

WEAK TYPE BOUNDS FOR A CLASS OF ROUGH OPERATORS WITH POWER WEIGHTS

YONG DING

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. In this note we show that $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ and $M_{\Omega,\alpha}$, the fractional integral and maximal operators with rough kernel respectively, are bounded operators from $L^1(|x|^{\beta(n-\alpha)/n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{n/(n-\alpha),\infty}(|x|^\beta, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $0 < \alpha < n$ and $-1 < \beta < 0$.

§1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that $0 < \alpha < n$, and $\Omega \in L^s(S^{n-1})$ ($s \geq 1$), where S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, Ω is homogeneous of degree zero. We define the fractional maximal operator by

$$M_{\Omega,\alpha}f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^{n-\alpha}} \int_{|x-y|<r} |\Omega(x-y)||f(y)| dy$$

and the fractional integral operator by

$$T_{\Omega,\alpha}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} f(y) dy.$$

In 1971, B.Muckenhoupt and R.Wheeden [2] studied the weighted norm inequalities for $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ with power weight. On the other hand, in 1993, S.Chanillo, D.Watson and R.Wheeden [1] proved that $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ is of weak type $(1, n/(n-\alpha))$ under the restriction of $s \geq n/(n-\alpha)$. In this note, we use the idea in [3] to extend the result about weighted weak type $(1, n/(n-\alpha))$ for $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ and $M_{\Omega,\alpha}$ to power weights.

Let us now formulate our results as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $-1 < \beta < 0$, $n/(n-\alpha) \leq s \leq \infty$ and $\Omega \in L^s(S^{n-1})$. Then $T_{\Omega,\alpha}$ is a bounded operator from $L^1(|x|^{\beta(n-\alpha)/n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{n/(n-\alpha),\infty}(|x|^\beta, \mathbb{R}^n)$. That is, for any $\lambda > 0$ and any $f \in L^1(|x|^{\beta(n-\alpha)/n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\int_{\{x:|T_{\Omega,\alpha}f(x)|>\lambda\}} |x|^\beta dx \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)||x|^{\beta(n-\alpha)/n} dx \right)^{n/(n-\alpha)},$$

where C is independent of λ and f .

Received by the editors January 24, 1996 and, in revised form, May 3, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B20.

Key words and phrases. Fractional integral and maximal operators, power weights.

The author was supported by NSF of Jiangxi in China.

Theorem 2. Let $0 < \alpha < n$, $-1 < \beta < 0$, $n/(n - \alpha) \leq s \leq \infty$ and $\Omega \in L^s(S^{n-1})$. Then $M_{\Omega,\alpha}$ is a bounded operator from $L^1(|x|^{\beta(n-\alpha)/n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{n/(n-\alpha),\infty}(|x|^\beta, \mathbb{R}^n)$. That is, for any $\lambda > 0$ and any $f \in L^1(|x|^{\beta(n-\alpha)/n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\{x: |M_{\Omega,\alpha} f(x)| > \lambda\}} |x|^\beta dx \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| |x|^{\beta(n-\alpha)/n} dx \right)^{n/(n-\alpha)},$$

where C is independent of λ and f .

§2. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Let us begin by giving some lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $q > 1$ and T be a sublinear operator satisfying for each $a > 0$ the estimate

(2.1)
$$\left| \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \leq |x| \leq a : |T(f\chi_{\{|x|>2a\}})(x)| > \lambda \right\} \right| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{|y|>2a} |f(y)| \left(\frac{a}{|y|}\right)^{1/q} dy \right)^q.$$

Then, if T is of weak type $(1, q)$, it is also of weak type $(L^1(|x|^{\beta/q}), L^{q,\infty}(|x|^\beta))$ for $-1 < \beta < 0$.

Proof. Given f , we now define, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f_{k,0} = f\chi_{\{|x| \leq 2^{k+1}\}}$ and $f_{k,1} = f - f_{k,0}$. Then we can write, as usual,

$$|Tf(x)| \leq \sum_k |Tf_{k,0}| \chi_{I_k} + \sum_k |Tf_{k,1}| \chi_{I_k} = T_0 f(x) + T_1 f(x),$$

