

**FIXED POINT THEORY FOR COMPACT  
UPPER SEMI-CONTINUOUS OR LOWER SEMI-CONTINUOUS  
SET VALUED MAPS**

DONAL O'REGAN

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Fixed point theory is presented for compact u.s.c. and l.s.c. set valued maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper presents new fixed point theory for compact upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) and lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.) set valued mappings. Our results generalize some well known fixed point results for convex and nonconvex maps. Our paper is divided into two main sections. In section 2 we use a recent Leray–Schauder type alternative due to Ben–El–Mechaiekh and Idzik [3] for u.s.c., compact approachable maps with nonempty, compact values to establish a new fixed point result for such maps. Our theory generalizes some fixed point results in Ben–El–Mechaiekh and Deguire [2] and Granas [9]. In section 3 we establish via Michael’s selection theorem [11] a nonlinear alternative of Leray–Schauder type for l.s.c., compact multifunctions with closed, convex values. Also a new fixed point result is given. This generalizes some results in Himmelberg, Porter and Van Vleck [10] and Tarafdar and Vybórný [17]. A brief discussion of nonconvex maps is also given.

We now gather together some definitions and known facts. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A multifunction  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a point to set function such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x)$  is a nonempty subset of  $Y$ . The function  $f$  is u.s.c. if the set  $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X : f(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$  is closed for any closed set  $B$  in  $Y$  (equivalently,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is u.s.c. if for any net  $\{x_\alpha\}$  in  $X$  and any closed set  $B$  in  $Y$  with  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0 \in X$  and  $f(x_\alpha) \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha$  we have  $f(x_0) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ). The function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is l.s.c. if the set  $f^{-1}(A)$  is open for any open set  $A$  in  $Y$ .  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is compact if  $f(X)$  is relatively compact in  $Y$ . Finally the function  $f$  is said to be point-compact if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x)$  is a compact subset of  $Y$ . Now we state a result [16, proposition 1] which will be used frequently in this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a u.s.c., point-compact multifunction. Suppose  $\{x_\alpha\}$  is a net in  $X$  such that  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0$ . If  $y_\alpha \in f(x_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha$ , then there is a  $y_0 \in f(x_0)$  and a subnet  $\{y_\beta\}$  of the net  $\{y_\alpha\}$  such that  $y_\beta \rightarrow y_0$ .*

---

Received by the editors October 16, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H10, 47H04.

©1997 American Mathematical Society

Theorem 1.1 immediately yields the following well known result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a u.s.c., point-compact multifunction. Suppose  $\{x_\alpha\}$  is a net in  $X$  and  $y_\alpha \in f(x_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha$ . If  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0$  and  $y_\alpha \rightarrow y_0$ , then  $y_0 \in f(x_0)$ .*

## 2. THE UPPER SEMI-CONTINUOUS CASE

We begin by describing the class of approachable set valued maps. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be subsets of Hausdorff topological vector spaces  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively, and let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a multifunction. Given two open neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of the origins in  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively, a  $(U, V)$ -continuous selection of  $F$  is a continuous function  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying

$$s(x) \in (F[(x + U) \cap X] + V) \cap Y \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

$F$  is said to be approachable if it has a  $(U, V)$ -continuous selection for any open neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of the origins in  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_0(X, Y) = \{F : X \rightarrow Y : F \text{ is approachable}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(X, Y) = \{F \in \mathcal{A}_0(X, Y) : F \text{ is u.s.c. and compact valued}\}.$$

*Remark.* For examples of approachable maps we refer the reader to [2, 3].

We now gather together three theorems from the literature which will be used in this section.

