

NON-NOETHERIAN REGULAR RINGS OF DIMENSION 2

JAMES J. ZHANG

(Communicated by Ken Goodearl)

ABSTRACT. We study connected, not necessarily noetherian, regular rings of global dimension 2.

0. INTRODUCTION

Throughout k is a field and A is a connected k -algebra; thus $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ and $A_0 = k$. The **augmentation ideal** is the unique maximal graded ideal $\mathfrak{m} := \bigoplus_{i > 0} A_i$. We call A/\mathfrak{m} the **trivial module**, and usually denote it by k . The (left and right) global dimension of A is equal to the projective dimension of k_A . The algebra A is **regular** if it has finite global dimension, d say, and

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(k, A) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } i = d, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq d. \end{cases}$$

In some papers this is called Artin-Schelter regular. In the definition we do not assume that A is finitely generated or even locally finite, but it is proved in [9, 3.1] that a regular ring is finitely generated. Also we do not require $\mathrm{GKdim} A < \infty$ in the definition.

Noetherian regular rings of global dimension no more than 2 are easy to classify. Noetherian regular rings of global dimension 3 are classified and studied in [1, 2, 3, 7, 8]. Here we classify regular rings of global dimension 2 which are not necessarily noetherian.

Theorem 0.1. *A connected ring is regular of global dimension 2 if and only if it is isomorphic to the algebra $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (b)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $n \geq 2$;
2. if the x_i 's are labeled so that $1 \leq \deg x_1 \leq \dots \leq \deg x_n$, then $\deg x_i + \deg x_{n-i}$ is a constant for all i ;
3. there is a graded algebra automorphism σ of the free algebra $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ such that $b = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sigma(x_{n-i})$.

Theorem 0.2. *Let A be an algebra in Theorem 0.1. Then the following hold.*

1. A is noetherian if and only if A has finite GK-dimension, if and only if $n = 2$.
2. A is a domain. If $n > 2$, then it is not an Ore domain.

Received by the editors December 3, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W50, 16E10, 16E70.

Key words and phrases. Connected algebra, global dimension, regular algebra.

This research was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship.

3. For every proper graded subspace $W \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^n kx_i$, the subalgebra generated by W is free. As a consequence, if $n > 2$, A does not satisfy a polynomial identity.
4. If $n > 2$, A does not have non-trivial normal elements.

We also study one-relator quadratic algebras. It is well-known that every one-relator quadratic algebra is Koszul and its global dimension is either infinite if the relation is $x^2 = 0$ or 2 otherwise. Here are some other properties.

Theorem 0.3. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and A a one-relator quadratic algebra $k\langle V \rangle / (b)$ for some $0 \neq b \in V \otimes V$. Then the following hold.*

1. A is noetherian if and only if $\dim V = 1$ or $\dim V = 2$ and $b \neq xy$.
2. A is a domain if and only if $b \neq xy$ for some $x, y \in V$.
3. If A has a non-trivial normal element, then $\dim V = 1$ or $\dim V = 2$ and $b \neq xy$.
4. If $W \subset V$ is a subspace such that $b \notin W \otimes V$, then the subalgebra $k[W]$ is free.

1. REGULAR RINGS OF GLOBAL DIMENSION 2

Let $F = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ be a connected graded free algebra with augmentation ideal \mathfrak{m}_F . Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be another minimal graded generating set of F , namely, $\{\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n\}$ is a basis of the graded vector space $\mathfrak{m}_F / \mathfrak{m}_F^2$. Suppose that $b = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i$ where $1 \leq r \leq n$ and where $\deg x_i + \deg y_i = e$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. The integer r is called the **rank** of b . Define A to be the connected one-relator algebra $F / (b)$. Since $b \in \mathfrak{m}_F^2$, A and F have the same minimal generating sets. We call F the **covering free algebra** of A . For any element f in F we will use the same letter f for the image in A . We will use $=_*$ for equality in the covering free algebra F and use ordinary $=$ for equality in A . These one-relator algebras form a special class of what W. Dicks studied in [5]. The **Hilbert series** of A is defined by

$$H_A(t) = \sum_i \dim A_i t^i.$$

Suppose that $H_A(t) = q(t)/p(t)$ for some relatively prime polynomials with integer coefficients and $p(0) = 1$ (we will see that this property holds for all algebras studied in this paper). If every root of $p(t)$ has absolute value 1, then A has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension; otherwise A has exponential growth [9, 2.2]. If $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ is a graded module, then the **l -th degree shift** of M is $M(l) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{i+l}$.

