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Abstract. The equation (1) \((r(x)y'(x))' = q(x)y(x)\) is regarded as a perturbation of (2) \((r(x)z'(x))' = q_1(x)z(x)\), where the latter is nonoscillatory at infinity. The functions \(r(x), q_1(x)\) are assumed to be continuous real-valued, \(r(x) > 0\), whereas \(q(x)\) is continuous complex-valued. A problem of Hartman and Wintner regarding the asymptotic integration of (1) for large \(x\) by means of solutions of (2) is studied. A new statement of this problem is proposed, which is equivalent to the original one if \(q(x)\) is real-valued. In the general case of \(q(x)\) being complex-valued a criterion for the solvability of the Hartman-Wintner problem in the new formulation is obtained. The result improves upon the related theorems of Hartman and Wintner, Trench, Šimša and some results of Chen.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider differential equations

\[(1.1) \quad (r(x)y'(x))' = q(x)y(x), \quad x \in R_+,
\]

\[(1.2) \quad (r(x)z'(x))' = q_1(x)z(x), \quad x \in R_+,
\]

where the functions \(q(x), q_1(x), r(x)\) are continuous, \(r(x) > 0\), \(q_1(x)\) is real, and \(q(x)\), generally speaking, is a complex-valued function for \(x \in R_+\). We assume that (1.2) does not oscillate at infinity. It is known [3] that, in this case, there exist solutions of (1.2) \(u_1(x)\) (the principal solution) and \(v_1(x)\) (the non-principal solution) and a point \(x_0 \in R_+\) such that \(u_1(x) > 0, v_1(x) > 0\) for \(x \geq x_0\), and the following relations are satisfied:

\[(1.3) \quad r(x) \cdot [v_1'(x) u_1(x) - u_1'(x) v_1(x)] = 1, \quad x \geq x_0,
\]

\[(1.4) \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{u_1(x)}{v_1(x)} = 0, \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)u_1^2(t)} = \infty, \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} < \infty.
\]

In recent years, the following problem has been actively investigated: find conditions under which there exists a fundamental system of solutions (FSS) \(\{u(x), v(x)\}\)
of equation (1.1) for which:

\begin{align}
(1.5) \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{u(x)}{u_1(x)} &= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{v(x)}{v_1(x)} = 1, \\
(1.6) \quad r(x) \left[ \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{u_1'(x)}{u_1(x)} \right] &= o \left( \frac{1}{u_1(x)v_1(x)} \right), \quad x \to \infty, \\
(1.7) \quad r(x) \left[ \frac{v'(x)}{v(x)} - \frac{v_1'(x)}{v_1(x)} \right] &= o \left( \frac{1}{u_1(x)v_1(x)} \right), \quad x \to \infty.
\end{align}

P. Hartman and A. Wintner [3], [4] were the first to study the problem and, hence, we name it after them (and denote it as problem (1.5) – (1.7)). We will say that the Hartman-Wintner problem is solvable if (1.1) has FSS (1.8).

The study, started in [3], [4], was continued in [1], [5], [7]. In particular, in [5], [7] sufficient conditions for solvability of (1.5) – (1.7) were found that were essentially more subtle than those in [3], [4]. In [1] a solvability criterion for (1.5) – (1.7) was established for (1.1) with a real function \( q(x) \). Below we give statements of the cited works only for problem (1.5) – (1.7). (In [1], [5], [7] some other problems similar to (1.5) – (1.7) were also studied.) First let us introduce the following assumptions and notations:

Let \( f(x) \) be a continuous function on \([x_0, \infty)\), and

\[ F(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} f(t)dt, \quad x \geq x_0. \]

We shall write \( F(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) if \( F(x) \) converges, at least conditionally. Denote

\[ I(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} \Delta q(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)dt, \quad C(x) = \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_{x_0}^{x} \Delta q(t)u_1^2(t)dt \]

where \( x \geq x_0, \Delta q(x) = q(x) - q_1(x) \). Let us mention an important statement:

**Proposition 1** ([7]). If \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), then \( C(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) and

\[ |C(x)| \leq 2A(x), \quad A(x) = \sup_{t \geq x} |I(t)|, \quad x \geq x_0. \]

The summary of results from [1], [3], [4], [5], [7] on the solvability of (1.5) – (1.7) is found in

**Theorem 1.** (A) Problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable if any of the following conditions holds:

1) Integral \( I(x) \) converges absolutely \([3], [4]\).

\[ \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \Delta q(t)u_1^2(t)dt \in \mathcal{L}(x_0), \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)u_1^2(t)} \left( \sup_{\xi \geq t} \left\| \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \Delta q(s)u_1^2(s)ds \right\| \right) dt < \infty \quad [3], [4]. \]

2) \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0), \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{A(t)|I(t)|dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} < \infty \quad [5]. \)

3) \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0), \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{A(t)|C(t)|dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} < \infty, \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{A(x)} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{A(t)|C(t)|dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} < \mu; \) (the statement for \( \mu < 3^{-1} \) was obtained in [7] and for \( \mu < 1 \) in [5]).
(B) Let \( q(x) \) be a real function, \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \). Problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable if and only if
\[
\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{C(t)^2 dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} < \infty \quad [1].
\]

Let us note that in [1], instead of \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) weaker requirements were considered as well. In [1, Lemma 3.3] it is shown that the conditions used in part (B) of Theorem 1 are weaker than those used in parts (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4) of Theorem 1, provided that \( q(x) \) is real-valued. Therefore, the purpose of our study is to extend condition (1.10) of the solvability of (1.5) – (1.7) to the case of (1.1) with a complex-valued function \( q(x) \), so that the extension would cover case (A) of Theorem 1.

