

## THE PRIMITIVE $p$ -FROBENIUS GROUPS

P. FLEISCHMANN, W. LEMPKEN, AND PHAM HUU TIEP

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

ABSTRACT. Let  $p$  be a fixed prime. A finite primitive permutation group  $G$  with every two-point stabilizer  $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  being a  $p$ -group is called a *primitive  $p$ -Frobenius group*. Using our earlier results on  *$p$ -intersection subgroups*, we give a complete classification of the primitive  $p$ -Frobenius groups.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma_\Omega$  denote the symmetric group on a finite set  $\Omega$  and  $G \leq \Sigma_\Omega$  a transitive permutation group. Suppose that every two-point stabilizer  $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  is trivial; then a classical result of Frobenius states that  $G$  is a semidirect product  $K : G_\alpha$ , where the normal subgroup  $K$  consists precisely of the identity together with all elements  $g \in G$  that do not fix any point of  $\Omega$ . Moreover the point stabilizer  $G_\alpha$  acts on  $K$  (via conjugation) in such a way that no nontrivial element  $g \in G_\alpha$  has a nontrivial fixed point in  $K$ . A permutation group with these properties is called a *Frobenius group* with *Frobenius kernel*  $K$ .

As a consequence of a celebrated theorem of J. G. Thompson, the Frobenius kernel  $K$  is nilpotent and  $G_\alpha$  is a *semiregular group*, i.e.  $G_\alpha$  has a faithful action on a suitable vector space  $V$  such that  $C_V(g) = 0$  for every  $g \in G_\alpha \setminus \{id\}$  (notice that  $V$  can be chosen as a characteristically simple subgroup of a Sylow group of  $K$ ). If moreover  $G$  is primitive, then  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\ell =: V$  and  $G_\alpha$  acts semiregularly on  $V$ .

In the course of his investigation of finite near fields, Zassenhaus [9] obtained a complete classification of finite semiregular groups. Putting all these results together, one has a complete picture of primitive Frobenius groups.

In this paper we finish our investigation of the following  $p$ -local variant of the situation above:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $p$  be a fixed prime. We define  $\mathcal{F}(p)$  to be the set of all (faithful) finite primitive permutation groups with every 2-point stabilizer  $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  being a  $p$ -group. An element of  $\mathcal{F}(p)$  will be called a *primitive  $p$ -Frobenius group*.

Furthermore,  $\mathcal{F}^a(p)$  denotes the subset of  $\mathcal{F}(p)$  consisting of those groups with abelian socle, and we set  $\mathcal{F}^{na}(p) := \mathcal{F}(p) \setminus \mathcal{F}^a(p)$ .

In [3], we defined a proper subgroup  $X < G$  to be a  *$p$ -intersection subgroup* of  $G$  if and only if  $X$  is not a  $p$ -group but for any  $g \in G \setminus X$  the intersection  $X \cap X^g$  is a  $p$ -group. The set of  $p$ -intersection subgroups of  $G$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_p(G)$ . The

---

Received by the editors June 19, 1996 and, in revised form, November 5, 1996.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20B15.

main result of [3] is a classification of the elements in  $\mathcal{I}_p(G)$  for all almost simple groups. Now we will apply this result to obtain a complete classification of the primitive  $p$ -Frobenius groups.

*Remarks.* (i) It is clear that  $\mathcal{F}(p)$  includes the class  $\mathcal{F}^\infty$  of all finite primitive Frobenius groups, which is the intersection  $\bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} \mathcal{F}(p)$ .

(ii) Every finite group  $G$  with  $O_p(G) = 1$  admits a faithful transitive permutation representation with every  $G_{\alpha,\beta}$  being a  $p$ -group: take the action of  $G$  on  $G/P$ ,  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . Thus it is the primitivity condition that makes the class  $\mathcal{F}(p)$  interesting.

(iii) It is immediate from the definition, that  $G \in \mathcal{F}(p)$  implies that either  $G_\alpha$  is a  $p$ -group or  $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_p(G)$ .