Parts 1 and 2 of the following proposition are well-known. Since we will use these several times later, we include a proof here.

Proposition 1.1. *Let A be the connected algebra $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (b)$ defined above and $r = \text{rank } b$.*

1. If $b = ax_1^2$ for some non-zero scalar a , then
 - (a) $\text{gldim } A = \infty$,
 - (b) $H_A(t) = (1 + t^{\deg x_1})[(1 + t^{\deg x_1})(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n t^{\deg x_i}) + t^{2 \deg x_1}]^{-1}$.
2. If $r > 1$, or if $r = 1$ and x_1, y_1 are linearly independent, then
 - (a) $\text{gldim } A = 2$,
 - (b) $H_A(t) = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n t^{\deg x_i} + t^{\deg b})^{-1}$.
3. A is regular if and only if $r = n > 1$.

Proof. 1. Note that A has no non-resolvable ambiguities in the sense of [4] and all monomials not containing x_1^2 form a basis of A , whence the right annihilator $r(x_1) := \{a \in A \mid x_1 a = 0\}$ is equal to $x_1 A$. By using this property we see that the minimal projective resolution of k_A is

$$(1-1) \quad \cdots \longrightarrow A(-3l) \longrightarrow A(-2l) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i A(-l_i) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

where $l = \deg x_1$ and the boundary map from $A(-(p+1)l)$ to $A(-pl)$ is multiplication by x_1 . Hence the statements follow from (1-1).

2. Let S be the idealizer $\mathbb{I}(bF) := \{f \in F \mid fb \in bF\}$. We claim that $S = k + bF$. Suppose that $fb =_* ba$ for some $f, a \in \mathfrak{m}_F - \{0\}$ and write f as $\sum_i x_i a_i$ in F . Then

$$(1-2) \quad a_j b =_* y_j a \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, r;$$

$$(1-3) \quad a_j b =_* 0 \quad \forall j = r + 1, \dots, n.$$

Since F is a domain, $a_j \neq_* 0$ for $j \leq r$ and $a_j =_* 0$ for $j \geq r + 1$. If $a_{j_0} \in k^\times$ for some $j_0 \leq r$, then (1-2) shows that $y_{j_0}(a_{j_0}^{-1}a) =_* b =_* \sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i$. This cannot happen in the free algebra F when either $r \geq 2$ or $r = 1$ and $\{x_1, y_1\}$ are linearly independent. Thus we obtain a contradiction and hence $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $j \leq r$. Since $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is a minimal generating set of F , (1-2) implies that $a_j =_* y_j g_j$, so $g_j b =_* a$ by factoring out y_j from (1-2) for all $j \leq r$. Since F is a domain, $g_j =_* g_1$ and hence

$$f =_* \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i =_* \sum_{j=1}^r x_j a_j =_* \sum_{j=1}^r x_j y_j g_1 =_* b g_1.$$

Thus $S = k + bF$ and the **eigenring** (defined in [5, 2.1]) is $E := S/bF \cong k$. By [5, 5.3], $\text{gldim } A = \text{gldim } E + 2 = 2$ and by [5, 3.5] $H_A(t) = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n t^{\deg x_i} + t^{\deg b})^{-1}$.

3. We only need to consider the algebras in part 2. The minimal projective resolution of k_A is of the form

$$(1-4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow A(-e) \longrightarrow \bigoplus A(-l_i) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

where $e = \deg b$ and $l_i = \deg x_i$ for all i . The boundary map $\partial_1 : \bigoplus A(-l_i) \longrightarrow A$ sends (a_1, \dots, a_n) to $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i$ and the boundary map $\partial_2 : A(-e) \longrightarrow \bigoplus A(-l_i)$ sends a to $(y_1 a, \dots, y_r a, 0, \dots, 0)$. By definition, A is regular if and only if the dual of (1-4), $\text{Hom}((1-4), A)$:

$$(1-5) \quad 0 \longleftarrow A(e) \longleftarrow \bigoplus A(l_i) \longleftarrow A \longleftarrow 0$$

is the minimal projective resolution of ${}_A k(e)$. The boundary map from $\bigoplus A(l_i)$ to $A(e)$ sends (a_1, \dots, a_n) to $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i y_i$. Hence A is regular if and only if $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is a minimal generating set of A , if and only if $r = n$. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Suppose that 1, 2, 3 hold. Let $y_i = \sigma(x_{n-i})$. Then A is regular of global dimension 2 by Proposition 1.1.3.