To this end, we find conditions (see Theorem 2 below) under which (1.1) has FSS \( \{u(x), v(x)\} \) such that relations (1.5) – (1.7) are satisfied, and, as well,
\[
\int_{x_0}^{\infty} r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t) \left| \frac{u'(t)}{u(t)} - \frac{u_1'(t)}{u_1(t)} \right|^2 dt < \infty.
\]

We call such a problem, in distinction from (1.5) – (1.7), the narrow Hartman-Wintner problem, and denote it by (1.5) – (1.\#). Let us note from the start that problem (1.5) – (1.\#) has substantial content. In particular, for (1.1) with a real function \( q(x) \), problems (1.5) – (1.7) and (1.5) – (1.\#) are equivalent if, for example, \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) (see section 2, Corollary 2.3 to Lemma 2.1). In a general case of (1.1) with a complex function \( q(x) \), solution of problem (1.5) – (1.\#) allows the weakening of conditions (A) of Theorem 1.

To state the results (Theorem 2 and Corollaries) let us introduce the functions on \( [x_0, \infty) \):
\[
J(x) = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Re}(I(t)\overline{C(t)})}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} dt, \tag{1.1}
\]
\[
P(x) = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \text{Re}(\Delta q(t)\overline{C(t)}) u_1(t)v_1(t) dt, \tag{1.11}
\]
\[
G(x) = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{|C(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)},
\]
where \( J(x) \) and \( P(x) \) may converge conditionally. Let us mention

**Proposition 2** (See section 3, Lemma 3.1). If \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), then all integrals (1.11) converge and diverge simultaneously.

Our main result is contained in

**Theorem 2.** Problem (1.5) – (1.\#) is solvable if and only if \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) and any of the integrals (1.11) converges.

**Corollary.** Let \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \). Then problem (1.5) – (1.\#) is solvable if at least one of the conditions (I) – (III) is fulfilled:

- (I) \( \int_{x_0}^{\infty} |\Delta q(t)C(t)| u_1(t)v_1(t) dt < \infty; \)
- (II) \( \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{|I(t)C(t)|}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} dt < \infty; \)
(III) \[ \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{|I(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} dt < \infty. \]

In particular, using Proposition 1 we obtain that condition (I) strengthens Theorem 1 in part (A1), while condition (II) implies Theorem 1 in parts (A3), (A4) and (A2) [in 7, p. 427], it is proved that assumptions (A2) of Theorem 1 imply \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \). Finally, Theorem 2 itself extends part (B) of Theorem 1 to the case of complex-valued \( q(x) \).

The following theorem establishes a relationship between problems (1.5) – (1.7) and (1.5) – (1.\#), and contains a necessary condition of solvability of (1.5) – (1.7).

**Theorem 3.** If problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable, then \( C(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) and \( C(x) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( x \rightarrow \infty \). Moreover, condition (1.\#) follows from (1.5) – (1.7) if and only if \( G(x_0) < \infty \).

Thus, the class of equations (1.1) for which problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable, but problem (1.5) – (1.\#) is not solvable, is not wider than the class of equations (1.1) for which \( C(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), \( C(x) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( x \rightarrow \infty \) and \( G(x_0) = \infty \).

2. **Problems equivalent to the Hartman-Wintner problem**

While studying problems (1.5) – (1.7) and (1.5) – (1.\#), we have as a basis the following statements:

**Lemma 2.1.** Problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable if and only if the differential equation
\begin{equation}
(r(x)u_1^2(x)\beta'(x))' = \Delta q(x)u_1^2(x)\beta(x),
\end{equation}
has a solution \( \beta(x) \) such that
\begin{equation}
\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \beta(x) = 1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\beta'(x) = 0.
\end{equation}

*Proof. Necessity.* Let problem (1.5) – (1.7) be fulfilled for FSS \( \{u(x), v(x)\} \) and \( \{u_1(x), v_1(x)\} \) of equations (1.1) and (1.2). Denote \( \beta(x) = u(x) \cdot u_1(x)^{-1}, \ x \geq x_0 \). According to (1.5), the first equality in (2.2) is fulfilled, and (1.5) – (1.6) imply the second one:
\begin{align*}
\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\beta'(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} r(x)\frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}[u'(x)u_1(x) - u'(x)u(x)] \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} r(x)v_1(x)u(x)\left[\frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{u'(x)}{u_1(x)}\right] = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \beta(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\delta \left(\frac{1}{u_1(x)v_1(x)}\right) = 0.
\end{align*}

Furthermore, it follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that
\begin{align*}
\Delta q(x)u_1^2(x)\beta(x) &= \Delta q(x)u(x)u_1(x) = (r(x)u'(x))'u_1(x) - (r(x)u'(x))'u(x) \\
&= [r(x)u'(x)u_1(x) - r(x)u'(x)u(x)]' = [r(x)u_1^2(x)\left(\frac{u(x)}{u_1(x)}\right)']' \\
&= (r(x)u_1^2(x)\beta'(x))'.
\end{align*}

