

## THE FULLY INVARIANT SUBGROUPS OF LOCAL WARFIELD GROUPS

STEVE T. FILES

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

ABSTRACT. We prove that every fully invariant subgroup of a  $p$ -local Warfield abelian group is the direct sum of a Warfield group and an  $S$ -group. This solves a problem posed some time ago by R. B. Warfield, and finalizes recent work of M. Lane concerning the fully invariant subgroups of balanced projective groups.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this account we will focus on  $p$ -local abelian groups, that is, abelian groups  $G$  such that  $qG = G$  for all rational primes  $q \neq p$ . Such groups are naturally  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules, and that will be our point of view in the next section. We write  $|x|_G$  for the  $p$ -height in  $G$  of  $x \in G$ . In [7], I. Kaplansky calls a group  $G$  *fully transitive* if  $x$  can be mapped to  $y$  by an endomorphism of  $G$  whenever  $x, y \in G$  satisfy  $|p^i y|_G \geq |p^i x|_G$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . A subgroup of  $G$  is called *fully invariant* when it is taken into itself by every endomorphism of  $G$ . Fully invariant subgroups of  $p$ -local groups are necessarily  $p$ -local as well. For reduced, fully transitive  $p$ -groups  $T$ , Kaplansky proves that any fully invariant subgroup of  $T$  has the form  $T(\sigma) = \{x \in T : |p^i x|_T \geq \sigma_i \text{ for } i \geq 0\}$  for some sequence  $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots)$  of ordinal numbers and  $\infty$ . Because simply presented  $p$ -groups are fully transitive, L. Fuchs and E. Walker were able to use Kaplansky's result to prove that fully invariant subgroups of such groups are again simply presented (see [2, p. 101]).

The entire class of simply presented groups and their direct summands is referred to as the class of *Warfield* groups. Since the problem of characterizing the fully invariant subgroups of Warfield groups was posed in [12] and [13], no complete solution has come forth. Perhaps that is due to the fact that Warfield groups need not be fully transitive (see [1]), hence Kaplansky's methods did not carry over to locate fully invariant subgroups within the containing groups. Indeed, recent progress on this problem has been for a special class of Warfield groups which turn out to be fully transitive: the balanced projective groups. We refer the reader to [8] and [9] for further details on this sidelight. Although we lack full transitivity for the groups at hand, we will be able to establish the following result.

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a Warfield group, and  $H$  a fully invariant subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is the direct sum of a Warfield group and an  $S$ -group. If the torsion subgroup*

---

Received by the editors June 30, 1995 and, in revised form, July 18, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20K27, 20K21; Secondary 20K30.

The author was supported by the Graduiertenkolleg of the University of Essen.

of  $G$  is simply presented, then  $H$  is a Warfield group with simply presented torsion subgroup.

As defined in [12], an  $S$ -group is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup of a balanced projective group. Note that the theorem gives an extensive class of Warfield groups that is closed under taking fully invariant subgroups. In case  $G$  is torsion, the theorem simply reiterates the result of Fuchs and Walker that was mentioned above.

We remark that both Warfield groups and  $S$ -groups can be classified by numerical invariants (see [11]). We will use the facts that torsion subgroups of simply presented groups are  $S$ -groups ([5, Theorem 45]), and  $T(\sigma)$  is an  $S$ -group whenever  $T$  is one ([9, Corollary 1]). We refer the reader to [3] for basic facts about simply presented, balanced projective and Warfield groups.

## 2. FULL INVARIANCE IN MIXED GROUPS

Let  $S$  be a subgroup of a  $p$ -group  $T$ , and  $\ell(T)$  denote the  $p$ -length of  $T$ . Write  $\sigma_{T,S}$  for the ordinal sequence  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots)$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is the minimum of the set  $\{|p^i x|_T : x \in S\} \cup \{\ell(T)\}$ . If  $T$  is reduced and  $f(S) \subseteq S$  for all  $f$  in a subring of  $\text{End}(T)$  acting fully transitively on  $T$ , then the proof of [7, Theorem 25] shows that  $S = T(\sigma_{T,S})$ . After a lemma, we use this fact to push Kaplansky's result on fully invariant subgroups a little farther.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\tau_0 < \dots < \tau_k$  be ordinals, and  $G$  a group. If  $x \in G$  satisfies  $|x|_G > \tau_k$ , there exists  $y \in G$  such that  $x = p^{k+1}y$ , and  $|p^i y|_G \geq \tau_i$  for  $i \leq k$ .*

