1]). Thus, by the discussion at the beginning of this section,  $S(\Psi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$  is closed in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . But  $S(\Phi) = S(\Psi)$ . Hence  $S(\Phi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$  is closed in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

Furthermore, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $S(\Psi) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}) = S_p(\Psi)$ . But, for  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , we have  $S_p(\Psi) = S_p(\Phi)$ . Therefore, (3.1) is true for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

Finally, it is easily seen that  $S_\infty(\Psi) \subseteq S(\Psi) \cap L_{\infty,0}(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $f \in S(\Psi) \cap L_{\infty,0}(\mathbb{R})$  has the expression as in (3.3), then it follows from (3.4) that  $a_j \in c_0(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Hence  $f \in S_\infty(\Psi)$ . This shows that  $S_\infty(\Psi) = S(\Psi) \cap L_{\infty,0}(\mathbb{R})$ . But  $S(\Phi) = S(\Psi)$

and  $S_\infty(\Phi) = S_\infty(\Psi)$ . We therefore conclude that  $S_\infty(\Phi) = S(\Phi) \cap L_{\infty,0}(\mathbb{R})$ . This verifies the last statement of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. APPROXIMATION ORDER

We are now in a position to consider approximation in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  spaces ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a finite collection of compactly supported functions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $S(\Phi)$  provides  $L_p$ -approximation order  $k$ .
- (b)  $S(\Phi)$  provides  $L_p$ -density order  $k - 1$ .
- (c)  $S(\Phi)$  contains  $\Pi_{k-1}$ , the set of all polynomials of degree  $\leq k - 1$ .
- (d)  $S(\Phi)$  contains a compactly supported function  $\psi$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} q(\beta)\psi(\cdot - \beta) = q \quad \forall q \in \Pi_{k-1}.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that (a) implies (b). It was proved in [8] that (b) implies (c). The implication (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is well known. See [9] for an explicit  $L_p$ -approximation scheme. It remains to prove (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). By Theorem 1, we may assume that the shifts of the functions in  $\Phi$  are linearly independent. Suppose  $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m\}$ .

Since the shifts of the functions in  $\Phi$  are linearly independent, there exist test functions  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \langle \phi_r(\cdot - \alpha), u_s(\cdot - \beta) \rangle = \delta_{rs}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad r, s \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By condition (c),  $q \in S(\Phi)$  for  $q \in \Pi_{k-1}$ . Hence by (4.2) we have

$$q = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_j(\cdot - \alpha) \langle q(\cdot + \alpha), u_j \rangle.$$

Let  $(\ell_r : r = 1, \dots, k)$  be the Lagrange polynomials of degree  $k - 1$  for the points  $1, \dots, k$ . Then, for any  $q \in \Pi_{k-1}$ ,

$$q = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(\cdot - \alpha) \left\langle \sum_{r=1}^k q(r + \alpha)\ell_r, u_j \right\rangle = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(\cdot - \beta)q(\beta),$$

with

$$\psi := \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{r=1}^k \phi_j(r + \cdot) \langle \ell_r, u_j \rangle$$

certainly a compactly supported element of  $S(\Phi)$ . Therefore, (c) implies (d).  $\square$

It was proved by Schoenberg [15] that (4.1) is equivalent to the following conditions:  $D^\alpha \hat{\psi}(0) = \delta_{\alpha 0}$  and  $D^\alpha \hat{\psi}(2\pi j) = 0$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < k$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Now these conditions are referred to as the Strang-Fix conditions (see [16]).



## REFERENCES

1. A. Ben-Artzi and A. Ron, *On the integer translates of a compactly supported function: dual bases and linear projectors*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21** (1990), 1550–1562. MR **91j**:41009
2. C. de Boor and R. DeVore, *Partitions of unity and approximation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985), 705–709. MR **86f**:41003
3. C. de Boor, R. DeVore, and A. Ron, *Approximation from shift-invariant subspaces of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), 787–806. MR **94d**:41028
4. ———, *The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant subspaces in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , J. Functional Analysis **119** (1994), 37–78. MR **95g**:46050
5. C. de Boor and K. Höllig, *Approximation order from bivariate  $C^1$ -cubics: a counterexample*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 649–655. MR **84j**:41014
6. C. de Boor and R. Q. Jia, *A sharp upper bound on the approximation order of smooth bivariate pp functions*, J. Approx. Theory **72** (1993), 24–33. MR **94e**:41012
7. R. Q. Jia, *A characterization of the approximation order of translation invariant spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1991), 61–70. MR **91d**:41018
8. ———, *The Toeplitz theorem and its applications to approximation theory and linear PDE's*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 2585–2594. CMP 94:13
9. R. Q. Jia and J. J. Lei, *Approximation by multiinteger translates of functions having global support*, J. Approx. Theory **72** (1993), 2–23. MR **94f**:41024
10. R. Q. Jia and C. A. Micchelli, *On linear independence of integer translates of a finite number of functions*, Research Report CS-90-10 (1990), University of Waterloo. A revised version appeared in Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **36** (1992), 69–85. MR **94e**:41044
11. ———, *Using the refinement equation for the construction of pre-wavelets II: Powers of two, Curves and Surfaces* (P. J. Laurent, A. Le Méhauté, and L. L. Schumaker, eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1991, pp. 209–246. MR **92c**:65006
12. A. Ron, *Factorization theorem for univariate splines on regular grids*, Israel J. Math. **70** (1990), 48–68. MR **91g**:65025
13. ———, *A characterization of the approximation order of multivariate spline spaces*, Studia Math. **98** (1991), 73–90. MR **92g**:41017
14. M. Schechter, *Principles of Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1971. MR **56**:3607
15. I. J. Schoenberg, *Contributions to the problem of approximation of equidistant data by analytic functions*, Quart. Appl. Math. **4** (1946), 45–99, 112–141. MR **8**:55d
16. G. Strang and G. Fix, *A Fourier analysis of the finite-element variational method*, Constructive Aspects of Functional Analysis (G. Geymonat, ed.), C.I.M.E. (1973), 793–840.
17. K. Zhao, *Global linear independence and finitely supported dual basis*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992), 1352–1355. MR **93h**:41034
18. ———, *Approximation from locally finite-dimensional shift-invariant spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 1857–1867. MR **96h**:41019

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, CANADA T6G 2G1  
*E-mail address*: jia@xihu.math.ualberta.ca