* Sufficiency. Let (2.1) – (2.2) have a solution \( \beta(x) \). According to (2.2) there exists \( x_1 \geq x_0 \) such that \( |\beta(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0 \) for \( x \geq x_1 \). Let us set \( u(x) = \beta(x)u_1(x), \ x \geq x_1 \), and check that \( u(x) \) is a solution of (1.1). Indeed, in new notations, (2.1) takes on the form:
\begin{equation}
[r(x)u'(x)u_1(x) - r(x)u'(x)u(x)]' = \Delta q(x)u(x)u_1(x), \ x \geq x_1.
\end{equation}
Since
\[ r(x)u'(x) = r(x)[\beta'(x)u_1(x) + u'_1(x)\beta(x)] = \frac{r(x)u'_1(x)\beta(x)}{u_1(x)} + (r(x)u'_1(x))\beta(x),\] then \( r(x)u'(x) \) has the derivative at \( x \geq x_1 \). Hence,
\[
(r(x)u'(x))'u_1(x) - (r(x)u'_1(x))'u(x) = (q(x) - q_1(x))u(x)u_1(x), \quad x \geq x_1.
\]
The latter equality and (1.2) imply that \( u(x) \) is a solution of (1.1). Let
\[
(2.4) \quad v(x) = u(x) \int_{x_1}^{x} \frac{dt}{r(t)u^2(t)}, \quad x \geq x_1.
\]
A direct calculation shows that \( v(x) \) is also a solution of (1.1) and
\[
(2.5) \quad r(x) \cdot \left| v'(x)u(x) - u'(x)v(x) \right| = 1, \quad x \geq x_1.
\]
Therefore, \( \{u(x), v(x)\} \) is an FSS of (1.1). It follows from (1.3) that
\[
(2.6) \quad v_1(x) = c_1u_1(x) + u_1(x) \int_{x_1}^{x} \frac{dt}{r(t)u^2(t)}, \quad c_1 = \frac{v_1(x_1)}{u_1(x_1)}, \quad x \geq x_1 \geq x_0.
\]
Using the definition of \( u(x) \), (2.2) and (1.4), we find
\[
(2.7) \quad \int_{x_1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)u^2(t)} = \infty.
\]
(2.2), (2.4), (1.4), (2.7) and the l’Hospital rule imply (1.5) for \( v(x) \):
\[
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{v(x)}{v_1(x)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{u(x)}{u_1(x)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\int_{x_1}^{x} \frac{dt}{r(t)u^2(t)}}{\int_{x_1}^{x} \frac{dt}{r(t)u_1^2(t)}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \beta(x) \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\beta^2(x)} = 1.
\]
Let us check (1.6). It follows from the definition of \( u(x) \) and (2.2) that
\[
(2.8) \quad r(x) \left[ \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{u'_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \right] = r(x)\beta'(x) \beta(x) = \frac{r(x)u_1(x)v(x)\beta'(x)}{u_1(x)v_1(x)} \frac{1}{\beta(x)} = o \left( \frac{1}{u_1(x)v_1(x)} \right)
\]
as \( x \to \infty \). It remains to justify (1.7). From (2.5) and (1.3) we obtain
\[
\frac{v'(x)}{v(x)} = \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} + \frac{v_1(x)}{v(x)}u_1(x) \left[ \frac{v'_1(x)}{v_1(x)} - \frac{u'_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \right] = \frac{v'_1(x)}{v_1(x)} + \left[ \frac{u_1(x)v_1(x)}{u(x)v(x)} - 1 \right] \left[ \frac{v'_1(x)}{v_1(x)} - \frac{u'_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \right] + \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{u'_1(x)}{u_1(x)} = \frac{v'_1(x)}{v_1(x)} + \left[ \frac{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)}{u(x)v(x)} - 1 \right] + o \left( \frac{1}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} \right) = \frac{v'_1(x)}{v_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r(x)} o \left( \frac{1}{u_1(x)v_1(x)} \right), \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty.
\]
In the following, some corollaries of Lemma 2.1 are of importance.
Corollary 2.1. Problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable if and only if the integro-differential equation

\[ r(x)\beta'(x) = -\frac{1}{u_1'(x)} \int_x^\infty \Delta q(t)u_1^2(t)\beta(t) dt, \quad x \geq x_0, \]

has a solution \( \beta(x) \) such that

\[ \lim_{x \to \infty} \beta(x) = 1, \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\beta'(x) = 0. \]

\[ \text{Proof. Necessity.} \]

If problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable, then there exists a solution of problem (2.1) – (2.2). According to (2.2) and (1.4) we have:

\[ \lim_{x \to \infty} r(x)u_1^2(x)\beta'(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\beta'(x) = 0. \]

It remains to integrate (2.1) over \([x, \infty), x \geq x_0\) and to use (2.10).

\[ \text{Sufficiency.} \]

Relations (2.1) – (2.2) follow directly from (2.8) – (2.9). \( \square \)

Remark 2.1. It is evident that solutions of (2.1) – (2.2) and (2.8) – (2.9) coincide.

Corollary 2.2. Problem (1.5) – (1.*) is solvable if and only if problems (2.8) – (2.9), (2.1) – (2.2) have a solution \( \beta(x) \) such that

\[ \int_x^\infty r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)|\beta'(x)|^2 dx < \infty. \]

Remark 2.2. Here and in the following, problems (2.8) – (2.9) – (2.∗), (2.1) – (2.2) – (2.∗) are denoted by (2.8) – (2.∗), (2.1) – (2.∗). The letter \( \tau \) denotes absolute positive constants, which may be different within one proof.

\[ \text{Proof. Necessity.} \]

Let (1.5) – (1.∗) be solvable. Then problem (2.1) - (2.2) has a solution \( \beta(x) = u(x)u_1(x)^{-1}, x \geq x_1 \) and \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq |\beta(x)| \leq \tau, x \geq x_1 \). Since

\[ |\beta'(x)| = |\beta(x)| \left| \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{u_1'(x)}{u_1(x)} \right| \leq \tau \left| \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{u_1'(x)}{u_1(x)} \right|, \quad x \geq x_1, \]

then

\[ \int_{x_1}^\infty r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)|\beta'(x)|^2 dx \leq \tau \int_{x_1}^\infty r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\left| \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{u_1'(x)}{u_1(x)} \right|^2 dx < \infty. \]

\[ \text{Sufficiency.} \]

