

## ISOMORPHISMS OF ROW AND COLUMN FINITE MATRIX RINGS

J. HAEFNER, A. DEL RÍO, AND J. J. SIMÓN

(Communicated by Ken Goodearl)

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates the ring-theoretic similarities and the categorical dissimilarities between the ring  $RFM(R)$  of row finite matrices and the ring  $RCFM(R)$  of row and column finite matrices. For example, we prove that two rings  $R$  and  $S$  are Morita equivalent if and only if the rings  $RCFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(S)$  are isomorphic. This resembles the result of V. P. Camillo (1984) for  $RFM(R)$ . We also show that the Picard groups of  $RFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(R)$  are isomorphic, even though the rings  $RFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(R)$  are never Morita equivalent.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity, let  $RFM(R)$  be the ring of row-finite matrices over  $R$ , let  $RCFM(R)$  be the ring of row and column-finite matrices over  $R$ , let  $FC(R)$  be the ring of matrices with a finite number of nonzero columns, and let  $FM(R)$  be the ring of all matrices with only a finite number of nonzero entries. All matrices are considered countably indexed. The theme of this paper is that while the rings  $RFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(R)$  are categorically quite different, they share many ring-theoretic properties. For example, Camillo ([3]) has shown that rings  $R$  and  $S$  are Morita equivalent if and only if  $RFM(R)$  and  $RFM(S)$  are isomorphic. We prove

**Theorem A.** *Rings  $R$  and  $S$ , with identity, are Morita equivalent if and only if  $RCFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(S)$  are isomorphic.*

Similar to Camillo's proof, the key argument in the proof of Theorem A involves understanding the isomorphisms between  $RCFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(S)$ . Consequently, we also prove

**Proposition B.** *Every (ring) isomorphism between  $RCFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(S)$  restricts to an isomorphism between  $FM(R)$  and  $FM(S)$ .*

Proposition B is fundamental in the study of the Picard groups of  $RCFM(R)$  and  $R$  and, again, we find a ring-theoretic similarity between  $RCFM(R)$  and  $RFM(R)$ . For example, in [2], the authors show that the Picard group of  $R$  is isomorphic to the Picard group of  $RFM(R)$ . We prove

---

Received by the editors January 8, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16D30, 16S50, 16W20.

This paper was written while the first author was visiting the Universidad de Murcia with a grant from DGICYT (SAB 95-0215).

The second and third authors have been supported by DGICYT (PB-0300-C02-02).

**Theorem C.** *The Picard group of  $RFM(R)$  is isomorphic to the Picard group of  $RCFM(R)$ .*

To prove this theorem, we first show that an automorphism of  $RFM(R)$  is the product of an inner automorphism and an automorphism that restricts to an automorphism of  $FM(R)$ .

Finally, while the rings  $RFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(R)$  share ring-theoretic properties as seen in the above results, our last theorem shows that they are very different in a categorical sense. We prove

**Theorem D.** *There do not exist rings  $R$  and  $S$  (with identity) such that  $RFM(S)$  is Morita equivalent to  $RCFM(R)$ .*

So, for example, although the Picard groups of  $RFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(R)$  are isomorphic, the rings themselves are not Morita equivalent.

The main tool for all these applications appears in section 3, in which we prove that  $FM(R)$  is the largest 2-sided ideal in  $RCFM(R)$  satisfying some technical property. See Lemma 1. Section 4 is devoted towards proving our above-mentioned results.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. We will write the action of homomorphisms of left modules on the right.

For every  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $e_{ij} \in FM(R)$  is the basic matrix having  $1 \in R$  in the  $ij$ -place and zero in each other. We denote  $e_i = e_{ii}$ . For any finite subset  $X \subset \mathbf{N}$  we denote  $e_X = \sum_{x \in X} e_x$ . For any matrix  $\alpha$  and  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\alpha(i, j)$  denotes the  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $\alpha$ .

