

## INTERSECTION OF SETS WITH $n$ -CONNECTED UNIONS

CHARLES D. HORVATH AND MARC LASSONDE

(Communicated by Peter Li)

ABSTRACT. We show that if  $n$  sets in a topological space are given so that all the sets are closed or all are open, and for each  $k \leq n$  every  $k$  of the sets have a  $(k - 2)$ -connected union, then the  $n$  sets have a point in common. As a consequence, we obtain the following starshaped version of Helly's theorem: If every  $n + 1$  or fewer members of a finite family of closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have a starshaped union, then all the members of the family have a point in common. The proof relies on a topological KKM-type intersection theorem.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to generalize to an arbitrary finite family of sets the following elementary fact: *If in a topological space two nonempty sets, both closed or both open, have a pathwise connected union, then they have a point in common.* To this end, we use the notion of  $n$ -connectedness which is a natural generalization of pathwise connectedness. Let us recall the definition.

For any integer  $n \geq -1$ , we denote by  $\Delta_{n+1}$  the unit  $(n + 1)$ -simplex and by  $\partial\Delta_{n+1}$  its boundary. A topological space  $C$  is said to be  $n$ -connected if every continuous map  $f : \partial\Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow C$  has a continuous extension  $g : \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow C$ .

Clearly,  $(-1)$ -connected means nonempty and  $0$ -connected means pathwise connected. It is also easily seen that for a pathwise connected space,  $1$ -connected is equivalent to simply connected. Convex sets and starshaped sets in topological vector spaces, and, more generally, contractible spaces are  $n$ -connected for every  $n$ .

Our main result (Theorem 3) reads as follows: *If  $n$  sets in a topological space are given so that all the sets are closed or all are open and for each  $k \leq n$  every  $k$  of the sets have a  $(k - 2)$ -connected union, then the  $n$  sets have a point in common.* For  $n = 2$  we exactly recover the above-mentioned fact. This extension is equivalent to Brouwer's fixed point theorem.

Applications to Helly-type theorems are considered. In particular, the following generalization of results of Breen [2, 3] is established (Theorem 5): *If every  $n + 1$  or fewer members of a finite family of closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have a starshaped union, then all the members of the family have a point in common.*

The basic tool for our study is an intersection theorem (Theorem 2) obtained by combining the well-known theorem of Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz with a selection-extension property for families of  $n$ -connected sets (Theorem 1). No

---

Received by the editors August 14, 1995 and, in revised form, October 25, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 52A30, 54C99; Secondary 52A35, 52A07.

*Key words and phrases.*  $n$ -connected sets, starshaped sets, Helly's theorem, KKM theorem.

notion from algebraic topology is used. The ideas of this approach come from Horvath [11] and Lassonde [14].

For a comprehensive account on Helly-type results, the reader is referred to the survey papers of Danzer, Grünbaum, Klee [4] and Eckhoff [7].

## 2. SELECTION-EXTENSION PROPERTY

By *polytope* we understand a simplicial CW-complex in the sense of Whitehead. We recall the definitions. A *triangulation* is a collection  $\mathcal{T}$  of geometric closed simplexes such that every face of a simplex in  $\mathcal{T}$  is itself a simplex in  $\mathcal{T}$ , and the intersection of any two simplexes in  $\mathcal{T}$  is a face of both of them. We denote by  $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$  the collection of all simplexes of  $\mathcal{T}$  of dimension less than or equal to  $n$ .

A *polytope* is a topological space  $P$  together with a triangulation  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $P = \bigcup\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{T}\}$ , and  $P$  is supplied with the CW-topology, i.e.  $U \subseteq P$  is open if and only if for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $U \cap \sigma$  is open in the Euclidean topology of  $\sigma$ . We denote by  $P^{(n)}$  the  $n$ -skeleton of  $P$ , i.e. the subpolytope of  $P$  with triangulation  $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ . A *subpolytope* of  $P$  is a polytope  $Q \subseteq P$  with a triangulation  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ .

The following theorem, though more general than required for the applications considered in this paper, is worth stating explicitly for future use. It strengthens a result of Horvath [11, Theorem 1] in several aspects; the proof is similar.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $P$  a polytope with triangulation  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $Q \subseteq P$  a subpolytope with triangulation  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ , and  $\{C_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{T}\}$  a family of subsets of  $X$  such that:*

- (a)  $C_\sigma \subseteq C_\tau$  for every  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ ,
- (b)  $C_\sigma$  is  $(\dim \sigma - 1)$ -connected for every  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ .

*Then any continuous map  $f : Q \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $f(\sigma) \subseteq C_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$  can be extended to a continuous map  $g : P \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $g(\sigma) \subseteq C_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ .*

*Proof.* Since for any vertex  $x \in \mathcal{T}^{(0)}$  the set  $C_{\{x\}}$  is not empty because of (b), the map  $f_0 : Q \cup P^{(0)} \rightarrow X$  given by  $f_0(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in Q$ , and  $f_0(x) =$  any point in  $C_{\{x\}}$  for  $x \in P^{(0)} \setminus Q$  is a continuous extension of  $f$  such that  $f_0(\sigma) \subseteq C_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}^{(0)}$ . Starting from  $f_0$ , we define inductively a sequence of continuous extensions  $f_n : Q \cup P^{(n)} \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $f_n(\sigma) \subseteq C_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ . The result then follows by taking  $g : P \rightarrow X$  such that  $g(x) = f_n(x)$  whenever  $x \in Q \cup P^{(n)}$ .

Suppose  $f_n$  is constructed. The required extension of  $f_n$  is obtained by pasting together a family of maps  $f_{n+1}^\sigma : \sigma \rightarrow C_\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}^{(n+1)}$ , defined as follows. For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ , simply let  $f_{n+1}^\sigma = f_n|_\sigma$ . Otherwise, write  $\partial\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k$ , where each  $\sigma_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ ; for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , we have  $f_n(\sigma_i) \subseteq C_{\sigma_i}$  by construction and  $C_{\sigma_i} \subseteq C_\sigma$  by (a), so that  $f_n(\partial\sigma) \subseteq C_\sigma$ : let  $f_{n+1}^\sigma : \sigma \rightarrow C_\sigma$  be a continuous extension of  $f_n|_{\partial\sigma} : \partial\sigma \rightarrow C_\sigma$  (which exists because of (b)). Since for any different members  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}^{(n+1)}$  we have  $f_{n+1}^\sigma|_{\sigma \cap \tau} = f_n|_{\sigma \cap \tau} = f_{n+1}^\tau|_{\sigma \cap \tau}$ , there is a map  $f_{n+1} : Q \cup P^{(n+1)} \rightarrow X$  which is an extension of each  $f_{n+1}^\sigma$ . Obviously, such a map is continuous for the CW-topology, extends  $f_n$ , and satisfies  $f_{n+1}(\sigma) \subseteq C_\sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}^{(n+1)}$ .  $\square$

As an immediate special case of Theorem 1, we mention the following well-known property (see for example Eilenberg [8, p. 241]):

**Corollary.** *A topological space  $X$  is  $k$ -connected for every  $k \leq n$  if and only if for any polytope  $P$  and any subpolytope  $Q \subseteq P$ , any continuous  $f : Q \rightarrow X$  extends continuously over  $Q \cup P^{(n+1)}$ .*

*Proof.* The “if part” is obvious, and the “only if part” follows from Theorem 1 applied to the polytope  $Q \cup P^{(n+1)}$  and the sets  $C_\sigma$  given by  $C_\sigma = X$  for every  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}^{(n+1)}$ .  $\square$