Let \( \beta(x) \) be a solution of (2.1) – (2.∗). Repeating the proof of sufficiency in Lemma 2.1, we obtain that \( u(x) = \beta(x)u_1(x) \) is a solution of (1.1), conditions (1.5) – (1.7) are fulfilled and \( \inf_{x \geq x_1} |\beta(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0 \). Then:

\[ \int_{x_1}^\infty r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\left| \frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} - \frac{u_1'(x)}{u_1(x)} \right|^2 dx = \int_{x_1}^\infty r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)|\beta'(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|\beta(x)|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{x_1}^\infty r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)|\beta'(x)|^2 dx < \infty. \] \( \square \)

Remark 2.3. Problems (1.5) – (1.7), (1.5) – (1.*) are also equivalent to certain problems of solvability of some integral equation (see [2]).

Corollary 2.3. Let \( q(x) \) be a real function and \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \). Then problems (1.5) – (1.7) and (1.5) – (1.*) are equivalent.

It is convenient for us to prove this Corollary at the end of section 4.
3. Auxiliary results

We need the following lemmas (for notations see (1.8), (1.11)).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \). Then \( C(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) and \( H(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), where

\[
H(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{C(t)dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}, \quad x \geq x_0,
\]

and the relations

\[
|C(x)| \leq 2A(x), \quad |H(x)| = |I(x) - C(x)| \leq A(x), \quad A(x) = \sup_{t \geq x} |I(t)|, \quad x \geq x_0,
\]

are fulfilled. Furthermore, \( J(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), \( P(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) if and only if \( G(x) < \infty \). In the latter case the inequalities

\[
|J(x) - G(x)| = \frac{1}{2}|H(x)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}A^2(x), \quad x \geq x_0,
\]

are valid. Finally, \( G(x_0) \leq \tilde{I}(x_0) \), if

\[
\tilde{I}(x_0) = \int_{x_0}^\infty \frac{|I(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} < \infty.
\]

**Proof.** It follows from (1.3) – (1.4) that

\[
u_1(x) = v_1(x) \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)}, \quad \left(\frac{u_1(x)}{v_1(x)}\right)' < 0, \quad x \geq x_0.
\]

The following transformation of \( C(x) \) is based on integration by parts and (1.4):

\[
C(x) = \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \Delta q(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)\frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \left[-I(t)\frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)}\right]_x^\infty + \int_x^\infty I(t)\left(\frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)}\right)' dt
\]

\[
= I(x) - \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{I(t)dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)}.
\]

From (3.6) and (3.5) we obtain:

\[
|C(x)| \leq |I(x)| + \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{|I(t)|dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \leq |I(x)| + A(x) \leq 2A(x).
\]

Differentiation of \( C(x) \) yields:

\[
C'(x) = -\Delta q(x)u_1(x)v_1(x) + \frac{1}{r(x)u_1^2(x)} \int_x^\infty \Delta q(t)u_1^2(t)dt
\]

\[
= -\Delta q(x)u_1(x)v_1(x) + \frac{C(x)}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)}.
\]

After integrating (3.7) and using (3.1) and (3.6), we obtain:

\[
H(x) = I(x) - C(x) = \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{I(t)dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)}, \quad x \geq x_0.
\]
Hence,

\[ |H(x)| \leq \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{|I(t)| dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \leq A(x) \frac{1}{u_1(x)} \left( v_1(x) \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \right) = A(x). \]

The first equality (3.8) implies

\[ C(x) = I(x) - \int_x^\infty \frac{C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}, \quad x \geq x_0. \]

Therefore,

\[ \frac{|C(x)|^2}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} = \frac{\text{Re}(I(x)\overline{C(x)})}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} - \frac{\text{Re} C(x)}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Re} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \]

\[ - \frac{\text{Im} C(x)}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Im} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}. \]

Let us integrate the latter equality over \([x, \infty)\):

\[ G(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Re}(I(t)\overline{C(t)}) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Re} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \right)^2 \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Im} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \right)^2 = J(x) + \frac{1}{2} |H(x)|^2, \quad x \geq x_0. \]

Since \(H(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0)\), then \(J(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0)\) if and only if \(G(x_0) < \infty\). Furthermore, from (3.10) and (3.1) we obtain (3.2). Also, from (3.7) we find:

\[ \text{Re} C'(x)\overline{C(x)} = - \text{Re} \left( \Delta q(x)\overline{C(x)} \right) u_1(x)v_1(x) + \frac{|C(x)|^2}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)}, \quad x \geq x_0. \]

After integrating (3.11) over \([x, \infty)\) we again use (3.1) and obtain:

\[ P(x) = \frac{1}{2} |C(x)|^2 + G(x), \quad x \geq x_0. \]

Now (3.3) follows from (3.12) and (3.1). Furthermore, it follows from (3.9) that:

\[ \text{Re} I(x) = \text{Re} C(x) + \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Re} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}, \quad \text{Im} I(x) = \text{Im} C(x) + \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Im} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}. \]

Hence,

\[ \frac{(\text{Re} I(x))^2}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} = \frac{(\text{Re} C(x))^2}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} + \frac{2 \text{Re} C(x)}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Re} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Re} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \right)^2, \]

\[ \frac{(\text{Im} I(x))^2}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} = \frac{(\text{Im} C(x))^2}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} + \frac{2 \text{Im} C(x)}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Im} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{\text{Im} C(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \right)^2. \]
Using integration by parts, (3.17), (1.3), (1.4) and (3.5), we obtain:

\[ \hat{I}(x) = G(x) + |H(x)|^2 + \int_x^\infty \frac{|H(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)v_1(t)v_1(t)}, \quad x \geq x_0. \]

According to (3.13), if \( \hat{I}(x_0) < \infty \), then \( G(x_0) \leq \hat{I}(x_0) < \infty \).