Our notation will be as follows:  $\Omega$  always denotes a finite set and  $G$  a finite group with  $\pi(G)$  the set of prime divisors of  $|G|$ . For  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and  $G \leq \Sigma_\Omega$  we write  $G_\alpha$  for  $\text{Stab}_G(\alpha)$ . For any subgroup  $S \leq G$  we set  $\text{Aut}_G(S) := N_G(S)/C_G(S)$ . Also,  $X < \cdot Y$  means that  $X$  is a maximal subgroup of a group  $Y$ . The socle of  $G$ ,  $\text{soc}(G)$ , is the product of all minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ . A subgroup  $G \leq \Sigma_\Omega$  is called *primitive* (resp. *regular*) if it is transitive and  $G_\alpha < \cdot G$  (resp.  $G_\alpha = 1$ ). The symmetric, resp. alternating, group on  $n$  symbols is denoted by  $\Sigma_n$ , resp.  $\mathcal{A}_n$ .

Observe that if  $G \in \mathcal{F}^a(p)$  then  $\text{soc}(G) = V = \mathbb{F}_\ell^n$  is elementary abelian (the prime  $\ell$  may differ from  $p$ ) and  $G$  is the semi-direct product of  $V$  and any point stabilizer  $G_\alpha$ ,  $a \in \Omega$ . In this case, one can identify  $\Omega$  with  $V$ ,  $a \in \Omega$  with the zero vector of  $V$ , then embed  $G_0$  in  $GL(V)$  so that the action of  $G_\alpha = G_0$  on  $\Omega$  and the linear action of  $G_0$  on  $V$  are compatible.

Let us recall the following definition of [2]: A pair  $(G, V)$  consisting of a finite group  $G$  and a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}G$ -module  $V$  over some field  $\mathbb{F}$  is called  *$p'$ -semiregular* if every nontrivial  $p'$ -element of  $G$  acts without any fixed points on  $V \setminus \{0\}$ .  $G$  is called  *$p'$ -semiregular* if  $(G, V)$  is  $p'$ -semiregular for a suitable  $V$ .

Now the following statement is immediate:

**Proposition 1.2.** *Suppose  $\text{soc}(G) = V$  is elementary abelian. Then  $G \in \mathcal{F}^a(p)$  if and only if the pair  $(G_0, V)$  is  $p'$ -semiregular, and  $V$  is a faithful irreducible  $G_0$ -module.  $\circ$*

In [2] all  $p'$ -semiregular pairs  $(G_0, V)$  have been determined.

The present paper provides a proof of the following complete classification of the groups in  $\mathcal{F}(p)$ :

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be an element of  $\mathcal{F}(p)$  and put  $S := \text{soc}(G)$ . Then precisely one of the following three cases occurs:*

(i)  $G$  has a regular normal subgroup  $V$ . In this case  $V = S$  is elementary abelian,  $G \in \mathcal{F}^a(p)$  and  $G = V : G_0$  with  $p'$ -semiregular pair  $(G_0, V)$  as described in [2] (and  $V$  is a faithful irreducible  $G_0$ -module).

(ii)  $G$  has no regular normal subgroup and  $G_\alpha$  is nilpotent. In this case  $p = 2$ ,  $G \in \mathcal{F}^{na}(2)$ ,  $G_\alpha \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$  and  $S = O^2(G) = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_k$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ ; moreover,  $S_1 \cong \cdots \cong S_k \cong L_2(q)$  with  $q = 2^n \pm 1 > 5$  a prime or  $q = 9$ . Furthermore,  $[G : S]$  and  $k$  are powers of 2.

(iii)  $G$  has no regular normal subgroup and a point stabilizer  $G_\alpha$  is an element of  $\mathcal{I}_p(G)$ . In this case  $S$  is simple, i.e.  $G$  is almost simple. Furthermore, the tuples  $(S, G, p, G_\alpha)$  are as listed in Table I below.