The following facts will be used without explicit mention.  $FC(R)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $RFM(R)$  and it is generated by  $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  as a left ideal.  $FM(R)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $RCFM(R)$  and it is generated by  $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  both as left ideal and as right ideal. Actually,  $RCFM(R)$  is the idealizer of  $FM(R)$  in  $RFM(R)$ .  $FM(R)$  is the right ideal of  $RFM(R)$  generated by  $\{e_i \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  (see[5]). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} FM(R) &= \bigcup_{\substack{X \subset \mathbf{N} \\ X \text{ finite}}} e_X RFM(R) \\ &= \bigcup_{\substack{X \subset \mathbf{N} \\ X \text{ finite}}} RCFM(R)e_X = \bigcup_{\substack{X \subset \mathbf{N} \\ X \text{ finite}}} e_X RCFM(R) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$FC(R) = \bigcup_{\substack{X \subset \mathbf{N} \\ X \text{ finite}}} RFM(R)e_X.$$

We observe that if  $f \in RFM(R)$  and  $fe_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $f = 0$  and the symmetric property also holds.

Finally,  $RFM(R)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{End}({}_R R^{(\mathbf{N})})$  and to  $\text{End}_{(FM(R))} FM(R)$  (by right multiplications).

## 3. THE FUNDAMENTAL LEMMA

To prove the results posed in the introduction, we first show that  $FM(R)$  is the largest two-sided ideal of  $RCFM(R)$  in a certain sense.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and suppose that there exists a family  $\{f_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbf{N}}$  of nonzero elements of  $RCFM(R)$  such that:*

1.  $f_{ij}f_{kl} = \delta_{jk}f_{il}$ , for every  $i, j, k, l \in \mathbf{N}$ .
2.  $J = \sum_{\mathbf{N}} RCFM(R)f_i$  is a two-sided ideal in  $RCFM(R)$  (where  $f_i = f_{ii}$ ).

Then  $J \subseteq FM(R)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $I = FM(R)$ . If  $f_{ij} \in I$  for some  $i, j$  then  $f_{kl} = f_{ki}f_{ij}f_{jl} \in I$ , for every  $k, l \in \mathbf{N}$  (because  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$ ) and hence  $J \subseteq I$ . Therefore one may assume that  $f_{ij} \notin I$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  set  $X_n = \{i \in \mathbf{N} \mid f_1e_i f_{1n} \neq 0\}$ . We claim that  $X_n \neq \emptyset$ . To see this, first note that  $f_1f_{1n} = f_{1n} \neq 0$  and so there is an  $i \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $0 \neq e_i f_1 \in I$ . Thus  $e_i f_1 = e_i f_1 e_X$ , for some finite subset  $X \subset \mathbf{N}$  and hence  $0 \neq e_i f_{1n} = e_i f_1 f_{1n} = e_i f_1 e_X f_{1n} = \sum_{x \in X} e_i f_1 e_x f_{1n}$  so that there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $f_1 e_x f_{1n} \neq 0$ .

Next we prove that  $X_n$  is an infinite set. Suppose  $X_n$  is finite. For every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $P_k = \{r \in \mathbf{N} \mid e_k f_1 e_r \neq 0\}$ . Then  $e_k f_{1n} = e_k f_1 e_{P_k} f_{1n} = e_k f_1 e_{P_k \cap X_n} f_{1n} = e_k f_1 e_{X_n} f_{1n}$ , for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and hence  $f_{1n} = f_1 e_{X_n} f_{1n} \in I$  which contradicts our assumption.

We recursively construct two sequences,  $(i_j)_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $(k_j)_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ , of natural numbers such that the first sequence consists of elements from  $X_n$ , while second one is strictly increasing. This will ultimately generate a contradiction to our assumption that  $f_{ij} \notin I$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $i_1$  be the first element of  $X_1$ ,  $Z_1 = \{r \in \mathbf{N} \mid e_r f_1 e_{i_1} \neq 0 \text{ or } e_{i_1} f_1 e_r \neq 0\}$  and  $k_1 = \max Z_1$ . For every  $n > 1$ , let

$$Y_n = \{r \in \mathbf{N} \mid e_m f_1 e_r \neq 0 \text{ or } e_r f_{1n} e_m \neq 0 \text{ for some } m \leq k_{n-1}\}.$$