**Remark 3.1.** We included Proposition 1 (section 1) in Lemma 3.1 for the sake of completeness of exposition. Proposition 2 (section 1) is contained in Lemma 3.1.

Denote \( R_b = [b, \infty), \ C(R_b) = C[b, \infty) \) and consider the integral operator

\[ (Kf)(x) = \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{C(t)}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} f(t) \, dt, \quad f(t) \in C(R_b), \ b \geq x_0. \]

**Lemma 3.2.** The following inequality holds:

\[ \|Kf\|_{C(R_b)} \leq \sup_{t \geq b} |C(t)|, \ b \geq x_0. \]

**Proof.** The Lemma follows from (3.5):

\[ \|Kf\|_{C(R_b)} \leq \left( \sup_{t \geq b} |C(t)| \right) \left( \sup_{x \geq b} \frac{1}{u_1(x)} \left[ v_1(x) \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \right] \right) \sup_{t \geq b} |f(t)| \]

\[ = \sup_{t \geq b} |C(t)| \|f\|_{C(R_b)}. \]

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( G(x_0) < \infty \) and \( C(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \). Then for \( x \geq x_0 \)

\[ \int_x^\infty |K^j(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(t)| \frac{dt}{r(t)v_1(t)v_1(t)} \leq \left( \sup_{t \geq x} |C(t)| \right)^{j-1} G(x) \|f\|_{C(R_b)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

**Proof.** The definition of \( K \) implies the inequality for \( x \geq x_0, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots. \)

\[ |K^j(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(x)| \leq K^j \left( (C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(x) \right) \leq \left( \sup_{t \geq x} |C(t)| \right)^{j} \|f\|_{C(R_b)}. \]

Using integration by parts, (3.17), (1.3), (1.4) and (3.5), we obtain:

\[ \int_x^\infty \frac{K^j(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(t)}{r(t)v_1(t)v_1(t)} \, dt \]

\[ = \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{u_1(t)} \left( \int_t^\infty \frac{|C(\xi)|K^{j-1}(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(\xi)d\xi}{r(\xi)v_1^2(\xi)} \right) dt \]

\[ = \int_x^\infty \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} \left( \int_t^\infty \frac{|C(\xi)|K^{j-1}(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(\xi)d\xi}{r(\xi)v_1^2(\xi)} \right) dt \]

\[ = K^j(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(t) \int_x^\infty \frac{|C(t)|K^{j-1}(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(t)dt}{r(t)v_1(t)v_1(t)} \]

\[ = -K^j(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(x) + \int_x^\infty \frac{|C(t)|K^{j-1}(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(t)dt}{r(t)v_1(t)v_1(t)} \]

\[ \leq \left( \sup_{t \geq x} |C(t)| \right) \int_x^\infty \frac{|K^{j-1}(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(t)| \, dt}{r(t)v_1(t)v_1(t)} \]

\[ \leq \left( \sup_{t \geq x} |C(t)| \right) \int_x^\infty \frac{|K^{j-1}(C(\cdot)f(\cdot))(t)| \, dt}{r(t)v_1(t)v_1(t)} \]
Then by Corollary 2.2, (2.1) – (2.2).

Proof. According to Lemmas 4.1 and 3.1, we obtain:

\[
\int_0^x \frac{K(|C(\cdot)f(\cdot)|)(t)}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} dt \leq \cdots \leq \sup_{t \geq x} |C(t)|^{p-1} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{K(|C(\cdot)f(\cdot)|)(t)}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} dt.
\]

Let us estimate the integral in the right-hand side of (3.18):

\[
\int_x^{\infty} \frac{K(|C(\cdot)f(\cdot)|)(t)}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} dt = \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(t)u_1(t)} \left( \int_t^{\infty} \frac{|C(\xi)|^2 |f(\xi)|}{r(\xi)v_1^2(\xi)} d\xi \right) dt
\]

\[
= \int_x^{\infty} \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} \left( \int_t^{\infty} \frac{|C(\xi)|^2 |f(\xi)|}{r(\xi)v_1^2(\xi)} d\xi \right) dt
\]

\[
= K(|C(\xi)f(\xi)|) (t) \left|_{x}^{\infty} \right. + \int_x^{\infty} \frac{|C(\xi)|^2 |f(\xi)|}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} dt
\]

\[
= \int_x^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)} \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \right] dt \leq G(x) \|f\|_{C(R_+)}.
\]

Inequalities (3.16) follow from the latter inequality, (3.18) and (3.17).

\[
\int_0^\beta \Delta q(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^{\alpha_2} \left( \frac{r(t)u_1^2(t)}{\beta(t)} \right) \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}{\beta(t)} \left[ \int_0^{\alpha_2} \frac{\beta'(t)}{\beta(t)} dt + \int_0^{\alpha_2} \frac{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)\beta'(t)^2}{\beta^2(t)} dt \right].
\]

Then

\[
\int_0^{\alpha_2} \Delta q(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)dt \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ r(\alpha_i)u_1(\alpha_i)v_1(\alpha_i)|\beta'(\alpha_i)| \right]
\]

\[
+ I_0^\beta \frac{\beta(\alpha_2)}{\beta(\alpha_1)} + 4 \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)|\beta'(t)|^2 dt.
\]

The statement of the lemma follows from (2.2), (2.4), the latter inequality and well-known convergency criterion for improper integrals [6, §1.5].

