

## CONJUGATE HARDY'S INEQUALITIES WITH DECREASING WEIGHTS

JOAN CERDÀ AND JOAQUIM MARTÍN

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. We prove that for a decreasing weight  $\omega$  on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ , the conjugate Hardy transform is bounded on  $L_p(\omega)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) if and only if it is bounded on the cone of all decreasing functions of  $L_p(\omega)$ . This property does not depend on  $p$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All functions are assumed to be measurable on  $\mathbf{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$ , which is endowed with Lebesgue measure. A decreasing function will be a non-negative and non-increasing function, and  $\omega$  will be a weight, i.e., a non-negative function.

If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we denote

$$L_p(\omega) = \left\{ f; \|f\|_{L_p(\omega)} = \left( \int_0^\infty |f(t)|^p \omega(t) dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$L_p(\omega)^d = \{f \in L_p(\omega); f \text{ decreasing}\}.$$

Results by Muckenhoupt [2] state that the Hardy operator

$$Pf(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

is bounded on  $L_p(\omega)$  if and only if

$$(1) \quad \sup_{r>0} \left( \int_r^\infty \frac{\omega(s)}{s^p} ds \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^r \omega(s)^{-p'/p} ds \right)^{1/p'} < \infty$$

in the case  $1 < p < \infty$ , and, for  $p = 1$ , if and only if

$$(2) \quad \int_t^\infty \frac{\omega(s)}{s} ds \leq C\omega(t).$$

The corresponding condition for the conjugate Hardy operator

$$Qf(x) = \int_x^\infty f(t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

---

Received by the editors July 17, 1996 and, in revised form, January 16, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B25, 46E30.

*Key words and phrases*. Conjugate Hardy's inequalities, decreasing weights.

This work has been partially supported by DGICYT, Grant PB94-0879.

is, if  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$(3) \quad \sup_{r>0} \left( \int_0^r \omega(s) ds \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_r^\infty \frac{\omega(s)^{-p'/p}}{s^{p'}} ds \right)^{1/p'} < \infty$$

and, in the case  $p = 1$ ,

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \omega(s) ds \leq C\omega(r).$$

It is a result by Ariño and Muckenhoupt [1] that the operator

$$P : L_p(\omega)^d \longrightarrow L_p(\omega)$$

is bounded on  $L_p(\omega)^d$  if and only if  $\omega$  satisfies the so-called  $B_p$ -condition:

$$(5) \quad t^p \int_t^\infty \frac{\omega(s)}{s^p} ds \leq C \int_0^t \omega(s) ds.$$

More recently, Neugebauer [3] has shown that  $Q$  is bounded on  $L_p(\omega)^d$  if and only if

$$(6) \quad \int_0^t P\omega(s) ds \leq C \int_0^t \omega(s) ds.$$

Thus, the boundedness of  $Q$  on  $L_p(\omega)^d$  does not depend on  $p \geq 1$ .

If  $\omega$  is decreasing, we prove that conditions (3), (4) and (6) are equivalent. Hence,  $Q$  is bounded on  $L_p(\omega)$  if and only if the decreasing weight  $\omega$  satisfies (6). For decreasing  $\omega$ , (3), (4) and (6) are essentially a rate of decrease condition; this is proved in Proposition 2.

By  $h_1(t) \simeq h_2(t)$  we mean that  $h_1(t) \leq C_1 h_2(t)$  and  $h_2(t) \leq C_2 h_1(t)$ .

## 2. THE BOUNDEDNESS THEOREM FOR THE CONJUGATE HARDY OPERATOR

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\omega$  be a decreasing weight. If  $Q$  is bounded on  $L_p(\omega)^d$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), it is also bounded on  $L_p(\omega)$ .*

*Proof.* We start by showing that (3) and (4) are equivalent.