### 3. KKM-TYPE INTERSECTION THEOREMS

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_n)$  the set of all faces of  $\Delta_n$ , and for any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_n)$  we denote by  $\sigma^{(0)}$  the set of all vertices of  $\sigma$ . The Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem can be formulated as follows: *If a family  $\{A_i \mid i \in \Delta_n^{(0)}\}$  of subsets of  $\Delta_n$  is such that all the sets are closed or all are open, and each face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta_n$  is contained in the corresponding union  $\bigcup\{A_i \mid i \in \sigma^{(0)}\}$ , then there is a point common to all the sets.* (The original statement deals only with closed sets; a proof that open sets may be used equivalently is given in Lassonde [14].)

By combining Theorem 1 with the Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem we get our basic topological intersection theorem:

**Theorem 2.** *In a topological space, let  $\{A_i \mid i \in \Delta_n^{(0)}\}$  be a family of sets, all closed or all open, and let  $\{C_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_n)\}$  be an associated family of sets such that:*

- (a)  $C_\sigma \subseteq C_\tau$  for every  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_n)$  with  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ ,
- (b)  $C_\sigma$  is  $(\dim \sigma - 1)$ -connected for every  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_n)$ .

*Then the following equivalent assertions hold:*

- (1) *If each  $C_\sigma$  is contained in the corresponding union  $\bigcup\{A_i \mid i \in \sigma^{(0)}\}$ , then  $C_{\Delta_n}$  contains a point of the intersection  $\bigcap\{A_i \mid i \in \Delta_n^{(0)}\}$ ;*
- (2) *If  $C_{\Delta_n}$  is contained in the union  $\bigcup\{A_i \mid i \in \Delta_n^{(0)}\}$ , then some  $C_\sigma$  contains a point of the corresponding intersection  $\bigcap\{A_i \mid i \in \sigma^{(0)}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $X$  the underlying topological space, and assume that the condition of assertion (1) is satisfied. By Theorem 1, a continuous  $g : \Delta_n \rightarrow X$  exists such that for each face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta_n$  we have  $g(\sigma) \subseteq C_\sigma$ , which in view of the assumption implies  $g(\sigma) \subseteq \bigcup\{A_i \cap C_{\Delta_n} \mid i \in \sigma^{(0)}\}$ . Thus, each face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta_n$  is contained in the corresponding union  $\bigcup\{g^{-1}(A_i \cap C_{\Delta_n}) \mid i \in \sigma^{(0)}\}$ . We derive from the theorem of Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz that the family  $\{g^{-1}(A_i \cap C_{\Delta_n}) \mid i \in \Delta_n^{(0)}\}$  has a nonempty intersection. Consequently, the intersection  $\bigcap\{A_i \cap C_{\Delta_n} \mid i \in \Delta_n^{(0)}\}$  is not empty, proving assertion (1).

As for assertion (2), it suffices to observe that it is the contraposition form of assertion (1) applied to the family  $\{X \setminus A_i \mid i \in \Delta_n^{(0)}\}$ .  $\square$

Ky Fan’s extension of Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz’s theorem [9] and Ky Fan’s matching theorem [10] are obtained respectively from assertion (1) and assertion (2) of the following:

**Corollary.** *In a convex subset of a vector space supplied with the finite topology, let  $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a finite family of sets, all closed or all open, and let  $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of points, indexed by the same set  $I$ . Then the following (equivalent) assertions hold:*

(1) If for every nonempty subset  $J \subseteq I$  the convex hull of  $\{x_j \mid j \in J\}$  is contained in the corresponding union  $\bigcup\{A_j \mid j \in J\}$ , then the convex hull of  $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$  contains a point of the intersection  $\bigcap\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ .

(2) If the convex hull of  $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$  is contained in the union  $\bigcup\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ , then for some nonempty subset  $J \subseteq I$  the convex hull of  $\{x_j \mid j \in J\}$  contains a point of the corresponding intersection  $\bigcap\{A_j \mid j \in J\}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that the set of indices  $I$  is the set of vertices of  $\Delta_n$ . The result then follows from Theorem 2 with  $C_\sigma$  being, for every  $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_n)$ , the convex hull of  $\{x_j \mid j \in \sigma^{(0)}\}$  (such sets are evidently contractible when supplied with the Euclidean topology).  $\square$

#### 4. KLEE-TYPE INTERSECTION THEOREMS

Our main result below provides topological generalizations of the familiar theorem of Klee [12]: *If  $n$  closed convex sets in a topological vector space are such that their union is convex and the intersection of every  $n - 1$  of them is nonempty, then all the sets have a point in common.*

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n$  sets in a topological space be given so that all the sets are closed or all are open, and either of the following properties is satisfied:*

(1) *For each  $k \leq n$  the union of every  $k$  of the sets is  $(k - 2)$ -connected; or*

(2) *The union of the  $n$  sets is  $(n - 2)$ -connected and for each  $k \leq n - 1$  the intersection of every  $k$  of the sets is  $(n - k - 2)$ -connected.*

*Then all the sets have a point in common.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_i \mid i \in \Delta_{n-1}^{(0)}\}$  be a family of  $n$  sets satisfying the conditions of the theorem. We define an associated family of sets  $\{C_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{n-1})\}$  satisfying the requirements of Theorem 2 as follows. In case (1), we let  $C_\sigma = \bigcup\{A_i \mid i \in \sigma^{(0)}\}$  so that assertion (1) of Theorem 2 applies. In case (2), we let  $C_\sigma = \bigcup\{A_i \mid i \in \sigma^{(0)}\}$  for  $\sigma = \Delta_{n-1}$  and  $C_\sigma = \bigcap\{A_i \mid i \notin \sigma^{(0)}\}$  for  $\sigma \neq \Delta_{n-1}$ , so that assertion (2) of Theorem 2 gives the result.  $\square$

The following immediate consequence of Theorem 3 is worth mentioning:

**Corollary.** *A family of  $n$  closed convex sets in a topological vector space has a nonempty intersection if (and only if) the union of the  $n$  sets is  $(n - 2)$ -connected and the intersection of every  $n - 1$  of them is nonempty.*

This result, which is a slight modification of Klee's theorem, implies the theorem of Brouwer stating that *the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  is not  $n$ -connected*. Indeed, consider the  $n$ -dimensional faces of  $\Delta_{n+1}$ : they form a family of  $n+2$  closed convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ , every intersection of  $n+1$  of them is nonempty, but the whole intersection is empty. Hence their union, which is  $\partial\Delta_{n+1}$ , is not  $n$ -connected, so  $S^n$  is not  $n$ -connected either. Since this statement is equivalent to Brouwer's fixed point theorem, which is itself equivalent to the theorem of Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz, we infer that the above corollary is equivalent to all these results.

*Remark.* Case (2) of Theorem 3 is closely related to results of Dugundji for metric spaces (see [5, Theorem 4.1] for the open version, and [6, Theorem 5.2] for the closed version). Dugundji's assumptions are: *The singular  $(n - 2)$ -homology group of the union of the  $n$  sets is trivial or a torsion group, and for each  $k \leq n - 1$  the intersection of every  $k$  of the sets is  $p$ -connected for every  $p \leq n - k - 2$ .* In case (2)

of Theorem 3 the hypothesis on the union is stronger but the hypotheses on the intersections are weaker.

## 5. HELLY-TYPE INTERSECTION THEOREMS

We now turn to discussing Helly's theorem which asserts that *a finite family of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a nonempty intersection if (and only if) the intersection of every  $n + 1$  members of the family is nonempty*. As is well known, Helly's theorem can easily be proved by combining Klee's theorem with Carathéodory's theorem (see for example Berge [1, p. 173]).