Lemma 4.2. If problem (1.5) – (1.6) is solvable, then \(G(x) < \infty\).

Proof. According to Lemmas 4.1 and 3.1, we obtain: \(C(x) \in L(x_0)\), \(C(x) \rightarrow 0\) as \(x \rightarrow \infty\). Let us use Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2. Let \(\beta(x)\) be a solution of (2.8) – (2.9).
From (2.8), (1.4) and (2.9) we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{r(x)u_1(x)}{v_1(x)}v_1(x)\beta'(x) &= -\frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}\int_x^\infty \Delta q(t)u_1^2(t)\beta(t)dt \\
&= \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}\int_x^\infty \beta(t)d\left(\int_t^\infty \Delta q(\xi)u_1^2(\xi)d\xi\right) \\
&= \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}\left[\beta(t)\int_t^\infty \Delta q(\xi)u_1^2(\xi)d\xi\right]_{t=x}^{t=\infty} - \int_x^\infty 2\beta'(t) \left(\int_t^\infty \Delta q(\xi)u_1^2(\xi)d\xi\right)dt \\
&= \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}\left[\frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)}C(t)\beta(t)\right]_{t=x}^{t=\infty} - \int_x^\infty \frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)}C(t)\beta'(t)dt \\
&= -C(x)\beta(x) - \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}\int_x^\infty \frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)}C(t)\beta'(t)dt.
\end{align*}
\]

By virtue of (2.9) there exists \(x_1 \geq x_0\) such that \(|\beta(x)| \geq 2^{-1}\) for \(x \geq x_1\). Then for \(x \geq x_1\) from (4.2) and the Schwartz inequality it follows that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}|C(x)| &\leq |C(x)\beta(x)| \leq r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)|\beta'(x)| \\
&= \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}\left(\int_x^\infty r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)|\beta'(t)|^2dt\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}\left[\int_x^\infty \frac{1}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}\left(\frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)}C(t)\right)^2dt\right]^{1/2}.
\end{align*}
\]

For the sake of convenience we denote:

\[
\kappa(x) = r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)|\beta'(x)|^2, \quad S(x) = \int_x^\infty \kappa(t)dt, \quad x \geq x_1.
\]

The previous inequality implies the estimate:

\[
\frac{1}{8}|C(x)|^2 \leq r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\kappa(x) + S(x)\left(\frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)}\right)^2\int_x^\infty \frac{1}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}\left(\frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)}C(t)\right)^2dt.
\]

Let us divide this inequality by \(r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\) and integrate the result over \([x, x+\theta]\), \(\theta > 0\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{8}\int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} &\leq S(x) - S(x+\theta) \\
&+ \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{S(t)}{r(t)u_1^2(t)}\left(\frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)}\right)^2\int_t^\infty \frac{(u_1(\xi)v_1(\xi)^{-1}|C(\xi)|^2)}{r(\xi)u_1(\xi)v_1(\xi)}d\xi dt \\
&\leq S(x) + \frac{S(x)}{2}\int_x^{x+\theta} \left[\left(\frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)}\right)^2\int_t^\infty \frac{(u_1(\xi)v_1^{-1}(\xi)|C(\xi)|^2)}{r(\xi)u_1(\xi)v_1(\xi)}d\xi dt\right].
\end{align*}
\]
We denote the integral in the right hand side of (4.3) by \( F(x, \theta) \) and consider it separately. Integrating by parts and using (1.3) and (3.1) we obtain:

\[
F(x, \theta) = \int_x^{x+\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} \right)^2 \right] \left[ \int_t^\infty \frac{(u_1(\xi)v_1(\xi)^{-1}|C(\xi)|)^2d\xi}{r(\xi)u_1(\xi)v_1(\xi)} \right] dt
\]

\[
= \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} + \left( \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} \right)^2 \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{(u_1(\xi)v_1(\xi)^{-1}|C(\xi)|)^2d\xi}{r(\xi)u_1(\xi)v_1(\xi)} dt
\]

\[
\leq \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} + \left( \frac{v_1(x+\theta)}{u_1(x+\theta)} \right)^2 \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{1}{r(\xi)u_1(\xi)v_1(\xi)} \left( \frac{u_1(\xi)}{v_1(\xi)} \right)^2 d\xi
\]

\[
= \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} + 4A^2(x) \left( \frac{v_1(x+\theta)}{u_1(x+\theta)} \right)^2 \int_x^{x+\theta} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u_1(\xi)}{v_1(\xi)} \right)^2 \right] d\xi
\]

\[
\leq 2A^2(x) + \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}.
\]

We substitute this bound into (4.3) and obtain:

\[
\frac{1}{8} \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \leq S(x) + \frac{S(x)}{2} \cdot F(x, \theta) \leq S(x) + S(x)A^2(x)
\]

\[
+ \frac{S(x)}{2} \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{1}{8}[1 - 4S(x)] \int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \leq S(x)[1 + A^2(x)].
\]

Let \( x_1 \) be such that \( S(x) \leq 8^{-1} \) for \( x \geq x_1 \). Then

\[
\int_x^{x+\theta} \frac{|C(t)|^2dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \leq 16S(x)(1 + A^2(x)), \quad x \geq x_1.
\]

With \( \theta \) tending to infinity we obtain \( G(x) < \infty \) for \( x \geq x_1 \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Sufficiency. Let \( b \geq x_0 \) be such that \( A(b) \leq 4^{-1} \). According to (3.15) and (3.1), we have \( \|K\| \leq 2^{-1} \). Therefore, the operator \( T = \sum_{i=1}^\infty (-1)^i K^i \) jointly with \( K \) acts from \( C(R_b) \) to \( C(R_b) \) and \( \|T\| \leq 1 \).