**Theorem 2.1** ([2], pg.486, proposition 3.3). *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be subsets of the Hausdorff topological vector spaces  $E_1, E_2$  and  $E_3$  respectively. Suppose  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is a multivalued map with  $F \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  and  $r : Z \rightarrow X$  is a continuous map (single valued). If  $Z$  is compact then  $Fr \in \mathcal{A}(Z, Y)$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** ([2], pg.496, corollary 7.3). *Let  $X$  be a convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space  $E$  with  $F \in \mathcal{A}(X, X)$  a compact map. Then  $F$  has a fixed point (i.e. there exists  $x \in X$  with  $x \in F(x)$ ).*

**Theorem 2.3** ([3], pg.108, Theorem). *Let  $X$  be a closed subset of a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space  $E$  with  $0 \in \text{int } X$ . In addition assume  $F : X \rightarrow E$  is a u.s.c., approachable, compact map with nonempty, closed values. Then either*

- (A1)  $F$  has a fixed point in  $X$ ; or
- (A2) there exists  $(\lambda, x) \in (0, 1) \times \partial X$  such that  $x \in \lambda F(x)$ .

We now state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $C$  be a complete convex subset of a metrizable (metric  $d$ ) locally convex linear topological space  $E$  with  $Q$  a closed, convex, proper subset of  $C$  and  $0 \in Q$ . Assume  $F : Q \rightarrow C$  is a u.s.c., approachable, compact map with nonempty, compact values. In addition suppose*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } \{(x_j, \lambda_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty \text{ is a sequence in } \partial Q \times [0, 1] \text{ converging to} \\ (x, \lambda) \text{ with } x \in \lambda F(x) \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \\ \text{then there exists } j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\} \text{ with} \\ \{\lambda_j F(x_j)\} \subseteq Q \text{ for each } j \geq j_0. \end{cases}$$

Finally assume either

$$(2.2a) \quad \begin{cases} C \text{ is compact and } U_i = \{x \in E : d(x, Q) < \frac{1}{i}\} \subseteq C \\ \text{for } i \text{ sufficiently large,} \end{cases}$$

or

$$(2.2b) \quad Q \subseteq \overline{\text{co}}(\overline{F(Q)}) \text{ and } U_i \subseteq \overline{\text{co}}(\overline{F(Q)}) \text{ for } i \text{ sufficiently large,}$$

or

$$(2.2c) \quad \begin{cases} Fr \in \mathcal{A}_0(C, C) \text{ and } U_i \subseteq C \text{ for } i \text{ sufficiently large; here} \\ r : E \rightarrow Q \text{ is a continuous retraction such that} \\ r(z) \in \partial Q \text{ for } z \in E/Q \end{cases}$$

is satisfied. Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $Q$ .

*Remarks.* (i) The existence of a continuous retraction  $r : E \rightarrow Q$  follows immediately from Dugundji's extension theorem [7, 18].

(ii) If  $0 \in \text{int } Q$  we may take

$$r(x) = \frac{x}{\max\{1, \mu(x)\}}, \quad x \in E.$$

Here  $\mu$  is the Minkowski functional [15] on  $Q$ , i.e.  $\mu(x) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha Q\}$ . If  $\text{int } Q = \emptyset$  then  $\partial Q = Q$ . As a result we may (and do) choose the retraction  $r$  above so that  $r(z) \in \partial Q$  if  $z \in E/Q$ .

(iii) Notice (2.2a) and (2.2b) are only of interest if the space is normable.

(iv) If  $F$  is convex valued then of course  $Fr$  is convex valued, so  $Fr$  is approachable [3], i.e. (2.2c) holds.

(v) Theorem 2.4 was proved by Furi and Pera [8], by a different method, when  $F$  is single valued, compact and continuous. It was extended by O'Regan [13, 14] for the case when  $F$  is single valued, condensing ( $P$ -concentrative) and continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $Z = C$  if (2.2a) or (2.2c) holds, and let  $Z = \overline{\text{co}}(\overline{F(Q)})$  if (2.2b) is satisfied.