Conversely suppose that A is connected and regular of global dimension two. By [9, 3.1.1], we have a minimal free resolution of k_A

$$(1-6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow A(-e) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A(-l_i) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

where n is finite. The Hilbert series is $H_A(t) = (1 - \sum_i t^{l_i} + t^e)^{-1}$. We may assume that $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_n$. If $n = 1$, we obtain an algebra with infinite global dimension (Proposition 1.1.1). Hence $n \geq 2$. By [9, 3.1.4],

$$t^e \left(1 - \sum_i t^{-l_i} + t^{-e} \right) = \left(1 - \sum_i t^{l_i} + t^e \right),$$

whence $l_i + l_{n-i} = e$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. The boundary map from $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A(-l_i)$ to A sends (a_1, \dots, a_n) to $\sum_i x_i a_i$ where $\{x_i\}$ is the minimal set of generators and $\deg x_i = l_i$, and the boundary map from $A(-e)$ to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A(-l_i)$ sends a to $(y_1 a, \dots, y_n a)$ for some $y_i \in \mathfrak{m}$. Consequently, A has one relation of degree e , of form $x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n = 0$. Since A is regular, the dual of (1-6) (see (1-5)) is the projective resolution of ${}_A k(e)$, so $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a minimal generating set of A . Now any homogeneous minimal generating set for A is also a minimal generated set for F . Thus $F = k\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle$ and $\sigma : x_i \rightarrow y_{n-i}$ defines a graded algebra automorphism of F . Since the relation is homogeneous, we have $\deg y_i = e - l_i = l_{n-i}$ for all i . Therefore A is isomorphic to $F/(b)$ and 1, 2, 3 of Theorem 0.1 hold. \square

By Theorem 0.1.2, if A is generated by three elements x_1, x_2, x_3 with $\deg x_1 \leq \deg x_2 \leq \deg x_3$ and $\deg x_1 + \deg x_3 \neq 2 \deg x_2$, then A is not regular of global dimension two. Noetherian regular rings of global dimension 2 are easily determined and that is the case when $n = 2$ in Theorem 0.1. The following Corollary is part 1 of Theorem 0.2.

Corollary 1.2. *Let A be a connected regular ring of global dimension 2, generated by n elements (see Theorem 0.1). Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. $n = 2$.
2. A is noetherian.
3. $\text{GKdim}(A) = 2$.
4. $\text{GKdim}(A) < \infty$.

Proof. If A is in Theorem 0.1 and $n = 2$, then it is easy to see that A is noetherian of GK-dimension 2 [9, 3.5].

If A is in Theorem 0.1 (or even in Proposition 1.1) and $n > 2$, then it has exponential growth, and by [9, 1.2], it is neither left nor right noetherian. \square

Next we prove other parts of Theorem 0.2. For simplicity, we use \bar{f} for the image of f in A/\mathfrak{m}^2 for all $f \in A$.

Proposition 1.3. *Let A be regular of global dimension 2, generated by n elements. Then the following statements hold.*

1. A is a domain.
2. Suppose that $n > 2$. Let x, f and g be homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{m} - \{0\}$ and suppose that $x \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$. If $fg \in xA$ ($gf \in Ax$ respectively), then $f \in xA$ ($f \in Ax$ respectively).

Remark 1.4. We do not have any example of a noetherian or non-noetherian regular ring which is not a domain.

Proof. If A is a regular ring of global dimension 2 and $n = 2$, then it is described in [9, 3.3], and in particular, it is a domain. In the rest of the proof we assume that $n > 2$.

Since A is \mathbb{N} -graded, A being a graded domain implies that A is a domain. Pick a minimal generating set $\{x_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x = x_n$. Hence it suffices to show the following statement:

- Let f and g be homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{m} - \{0\}$. Then
 - (a) $fg \neq 0$,
 - (b) $fg \in x_n A$ implies $f \in x_n A$.

We will prove (•) by induction on $m := \deg f + \deg g$. Nothing needs to be proved when $m = 1$. Now suppose that $m > 1$ and assume that the statement (•) holds for all cases when $\deg f + \deg g < m$.

Case 1: $m < e$.

