

## ON PERFECT SIMPLE-INJECTIVE RINGS

W. K. NICHOLSON AND M. F. YOUSIF

(Communicated by Ken Goodearl)

*Dedicated to Professor K. Varadarajan on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday*

ABSTRACT. Harada calls a ring  $R$  right simple-injective if every  $R$ -homomorphism with simple image from a right ideal of  $R$  to  $R$  is given by left multiplication by an element of  $R$ . In this paper we show that every left perfect, left and right simple-injective ring is quasi-Frobenius, extending a well known result of Osofsky on self-injective rings. It is also shown that if  $R$  is left perfect and right simple-injective, then  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius if and only if the second socle of  $R$  is countably generated as a left  $R$ -module, extending many recent results on self-injective rings. Examples are given to show that our results are non-trivial extensions of those on self-injective rings.

A ring  $R$  is called quasi-Frobenius if  $R$  is left (and right) artinian and left (and right) self-injective. A well known result of Osofsky [15] asserts that a left perfect, left and right self-injective ring is quasi-Frobenius. It has been conjectured by Faith [9] that a left (or right) perfect, right self-injective ring is quasi-Frobenius. This conjecture remains open even for semiprimary rings.

Throughout this paper all rings  $R$  considered are associative with unity and all modules are unitary  $R$ -modules. We write  $M_R$  to indicate a right  $R$ -module. The socle of a module is denoted by  $\text{soc}(M)$ . We write  $N \subseteq M$  ( $N \subseteq^{\text{ess}} M$ ) to mean that  $N$  is a submodule (essential) of  $M$ . For any subset  $X$  of  $R$ ,  $l(X)$  and  $r(X)$  denote, respectively, the left and right annihilators of  $X$  in  $R$ .

A ring  $R$  is called right **Kasch** if every simple right  $R$ -module is isomorphic to a minimal right ideal of  $R$ . The ring  $R$  is called right **pseudo-Frobenius** (a right **PF-ring**) if  $R_R$  is an injective cogenerator in  $\text{mod-}R$ ; equivalently if  $R$  is semiperfect, right self-injective and has an essential right socle.

A ring  $R$  is called right **principally injective** if every  $R$ -morphism from a principal right ideal of  $R$  into  $R$  is given by left multiplication. In [14], a ring  $R$  is called a right **generalized pseudo-Frobenius** ring (a right **GPF-ring**) if  $R$  is semiperfect, right principally injective and has an essential right socle.

We write  $J = J(R)$  for the Jacobson radical of the ring  $R$ . Following Fuller [10], if  $R$  is semiperfect with a basic set  $E$  of primitive idempotents, and if  $e, f \in E$ , we say that the pair  $(eR, Rf)$  is an **i-pair** if  $\text{soc}(eR) \cong fR/fJ$  and  $\text{soc}(Rf) \cong Re/Je$ .

---

Received by the editors April 24, 1995 and, in revised form, October 11, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16D50, 16L30.

*Key words and phrases*. Perfect ring, self-injective ring, quasi-Frobenius ring.

The research of both authors was supported by NSERC Grant 8075 and by the Ohio State University.

Let  $M_R$  and  $N_R$  be  $R$ -modules. Following Harada [12],  $M$  is said to be a **simple-N-injective module** if, for any submodule  $X \subseteq N$  and any  $R$ -morphism  $\gamma: X \rightarrow M$  such that  $\text{im}(\gamma)$  is simple, there exists an  $R$ -morphism  $\hat{\gamma}: N \rightarrow M$  such that  $\hat{\gamma}|_X = \gamma$ . We call a ring  $R$  right **simple-injective** if  $R_R$  is simple- $R$ -injective; equivalently, if  $I$  is a right ideal of  $R$  and  $\gamma: I \rightarrow R$  is an  $R$ -morphism with simple image, then  $\gamma = c \cdot$  is left multiplication by an element  $c \in R$ .

If  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module,  $\text{soc}_\alpha(M)$  is defined for each ordinal  $\alpha$  as follows: (1)  $\text{soc}_1(M) = \text{soc}(M)$ ; (2) If  $\text{soc}_\alpha(M)$  has been defined, then  $\text{soc}_{\alpha+1}(M)$  is given by  $\text{soc}[M/\text{soc}_\alpha(M)] = \text{soc}_{\alpha+1}(M)/\text{soc}_\alpha(M)$ ; (3) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then  $\text{soc}_\alpha(M) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \text{soc}_\beta(M)$ . The series  $\text{soc}_1(M) \subseteq \text{soc}_2(M) \subseteq \dots$  is called the **Lowe series** of the module  $M$ .