*Remark.* Note  $\overline{\text{co}}(\overline{F(Q)})$  is compact. To see this let  $P$  be a defining system of seminorms. Fix  $p \in P$ . Since  $\overline{F(Q)}$  is compact then  $\overline{\text{co}}(\overline{F(Q)})$  is precompact (totally bounded) [6] in the seminormed space  $(E, p)$  for each  $p \in P$ . Thus  $\overline{\text{co}}(\overline{F(Q)})$  is precompact in  $E$ . Now since  $\overline{\text{co}}(\overline{F(Q)})$  is a closed precompact subset of  $C$  and  $C$  is complete, then  $\overline{\text{co}}(\overline{F(Q)})$  is compact [5].

Now let

$$B = \{x \in Z : x \in Fr(x)\}.$$

We first show  $B \neq \emptyset$ . Notice  $Fr : Z \rightarrow Z$  is a u.s.c. (since  $F$  is u.s.c. and  $r$  is continuous), approachable (theorem 2.1 if (2.2a) or (2.2b) occurs and by assumption if (2.2c) occurs), compact map with nonempty, compact values. Theorem 2.2 implies that  $Fr$  has a fixed point, so  $B \neq \emptyset$ . We next claim that  $B$  is closed. Let  $(x_\alpha)$  be a net in  $B$  with  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0 \in Z$ . Theorem 1.2 implies  $x_0 \in Fr(x_0)$ , so  $x_0 \in B$ . Thus  $B$  is closed, and in fact  $B$  is compact since  $B \subseteq Fr(B) \subseteq F(Q)$ .

It remains to show  $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ . To do this we argue by contradiction. Suppose  $B \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Then since  $B$  is compact and  $Q$  is closed there exists  $\delta > 0$  with  $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$ . Choose  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $1 < \delta m$  and  $U_i \subseteq Z$  for  $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ ; here  $U_i = \{x \in E : d(x, Q) < \frac{1}{i}\}$ . Fix  $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ . Since  $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$ , then  $B \cap \overline{U_i} = \emptyset$ . Now  $0 \in U_i$  and  $Fr : \overline{U_i} \rightarrow C$  is a u.s.c., approachable, compact map with nonempty, compact values. Theorem 2.3 implies (since  $B \cap \overline{U_i} = \emptyset$ ) that there exists  $(y_i, \lambda_i) \in \partial U_i \times (0, 1)$  with  $y_i \in \lambda_i Fr(y_i)$ . Notice in particular since  $y_i \in \partial U_i$  that

$$(2.3) \quad \{\lambda_i Fr(y_i)\} \not\subseteq Q \quad \text{for each } i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}.$$

Now consider

$$D = \{x \in E : x \in \lambda Fr(x) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

We first show  $D$  is closed. To see this let  $(x_\alpha)$  be a net in  $D$  (i.e. for each  $\alpha$ ,  $x_\alpha \in \lambda_\alpha Fr(x_\alpha)$  for some  $\lambda_\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ) with  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0 \in E$ . Without loss of generality assume  $\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow \lambda_0 \in [0, 1]$ . We claim that

$$N : E \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C \quad \text{given by } N(x, \lambda) = \lambda Fr(x)$$