TABLE I.  $p$ -intersection maximal subgroups in almost simple groups

$S$	$G$	$p$	$G_\alpha$
$\mathcal{A}_5$	$G = S$	3	$\mathcal{A}_4$
$\mathcal{A}_5$	$\mathcal{A}_5 \leq G \leq \Sigma_5$	2	$N_G(\mathbb{Z}_3)$
$\mathcal{A}_6$	$\mathcal{A}_6 \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_6)$	2	$N_G(3^2)$
$\mathcal{A}_6$	$PGL_2(9), M_{10}, \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_6)$	2	$N_G(\mathbb{Z}_5)$
$\mathcal{A}_n, n$ prime $\notin \{7, 11, 17, 23\}$	$G = S$	$p$ with $\frac{n-1}{2} = p^f$	$\mathbb{Z}_n : \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$
$\mathcal{A}_n, 5 \leq n \in \mathcal{F}$	$\Sigma_n$	2	$\mathbb{Z}_n : \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$
$L_2(7) \cong L_3(2)$	$G = S$ $G = PGL_2(7)$	2 2	$\Sigma_4^{(i)}, i = 1, 2$ $\mathcal{N}(X), \mathcal{N}(T_1) \cong D_{12}$
$L_2(7)$	$G = S$	3	$B$
$L_2(11)$	$G = S$ $PGL_2(11)$	2 2	$N(T_{\text{cox}})$ $N(T_1) \cong D_{20}$ $N(T_{\text{cox}}) \cong D_{24}$
$L_2(11)$	$G = S$	5	$B$
$L_2(2^a), 2^a \geq 4$	$G = S$	$p = 2^a - 1 \in \mathcal{M}$	$B$
$L_2(q), q \notin \mathcal{F} \cup \{4, 7, 9, 11\}$	$\pi(G/S) \subseteq \{2\}$	2	$N(T_1)$
$L_2(q), q \notin \mathcal{M} \cup \{4, 5, 9, 11\}$	$\pi(G/S) \subseteq \{2\}$	2	$N(T_{\text{cox}})$
$L_2(2^\ell)$	$2 <  G/S  = \ell$ prime	2	$N(T_{\text{cox}})$
$L_2(r), r = 2p^x + 1$ a prime	$G = S$	$p > 2$	$B$
$L_2(3^m), \begin{cases} 3^m = 2p^x + 1 \\ m (p-1) \\ m \text{ an odd prime} \end{cases}$	$G = S$	$p > 2$	$B$
$L_2(r), r \in \mathcal{F}$	$G/S \leq \mathbb{Z}_2$	2	$B$
$PSU_3(q), q \in \{3, 5, 9\}$	$G/S \leq \mathbb{Z}_2$	2	$B$
${}^2G_2(3)' \cong L_2(8)$	$G/S \leq \mathbb{Z}_3$	2	$B, N_G(B)$
${}^2B_2(q), q = 2^l, l = 2a + 1 > 1$	$G = S$ $G = S$ $ G/S  = l$ prime	$p = 2^l - 1 \in \mathcal{M}$ 2 2	$B$ $D_{2(q-1)}, X_\pm = \mathbb{Z}_{q \pm 2\sqrt{q}+1} : \mathbb{Z}_4$ $X_\pm : \mathbb{Z}_l$ with $5 \parallel  X_\pm $
$L_3(4)$	$G/S \leq F \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$	2	$N(\mathbb{Z}_3^2 : Q_8)$
$L_3(4)$	$\Delta \leq G/S \leq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	2	$N(\mathbb{Z}_3^2 : Q_8)$
${}^3D_4(q)$	$\pi(G/S) \subseteq \{2\}$	2	$N(T_5),  T_5  = q^4 - q^2 + 1$
$L_p(q), PSU_p(q)$	$\pi(G/S) \subseteq \{p\}$	$p$	$N(T_{\text{cox}})$
$M_{11}$	$G = S$	2	$3^2 : Q_8 \cdot 2$
$J_1$	$G = S$	2	$\Sigma_3 \times D_{10}$
$M_{23}$	$G = S$	11	$23 : 11$
$BM$	$G = S$	23	$47 : 23$
$M(??)$	$G = S$	29	$59 : 29$

Conversely, each of the groups mentioned in (i), (ii) and (iii) is a member of  $\mathcal{F}(p)$ , where in case (ii) we have to assume in addition that  $\text{Aut}_G(S_i) \cong PGL_2(7)$  if  $q = 7$  and  $\text{Aut}_G(S_i) \cong PGL_2(9), M_{10}$  or  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_6)$  if  $q = 9$ .

In Table I  $B$  denotes a Borel subgroup;  $T_1, T_{\text{cox}}$  denote split- and Coxeter tori, respectively,  $L_2(q) := PSL_2(q), N(H) := N_G(H)$ ; if  $G > S, \mathcal{N}(X) := \{N_G(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{I}_p(S), \text{ maximal in } S\}$ . Here ?? means either  $59 : 29 < L_2(59) < \cdot M$

or  $59 : 29 < \cdot M$ . The existence of  $L_2(59)$  in  $M$  is not settled yet.  $\mathcal{F}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{M}$ , denotes the set of Fermat, resp. Mersenne, primes.