Motivated by the work of Armendariz and Park [2] and that of Ara and Park [1], it was shown by Clark and Huynh [8] that if  $R$  is a left and right perfect, right self-injective ring, then  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius if and only if  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is finitely generated as a right  $R$ -module. The authors did not indicate whether their result remains valid if  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is finitely generated as a left  $R$ -module. However it is not difficult to see that if  $R$  is left perfect and right self-injective, and if  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is finitely generated as a left  $R$ -module, then  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius. Indeed, since  $R$  is a right PF-ring,  $l(J) = r(J) = \text{soc}(R_R) = \text{soc}(R_R)$ , and hence  $l(J^2) = r(J^2) = \text{soc}_2(R_R) = \text{soc}_2(R_R)$ . Suppose  $\text{soc}_2(R) = \sum_{i=1}^n Ra_i$ ,  $a_i \in R$ , and define  $\varphi: R \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n a_i R$  by  $\varphi(r) = (a_1 r, \dots, a_n r)$ . Then  $\ker \varphi = r(\text{soc}_2(R)) = rl(J^2) = J^2$ . Thus  $R/J^2 \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n a_i R$  and it follows that  $J/J^2$  is right finite dimensional (because  $R$  is a right PF-ring). Hence  $J/J^2$  is right finitely generated, and so  $R$  is right artinian by a result of Osofsky [15]. This observation implies several results in the literature (see for example [2]) and will be generalized in Theorem 2 of this paper.

The following two lemmas will be needed in our investigation.

**Lemma 1** ([14], Theorem 2.3). *Let  $R$  be a right GPF-ring. If  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a basic set of primitive idempotents, there exists a (Nakayama) permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such that, for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\text{soc}(Re_{\sigma k}) \cong Re_k/Je_k$  is essential in  $Re_{\sigma k}$  and  $\text{soc}(e_k R)$  is homogeneous with each simple submodule isomorphic to  $e_{\sigma k} R/e_{\sigma k} J$ . We also have  $\text{soc}(R_R) = \text{soc}(R_R)$ .*

The statement of Proposition 2 in [3] includes the hypothesis that  $(eR, Rf)$  is an  $i$ -pair. However this hypothesis is not used in the proof, so the result should be stated as follows:

**Lemma 2** ([3], Proposition 2). *Let  $R$  be a semiprimary ring and let  $E$  be a basic set of primitive idempotents in  $R$ . If  $e \in E$  and  $eR$  is simple- $gR$ -injective for every  $g \in E$ , then  $eR$  is injective.*

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $R$  is semiperfect with a basic set  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  of primitive idempotents. If  $R$  is right simple-injective and  $\text{soc}(R_R) \subseteq^{\text{ess}} R_R$ , there exists a (Nakayama) permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $(e_k R, Re_{\sigma k})$  is an  $i$ -pair for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is divided into separate claims.

*Claim 1.*  $R$  is right Kasch.

*Proof.* For each  $i$  choose a simple right ideal  $K_i \subseteq e_i R$ . It suffices to show that the  $K_i$  are a set of distinct representatives of the simple right  $R$ -modules. Since the  $e_i$  are basic, we show that  $K_i \cong K_j$  implies  $e_i R \cong e_j R$ . But if  $\sigma: K_i \rightarrow K_j$  is an

isomorphism, then  $\sigma = a \cdot, a \in R$ , because  $R$  is right simple-injective. If  $\sigma^{-1} = b \cdot$  and  $K_i = kR$ , then  $bak = k$  and it follows that  $a \notin J$ . Since we may assume  $a \in e_j R e_i$ , it follows that  $a \cdot: e_i R \rightarrow e_j R$  is an isomorphism, proving Claim 1.

*Claim 2.*  $rl(I) = I$  for every right ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .

*Proof.* If  $b \in rl(I)$ ,  $b \notin I$ , let  $M/I$  be a maximal submodule of  $(bR + I)/I$ . If  $\delta: (bR + I)/M \rightarrow R_R$  is an embedding (by Claim 1), define  $\gamma: (bR + I) \rightarrow R$  by  $\gamma(x) = \delta(x + M)$ . Then  $\gamma = c \cdot$  for some  $c \in R$ . Thus  $cI = \gamma(I) = 0$ , so  $cb = 0$  because  $b \in rl(I)$ . But  $cb = \delta(b + M) \neq 0$ , proving Claim 2.