Recall that a subset  $C$  of a vector space is said to be *starshaped* if there is a point  $c \in C$  such that for every  $x \in C$  the segment  $[c, x]$  lies in  $C$ . Clearly, if the intersection of convex sets is nonempty, then their union is starshaped. Hence, the following result of Breen [2] is a generalization of Helly's theorem for closed sets: *A finite family of closed convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a nonempty intersection if (and only if) the union of every  $n + 1$  or fewer members of the family is starshaped*. The two theorems of this section extend Breen's result in two different directions.

In our first theorem, starshapedness of the union is weakened to  $n$ -connectedness, and open sets are considered as well as closed sets. Like the above Klee-type results, this extension of Helly's theorem is equivalent to Brouwer's fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *Let a finite family of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be given so that all the sets are closed or all are open, and for each  $k \leq n + 1$  the union of every  $k$  members of the family is  $(k - 2)$ -connected. Then all the members of the family have a point in common.*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3, case (1), that the intersection of every  $n + 1$  members of the family is nonempty, whence the conclusion by Helly's theorem.  $\square$

In our second theorem, convexity of the sets is weakened to starshapedness. The proof combines Theorem 3 with a Krasnosel'skiĭ-type theorem of Kołodziejczyk [13] stating that *if every  $n + 1$  members of a finite family of closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have a starshaped union, then the union of all the members of the family is starshaped*. Theorem 5 extends another result of Breen [3], which is the special case  $n = 2$ .

**Theorem 5.** *If every  $n + 1$  or fewer members of a finite family of closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have a starshaped union, then all the members of the family have a point in common.*

*Proof.* By the above-mentioned theorem of Kołodziejczyk, every union of members of the family is starshaped, so the conclusion follows from Theorem 3, case (1).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. C. Berge, *Espaces topologiques, fonctions multivoques*, Dunod, Paris, 1966. MR **21**:4401
2. M. Breen, *Starshaped unions and nonempty intersections of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1990), no. 3, 817–820. MR **90e**:52007
3. M. Breen, *An intersection property for starshaped sets in the plane*, Geom. Dedicata **37** (1991), no. 3, 317–326. MR **92e**:52008
4. L. Danzer, B. Grünbaum, and V. Klee, *Helly's theorem and its relatives*, Convexity, Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1962, pp. 101–180. MR **28**:524
5. J. Dugundji, *A duality property of nerves*, Fund. Math. **59** (1966), 213–219. MR **34**:5071
6. J. Dugundji, *Maps into nerves of closed coverings*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. Sci. Fis. Mat. **21** (1967), 121–136. MR **36**:7112

7. J. Eckhoff, *Helly, Radon, and Carathéodory type theorems*, Handbook of convex geometry (P. M. Gruber and J. M. Wills, eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers, 1993, pp. 389–447. MR **94k**:52010
8. S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology and continuous mappings*, Ann. Math. **41** (1940), 231–251. MR **1**:222b
9. K. Fan, *A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem*, Math. Ann. **142** (1961), 305–310. MR **24**:A1120
10. K. Fan, *Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems*, Math. Ann. **266** (1984), 519–537. MR **85i**:47060
11. C. Horvath, *Contractibility and generalized convexity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **156** (1991), no. 2, 341–357. MR **92f**:52002
12. V. L. Klee, *On certain intersection properties of convex sets*, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1951), 272–275. MR **13**:153m
13. K. Kołodziejczyk, *On starshapedness of the union of closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Colloq. Math. **53** (1987), 193–197. MR **89b**:52017
14. M. Lassonde, *Sur le principe KKM*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **310** (1990), 573–576. MR **91h**:47061

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE PERPIGNAN, 66860 PERPIGNAN CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address*: horvath@univ-perp.fr

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DES ANTILLES ET DE LA GUYANE, 97159 POINTE-À-PITRE CEDEX, GUADELOUPE, FRANCE

*E-mail address*: lassonde@univ-ag.fr