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) and \( A(b) \leq 4^{-1} \). For problems (2.1) – (2.2) and (2.8) – (2.9) to be solvable it is necessary and sufficient that the integral equation

(4.4) \( \gamma(x) = \exp(H(x)) + \int_x^\infty \exp(H(x) - H(t)) \frac{T(C(\cdot)\gamma(\cdot))(t)}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} dt, \quad x \geq b, \)

has a solution \( \gamma(x) \in C(R_b) \). In the latter case \( \gamma(x) \) coincides with the solution \( \beta(x) \) of problems (2.1) – (2.2), (2.8) – (2.9).

**Proof.** Necessity. Let \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) and let \( \beta(x) \) be a solution of (2.8) – (2.9). Then (4.2) holds. Denote \( \mu(x) = r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\beta'(x) \) and write (4.2) in terms of
Thus problem (2.8) – (2.9) is solvable and (4.8)

(4.5)

\[ \gamma C \text{ invertible in } r \]

(4.9) implies

(4.7)

\[ \beta' + \frac{C(x)\beta(x)}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} \equiv \exp(H(x)) \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \exp(-H(x))\beta(x) \right] \]

Now (4.4) with \( \gamma(x) = \beta(x) \) follows from (4.7) and (2.9).

Sufficiency. Let \( \gamma(x) \) be a solution of (4.4) and \( \gamma(x) \in C(R_b) \). Then, obviously, \( \gamma(x) \to 1 \) as \( x \to \infty \). From (4.4) we find

(4.8)

\[ r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\gamma'(x) = -C(x)\gamma(x) - T(C(\cdot)\gamma(\cdot))(x) \]

and from (4.8), Lemma 3.1 and (3.15) we obtain:

(4.9)

\[ r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\gamma'(x) \leq \tau\|C(\cdot)\gamma(\cdot)\|_{C(R_b)} \leq \tau A(x)\|C(\cdot)\|_{C(R_b)}. \]

Therefore, \( r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\gamma'(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \). Furthermore, (4.8) and (3.7) yield:

(4.10)

\[ r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)\gamma'(x) = -C(x)\gamma(x) - K(r(\cdot)u_1(\cdot)v_1(\cdot)\gamma'(\cdot))(x) \]

\[ = -\gamma(x)C(x) + C(x)\gamma(x) + v_1(x) \int_x^\infty \gamma(t)C(t) \frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)} \left[ C'(t) - \frac{C(t)}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \right] dt \]

\[ = -\frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \Delta q(t)u_1^2(t)\gamma(t)dt. \]

Thus problem (2.8) – (2.9) is solvable and \( \beta(x) = \gamma(x) \).

Let us check now that the conditions of Theorem 2 are sufficient for the solvability of problem (1.5) – (1,*). Let us consider the operator

(4.10)

(4.10)

\[ (Q\gamma)(x) = \int_x^\infty \exp(H(t) - H(x)) \frac{T(C(\cdot)\gamma(\cdot))(t) dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}, \gamma(x) \in C(R_b), b \gg 1. \]

By virtue of Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and the well-known theorem on term by term integration of series ([6, §10.9]), the operator \( Q \) maps \( C(R_b) \) into \( C(R_b) \) and

\[ \|Q\| \leq \tau G(b) = \tau \int_b^\infty \frac{|C(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}. \]
Let us integrate this estimate over $G$. If $G$ is solvable. If $G(x_0) \leq 2^{-1}$. Hence $Q$ is a contracting mapping in $C(R_0)$ and (4.3) has solution $\gamma(x) \in C(R_0)$. Then, according to Lemmas 4.3 and 2.1, the problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable.

Now we will need the statement:

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $C(x) \in L(x_0)$, $\lim_{x \to \infty} C(x) = 0$ and let problem (1.5) – (1.7) be solvable. If $G(x_0) < \infty$, then (1.5) – (1.7) imply (1.*).

**Proof.** According to the conditions of the lemma we can repeat the derivation of (4.2) from Lemma 4.2. Using (2.9) and the Schwartz inequality, we find:

$$r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)|\beta'|(x) \leq |C(x)||\beta(x)| + \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty |C(t)| \frac{u_1(x)}{v_1(x)} |\beta'(t)| dt$$

$$\leq |C(x)||\beta(x)| + \left( \sup_{t \geq x} \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} |v_1(t)||\beta'(t)| \right) \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{|C(t)| dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)}$$

$$\leq \tau \left\{ |C(x)| + \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{|C(t)| dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \right\}$$

$$\leq \tau \left\{ |C(x)| + \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \left[ \int_x^\infty \frac{|C(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \right]^{2} \right\}$$

$$= \tau \left\{ |C(x)| + \left[ \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{|C(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \right]^{1/2} \right\}.$$ 

It follows from the latter inequality that

$$r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)|\beta'(t)|^2 \leq \tau \left\{ \frac{|C(x)|^2}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} + \frac{1}{r(x)u_1^2(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{|C(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \right\}$$

$$= \tau \left\{ \frac{|C(x)|^2}{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)} + \left( \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \right) \left[ \int_x^\infty \frac{|C(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)v_1^2(t)} \right] \right\}.$$ 