In particular,  $R$  is left principally injective because  $rl(a) = aR$  for all  $a \in R$ . For convenience, write  $S_r = \text{soc}(R_R)$  and  $S_l = \text{soc}({}_R R)$ .

*Claim 3.*  $S_r \subseteq^{\text{ess}} {}_R R$ . In particular  $S_l \subseteq S_r$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq b \in R$ . As in Claim 2, let  $\gamma: bR \rightarrow R_R$  have simple image. Then  $\gamma = c \cdot$  for  $c \in R$ , so  $cb = \gamma(b) \neq 0$ , while  $cbJ \subseteq \gamma(bR)J = 0$ . Thus  $0 \neq cb \in Rb \cap l(J)$ . Since  $l(J) = S_r$  ( $R/J$  is semisimple), this proves Claim 3.

*Claim 4.* If  $kR$  is simple,  $k \in R$ , then  $Rk = lr(k)$  is simple. In particular  $S_l = S_r$ .

*Proof.* Let  $kR$  be simple,  $k \in R$ . If  $0 \neq a \in Rk$ , then  $r(k) \subseteq r(a)$  so  $r(k) = r(a)$  because  $r(k)$  is maximal. Hence  $k \in lr(k) = lr(a)$  and it suffices to show that  $lr(a) \subseteq Ra$ . But if  $b \in lr(a)$ , then  $\gamma: aR \rightarrow R$  is well defined by  $\gamma(ar) = br$ . As  $aR$  is simple,  $\gamma = c \cdot, c \in R$ , so  $b = \gamma(a) = ca \in Ra$ , proving Claim 4.

Thus  $S_l$  is essential as a left ideal (by Claims 3 and 4) so, since  $R$  is semiperfect and left principally injective, we conclude that  $R$  is a left GPF-ring.

*Claim 5.* If  $Rk$  is simple,  $k \in R$ , then  $kR$  is simple.

*Proof.* If  $Rk$  is simple, then  $kR \subseteq S_r$  by Claim 4, so let  $kR \supseteq mR, mR$  simple. Then  $l(k) \subseteq l(m)$  so  $l(k) = l(m)$  (as  $l(k)$  is maximal). But then  $kR = mR$  by Claim 2, proving Claim 5.

*Claim 6.*  $\text{soc}(Re)$  is simple for every primitive idempotent  $e \in R$ .

*Proof.* We have  $S_l \subseteq^{\text{ess}} {}_R R$  by Claims 3 and 4, so let  $Rk \subseteq \text{soc}(Re)$ ,  $Rk$  is a simple left ideal. Then  $r(k) \supseteq (1 - e)R + J$  using Claim 5. But  $(1 - e)R + J$  is a maximal right ideal ( $e$  is local), whence  $r(k) = (1 - e)R + J$ . Thus, using Claim 4,  $Rk = lr(k) = Re \cap l(J) = Re \cap S_r = \text{soc}(Re)$ . This proves Claim 6.

Now, since  $R$  is a left GPF-ring, it follows from Lemma 1 and Claim 6 that there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\text{soc}(e_k R) \cong e_{\sigma k} R / e_{\sigma k} J$  and  $\text{soc}(Re_{\sigma k}) \cong Re_k / Je_k$  for each  $k$ . Hence  $(e_k R, Re_{\sigma k})$  is an i-pair for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This proves Proposition 1. □

The next proposition is now an easy consequence of Lemma 2.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $R$  be a semiprimary ring. Then  $R$  is right self-injective if and only if  $R$  is right simple-injective.*

*Proof.* It is routine to see that if  $R$  is right simple-injective, then  $eR$  is simple- $gR$ -injective for all primitive idempotents  $e$  and  $g$ . Hence each  $eR$  is injective by Proposition 1 and Lemma 2, so  $R_R$  is injective. □

Recall that  $S_r \subseteq^{\text{ess}} R_R$  whenever  $R$  is left perfect. Hence the next result extends a well known theorem of Osofsky [15] which states that a left perfect, left and right self-injective ring is quasi-Frobenius.