Since $\bigoplus_{i < e} A_i = \bigoplus_{i < e} F_i$, it suffices to show that (a) and (b) hold in F . Hence (a) follows because F is a domain and (b) follows because x_n is in a minimal generating set of F .

Case 2: $m = e$.

(a) If $fg = 0$ in A , then $fg =_* lb$. Since $fg \neq_* 0$, $l \neq 0$. Write $f =_* \sum_i x_i a_i$; we have $a_i g =_* ly_i$ for all i . Passing to $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$, we have $\bar{y}_i \in k\bar{g}$ for all i . This contradicts the fact that $\{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are linearly independent. Therefore $fg \neq 0$.

(b) If $fg \in x_n A$, then $fg =_* lb + x_n c$ for $l \in k$ and for some $c \in F$. By expanding f we have $a_i g =_* ly_i$ for $i < n$ and $a_n g =_* ly_n + c$. If $l \neq 0$, then this contradicts the fact $\{\bar{y}_i\}_{i < n}$ are linearly independent. Hence $l = 0$, whence $a_i =_* 0$ for all $i < n$. Therefore $f = x_n a_n \in x_n A$.

Case 3: $m > e$.

(a) If $fg = 0$, then, in F , we have

$$(1-7) \quad fg =_* \sum_{j=1}^q f_j b g_j + f_0 b + b g_{-1}$$

where $f_i, g_i \in \mathfrak{m}_F$. We always assume each term in the equation has degree m . Write $f_j =_* \sum_i x_i f_{ij}$ and $f =_* \sum_i x_i a_i$; we obtain

$$(1-8) \quad a_i g =_* \sum_j f_{ij} b g_j + f_{i0} b + y_i g_{-1} \quad \forall i.$$

For every i , $a_i g = y_i g_{-1} \in y_i A$. If $g_{-1} = 0$, then by induction hypothesis (a), $a_i = 0$ for all i , so $f = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $g_{-1} \neq 0$, so $y_i g_{-1} \neq 0$ by induction hypothesis (a). This implies that $a_i \neq 0$ for all i . We claim that $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ (*). If not, let $a_{i_0} \in k^\times$. Thus $g = z_{i_0} g_{-1}$ where $z_{i_0} = y_{i_0} a_{i_0}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$. For each i , $a_i z_{i_0} g_{-1} = y_i g_{-1}$. By induction hypothesis (a), $a_i z_{i_0} = y_i$ and hence $\bar{y}_i \in k\bar{z}_{i_0}$ for all i . This contradicts the fact that $\{\bar{y}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are linearly independent. Thus we proved our claim (*). By induction hypothesis (b), $a_i = y_i w_i$. Factoring out a_i from $a_i g = y_i g_{-1}$ (by using induction hypothesis (a)), we obtain $w_i g = g_{-1}$ for all i . By induction hypothesis (a), $w_i = w_1$ and hence $f = \sum_i x_i y_i w_1 = b w_1 = 0$. This contradicts $f \neq 0$ in A , and hence (a) follows.

(b) If $0 \neq fg \in x_n A$, then $fg = x_n c$ for some $c \neq 0$ with $\deg c = m - \deg x_n > 0$. Similar to (1-7) we have

$$fg =_* \sum_{j=1}^n f_j b g_j + f_0 b + b g_{-1} + x_n c,$$

and similar to (1-8), we have

$$(1-9) \quad a_i g = * \sum_j f_{ij} b g_j + f_{i0} b + y_i g_{-1} \quad \forall i < n.$$

Hence $a_i g = y_i g_{-1} \in y_i A$ for all $i < n$. If $g_{-1} = 0$, then by induction hypothesis (a) $a_i = 0$ for all i and then $f = x_n a_n \in x_n A$. Now we suppose that $g_{-1} \neq 0$. Similar to (*), we may assume $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i < n$ (in this case we use the fact that $\{\bar{y}_i\}_{i < n}$ are linearly independent). Since $y_i \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$, by induction hypothesis (b), $a_i = y_i w_i$ for all $i < n$. Factoring out y_i from $a_i g = y_i g_{-1}$ we obtain that $w_i g = g_{-1}$ for all $i < n$. By induction hypothesis (a), $w_i = w_1$ for all $i < n$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_i x_i a_i = x_n a_n + \sum_{i < n} x_i a_i = x_n a_n + \sum_{i < n} x_i y_i w_1 \\ &= x_n a_n + (b - x_n y_n) w_1 = x_n (a_n - y_n w_1) \in x_n A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (b) follows. \square

Proposition 1.5. *Let A be a one-relator algebra $k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (b)$ where $b = \sum_i x_i z_i$. Suppose that, for some s , z_s is a right regular element. Then $\sum_{i \neq s} x_i A = \bigoplus_{i \neq s} A_i$ and hence the subalgebra generated by $\{x_i \mid i \neq s\}$ is free.*

As a consequence, if A is a regular algebra of global dimension 2 and $\{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a minimal generating set of A , then the subalgebra $k[x_i \mid i \neq s]$ is free for any s .