The proof of the lemma is a routine induction on  $k$ . In the following result,  $tG$  denotes the torsion subgroup of  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G$  be a reduced, fully transitive group and  $H$  a fully invariant subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $tH = tG(\sigma)$  and  $H \subseteq G(\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma = \sigma_{tG, tH}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the subring of  $\text{End}(tG)$  consisting of the endomorphisms of  $G$  restricted to  $tG$ . Clearly,  $A$  acts fully transitively on  $tG$ . Since  $H$  is fully invariant in  $G$ , we have  $f(tH) \subseteq tH$  for all  $f \in A$ . Therefore, as noted above,  $tH = tG(\sigma)$ .

To finish the proof, we must show  $H \subseteq G(\sigma)$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $|p^n z|_G < \sigma_n$  for some  $n$  and  $z \in H$ . First assume there exists  $m \in \omega$  such that  $|p^{n+m+1} z|_G > |p^{n+m} z|_G + 1$ . Applying Lemma 1 with  $x = p^{n+m+1} z$ ,  $\tau_i = |p^i z|_G + 1$  and  $k = n + m$ , we obtain  $y \in G$  such that  $p^{n+m+1} z = p^{n+m+1} y$  and  $|p^i y|_G \geq |p^i z|_G + 1$  for  $i \leq n + m$ . Let  $t = z - y \in tG$ . Then  $|p^i z|_G \leq |p^i t|_G$  for all  $i$ , hence  $t \in tH$  because  $G$  is fully transitive and  $H$  is fully invariant in  $G$ . But  $|p^n t|_G = |p^n z|_G < \sigma_n$ , contradicting  $tH = tG(\sigma)$ . Therefore, we may assume that the height sequence of  $p^n z$  contains no gaps. Since  $|p^n z|_G < \sigma_n \leq \ell(tG)$ , we may choose  $s \in tG$  of height  $|p^n z|_G$ . By Lemma 1, there exists  $y \in tG$  such that  $s = p^n y$  and  $|p^i y|_G \geq |p^i z|_G$  for  $i \leq n - 1$  (take  $y = s$  if  $n = 0$ ). Then  $|p^i z|_G \leq |p^i y|_G$  for all  $i$  because the height sequence of  $p^n z$  is gapless. Hence,  $y \in tH$ . But  $p^n y = s$  has height  $|p^n z|_G < \sigma_n$ , contradicting  $tH = tG(\sigma)$ . This final contradiction finishes the proof.

It is a fairly immediate consequence of [4, Theorem 3.4] that reduced Warfield groups of rank 1 are fully transitive. (If  $G$  is such a group and  $x \in G$ , then  $\langle x \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p x$  is knice in  $G$  and  $G/\langle x \rangle$  is a Warfield group; a one-sided version of the theorem in [4] then implies that each homomorphism  $\langle x \rangle \rightarrow G$  that increases heights in  $G$  is

induced by an endomorphism of  $G$ .) Further details about fully transitive mixed groups can be found in [1].

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be a reduced, simply presented group of rank 1. If  $H$  is a fully invariant subgroup of  $G$ ,  $H$  is the direct sum of a Warfield group and an  $S$ -group. If  $tG$  is simply presented, then  $H$  is a Warfield group and  $tH$  is simply presented.*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is simply presented of rank 1 it is fully transitive. Denote  $T = tG$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_{T,tH}$ . By Proposition 1,  $tH = T(\sigma)$  and  $H \subseteq G(\sigma)$ . Note that  $T(\sigma)$  is an  $S$ -group, and is simply presented if  $T$  is. The desired conclusions follow immediately if  $H = T(\sigma)$  is torsion or  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus T(\sigma)$  is split. For the remaining case, we have  $\mathbb{Q} \cong H/T(\sigma) \subseteq G(\sigma)/T(\sigma)$ . This implies  $H/T(\sigma) = G(\sigma)/T(\sigma)$  since  $G(\sigma)/T(\sigma)$  is torsion-free of rank 1. We conclude  $H = G(\sigma)$ . Let  $x \in H$  have infinite order. Then  $G/\langle x \rangle$  is a simply presented  $p$ -group, and it is straightforward to verify (or see [10, Fact F]) that  $(G/\langle x \rangle)(\sigma) = (G(\sigma) + \langle x \rangle)/\langle x \rangle = G(\sigma)/\langle x \rangle = H/\langle x \rangle$ . Hence  $H/\langle x \rangle$  is simply presented, and it follows that  $H$  is a Warfield group.