It is clear that  $Y_n$  is a finite set. Now we define  $i_n$  to be the first element of  $X_n - Y_n$  and  $Z_n = \{r \in \mathbf{N} \mid e_r f_1 e_{i_n} \neq 0 \text{ or } e_{i_n} f_{1n} e_r \neq 0\}$ . Note that  $Z_n$  is a finite set and is not empty because  $i_n \in X_n$ . Further since  $i_n \notin Y_n$ ,  $r > k_{n-1}$  for every  $r \in Z_n$ . In particular  $k_n = \max Z_n > k_{n-1}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be the  $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$  matrix over  $R$  given by

$$\alpha(i, j) = \begin{cases} (f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n})(i, j) & \text{if } k_{n-1} < i, j \leq k_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbf{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously  $\alpha \in RCFM(R)$ . Let  $K_n = \{i \in \mathbf{N} \mid k_{n-1} < i \leq k_n\}$ . Note that  $e_{K_n} f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n} = f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n} e_{K_n} = f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n}$ , because if  $e_x f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n} \neq 0$  then  $x \in Z_n \subseteq K_n$  and similarly  $f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n} e_x \neq 0$  implies that  $x \in Z_n \subseteq K_n$ . Hence we have that  $e_{K_n} \alpha = \alpha e_{K_n} = f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Now we show two properties of  $\alpha$ . First, we assert that  $\alpha = f_1 \alpha$ . Indeed, if  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $j \in K_n$  for some  $n$ . Therefore  $\alpha e_j = \alpha e_{K_n} e_j = f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n} e_j = f_1 \cdot f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n} e_j = f_1 \alpha e_{K_n} e_j = f_1 \alpha e_j$ . We conclude that  $\alpha = f_1 \alpha$ .

Second, we assert that  $\alpha f_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . To see this, note that  $e_{K_n} \alpha f_n = f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n} f_n = f_1 e_{i_n} f_{1n} \neq 0$ . Thus  $\alpha f_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

But as  $\alpha \in RCFM(R)$ ,  $f_1 \alpha \in f_1 RCFM(R) \subseteq J$  because  $J$  is two-sided. Therefore  $f_1 \alpha = \sum_{j \in F} f_1 \alpha f_j$ , where  $F$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbf{N}$ , so that  $f_1 \alpha f_n = 0$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , which contradicts the second property of  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

It is obvious that condition 1 of Proposition 1 cannot be deleted. The following example shows that condition 2 of Proposition 1 is also not superfluous.

**Example 2.** There exist a ring  $R$ , a family  $\{f_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbf{N}} \subseteq RCFM(R)$  such that  $f_{ij}f_{kl} = \delta_{jk}f_{il}$  for every  $i, j, k, l \in \mathbf{N}$ , and a left ideal,  $J = \sum RCFM(R)f_i$ , that properly contains  $FM(R)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\beta : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^2$  be a bijection. This bijection induces an isomorphism of  $R$ -bimodules  $\beta : {}_R R_R^{(\mathbf{N})} \rightarrow {}_R (R^{(\mathbf{N})})_R^{(\mathbf{N})}$  which induces two ring isomorphisms  $\beta_l : \text{End}({}_R R^{(\mathbf{N})}) \rightarrow \text{End}({}_R (R^{(\mathbf{N})})^{(\mathbf{N})})$  and  $\beta_r : \text{End}(R_R^{(\mathbf{N})}) \rightarrow \text{End}((R^{(\mathbf{N})})_R^{(\mathbf{N})})$ . We recall that  $\text{End}({}_R (R^{(\mathbf{N})})^{(\mathbf{N})})$  is isomorphic to the ring  $RCM(RFM(R))$  of row-convergent matrices over  $RFM(R)$  and  $\text{End}((R^{(\mathbf{N})})_R^{(\mathbf{N})})$  is isomorphic to the ring  $CCM(CFM(R))$  of column convergent matrices over  $CFM(R)$  ([4, Theorem 106.1]). Now having in mind the nature of these isomorphisms, one can check they induce an isomorphism  $\sigma$  between  $RCFM(R)$  and  $RCCM(RCFM(R)) = RCM(RFM(R)) \cap CCM(CFM(R))$ . Further, one can check that  $\sigma(FM(R)) = FM(FM(R))$ .