Due to the isomorphisms  $\mathcal{A}_5 \cong L_2(4) \cong L_2(5)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_6 \cong L_2(9)$  these groups and their automorphic decorations are listed only in the alternating groups' section. For  $L_3(4)$ ,  $F$  (resp.  $\Delta \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ ) is generated by field (resp. graph) automorphisms.

Note that for any  $X \in \mathcal{I}_p(G)$  there is a subgroup  $H \leq G$  such that  $X \in \mathcal{I}_p(H)$  and  $X$  is maximal in  $H$ . Hence the results above give also a complete classification of  $p$ -intersection subgroups occurring in arbitrary finite groups. In particular we obtain the following result which can be viewed as the  $p$ -local version of Zassenhaus' classification of Frobenius complements:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $p$  a prime and  $X < G$  a  $p$ -intersection subgroup. Then either  $X$  is  $p'$ -semiregular or  $X$  is a solvable group (occurring as  $G_\alpha$  in Table I up to a suitable normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $X$ ).*

## 2. PREREQUISITES

The reader is referred to [2] for a complete list of  $p'$ -semiregular groups; here we restrict ourselves to listing the perfect ones.  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of all primes  $r$  such that  $r = 2^a \cdot 3^b + 1$  for  $a \geq 2$ ,  $b \geq 0$ , and  $(r + 1)/2$  is a prime.

R. Guralnick and R. Wiegand [5] also classified  $p'$ -semiregular groups  $G$  such that the underlying field of the corresponding  $G$ -modules has characteristic  $p$ , and pointed out a very interesting connection of these groups with multiplicative structures of Galois field extensions. The authors' proof in [2] is independent of that in [5] and in addition also describes the relevant  $G$ -modules.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a perfect finite group and  $(G, V)$  a  $p'$ -semiregular pair for a faithful irreducible  $\mathbb{F}G$ -module  $V$ . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $G \cong SL_2(p^a)$  for some  $a \geq 1$  with  $p^a > 3$ .
- (ii)  $G \cong {}^2B_2(2^{2a+1})$  for some  $a \geq 1$  with  $p = 2$ .
- (iii)  $G \cong {}^2B_2(2^{2a+1}) \times SL_2(2^{2b+1})$  with  $a, b \geq 1$ ,  $\gcd(2a + 1, 2b + 1) = 1$  and  $p = 2$ .
- (iv)  $G \cong SL_2(r)$  with  $r \in \mathcal{R} \cup \{7, 17\}$  and  $p = 3$ .
- (v)  $G \cong SL_2(5)$  and  $p \geq 7$ .
- (vi)  $G = ES$ , where  $E = O_2(G) \cong 2_-^{1+4}$ ,  $S \cong SL_2(5)$ ,  $E \cap S = Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $p = 2$ .

*Conversely, if  $(G, p)$  satisfies any of the conditions (i) – (vi), then there exists a faithful absolutely irreducible  $G$ -module  $V$  such that  $(G, V)$  is  $p'$ -semiregular.*

*Proof.* Let  $(G, V)$  be  $p'$ -semiregular for a faithful irreducible  $\mathbb{F}G$ -module  $V$  and  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = \ell$ . First suppose that  $\ell$  divides  $|G|$ . Then it is clear that  $\ell = p$  and the irreducibility of  $V$  forces  $O_p(G) = 1$ . By Theorem 4.1 of [2] (cf. also [5]),  $(G, p)$  is as listed in (i) – (iv). Next suppose that  $\ell$  does not divide  $|G|$ . Then Theorem 5.6 of [2] and the irreducibility of  $V$  force  $(G, p)$  to satisfy one of the conditions (iv) – (vi) or (i) with  $p^a = 4, 5, 9$ . The existence of  $p'$ -semiregular pairs for the groups  $G$  listed has also been established in [2].  $\circ$

**Example 2.2.** (i) (Zassenhaus)  $\ell^2 : SL_2(5) \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$  for all primes  $\ell \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$  and  $\ell^4 : SL_2(5) \in \mathcal{F}^\infty$  for all primes  $\ell \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{10}$ .

(ii)  $p^{2a} : SL_2(p^a) \in \mathcal{F}(p)$  for any prime  $p$ .

(iii)  $3^6 : SL_2(13) \in \mathcal{F}(3)$  (Hering's group).

(iv)  $7^8 : (2_-^{1+4} \setminus \mathcal{A}_5) \in \mathcal{F}(2)$  and  $7^4 : SL_2(9), 5^{12} : SL_2(13) \in \mathcal{F}(3)$ .