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose  $R$  is a left perfect, left and right simple-injective ring. Then  $R$  is a quasi-Frobenius ring.*

*Proof.* From the proof of Proposition 1, it follows that  $R$  is a left GPF-ring in which  $rl(I) = I$  for every right ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and  $\text{soc}(R_R) = \text{soc}(R_R) \subseteq^{\text{ess}} R_R$ . By symmetry,  $lr(L) = L$  for every left ideal  $L$  of  $R$ . Now [11, Theorem 5.3] shows that every principal left or right  $R$ -module has finite uniform dimension. As  $R$  is right semiartinian ( $R$  is left perfect), it follows that  $R$  is right artinian [4, Proposition 5]. In particular,  $R$  is semiprimary. Thus Proposition 2 implies that  $R$  is left and right self-injective. Whence  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius.  $\square$

The original proof of Proposition 4 below was lengthy and used set theoretic techniques. We are in debt to Professor Kent Fuller who communicated the present proof to us.

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose  $R$  is a semiperfect, right simple-injective ring with  $\text{soc}(R_R) \subseteq^{\text{ess}} R_R$ . Given  $n \geq 2$ , if  $\text{soc}_n(R)$  is countably generated as a left  $R$ -module, then  $J^{n-1}/J^n$  is finitely generated as a right  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* By Claims 3 and 4 of Proposition 1, we have  $S_r = S_l \subseteq^{\text{ess}} R_R$ . Write  $S = S_r = S_l$ . As  $R/J$  is semisimple,  $S = l(J) = r(J)$ .

*Claim.* For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{soc}_n(R_R) = \text{soc}_n({}_R R) = l(J^n) = r(J^n)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{soc}_k(R_R) = \text{soc}_k({}_R R) = l(J^k) = r(J^k)$ . We have  $\text{soc}_{k+1}(R_R) \subseteq l(J^{k+1})$  because  $\text{soc}_{k+1}(R_R)/\text{soc}_k(R)$  is right  $R$ -semisimple. On the other hand, if  $aJ^{k+1} = 0$ , then  $aJ \subseteq \text{soc}_k(R)$  so  $[aR + \text{soc}_k(R)]/\text{soc}_k(R)$  is right  $R$ -semisimple (as  $R/J$  is semisimple). Hence  $aR \subseteq \text{soc}_{k+1}(R_R)$  and we have  $\text{soc}_{k+1}(R_R) = l(J^{k+1})$ . Similarly,  $\text{soc}_{k+1}({}_R R) = r(J^{k+1})$ . Finally,  $l(J^{k+1}) = r(J^{k+1})$  follows easily from  $l(J^k) = r(J^k)$ . This proves the claim.

We now assert that if  $I$  is a right ideal of  $R$ , every  $R$ -homomorphism  $\varphi: I \rightarrow R$  with semisimple image is given by left multiplication by an element of  $R$ . Indeed, since  $R$  is right finite dimensional by Proposition 1,  $\varphi(I) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i$  for simple right ideals  $S_i$  of  $R$ . Let  $\pi_i: \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i \rightarrow S_i$  be the projection for each  $i$ , and write  $\varphi_i = \pi_i \circ \varphi$ . Since  $R$  is right simple-injective, there exist  $t_i \in R$  such that  $\varphi_i(a) = t_i a$  for all  $a \in I$ . If  $t = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$ , then  $\varphi(a) = ta$  for all  $a \in I$ .

With this it is straightforward to verify that

$$\text{hom}_R(J^{n-1}/J^n, R_R) \cong l_R(J^n)/l_R(J^{n-1})$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ . This implies that  $J^{n-1}/J^n$  is finitely generated as a right  $R$ -module. Suppose not. Then, since  $R$  has finitely many isomorphism classes of simple right modules, let  $S^{(\mathbb{N})}$  be a direct summand of  $J^{n-1}/J^n$  where  $S$  is a simple right  $R$ -module and  $S^{(\mathbb{N})}$  denotes a countable direct sum of copies of  $S$ . Now  ${}_R T = \text{hom}_R(S, R_R)$  is a simple left  $R$ -module because  $R$  is right simple-injective and (by Proposition 1) right Kasch. Thus

$$T^{\mathbb{N}} = \text{hom}_R(S, R)^{\mathbb{N}} \cong \text{hom}_R(S^{(\mathbb{N})}, R) \hookrightarrow \text{soc}_n(R)/\text{soc}_{n-1}(R)$$

as a direct summand, where  $T^{\mathbb{N}}$  is the direct product of countably many copies of  $T$ . But  $T^{\mathbb{N}}$  has dimension  $|T|^{\aleph_0} > |\mathbb{N}|$ , according to a well known old theorem of Erdős and Kaplansky [6, Page 276], a contradiction.  $\square$