Let us integrate this estimate over $[x, \infty)$ and use (3.5):

$$\int_x^\infty r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)|\beta'(t)|^2 dt$$

$$\leq \tau \left\{ G(x) + \int_x^\infty \left( \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} \right) \left( \int_t^\infty \frac{|C(\xi)|^2 d\xi}{r(\xi)v_1^2(\xi)} \right) dt \right\}$$

$$\leq \tau \left\{ G(x) + \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} \int_t^\infty \frac{|C(\xi)|^2 d\xi}{r(\xi)v_1^2(\xi)} + \int_t^\infty \frac{|C(t)|^2 dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \right\}$$

$$\leq \tau \left\{ 2G(x) + \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{v_1(t)}{u_1(t)} \int_t^\infty \frac{|C(\xi)|^2 d\xi}{r(\xi)v_1^2(\xi)} \right\}$$

$$\leq \tau \left\{ G(x) + \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{\xi \geq t} |C(\xi)|^2 \right\} = \tau G(x). \quad \Box$$

The proof of Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 4.4.
Proof of Corollary of Theorem 2. If (I) is fulfilled, then \( P(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), and by Lemma 3.1 \( G(x_0) < \infty \). It remains to refer to Theorem 2. In particular, if \( I(x) \) converges absolutely, then (I) holds automatically. Similarly, if (II) is fulfilled, then \( J(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) and by (3.2), \( G(x_0) < \infty \). In particular, according to (3.1) we have:

\[
(4.10) \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{|I(t)|C(t)|dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \leq 2 \min \left\{ \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{A(t)|C(t)|dt}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)}, \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{|I(t)|A(t)}{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)} \right\},
\]

and if the right-hand side of (4.10) is finite, we obtain \( J(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \) and hence \( G(x_0) < \infty \). Case (III) easily follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2. \( \square \)

Proof of Corollary 2.3. We have to check only one implication: if \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), \( \text{Im} q(x) \equiv 0 \) and problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable, then (1.\*) is fulfilled. As shown in section 2, problems (1.5) – (1.7) and (2.1) – (2.2) are equivalent, and condition (1.\*) for problem (1.5) – (1.7) is equivalent to condition (2.\*) for problem (2.1) – (2.2). Therefore, to prove the lemma it is sufficient to establish that if \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), \( \text{Im} q(x) \equiv 0 \) and problem (2.1) – (2.2) is solvable, then (2.\*) is fulfilled. Let \( I(x) \in \mathcal{L}(x_0) \), \( \text{Im} q(x) \equiv 0 \) and \( \beta(x) \) be a solution of (2.1) – (2.2). We set \( \alpha_1 = x_1, \alpha_2 = \infty \) in formula (4.1) (see notations of Lemma 4.1). Then

\[
\int_{x_1}^{\infty} \frac{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)\beta'(t)^2(t)}{\beta(t)} = I(x_1) + \frac{r(x_1)u_1(x_1)\beta'(x_1)}{\beta(x_1)} - \ln \beta(x_1).
\]

Since \( \beta'(t) \) is a real function, and \( \beta(t) \leq 2 \) for \( t \geq x_1 \), then

\[
\int_{x_1}^{\infty} \frac{r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)|\beta'(t)|^2dt}{\beta(t)} \leq 4 \left\{ |I(x_1)| + r(x_1)v_1(x_1)u_1(x_1)|\beta'(x_1)| + |\ln \beta(x_1)| \right\}.
\]

\( \square \)

5. Relation between the Hartman-Wintner problem and its contraction

In this section we prove Theorem 3. Let problem (1.5) – (1.7) be solvable. Then there exists a solution \( \beta(x) \) of problem (2.1) – (2.2) and a number \( x_1 \) such that \( |\beta(x)| > 2^{-1} \) for \( x \geq x_1 \). Using (2.1), (2.2) and (1.4) for \( x \geq x_1 \) we obtain:

\[
\int_{x}^{\infty} \Delta q(t)u_1^2(t)dt = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{(r(t)u_1^2(t)\beta'(t))'}{\beta(t)}dt
= \frac{r(t)u_1^2(t)\beta'(t)}{\beta(t)} \bigg|_{x}^{\infty} + \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{r(t)u_1^2(t)\beta'(t)}{\beta(t)}dt
= (r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)\beta'(t)) \frac{u_1(t)}{v_1(t)} \bigg|_{x}^{\infty} + \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{(r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)\beta'(t))^2}{r(t)v_1^2(t)}dt
= -r(x)u_1^2(x)\beta'(x) \frac{1}{\beta(x)} + \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{(r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)\beta'(t))^2}{r(t)v_1^2(t)}dt.
\]
It follows from the latter equality, (1.4) and (2.2) that the integral in the left hand side converges. Therefore, \( C(x) \) exists, and for \( x \geq x_1 \) we obtain:

\[
|C(x)| = \left| \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \Delta q(t)u_1^2(t)dt \right|
\leq \frac{r(x)u_1(x)v_1(x)||\beta'(x)||}{|\beta(x)|} + \frac{v_1(x)}{u_1(x)} \int_x^\infty \frac{(r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)||\beta'(t)||)^2dt}{r(t)u_1^2(t)}
\leq 2 \sup_{t \geq x} (r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)||\beta'(t)||)
\cdot \left\{ 1 + \sup_{t \geq x} r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)||\beta'(t)|| \right\}
\leq \tau \sup_{t \geq x} r(t)u_1(t)v_1(t)||\beta'(t)||.
\]

Hence, \( C(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \). Futhermore, if problem (1.5) – (1.7) is solvable and \( G(x_0) < \infty \), then, according to Lemma 4.4, (2.*) is fulfilled and by virtue of Corollary 2.2 relation (1.*) is fulfilled as well. Conversely, let (1.*) follow from (1.5) – (1.7). Then problem (1.5) – (1.*) is solvable, and according to Theorem 2 we obtain \( G(x_0) < \infty \).

We wish to thank the referee whose valuable remarks and suggestions led to an essential improvement in this paper.
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