is u.s.c. with nonempty, compact values. If the claim is true then theorem 1.2 implies  $x_0 \in N(x_0, \lambda_0)$ , i.e.  $x_0 \in \lambda_0 Fr(x_0)$ , so  $D$  is closed. To see that  $N$  is u.s.c. let  $\Omega$  be a closed subset of  $C$ ,  $(y_\alpha, t_\alpha)$  a net in  $E \times [0, 1]$ ,  $(y_\alpha, t_\alpha) \rightarrow (y_0, t_0)$  and  $t_\alpha Fr(y_\alpha) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ . We must show  $t_0 Fr(y_0) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $w_\alpha \in Fr(y_\alpha)$  with  $t_\alpha w_\alpha \in \Omega$ . Since  $Fr$  is u.s.c., there exist (theorem 1.1)  $w_0 \in Fr(y_0)$  and a subnet  $(w_\beta)$  of  $(w_\alpha)$  with  $w_\beta \rightarrow w_0$ . Since  $\Omega$  is closed we have  $t_0 w_0 \in \Omega$ . Consequently  $t_0 Fr(y_0) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ , so  $N : E \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C$  is u.s.c. Thus  $D$  is closed and also compact since  $D \subseteq \text{co}(F(Q) \cup \{0\})$ . This together with  $d(y_j, Q) = \frac{1}{j}$ ,  $|\lambda_j| \leq 1$  (for  $j \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ ), implies that we may assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda^*$  and  $y_j \rightarrow y^* \in \partial Q$ . Also, since  $y_j \in \lambda_j Fr(y_j)$ , we have from theorem 1.2 that  $y^* \in \lambda^* Fr(y^*)$  (note from above that  $N : \overline{U_m} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C$  given by  $N(u, \lambda) = \lambda Fr(u)$  is u.s.c. with nonempty, compact values). If  $\lambda^* = 1$ , then  $y^* \in Fr(y^*)$ , which contradicts  $B \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Hence we may assume  $0 \leq \lambda^* < 1$ . But in this case, (2.1) with  $x_j = r(y_j) \in \partial Q$ ,  $x = y^* = r(y^*)$  implies that there exists  $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $\{\lambda_j Fr(y_j)\} \subseteq Q$  for each  $j \geq j_0$ . This contradicts (2.3). Thus  $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , so there exists  $x \in Q$  with  $x \in Fr(x)$ , i.e.  $x \in F(x)$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be subsets of a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space  $E$ . More generally, let

$$\mathcal{B}_0(X, Y) = \{F : X \rightarrow Y : F \text{ satisfies property } B\}$$

and let  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  be the set of maps  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  which are u.s.c., compact with nonempty, compact values and satisfy a property  $B$  (which we will not specify). Suppose the following properties are satisfied:

$$(2.4) \quad \text{if } X \text{ is convex and } F \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y) \text{ then } F \text{ has a fixed point in } X$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } X \text{ is closed and convex, } 0 \in \text{int } X \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{B}(X, E) \\ \text{then either} \\ (A1). F \text{ has a fixed point in } X; \text{ or} \\ (A2). \text{ there exists } (\lambda, x) \in (0, 1) \times \partial X \text{ such that } x \in \lambda F(x). \end{cases}$$

With the above properties, essentially the same reasoning as in theorem 2.4 establishes the following result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $C$  be a complete convex subset of a metrizable (metric  $d$ ) locally convex linear topological space  $E$  with  $Q$  a closed, convex, proper subset of  $C$ ,  $0 \in Q$  and  $U_i = \{x \in E : d(x, Q) < \frac{1}{i}\} \subseteq C$  for  $i$  sufficiently large. Assume  $F \in \mathcal{B}(Q, C)$ , (2.1) holds and also that*

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} Fr \in \mathcal{B}_0(C, C); \text{ here } r : E \rightarrow Q \text{ is a continuous retraction} \\ \text{such that } r(z) \in \partial Q \text{ for } z \in E/Q \end{cases}$$

*is satisfied. Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $Q$ .*

*Remark.* For examples of classes of maps  $\mathcal{B}_0(X, Y)$  we refer the reader to [9]. For example, property  $B$  could be that the values of the maps are  $R_\delta$  sets.

### 3. THE LOWER SEMI-CONTINUOUS CASE

We begin by establishing a nonlinear alternative of Leray–Schauder type for l.s.c. set valued maps.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $Q$  be either (i) a closed convex subset of a Fréchet space (complete metrizable locally convex linear topological space)  $E$ , or (ii) a complete, convex, compact, metrizable subset of a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space  $E$ . Assume  $U$  is a relatively open subset of  $Q$ ,  $0 \in U$  and  $U$  is convex. Suppose  $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow Q$  is a l.s.c., compact map with closed, convex values. Then either*

- (A1)  $F$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{U}$ ; or
- (A2) there exist  $u \in \partial U$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  with  $u \in \lambda F(u)$ .