Proof. It suffices to show that $\sum_{i \neq s} x_i a_i = 0$ implies $a_i = 0$ in A for all $i \neq s$. Suppose that $\sum_{i \neq s} x_i a_i = 0$ for some $a_i \in A$. As the proof of Proposition 1.3, there are f_j and g_j with $f_j \in \mathfrak{m}_F$ such that

$$\sum_{i \neq s} x_i a_i = * \sum_{j=0}^q f_j b g_j + b g_{-1} = * \sum_{j=0}^q \sum_{i=1}^n x_i f_{ij} b g_j + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z_i g_{-1},$$

and hence

$$(1 - \delta_{is}) a_i = z_i g_{-1} \quad \text{in } A.$$

If $i = s$, then $z_s g_{-1} = 0$, so $g_{-1} = 0$ because z_s is right regular. If $i \neq s$, then $a_i = z_i g_{-1} = 0$.

If A is regular algebra, then A is a domain [Proposition 1.3] and hence z_s is a regular element. Therefore the statement follows. \square

The proofs of parts 3 and 4 of the following are the same as ones of [11, 2.3] which are stated only for quadratic algebras.

Proposition 1.6. *Let A be a connected algebra and x a homogeneous element in $\mathfrak{m} - \{0\}$ satisfying the following two conditions:*

- (a) x is right regular and $x A \neq \mathfrak{m}$;
- (b) if f and g are in $\mathfrak{m} - \{0\}$ and $f g \in x A$, then $f \in x A$.

Then the following statements hold.

1. A is a domain.
2. A is not an Ore domain and it does not satisfy a polynomial identity.
3. If a, y are in $A - \{0\}$, then $x a = a y$ implies that $x = y$ and $a = l x^n$ for some $n \geq 0$ and $l \in k^\times$.
4. A has no non-trivial normal elements.

Proof. 1. Let f, g be non-zero elements in A . If $fg = 0$, then $f, g \notin k$ and $fg \in xA$. By (b) $f = xf'$ and $xf'g = 0$. By (a), $f'g = 0$. By induction on $\deg f'$, either $f' = 0$ or $g = 0$, a contradiction.

2. Pick $z \in \mathfrak{m} - xA$, by (b), $xA \cap zA = \{0\}$. Hence A is not Ore. Also the subalgebra $k[x, z]$ is free, hence A is not PI.

3. If $xa = ay$ and $a \notin k$, then $ay \in xA$, and by (b), $a = xa'$. Hence $xxa' = xa'y$, and by (a), $xa' = a'y$. The statement follows from induction on $\deg a$.

4. If A has a non-trivial normal element, then A has a homogeneous normal element of positive degree, say a . Hence $xa = ay$ for some $y \in \mathfrak{m}$. By part 3, $x = y$ and $a = lx^n$. Thus x^n is normal. By (a), there is $z \in \mathfrak{m} - xA$ and by (b) $xA \cap zA = \{0\}$. Therefore $zx^n \neq x^nc$ for all $c \in A$ and thus x^n is not normal, a contradiction. \square

Parts 2, 3, 4 of Theorem 0.2 follow easily from Propositions 1.3, 1.5 and 1.6.

2. ONE-RELATOR QUADRATIC ALGEBRAS

In this section we will apply results from section 1 and results in [11] to one-relator quadratic algebras. From now on V is a vector space with a basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and A is a one-relator quadratic algebra $k\langle V \rangle / (b)$ where $b = \sum_{i,j} l_{ij} x_i x_j$ for some $l_{ij} \in k$. It is well-known that A is Koszul and has global dimension 2 or infinity. The **rank** of the relation b is defined to be the rank of the matrix $(l_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$. This definition of rank coincides with the definition given in section 1 when we consider quadratic algebras. It is easy to see that the rank of b is independent of the choices of the basis of V . If $r = \text{rank } b$, then there are two bases $\{z_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ of V such that $b = \sum_{i \leq r} z_i y_i$. Let ${}_b V$ (respectively V_b) denote the subspace generated by $\{z_i \mid i \leq r\}$ (respectively $\{y_i \mid i \leq r\}$). Then ${}_b V$ and V_b are only dependent on b . If $r = 1$, then $b = x_1^2$ or $b = x_1 x_2$ after changing a basis.