The following result will be used in the next section.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with a nilpotent maximal subgroup  $H$ .*

(i) (Thompson; see [4], Thm. 10.3.2) *If  $H$  has odd order then  $G$  is solvable.*

(ii) (Baumann; see [1]) *If  $G$  is non-solvable then  $O^2(G/F(G))$  is a direct product of simple groups isomorphic to  $L_2(q)$  with primes  $q$  of the form  $2^n \pm 1$  or  $q = 9$ .*

We will also need the following result, which is an easy consequence of the classification of finite simple groups:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a nonabelian finite simple group and let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E})$  be an element whose order is coprime to  $|\mathcal{E}|$ . Then  $C_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha)$  is not nilpotent.*

*Proof.* See [3].  $\circ$

### 3. REDUCTION TO THE SIMPLE SOCLE CASE

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{F}^{na}(p)$ . Then  $G$  does not contain any regular normal subgroup. In particular,  $S := \text{soc}(G)$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $C_G(S) = 1$ , and  $G/S \cong G_\alpha/S_\alpha$ .*

*Moreover, for any point stabilizer  $G_\alpha$  one of the following is true:*

(i)  $p = 2$  and  $G_\alpha \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ ;

(ii)  $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_p(G)$ .

*Proof.* 1) Suppose first that  $G_\alpha$  is a  $p$ -group. As  $G_\alpha$  is maximal and nilpotent, 2.3 implies  $p = 2$  and so  $G_\alpha \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ .

2) Suppose next that  $1 \neq R \triangleleft G$  with  $R \cap G_\alpha = 1$ . We can assume  $R \leq \text{soc}(G)$  and  $R$  is not solvable (since  $G \in \mathcal{F}^{na}(p)$ ). Suppose in addition that  $G_\alpha$  is not a  $p$ -group. Then we can find  $x \in G_\alpha$  of prime order  $q \neq p$ . Now for any  $1 \neq y \in R$ ,  $G_\alpha \cap G_{y(\alpha)}$  is a  $p$ -group. In particular,  $x^y \notin G_\alpha$ , so  $y^x \neq y$  and  $x$  acts fixed-point-freely on  $R \setminus \{1\}$ . By a well-known theorem of Thompson (cf. [4], Thm. 10.2.1)  $R$  must be nilpotent, a contradiction. Thus  $G_\alpha$  must be a  $p$ -group. By 1),  $G_\alpha \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ , and  $|R| = [G : G_\alpha]$  is odd. So  $R$  (and  $G = R \cdot G_\alpha$ ) is solvable, again a contradiction.

3) The claims concerning  $S$  now follow immediately. Furthermore, if  $G_\alpha$  is a  $p$ -group, then (i) is fulfilled due to 1); otherwise one arrives at (ii).  $\circ$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{F}(p)$ . Then  $G \in \mathcal{F}^a(p)$  if and only if  $G$  contains a regular normal subgroup.  $\circ$*

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose that  $G \in \mathcal{F}^{na}(p)$  and  $\text{soc}(G)$  is not simple. Then conclusion (ii) of Theorem 1.3 holds.*

*Proof.* A basic tool for studying finite permutation groups is the reduction theorem first stated by O’Nan and Scott (see [8]). Here we are using an expanded version of this theorem given in [7]. Because of 3.2, the primitive permutation group  $G$  under question has no regular normal subgroups (and  $\text{soc}(G)$  is not simple). In this case, the O’Nan-Scott theorem says that  $G$  is either a *simple diagonal action* or a *product action* group; cf. [7]. We shall use the notation given there. In particular,  $B = \text{soc}(G) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$  with  $S_1 \cong \dots \cong S_k \cong T$  for a non-abelian finite simple group  $T$ .

1) Suppose  $G$  is a simple diagonal action group, that is, case III(a) of [7] occurs. Then for some  $\alpha \in \Omega$  one has  $B_\alpha = \{(a, a, \dots, a) \mid a \in T\}$ . In particular, taking  $g := (a, a, \dots, a)$ ,  $h := (a, 1, \dots, 1)$  for a non-identity  $p'$ -element  $a \in T$ , one sees

that  $g = g^h \in B_\alpha \cap B_{h(\alpha)}$ . Meanwhile  $h \notin B_\alpha$ , contrary to the assumption that  $G \in \mathcal{F}(p)$ .