*Remark.*  $\bar{U}$  and  $\partial U$  denote the closure and boundary of  $U$  in  $Q$  respectively.

*Proof.* Define the retraction  $r : Q \rightarrow \bar{U}$  by

$$r(x) = \frac{x}{\max\{1, \mu(x)\}}, \quad x \in Q,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Minkowski functional [15] on  $\bar{U}$ , i.e.  $\mu(x) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : x \in \alpha \bar{U}\}$ . Consider the mapping  $Fr : Q \rightarrow Q$ . Notice  $Fr$  is an l.s.c., compact map with closed, convex values. Michael’s selection theorem [11, theorem 1.2] implies that there exists a continuous function  $f : Q \rightarrow Q$  such that  $f(x) \in Fr(x)$  for all  $x \in Q$ . Next Tychonoff’s theorem [7] implies that  $f$  has a fixed point, which is also of course a fixed point of  $Fr$ , i.e. there exists  $y \in Q$  with  $y \in Fr(y)$ . Thus if  $z = r(y) \in \bar{U}$  we have  $z \in rF(z)$ , i.e.  $z = r(w)$  for some  $w \in F(z)$ , i.e.  $z \in \bar{U}$  is a fixed point of  $rF$ . Now either  $w \in \bar{U}$  or not. If  $w \in \bar{U}$  then  $r(w) = w$ , so (A1) occurs. If  $w \notin \bar{U}$  then  $z = r(w) = \frac{w}{\mu(w)}$ , so  $z \in \lambda F(z)$  where  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu(w)} < 1$ , i.e. (A2) occurs. □

*Remark.* In theorem 3.1 conditions were put on  $Q, E$  and  $F$  so that Michael’s selection theorem could be applied. Of course results could be established if we used other selection theorems for l.s.c. set valued maps (convex and nonconvex); see [1, 4, 12] for example. This remark also applies to the next theorem.

We now prove a new fixed point result for l.s.c., compact set valued maps.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be either (i) a closed convex subset of a Fréchet space (metric  $d$ )  $E$  or (ii) a complete, convex, compact subset of a metrizable (metric  $d$ ) locally convex linear topological space  $E$ . Assume  $Q$  is a closed, convex, proper subset of  $C$  with  $0 \in Q$  and  $U_i = \{x \in E : d(x, Q) < \frac{1}{i}\} \subseteq C$  for  $i$  sufficiently large. Suppose  $F : Q \rightarrow C$  is an l.s.c., compact map with closed, convex values, and that (2.1) holds. Then  $F$  has a fixed point.*

*Proof.* Let  $r : E \rightarrow Q$  be a continuous retraction with  $r(z) \in \partial Q$  for  $z \in E/Q$ . By Michael's selection theorem [11] (since  $Fr : C \rightarrow C$  is an l.s.c., compact map with closed, convex values) there exists a continuous function  $f : C \rightarrow C$  such that  $f(x) \in Fr(x)$  for all  $x \in C$ . Consider

$$B = \{x \in C : x = f(x)\}.$$

Now  $B \neq \emptyset$  by Tychonoff's theorem. Also  $B$  is clearly closed and compact, since  $B \subseteq f(B) \subseteq F(Q)$ . It remains to show  $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $B \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  with  $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$ . Choose  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $1 < \delta m$  and  $U_i \subseteq C$  for  $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ . Fix  $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ . Since  $\text{dist}(B, Q) > \delta$ , then  $B \cap \overline{U}_i = \emptyset$ . Now  $f : \overline{U}_i \rightarrow C$  is a continuous, compact map, so by the nonlinear alternative for single valued maps [7] there exists (since  $B \cap \overline{U}_i = \emptyset$ )  $(y_i, \lambda_i) \in \partial U_i \times (0, 1)$  with  $y_i = \lambda_i f(y_i)$ . Now since  $y_i \in \partial U_i$  we have  $\lambda_i f(y_i) \notin Q$  for  $i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ , and so

$$(3.1) \quad \{\lambda_i Fr(y_i)\} \not\subseteq Q \quad \text{for each } i \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}.$$