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be the algebra $k\langle V \rangle / (b)$ and suppose that $b = x_1 x_s$ where s is either 1 or 2. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *If $f, g \neq 0$ are in \mathfrak{m} , and $fg = 0$, then $f \in Ax_1$ and $g \in x_s A$.*
2. *$\sum_{i>1} x_i A = \bigoplus_{i>1} x_i A$.*
3. *The subalgebra generated by $\{x_i \mid i > 1\}$ is free.*
4. *If $j > 1$ and a, y are in $A - \{0\}$, then $x_j a = ay$ implies that $x_j = y$ and $a = lx_j^t$ for some $l \in k^\times$ and $t \geq 0$.*
5. *If $n > 1$, then A has no non-trivial normal elements.*

Proof. Since A has no non-resolvable ambiguities, all monomials not containing $x_1 x_s$ form a basis of A . In particular, x_i are right regular for all $i > 1$. Let I denote (i_1, \dots, i_t) . For every element $a \in F$, write $a = \sum_I l_I x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t}$ where $(i_p, i_{p+1}) \neq (1, s)$ for all p . Define the support of a to be $\text{Supp}(a) := \{I \mid l_I \neq 0\}$. Part 1 and part 2 can be checked easily by expressing elements as sum of monomials, part 3 is a consequence of 2.

4. As in the proof of Proposition 1.6.3, it suffices to show $a = x_j a'$. Suppose that this is not true. Then $a = x_j a' + a_0$ where $a_0 = \sum_{i_1 \neq j} l_I x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t} \neq 0$. Since x_j is right regular, $x_j a = \sum_{I \in \text{Supp}(a)} l_I x_j x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t}$. Write $y = \sum_w h_w x_w$; then we have $ay = \sum_{(i_t, w) \neq (1, s)} l_I h_t x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t} x_w$. Comparing the monomials in $x_j a$ and ay , we obtain that, if $I = (i_1, \dots, i_t) \in \text{Supp}(a_0)$, then $h_w x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t} x_w = 0$ for all w . Thus (i) $h_w = 0$ for all $w \neq s$ and $h_s \neq 0$ and (ii) $i_t = 1$. As a consequence

$ay = (x_j a' + a_0)h_s x_s = h_s x_j a' x_s$. Therefore

$$|Supp(ay)| = |Supp(a')| < |Supp(a)| = |Supp(x_j a)| = |Supp(ay)|,$$

a contradiction. Therefore part 4 follows.

5. If A has a non-trivial normal element, then A has a homogeneous normal element of positive degree, say a . Let $j > 1$. Then $x_j a = ay$ for some y of degree 1. By part 4, $x_j = y$ and $a = lx_j^t$ for some $l \neq 0$ and $t > 0$. Hence x_j^t is normal. But $x_j^t x_1 \neq zx_j^t$ for any z , so a contradiction. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 0.3.

Proof. 1. If $n = 1$, then A is $k[x]/(x^2)$, which is noetherian. If $n = r = 2$, then A is a noetherian regular algebra of global dimension two (Corollary 1.2). If $n = 2$ and $r = 1$, then A is either $k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2)$ or $k\langle x, y \rangle / (xy)$; neither is noetherian. If $n > 2$, then by Proposition 1.1.1(b) and 2(b), A has exponential growth and hence it is not noetherian by [9, 1.2].

2 and 3. We discuss three cases.

Case 1: $r = rank\ b > 2$. Let Φ be the set of all subspaces of dimension no more than $rank\ b - 2$. Then by the proof of [11, 0.1(2)] we can apply [11, 2.2] to A and this Φ . Hence A is a domain and the following statement holds:

(**) If f and g are homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{m} - \{0\}$ and if $fg \in xA$ for some $x \in V - \{0\}$, then $f \in xA$.

By Proposition 1.6.4, A has no non-trivial normal elements.