Let  $K = RCCM(RCFM(R))$  and  $f'_{ij} \in K$  such that  $f'_{ij}$  has  $1 \in RCFM(R)$  in the  $ij$ -place and zero elsewhere. Let  $J = \sum Kf'_{ii}$ . Clearly,  $FM(FM(R))$  is contained properly in  $J$  and taking  $f_{ij} = \sigma^{-1}(f'_{ij})$  we have the desired family. We show explicitly that  $J$  is not a two-sided ideal. Take  $x \in K$  such that  $x$  has  $e_{1j} \in FM(R)$  in the  $1j$ -entry and zero elsewhere. Since  $J \subseteq RFM(RFM(R))$ ,  $x \notin J$ . But,  $f_{11}e_{1j} = e_{1j}$  for every  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ , and hence  $x = f_{11}x$ .  $\square$

#### 4. COMPARING $RFM(R)$ WITH $RCFM(R)$

In this section, we prove the results mentioned in the introduction. We begin with Theorem A and Proposition B. The key to the main result of [3] is, in essence, that an isomorphism  $\phi : RFM(R) \rightarrow RFM(S)$  satisfies  $\phi(FC(R)) = FC(S)$ , where  $FC$  is the ring of matrices with only finitely many non-zero columns. In our setting, with  $RCFM(R)$  taking the place of  $RFM(R)$ , this result translates into Proposition B from the Introduction.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be any two rings with identity.*

(a) *Every ring isomorphism  $\delta : RCFM(R) \rightarrow RCFM(S)$  satisfies*

$$\delta(FM(R)) = FM(S).$$

(b) *Every ring isomorphism  $\delta : RCFM(R) \rightarrow RCFM(S)$  extends, in a unique way, to an isomorphism  $\delta' : RFM(R) \rightarrow RFM(S)$ .*

(c) *Every ring isomorphism  $\sigma : RFM(R) \rightarrow RFM(S)$  such that*

$$\sigma(FM(R)) = FM(S)$$

*satisfies  $\sigma(RCFM(R)) = RCFM(S)$ .*

(d) *There is a group monomorphism*

$$\phi : \text{Aut}(RCFM(R)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(RFM(R))$$

*via  $\phi(\delta) = \delta'$  using (b) above. Moreover, the image of  $\phi$  is the subgroup of automorphisms of  $RFM(R)$  that restrict to automorphisms of  $FM(R)$ .*

*Proof.* (a) follows immediately from Lemma 1. Using the fact that  $RFM(R)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{End}_{(FM(R))} FM(R)$ , (b) is straightforward. We get (c) from the fact that  $RCFM(R)$  is the idealizer of  $FM(R)$  in  $RFM(R)$ . Finally, (d) follows from (a), (b), and (c).  $\square$

Now we can prove an analogue to Camillo's result for  $RCFM(R)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings with identity.  $R$  and  $S$  are Morita equivalent rings if and only if  $RCFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(S)$  are isomorphic rings.*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $R$  and  $S$  are Morita equivalent rings. Let  ${}_R P$  be a progenerator such that  $\text{End}({}_R P) \cong S$  as rings. By [1, Lemma 1.2] we have that there exists a ring isomorphism  $\alpha^* : RFM(R) \rightarrow RFM(\text{End}({}_R P))$  such that  $\alpha^*(FM(R)) = FM(\text{End}({}_R P))$ . Let  $\beta : RFM(\text{End}({}_R P)) \rightarrow RFM(S)$  be induced (coordinate-wise) by the isomorphism  $\text{End}({}_R P) \cong S$ , and let  $\delta = \beta \circ \alpha^*$ . Then it is clear that  $\delta(FM(R)) = FM(S)$ . Since  $RCFM(R)$  (resp.  $RCFM(S)$ ) is the idealizer of  $FM(R)$  (resp.  $FM(S)$ ),  $\delta(RCFM(R)) = RCFM(S)$ .

The converse follows from Proposition 3 together with [1, Theorem 2.5 (3 implies 1)].  $\square$

It is interesting to note that there are some rings between  $FM(R)$  and  $RCFM(R)$  which have automorphisms that do not restrict to automorphisms of  $FM(R)$ , as the next example shows.