Let

$$D = \{x \in C : x = \lambda f(x) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Since  $D$  is compact and  $d(y_j, Q) = \frac{1}{j}$ ,  $|\lambda_j| \leq 1$  (for  $j \in \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ ), we may assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda^*$  and  $y_j \rightarrow y^* \in \partial Q$ . Also, since  $y_j = \lambda_j f(y_j)$  we have  $y^* = \lambda^* f(y^*)$ . Now  $\lambda^* \neq 1$  since  $B \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Thus  $0 \leq \lambda^* < 1$  and  $y^* \in \lambda^* Fr(y^*)$ . But in this case (2.1) with  $x_j = r(y_j)$ ,  $x = y^* = r(y^*)$  implies that there exists  $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $\{\lambda_j Fr(y_j)\} \subseteq Q$  for each  $j \geq j_0$ . This contradicts (3.1), so  $B \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* One could use the ideas in this section together with ideas in [14] to obtain new results of Leray–Schauder and Furi–Pera type for l.s.c., condensing ( $P$ -concentrative) maps.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh, Fixed points for compact set-valued maps, *Q. and A. in General Topology*, **10**(1992), 153–156. CMP 92:07
- [2] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh and P. Deguire, Approachability and fixed points for non-convex set-valued maps, *Jour. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **170**(1992), 477–500. MR **94a**:54103
- [3] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh and A. Idzik, A Leray–Schauder type theorem for approximable maps, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **122**(1994), 105–109. MR **94k**:54074
- [4] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh and M. Oudadess, Some selection theorems without convexity, *Jour. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **195**(1995), 614–618. CMP 96:02
- [5] R. Cristescu, Topological vector spaces, *Noordhoff Int. Publ.*, Leyden, 1977. MR **56**:12802
- [6] J. Daneš, Generalized concentrative mappings and their fixed points, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **11**(1970), 115–136. MR **41**:7668
- [7] J. Dugundji and A. Granas, Fixed point theory, *Monografie Matematyczne*, PWN, Warsaw, 1982. MR **83j**:54038

- [8] M. Furi and P. Pera, A continuation method on locally convex spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations on noncompact intervals, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **47**(1987), 331–346. MR **89d**:47122
- [9] A. Granas, On the Leray–Schauder alternative, *Top. Methods in Nonlinear Anal.*, **2**(1993), 225–231. MR **94j**:47082
- [10] C. J. Himmelberg, J. R. Porter and F. S. van Vlech, Fixed point theorems for condensing multifunctions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23**(1969), 635–641. MR **39**:7480
- [11] E. Michael, A selection theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **17**(1966), 1404–1406. MR **34**:3551
- [12] E. Michael and C. Pixley, A unified theorem on continuous selections, *Pac. Jour. of Maths.*, **87**(1980), 187–188. MR **81m**:54025a
- [13] D. O’Regan, Fixed point theory for the sum of two operators, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **9**(1996), 1–8.
- [14] D. O’Regan, Some fixed point theorems for concentrative mappings between locally convex linear topological spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, to appear.
- [15] A. J. B. Potter, An elementary version of the Leray–Schauder theorem, *Jour. London Math. Soc.*, **5**(1972), 414–416. MR **47**:904
- [16] C. H. Su and V. M. Sehgal, Some fixed point theorems for condensing multifunctions in locally convex spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **50**(1975), 150–154. MR **52**:1430
- [17] E. Tarafdar and R. Výborný, Fixed point theorems for condensing multivalued mappings on a locally convex topological space, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **12**(1975), 161–170. MR **52**:4048
- [18] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, Vol I, *Springer Verlag*, New York, 1986 MR **87f**:47083

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE GALWAY, GALWAY, IRELAND  
E-mail address: Donal.ORegan@UCG.IE