Case 2: $r = 2$ and ${}_bV \neq V_b$. By [11, 2.2 and 2.3], A is a domain and the statement (**) holds for $x \notin V_b$ (in this case Φ is the set of 1-dimensional subspaces not contained in V_b). By Proposition 1.6.4, A has no non-trivial normal elements.

Case 3: $r = 2$ and ${}_bV = V_b$. In this case $b = (l_{11}x_1 + l_{21}x_2)x_1 + (l_{12}x_1 + l_{22}x_2)x_2$ for some invertible matrix $(l_{ij})_{2 \times 2}$. Hence $A = k\langle V \rangle / (b)$ is isomorphic to a twisted algebra B^σ where $B = k\langle V \rangle / (x_1x_2 - x_2x_1)$ and $\sigma : x_1 \rightarrow l_{12}x_1 + l_{22}x_2, x_2 \rightarrow -(l_{11}x_1 + l_{21}x_2), x_i \rightarrow x_i, \forall i > 2$. It is easy to see that the one-relator semi-group $G := \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid x_1x_2 = x_2x_1 \rangle$ is ordered and B is the semi-group algebra kG . Therefore B is a domain [6, Prop. A.II.1.4] and hence $A \cong B^\sigma$ is a domain [10, 5.2]. Now let $x \in V - {}_bV$ and $f, g \in \mathfrak{m} - \{0\}$. We may assume $x = x_n$ and $n > 2$. If $fg \in x_n A$, then $fg = x_n c$ and we have

$$\sum_i x_i a_i g =_* \sum_i x_i f_{ij} b g_i + \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i y_i g_{-1} + x_n c$$

in $k\langle V \rangle$, where $f = \sum_i x_i a_i$. Comparing the coefficients in x_n , we have $a_n g =_* \sum_j f_{nj} b g_j + c$ and so $c = a_n g$ in A . Hence $fg = x_n c = x_n a_n g$. Since A is a domain, $f = x_n a_n \in x_n A$. Again we proved (**) for $x \notin V_b$. By Proposition 1.6.4, A has no non-trivial normal elements if $V_b \neq V$.

Combining these cases and Proposition 2.1 we prove 2 and 3.

4. If $b \notin W \otimes V$, then there is a subspace $W' \supset W$ of dimension $n - 1$ such that $b \notin W' \otimes V$. So we may assume $\dim W = n - 1$. Hence this is a consequence of Proposition 1.5 and part 2 when $r \geq 2$. If $b = x_1^2$, this is Proposition 2.1.2. It remains to consider the case when $b = x_1 x_2$. By changing a basis we may assume $b = x_1 y, W = \sum_{i>1} kx_i$ and $y \notin kx_1$. By Proposition 1.5, it suffices to show that y is a right regular element, which follows from Proposition 2.1.1. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank S. P. Smith for several discussions on the subject.

REFERENCES

1. M. Artin and W. Schelter, Graded algebras of global dimension 3, *Adv. Math.*, **66**(1987) 171-216. MR **88k**:16003
2. M. Artin, J. Tate and M. van den Bergh, Some algebras related to automorphisms of elliptic curves, *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. 1*, 33-85, Birkhauser, Boston 1990. MR **92e**:14002
3. M. Artin, J. Tate and M. van den Bergh, Modules over regular algebras of dimension 3, *Invent. Math.*, **106** (1991) 335-388. MR **93e**:16055
4. G. Bergman, The Diamond lemma for ring theory, *Adv. Math.*, **29**(1978), 178-216. MR **81b**:16001
5. W. Dicks, On the cohomology of one-relator associative algebras, *J. Algebra*, **97** (1985), 79-100. MR **87h**:16041
6. C. Năstăsescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded Ring Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982. MR **84i**:16002
7. D. R. Stephenson, Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension three, *J. Algebra*, **183** (1996), no. 1, 55-73. MR **97h**:16053
8. D. R. Stephenson, Algebras associated to elliptic curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **349** (1997), 2317-2340. CMP 97:09
9. D. R. Stephenson and J. J. Zhang, Growth of graded noetherian rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **125** (1997), 1593-1605. MR **97g**:16033
10. J. J. Zhang, Twisted graded algebras and equivalences of graded categories, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3)**72** (1996), 281-311. MR **96k**:16078
11. J. J. Zhang, Quadratic algebras with few relations, *Glasgow Math. J.*, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195

E-mail address: zhang@math.washington.edu