**Example 5.** There exist rings with identity,  $R$  and  $S$ , such that  $FM(R) \subset S \subset RCFM(R)$  and an automorphism of  $S$ , say  $\delta$ , such that  $\delta(FM(R)) \neq FM(R)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be any ring with identity and let  $B = RCFM(RCFM(K))$ . As we saw in Example 2 there exists an injective ring homomorphism  $\beta : B \rightarrow RCFM(K)$ . Let  $T$  be the image of  $\beta$ , let  $R = K \times RCFM(K)$ , and let  $S = T \times B$ . After identifying  $RCFM(R)$  with  $RCFM(K) \times B$ , it is clear that  $FM(R) \subset S \subset RCFM(R)$  and that  $\delta : S \rightarrow S$  via  $\delta(x, y) = (\beta(y), \beta^{-1}(x))$  is an automorphism of  $S$ . But a straightforward calculation shows that  $\delta(FM(R)) \neq FM(R)$ .  $\square$

The ring  $RFM(R)$  is another ring for which there are automorphisms of  $RFM(R)$  that do not restrict to automorphisms of  $FM(R)$ ; see [1]. Nonetheless, we show that these pathological automorphisms are “controlled” by the inner automorphisms of  $RFM(R)$ . In particular, we show that every automorphism of  $RFM(R)$  is a product of an inner automorphism and an automorphism that restricts to an automorphism of  $FM(R)$ .

**Proposition 6.** *For every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(RFM(R))$ , there exists  $\tau \in \text{Inn}(RFM(R))$  such that  $\sigma\tau(FM(R)) = FM(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(RFM(R))$ . Then  $P = R^{(\mathbf{N})}\sigma(e_1)$  is a progenerator as left  $R$ -module such that  $\text{End}({}_R P)$  is isomorphic to  $R$  [3]. Specifically, the isomorphism  $\tau : R \rightarrow \text{End}({}_R P)$  is given by  $(p)\tau(r) = p\sigma(e_1)D(r)$  where  $D(r)$  denotes the scalar matrix defined by  $r$ . We consider  $P$  as an  $R$ -bimodule using this isomorphism; explicitly,  $r \cdot p \cdot s = rp\tau(s)$  ( $r, s \in R, p \in P$ ). Define  $\tau^* : RFM(R) \rightarrow RFM(\text{End}(P))$  via a coordinate-wise application of  $\tau$ .

The map  $f : P^{(\mathbf{N})} \rightarrow R^{(\mathbf{N})}$  given by  $((p_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}})f = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} p_i \sigma(e_{1i})$  is an isomorphism whose inverse is given by  $((r_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}})f^{-1} = (r_i \sigma(e_{1i}))_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ .

We identify  $RFM(R)$  with  $\text{End}(R^{(\mathbf{N})})$  and  $RFM(\text{End}(P))$  with  $\text{End}(P^{(\mathbf{N})})$  canonically. For every  $x \in RFM(R)$ , the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{(\mathbf{N})} & \xrightarrow{\sigma(x)} & R^{(\mathbf{N})} \\ f \uparrow & & \uparrow f \\ P^{(\mathbf{N})} & \xrightarrow{\tau^*(x)} & P^{(\mathbf{N})} \end{array}$$

To see this, observe

$$\begin{aligned}
 (p)\tau^*(x)f &= ((\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} p_i \tau(x_{ij}))_{j \in \mathbf{N}})f \\
 &= ((\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} p_i \sigma(e_1 D(x_{ij})))_{j \in \mathbf{N}})f \\
 &= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} p_i \sigma(e_1 D(x_{ij}) e_{1j}) \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} p_i \sigma(e_1 \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} D(x_{ij}) e_{1j}) \\
 &= \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} p_i \sigma(e_{1i} x) \\
 &= (p)f\sigma(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\alpha : P^{(\mathbf{N})} \rightarrow R^{(\mathbf{N})}$  be the isomorphism mentioned in [1], which induces an isomorphism  $\alpha^* : RFM(\text{End}(P)) = \text{End}(P^{(\mathbf{N})}) \rightarrow \text{End}(R^{(\mathbf{N})}) = RFM(R)$  such that  $\alpha^*(FM(\text{End}(P))) = FM(R)$ . More concretely,  $\alpha^*$  is characterized by the property that, for every  $y \in \text{End}(P^{(\mathbf{N})})$ , the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P^{(\mathbf{N})} & \xrightarrow{y} & P^{(\mathbf{N})} \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 R^{(\mathbf{N})} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*(y)} & R^{(\mathbf{N})}
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, the following diagram is commutative, for every  $x \in RFM(R)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R^{(\mathbf{N})} & \xrightarrow{\sigma(x)} & R^{(\mathbf{N})} \\
 f \uparrow & & \uparrow f \\
 P^{(\mathbf{N})} & \xrightarrow{\tau^*(x)} & P^{(\mathbf{N})} \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 R^{(\mathbf{N})} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^* \tau^*(x)} & R^{(\mathbf{N})}
 \end{array}$$