Observe that the proof of Proposition 4 actually yields the following: If  $R$  is a semiperfect, right simple-injective ring in which  $\text{soc}(R_R) \subseteq^{\text{ess}} R_R$  and  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is generated on the left by  $\chi$  elements, where  $\chi$  is any ordinal number, then  $(J/J^2)_R$  is generated by fewer than  $\chi$  elements. For if this is not the case we can use the same argument to show that  $\text{soc}_2(R)/S$  contains a direct sum of  $2^\chi > \chi$  simple modules, a contradiction. In particular, if  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is generated on the left by  $\omega$  elements (where  $\omega$  is the first infinite ordinal), then  $(J/J^2)_R$  is finitely generated.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $R$  is a left perfect, right simple-injective ring. Then  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius if and only if  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is countably generated as a left  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Proposition 4 and its proof show that  $\text{soc}_2(R_R) = \text{soc}_2({}_R R)$ , and that  $J/J^2$  is finitely generated as a right  $R$ -module. Hence  $R$  is right artinian by Osofsky's theorem [15]. Then  $R$  is right self-injective by Proposition 2. Thus  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $R$  is a left perfect right self-injective ring. Then  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius if and only if  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is countably generated as a left  $R$ -module.*

*Remarks.* Similar arguments give the following results:

(1) If  $R$  is a semiperfect, right simple-injective ring with  $\text{soc}(R_R) \subseteq^{\text{ess}} R_R$ , and if  $J/J^2$  is countably generated as a left  $R$ -module, then  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is finitely generated as a right  $R$ -module.

(2) If  $R$  is a left perfect, right self-injective ring, and if  $J/J^2$  is countably generated as a left  $R$ -module, then  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is finitely generated as a right  $R$ -module.

(3) If  $R$  is a left and right perfect, right self-injective ring, and if  $J/J^2$  is countably generated as a left  $R$ -module, then  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius. (In fact, [8] shows that  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius if  $\text{soc}_2(R)$  is finitely generated as a right  $R$ -module, so (2) applies.)

**Example 1.** If  $R$  has zero right socle, then  $R$  is right simple-injective. Hence the ring of integers is an example of a commutative, noetherian, simple-injective ring which is not self-injective (or principally injective).

**Example 2.** We construct an example of a left perfect, left simple-injective ring  $S$  which is not right simple-injective (and hence not right self-injective). Let  $R$  be a left perfect ring which is not right perfect. Since  $R$  is left perfect,  $\text{soc}(R_R) \subseteq^{\text{ess}} R_R$  and  $\text{soc}_\lambda(R_R) = R$  for some ordinal  $\lambda$ . Since  $R$  is not right perfect (and hence not left semiartinian)  $\text{soc}_\alpha({}_R R) \neq R$  for any ordinal  $\alpha$ . But  $R$  is a set, so we have  $\text{soc}_\beta({}_R R) = \text{soc}_{\beta+1}({}_R R)$  for some ordinal  $\beta$ . Let  $S = R/\text{soc}_\beta({}_R R)$ . Then  $S$  is a left perfect ring with  $\text{soc}({}_S S) = 0$ , and so  $S$  is left simple-injective. But  $S$  cannot be right simple-injective by Proposition 3. In particular,  $S$  is not right self-injective.

A specific example is as follows: Let  $F$  be a field and let  $R$  be the ring of all lower triangular, countably infinite matrices over  $F$  with only finitely many off-diagonal entries. Let  $S$  be the  $F$ -subalgebra of  $R$  generated by 1 and  $J(R)$ . Then  $S$  is a left perfect, left simple-injective ring which is neither right perfect nor right simple-injective. Moreover,  $S$  is not left self-injective because it is not left finite dimensional.