We now draw the same conclusions for  $G$  of arbitrary rank.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $G$  be a reduced, simply presented group. If  $H$  is fully invariant in  $G$ ,  $H$  is the direct sum of a Warfield group and an  $S$ -group. If  $tG$  is simply presented,  $H$  is a Warfield group and  $tH$  is simply presented.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $G$  is nontorsion. There is a decomposition  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i$  into simply presented groups  $G_i$  of rank 1. Because  $H$  is fully invariant in  $G$  we obtain a corresponding decomposition  $H = \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$ , where each  $H_i$  is a fully invariant subgroup of  $G_i$ . By Corollary 1, each  $H_i$  is the direct sum of a Warfield group and an  $S$ -group, and is a Warfield group with simply presented torsion if  $tG$  (and hence  $tG_i$ ) is simply presented. The desired results for  $H$  follow immediately.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM

Let  $G$  be a Warfield group and  $H$  a fully invariant subgroup of  $G$ . We wish to show that  $H$  is the direct sum of a Warfield group and an  $S$ -group, and is a Warfield group with simply presented torsion if  $tG$  is simply presented. Write  $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ , where  $G_1$  is reduced and  $G_2$  is divisible. Then  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$ , with  $H_i$  fully invariant in  $G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . It follows that  $H_2$  is the direct sum of bounded groups and divisible groups, hence  $H_2$  and  $tH_2$  are simply presented. We may therefore assume  $G$  is reduced. By [5, Theorem 45], there is a decomposition  $G = A \oplus B$  in which  $A$  is balanced projective (hence simply presented) and  $tB$  is simply presented. Because  $H$  is fully invariant in  $G$  we obtain a decomposition  $H = A' \oplus B'$ , where  $A'$  and  $B'$  are fully invariant subgroups of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. By Corollary 2,  $A'$  is the direct sum of a Warfield group and an  $S$ -group, and is a Warfield group with simply presented torsion if  $tG$  (and hence  $tA$ ) is simply presented. To finish the proof, we will show that  $B'$  is a Warfield group with simply presented torsion. For  $i \in \omega$ , denote  $B'_i = B'$  and  $B_i = B$ . Let  $C' = \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} B'_i$  and  $C = \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} B_i$ . The inclusions  $B'_i = B' \subseteq B = B_i$  induce an inclusion  $C' \subseteq C$ , under which  $C'$  is fully invariant in  $C$ . By [6, Corollary 7],  $C$  is simply presented. Because  $tC$  is simply presented, we conclude from Corollary 2 that  $C'$  is a Warfield group with simply presented torsion. Since  $B'$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $C'$ ,  $B'$  is also a Warfield group with simply presented torsion.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Files, *On transitive mixed abelian groups*, pp. 243–251 in *Abelian Groups and Modules: Proceedings of the 1995 Colorado Springs Conference*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996.
2. L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1973. MR **50**:2362
3. L. Fuchs, *Abelian  $p$ -Groups and Mixed Groups*, University of Montreal Press, 1980. MR **82f**:20081
4. P. Hill, C. Megibben, *Axiom 3 modules*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **295** (1986), 715-734. MR **87j**:20090
5. R. Hunter, F. Richman, E. Walker, *Warfield modules*, *Springer LNM* **616** (1977), 87-123. MR **58**:22041
6. R. Hunter, F. Richman, E. Walker, *Existence theorems for Warfield groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **235** (1978), 345-362. MR **57**:12723
7. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Univ. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1969. MR **38**:2208
8. M. Lane, *Isotype subgroups of  $p$ -local balanced projective groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **301** (1987), 313-325. MR **88d**:20084
9. M. Lane, *Fully invariant submodules of  $p$ -local balanced projective groups*, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **18** (1988), 833-841. MR **90d**:20099
10. R. Stanton, *Relative  $S$ -invariants*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65** (1977), 221-224. MR **56**:5521
11. R. B. Warfield, *Classification theorems for  $p$ -groups and modules over a discrete valuation ring*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1972), 89-92. MR **45**:378
12. R. B. Warfield, *Classification theory of abelian groups I: Balanced projectives*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **222** (1976), 33-63. MR **54**:10444
13. R. B. Warfield, *The structure of mixed abelian groups*, *Springer LNM* **616** (1976), 1-38. MR **58**:22342

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY, MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT 06459  
*E-mail address*: [sfiles@wesleyan.edu](mailto:sfiles@wesleyan.edu)