It follows that  $\sigma^{-1}\alpha^*\tau^*$  is the inner automorphism of  $RFM(R)$  induced by  $\alpha^{-1}f$  and  $\alpha^*\tau^*(FM(R)) = FM(R)$ . □

Recall that the Picard group of a ring  $T$  is the multiplicative group consisting of the bimodule isomorphism classes of invertible  $T$ -bimodules. We now prove Theorem C from the Introduction.

**Theorem 7.** *For every ring  $R$ ,*

$$\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \text{Pic}(RFM(R)) \simeq \text{Pic}(FM(R)) \simeq \text{Pic}(RCFM(R)).$$

*Proof.* It has been shown in [2] that  $\text{Pic}(R) \simeq \text{Pic}(RFM(R)) \simeq \text{Pic}(FM(R))$ . On the other hand, both  $RFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(R)$  have the SBN property, so that  $\text{Pic}(RCFM(R)) = \text{Out}(RCFM(R))$  and  $\text{Pic}(RFM(R)) = \text{Out}(RFM(R))$ ; see [2]. Thus, it suffices to show that  $\text{Out}(RCFM(R)) \simeq \text{Out}(RFM(R))$ .

From Proposition 3, there is a group monomorphism  $\phi : \text{Aut}(RCFM(R)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(RFM(R))$  such that the image of  $\phi$  is the subgroup of automorphisms of  $RFM(R)$  that restrict to automorphisms of  $FM(R)$ . In particular,  $\phi(\delta) = \delta'$  using (b) of Proposition 3. We claim that

$$\phi(\text{Inn}(RCFM(R))) = \text{Inn}(RFM(R)) \cap \text{Im}(\phi).$$

It is clear that  $\phi(\text{Inn}(RCFM(R))) \subseteq \text{Inn}(RFM(R))$ . For the opposite inclusion, note that if  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(RCFM(R))$  such that  $\phi(\sigma) \in \text{Inn}(RFM(R))$ , then there exists a unit  $u \in RFM(R)$  for which  $uFM(R) = FM(R)u$ . In particular, for each  $i$ ,  $u \cdot e_{ii} \in FM(R)$  so that  $e_{jj} \cdot u \cdot e_{ii} = 0$  for almost all values of  $j$ . Hence,

$u \in RCFM(R)$  and so  $\sigma \in \text{Inn}(RCFM(R))$ . This completes our claim. Therefore,  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism between  $\text{Out}(RCFM(R))$  and

$$\frac{\text{Im}(\phi) \cdot \text{Inn}(RFM(R))}{\text{Inn}(RFM(R))}.$$

By Proposition 6, the above quotient module is isomorphic to  $\text{Out}(RFM(R))$ .  $\square$

While the previous results show that the rings  $RFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(S)$  share many ring-theoretical properties, they are quite different categorically. We conclude this paper with our proof of Theorem D.

**Theorem 8.** *For any two rings with identity,  $R$  and  $S$  the rings  $RFM(R)$  and  $RCFM(S)$  cannot be Morita equivalent. In particular, they are not isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $E = RFM(R)$ ,  $B = RCFM(S)$ ,  $I = FC(R)$ , and  $J = FM(S)$ .

Assume that  $E$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent rings. Then, by [6] we have that there exists a natural number  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $E$  and  $\mathbf{M}_n(B)$  are isomorphic rings. But  $B$  and  $\mathbf{M}_n(B)$  are isomorphic. Indeed, the map  $\alpha : B \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_n(B)$  given by  $\alpha(X)(i, j)(a, b) = X(n(a-1) + i, n(b-1) + j)$  ( $X \in B$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbf{N}$ ) is a ring isomorphism.