We have shown that  $G$  is a product action group, i.e., case III(b) of [7] occurs. In this case,  $\Omega$  can be identified with  $\Gamma^\ell$  for some finite set  $\Gamma$  and some  $\ell$  dividing  $k$ . Furthermore, if  $H$  denotes  $Aut_G(S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_{k/\ell})$  (after suitably reindexing the  $S_i$ 's if necessary), then  $H$  acts primitively on  $\Gamma$ , with socle  $K$  isomorphic to  $T^{k/\ell}$ . Moreover,  $H$  is of type II or III(a) (in the notation of [7]). Finally,  $G$  can be embedded in  $W = H \wr \Sigma_\ell$ , and the action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  is induced by the natural product action of  $W$  on  $\Omega$  (cf. [7]).

2) Next we consider the situation when  $H$  is of type III(a). Then  $\ell < k$ . Arguing as in 1), one sees that  $K_\gamma \cap K_{\gamma'}$  is not a  $p$ -group for some  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma, \gamma \neq \gamma'$ . For the distinct points  $\alpha := (\gamma, \dots, \gamma), \alpha' := (\gamma', \dots, \gamma')$  in  $\Omega$ , one has  $B_\alpha = (K_\gamma)^\ell, B_{\alpha'} = (K_{\gamma'})^\ell$ . In particular,  $B_\alpha \cap B_{\alpha'}$  is not a  $p$ -group, a contradiction.

Thus  $H$  must be of type II, i.e.,  $k = \ell$ .

3) At this point we show that  $H_\gamma$  is a  $p$ -group.

First observe that  $B_\alpha$  is a  $p$ -group, with  $\alpha = (\gamma, \dots, \gamma)$ . Indeed, suppose  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in B_\alpha$  is not a  $p$ -element. Without loss we may suppose  $s_1 \in T$  is not a  $p$ -element. Then  $s = s^t \in B_\alpha \cap B_{t(\alpha)}$  with  $t := (s_1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . This implies that  $t \in B_\alpha$ . Now taking  $u := (1, g_2, \dots, g_k)$ , one has  $t = t^u \in B_\alpha \cap B_{u(\alpha)}$  for any  $g_i \in T$ . We conclude that all  $(1, g_2, \dots, g_k)$  are contained in  $B_\alpha$ , contradicting the equality  $B_\alpha = (K_\gamma)^k$ .

Suppose there is an element  $x \in H_\gamma$  whose order is a prime  $r$  different from  $p$ . Every element in  $W$  can be canonically written in the form  $(g_1, \dots, g_k)\pi$  for  $g_i \in \Sigma_\Gamma$  and  $\pi \in \Sigma_k$ . Then  $G_\alpha$  contains an element  $g = (x, g_2, \dots, g_k)\pi$  with  $\pi(1) = 1$ . For any  $y \in C_K(x)$  and  $h := (y, 1, \dots, 1)$ , we have  $g = g^h \in G_\alpha \cap G_{h(\alpha)}$ , yielding  $h \in B_\alpha$ . Due to the above observation  $C_K(x)$  is a  $p$ -group. Choose  $Q \in Syl_r(H)$  with  $x \in Q$ . Then  $Q \cap K \triangleleft Q$  and  $Z(Q) \cap (Q \cap K) \leq C_K(x)$ . So  $1 = Z(Q) \cap (Q \cap K)$ , which implies that  $1 = Q \cap K$ . But  $Q \cap K \in Syl_r(K)$ , hence  $(r, |K|) = 1$ . Now 2.4 applied to  $K$  and  $x$  provides a contradiction.

4) We have proved that  $H_\gamma$  is a  $p$ -group. If  $p$  is odd, then the maximality of  $H_\gamma$  in  $H$  together with 2.3 implies that  $H$  is solvable, a contradiction. So we conclude that  $p = 2$ . We claim that  $G_\alpha$  is a 2-group. For, suppose that  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_k)\pi \in G_\alpha$  has order  $r$ , an odd prime, and  $\alpha = (\gamma, \dots, \gamma)$ . Observe that  $G_\alpha \leq W_\alpha = H_\gamma \wr \Sigma_k$ . Since  $g_i \in H_\gamma$  has order a power of 2, we conclude that  $\pi$  has order  $r$ . In particular, we may suppose that  $\pi$  permutes the groups  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  cyclically. Choose  $c \in K \setminus K_\gamma$ . Then for  $y := (c, 1, \dots, 1) \in B$  we have  $[y^{g^i}, y^{g^j}] = 1$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Hence  $\tilde{y} := yy^g y^{g^2} \dots y^{g^{r-1}} \in C_B(g)$ . From this it follows that  $g = g^{\tilde{y}} \in G_\alpha \cap G_{\tilde{y}(\alpha)}$ , yielding  $\tilde{y} \in B_\alpha$ . But in this case  $c$  belongs to  $K_\gamma$ , contrary to the choice of  $c$ . Consequently,  $G_\alpha$  is a 2-group, and so  $G_\alpha \in Syl_2(G)$ .