where $I_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{k-1} \leq |x| < 2^k\}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If we call $\omega_\beta(x) = |x|^\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\beta \{x : T_0 f > \lambda\} &= \int_{\{x: T_0 f > \lambda\}} \omega_\beta(x) dx \\ &\leq C \sum_k \omega_\beta(2^k) |\{x \in I_k : T_0 f_{k,0} > \lambda\}| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_k \omega_\beta(2^k) \left(\int_{|y| \leq 2^{k+1}} |f(y)| dy \right)^q \\ &= \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_k \omega_\beta(2^k) \left(\sum_{j \leq k+1} \int_{I_j} |f(y)| dy \right)^q \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \sum_j \left[\sum_{k \geq j-1} \left(\int_{I_j} |f(y)| dy \right)^q \omega_\beta(2^k) \right]^{1/q} \right\}^q \\ &= \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \sum_j \int_{I_j} |f(y)| dy \left[\sum_{k \geq j-1} \omega_\beta(2^k) \right]^{1/q} \right\}^q \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \sum_j \int_{I_j} |f(y)| \omega_\beta(2^j)^{1/q} dy \right\}^q \leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega_\beta(y)^{1/q} dy \right\}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that T is a weak type $(1, q)$ bounded operator and $\beta < 0$. In order to estimate T_1 , we make use of (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega_\beta\{x : T_1 f > \lambda\} &= \int_{\{x : T_1 f > \lambda\}} \omega_\beta(x) dx \\
 &\leq C \sum_k \omega_\beta(2^k) |\{x \in I_k : T_1 f_{k,1} > \lambda\}| \\
 &= \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_k \omega_\beta(2^k) \left(\int_{|y| > 2^{k+1}} |f(y)| \left(\frac{2^k}{|y|}\right)^{1/q} dy \right)^q \\
 &= \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_k \omega_\beta(2^k) 2^k \left(\sum_{j \geq k} \int_{I_j} |f(y)| \left(\frac{1}{|y|}\right)^{1/q} dy \right)^q \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \sum_j \left[\sum_{k \leq j} \omega_\beta(2^k) 2^k \left(\int_{I_j} |f(y)| \left(\frac{1}{|y|}\right)^{1/q} dy \right)^q \right]^{1/q} \right\}^q \\
 &= \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \sum_j \int_{I_j} |f(y)| \left(\frac{1}{|y|}\right)^{1/q} dy \left[\sum_{k \leq j} \omega_\beta(2^k) 2^k \right]^{1/q} \right\}^q \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \sum_j \int_{I_j} |f(y)| dy \frac{1}{2^{j/q}} \omega_\beta(2^j)^{1/q} 2^{j/q} \right\}^q \\
 &\leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \omega_\beta(y)^{1/q} dy \right\}^q,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\beta > -1$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 1. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $0 < \alpha < n, \Omega \in L^s(S^{n-1})$ and $s \geq 1$. Then there is a $C > 0$ depending only on n and α , such that*

$$(2.2) \quad M_{\Omega, \alpha} f(x) \leq C T_{|\Omega|, \alpha}(|f|)(x).$$

Proof. Fix $r > 0$; then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{|\Omega|, \alpha}(|f|)(x) &\geq \int_{|x-y| < r} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} |f(y)| dy \\
 (2.3) \quad &\geq \frac{1}{r^{n-\alpha}} \int_{|x-y| < r} |\Omega(x-y)| |f(y)| dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum for $r > 0$ on two sides of (2.3), we get

$$T_{|\Omega|, \alpha}(|f|)(x) \geq \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{r^{n-\alpha}} \int_{|x-y| < r} |\Omega(x-y)| |f(y)| dy.$$

This is (2.2). \square

Now, let us turn to the proof of the theorems. In the first we consider Theorem 1. Since $T_{\Omega, \alpha}$ is weak type $(1, n/(n-\alpha))$, by Lemma 1 we only need to show that

$T_{\Omega, \alpha}$ satisfies (2.1) for $q = n/(n - \alpha)$ and any $a > 0$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \leq |x| \leq a : |T_{\Omega, \alpha}(f\chi_{\{|x|>2a\}})(x)| > \lambda \right\} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \int_{|x| \leq a} |T_{\Omega, \alpha}(f\chi_{\{|x|>2a\}})(x)|^q dx \\
& = \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \int_{|x| \leq a} \left| \int_{|y|>2a} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} f(y) dy \right|^q dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \int_{|y|>2a} |f(y)| \left(\int_{|x| \leq a} \frac{|\Omega(x-y)|^q}{|x-y|^{(n-\alpha)q}} dx \right)^{1/q} dy \right\}^q \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \int_{|y|>2a} |f(y)| \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq a} \frac{|\Omega(x)|^q}{|x|^{(n-\alpha)q}} dx \right)^{1/q} dy \right\}^q \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \int_{|y|>2a} |f(y)| \frac{1}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq a} |\Omega(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} dy \right\}^q \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \int_{|y|>2a} |f(y)| \frac{1}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\int_{|y|-a}^{|y|+a} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\theta)|^q d\theta r^{n-1} dr \right)^{1/q} dy \right\}^q \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left\{ \int_{|y|>2a} |f(y)| \frac{1}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \|\Omega\|_q (a|y|^{n-1})^{1/q} dy \right\}^q \\
& = C \|\Omega\|_q^q \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{|y|>2a} |f(y)| \left(\frac{a}{|y|} \right)^{1/q} dy \right\}^q,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|\Omega\|_q^q = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\theta)|^q d\theta$. Thus, the conclusion of Theorem 1 immediately follows from Lemma 1.

It is easy to see that the conclusion of Theorem 2 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1 and Lemma 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for his very valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. S. Chanillo, D. Watson and R. L. Wheeden, *Some integral and maximal operator related to starlike sets*, *Studia Math.* **107** (1993), 223–255. MR **94j**:42027
2. B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for singular and fractional integrals*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **161** (1971), 249–258. MR **44**:3155
3. F. Soria and G. Weiss, *A remark on singular integrals and power weights*, *Indiana Univ. Math. Jour.* **43** (1994), 187–204. MR **95g**:42028

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANCHANG VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL TEACHER'S COLLEGE, NANCHANG, JIANGXI, 330013, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Current address: No. 35, Xianshi One Road, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330006, People's Republic of China