Let  $\delta : E \rightarrow B$  be a ring isomorphism, let  $\{e_{ij}\}_{ij \in \mathbf{N}}$  and  $\{f_{ij}\}_{ij \in \mathbf{N}}$  be the basic matrices of  $E$  and  $B$ , respectively, and let  $e'_{ij} = \delta(e_{ij})$  and  $f'_{ij} = \delta^{-1}(f_{ij})$ .

We show that  $I = \delta^{-1}(J)$ . Since  $I = \sum_{\mathbf{N}} Ee_i$  is a two-sided ideal of  $E$ , we have that  $\delta(I) = \sum_{\mathbf{N}} Be'_i$  is a two-sided ideal of  $B$  and the family  $\{e'_{ij}\}$  verifies the conditions of Lemma 1. We conclude that  $\delta(I) \subseteq J$  and so  $I \subseteq \delta^{-1}(J)$ . Consequently,  $I = \oplus If'_i$ .

Now we use analogous ideas to those found in [3]. Let  $\alpha : If'_1 \rightarrow \sum_{\mathbf{N}} If'_i = I$  be any  $E$ -homomorphism. Then there exists  $\bar{\alpha} : I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\alpha = \bar{\alpha} \circ f'_1$ . By [5],  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the right multiplication by some  $a \in E$ . It is clear that  $a \in f'_1 J$  and hence  $\delta(a) \in f_1 B$ . Therefore,  $\delta(a) = \delta(a) \sum_{\text{finite}} f_j$  and hence  $a = a \sum_{\text{finite}} f'_j$ . Thus  $\alpha(If'_1) \subseteq \bigoplus \sum_{\text{finite}} If'_i$ . Since  ${}_E If'_1 \simeq {}_E If'_i$ , for every  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , we use [3] to conclude that  ${}_E If'_1$  must be finitely generated. Let  $x_1 f'_1, \dots, x_n f'_1$  be a family of generators of  ${}_E If'_1$  with  $x_i \in I$ . Then  $If'_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n Ex_i f'_1$ , and hence there is a finite subset  $F$  of  $\mathbf{N}$ , such that  $If'_1 \subseteq Ee_F$ . This implies that  $e_i f'_1 = e_i f'_1 e_F$  for every  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ , and hence  $f'_1 = f'_1 e_F \in I$ . Thus  $f'_i = f'_{i1} f'_1 f'_{1i} \in I$  for every  $i \in \mathbf{N}$  and we conclude that  $\delta^{-1}(J) \subseteq I$ .

To finish the proof, let  $x \in RFM(S) - B$ , and let  $\rho_x$  denote right multiplication by  $x$ . We have the homomorphism  $\delta(\rho_x)\delta^{-1} : {}_B I \rightarrow {}_B I$ , and there exists  $y \in RFM(R)$  such that  $\delta(\rho_x)\delta^{-1} = \rho_y$ . For every  $a \in I$ ,  $a(y)\delta = ((a)\delta^{-1}y)\delta = ax$ . Therefore,  $\delta(y) = x$  contradicting the fact that  $x \notin B$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.D. Abrams, Infinite matrix types which determine Morita equivalence. Arch. Math. ( **46**), 1986, 33-37. MR **87f**:16015
- [2] G. Abrams and J. Haefner, Picard groups and infinite matrix rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [3] V.P. Camillo, Morita equivalence and infinite matrix rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (2)( **90**), 1984, 186-188. MR **85a**:16045
- [4] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*, Vol. II, Acad. Press, New York, 1973. MR **50**:2362

- [5] J.L. García, The Characterization problem for endomorphism rings. J. Austral Math. Soc. (Series A) ( **50**) 1991, 116-137. MR **92a**:16035
- [6] J. J. Simón, Morita equivalent row finite matrix rings are isomorphic, J. Algebra, ( **173**) 1995, 390-393. MR **96d**:16012

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO  
80933

*E-mail address:* `haefner@math.uccs.edu`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30071, MURCIA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `adelrio@fcu.um.es`

*E-mail address:* `jsimon@fcu.um.es`