Applying 2.3 to the maximal subgroup  $G_\alpha$  of  $G$ , we come to conclusion (ii) of Theorem 1.3.  $\circ$

The following is one of the main results in [3]; here it classifies the elements in  $\mathcal{F}^{na}(p)$  with simple socle:

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $S \trianglelefteq G \leq Aut(S)$  with  $S$  a finite nonabelian simple group, and suppose that  $X \in \mathcal{I}_p(G)$  is maximal in  $G$ . Then  $(S, G, p, X = G_\alpha)$  is one of the tuples listed in Table I.*

*Proof.* See [3].  $\circ$

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

By 3.2 and the remarks in the introduction we can assume that  $G \in \mathcal{F}^{na}(p)$ .

First we suppose that  $G_\alpha$  is nilpotent. Applying 2.3, we see that  $F(G) = 1$  (as  $G \in \mathcal{F}^{na}(p)$ ) and  $S := \text{soc}(G) = O^2(G)$  is the direct product of  $k$  isomorphic (since  $S$  is characteristically simple) simple groups  $S_1 \cong \dots \cong S_k \cong L_2(q)$  with  $q = 2^n \pm 1$  a prime or  $q = 9$ . If  $k = 1$ , then a direct inspection of maximal subgroups of  $G$  with  $L_2(q) \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(L_2(q))$  shows that  $p = 2$  and  $G_\alpha \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ , that is, conclusion (ii) of 1.3 holds. If  $k > 1$ , then, applying 3.3, one again obtains that  $p = 2$  and  $G_\alpha \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ . Moreover, due to 3.1,  $G/S \cong G_\alpha/S_\alpha$  and hence  $[G : S]$  is a 2-power. But  $G/S$  acts transitively on the set  $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ ; therefore  $k$  is a 2-power. Thus (ii) is fulfilled.

Now suppose that (ii) does not hold. Then  $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_p(G)$ . In this case 3.3 shows that  $\text{soc}(G)$  is simple. Applying 3.4, we arrive at (iii).  $\circ$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Prof. R. Solomon for helpful discussions, and to the referee for his valuable comments that greatly improved the exposition of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Baumann, Endliche nichtauflösbare Gruppen mit einer nilpotenten maximalen Untergruppe, *J. Algebra* **38** (1976), 119 – 135. MR **53**:13391
- [2] P. Fleischmann, W. Lempken and P.H. Tiep, Finite  $p'$ -semiregular groups, *J. Algebra* **188** (1997), 547 – 579. CMP 97:08
- [3] P. Fleischmann, W. Lempken and P.H. Tiep, The  $p$ -intersection subgroups in quasi-simple and almost simple finite groups, submitted.
- [4] D. Gorenstein, “*Finite Groups*”, Harper and Row, 1968. MR **38**:229
- [5] R. Guralnick and R. Wiegand, Galois groups and the multiplicative structure of field extensions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **331** (1992), 563 – 584. MR **93c**:12004
- [6] B. Huppert, “*Endliche Gruppen*”, Springer, 1967. MR **37**:302
- [7] M. Liebeck, C. Praeger and J. Saxl, On the O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (A)* **44** (1988), 389 – 396. MR **89a**:20002
- [8] L. L. Scott, Representations in characteristic  $p$ , *Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups*, pp. 318 – 331, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. **37**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980. MR **82e**:20052
- [9] H. Zassenhaus, Über endliche Fastkörper, *Abhandl. Math. Sem. Hamburg. Univ.* **11** (1936), 187-220.

(P. Fleischmann and W. Lempken) INSTITUTE FOR EXPERIMENTAL MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ESSEN, ELLERNSTR. 29, 45326 ESSEN, GERMANY

(Pham Huu Tiep) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

*E-mail address:* [tiep@math.ohio-state.edu](mailto:tiep@math.ohio-state.edu)