**Example 3** (Levy [13, page 115], see Hajarnavis-Norton [11, page 265]). Let  $I = \{x \mid x \text{ is real and } 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ , let  $K$  be a field, and let  $R$  be the set of all formal sums of the form  $\sum_{i \in I} a_i x^i$ , where  $x$  is a commuting indeterminate over  $K$ ,  $a_i \in K$ , and all but a finite number of the  $a_i$  are zero. Putting  $x^k = 0$  for  $k > 1$ ,  $R$  becomes a commutative ring by defining addition and multiplication in the usual way. It can be verified that  $R$  is a commutative, semiperfect, simple-injective, Kasch ring with essential socle, which satisfies  $J^2 = J$ . However,  $R$  is not self-injective.

**Example 4** (Björk [5, page 70]). Let  $p$  be a prime number, let  $P$  be the field of  $p$  elements, and let  $K = P(x)$  be the field of rational functions with coefficients in  $P$ . Then  $K^p = \{w^p \mid w \in K\}$  is a subfield of  $K$ ,  $f: w \mapsto w^p$  is an isomorphism  $K \rightarrow K^p$ , and  $K^p$  is a  $p$ -dimensional vector space over  $K$ . If  $A$  is a left vector space over  $K$  with basis  $\{e, x\}$ , then  $A$  is a ring with multiplication defined by:  $er = re = r$  for all  $r \in A$ ,  $x^2 = 0$  and  $f(w)x = xw$  for all  $w \in K = Ke$ . The ring  $A$  is clearly left and right artinian. Moreover,  $Ax = Kx$  is the only proper left ideal of  $A$ , so that every left ideal is an annihilator; in particular,  $A$  is right principally injective. But if  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p\}$  is a  $K$ -basis of  $K^p$ , then  $w_i x A$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , are  $p$  distinct minimal right ideals of  $A$  so  $A$  is not quasi-Frobenius. In particular,  $A$  is not left or right simple-injective by Proposition 2.

**Example 5** (Camillo [7, page 36]). Let  $R$  be the ring generated over the field of two elements by variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  where  $x_i^3 = 0 = x_i x_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and  $x_i^2 = x_j^2$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Then  $R$  is a commutative, local, semiprimary, principally injective ring, but  $R$  is not simple-injective by Proposition 2 because it is not artinian.

**Conjecture.** A left perfect, right simple-injective ring is right self-injective.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Ara, and J. K. Park, *On continuous semiprimary rings*, Comm. Alg. **19** (1991), 1945–1957. MR **92g**:16006
2. E. P. Armendariz and J. K. Park, *Selfinjective rings with restricted chain conditions*, Arch. Math. **58** (1992), 24–33. MR **92m**:16002
3. Y. Baba and K. Oshiro, *On a Theorem of Fuller*, J. Algebra, **154** (1993), 86–94. MR **94b**:16025
4. J. A. Beachy, *On quasi-artinian rings*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **3** (1971), 449–452. MR **44**:244
5. J.-E. Björk, *Rings satisfying certain chain conditions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **245** (1970), 63–73. MR **43**:3295
6. N. Bourbaki, “Algebra, Part I”, Hermann/Addison Wesley, 1974, p. 400. MR **50**:6689
7. V. Camillo, *Commutative rings whose principal ideals are annihilators*, Portugaliae Math. **46** (1) (1989), 33–37. MR **90e**:13003
8. J. Clark and D. V. Huynh, *A note on perfect selfinjective rings*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **45** (1994), 13–17. MR **95a**:16005
9. C. Faith, *When self-injective rings are QF: A report on a problem*, Centre Recerca Matemàtica Institut d’Estudis Catalans (Spain), 1990.
10. K. R. Fuller, *On indecomposable injectives over artinian rings*, Pacific J. Math. **29** (1969), 115–135. MR **40**:186
11. C. R. Hajarnavis and N. C. Norton, *On dual rings and their modules*, J. Algebra **93** (1985), 253–266. MR **86i**:16016
12. M. Harada, *On almost relative injective of finite length*, preprint.
13. L. S. Levy, *Commutative rings whose homomorphic images are self-injective*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 149–153. MR **33**:2663

14. W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, *Principally injective rings*, J. Algebra **174** (1995), 77–93. MR **96i**:16005
15. B. L. Osofsky, *A generalization of quasi-Frobenius rings*, J. Algebra **4** (1966), 373–389. MR **34**:4305; MR **36**:6443

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA T2N 1N4

*E-mail address:* `wknichol@acs.ucalgary.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, LIMA, OHIO 45804

*E-mail address:* `yousif.1@osu.edu`