Obviously, (3) implies (4), since  $\omega$  is decreasing and then

$$\int_0^r \omega(s) ds \leq C_1 \omega(r) \left( \int_r^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{p'}} \right)^{-p'/p} = Cr\omega(r).$$

Assume now that  $\omega$  has property (4). Since  $\omega$  is decreasing,

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{\omega(s)^{-p'/p}}{s^{p'}} ds = \int_r^\infty \frac{\omega(s)}{(s\omega(s))^{p'}} ds \leq C \int_r^\infty \frac{\omega(s)}{\left( \int_0^\infty \omega(t) dt \right)^{p'}} ds,$$

and on performing the integration in the last expression we obtain

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{\omega(s)^{-p'/p}}{s^{p'}} ds \leq \frac{C}{p' - 1} \left( \int_0^r \omega(t) dt \right)^{-p'/p},$$

which is condition (3).

Obviously, (4) implies (6). To prove that (6) implies (4) we first observe that, for  $a > 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \omega(s) ds = \frac{1}{r \log a} \int_r^{ar} \frac{\int_0^r \omega(s) ds}{t} dt \leq \frac{1}{r \log a} \int_r^{ar} P\omega(t) dt.$$

Now, (6) and the monotonicity of  $\omega$  show that

$$\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \omega(s) ds \leq \frac{C}{r \log a} \int_0^{ar} \omega(s) ds \leq \frac{C}{r \log a} \int_0^r \omega(s) ds + \frac{C(a-1)}{\log a} \omega(r),$$

whence

$$\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \omega(s) ds \left(1 - \frac{C}{\log a}\right) \leq \frac{C(a-1)}{\log a} \omega(r),$$

and (4) follows taking  $a = e^{2C}$ . □

Property (4) is a decrease condition on  $\omega$ :

**Proposition 1.** *For a decreasing weight  $w$ , the conditions*

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \omega(t) dt \simeq \omega(s) \quad (i.e., \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \omega(t) dt \leq C\omega(s))$$

and

$$(8) \quad \inf_{x>0} \frac{\omega(rx)}{\omega(x)} > \frac{1}{r} \text{ for some constant } r > 1$$

are equivalent.

*Proof.* Assume that (8) holds. Then  $\omega(rx) \geq a\omega(x)$  with  $ar > 1$ , and  $\omega(r^{-n}x)a^n \leq \omega(x)$ . Hence, from

$$\int_0^s \omega(t) dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{s/r^{n+1}}^{s/r^n} \omega(t) dt \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(sr^{-(n+1)})sr^{-n}$$

it follows that

$$\int_0^s \omega(t) dt \leq s\omega(s) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^n a^{n+1}}$$

with  $C = (1/a) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ra)^{-n} < \infty$ .

Assume now that (7) holds and observe that, if  $\tau \geq 1$ ,

$$\omega(\tau x) \geq \frac{1}{C\tau x} \int_0^{\tau x} \omega \geq \frac{1}{C\tau x} \int_0^x \omega \geq \frac{\omega(x)}{C\tau}.$$

Let us see that (7) holds if  $r > \exp(C^2)$ . From the above remark we have

$$\int_1^r \omega(\tau x) d\tau \geq \omega(x) \frac{\log r}{C}$$

and

$$\int_1^r \omega(\tau x) d\tau \leq \int_0^r \omega(\tau x) d\tau = r \left( \frac{1}{rx} \int_0^{rx} \omega(s) ds \right) \leq Cr\omega(rx).$$

Thus, putting together both estimates,

$$\frac{\omega(rx)}{\omega(x)} \geq \frac{1}{r} \frac{\log r}{C^2} > \frac{1}{r}. \quad \square$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very grateful to M.J. Carro and to the referee for their helpful remarks that have simplified the proofs.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ariño and B. Muckenhoupt, Maximal functions on classical Lorentz spaces and Hardy's inequality with weights for nonincreasing functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **320**(1990), 727–735 MR **90k**:42034
- [2] B. Muckenhoupt, Hardy's inequality with weights, *Studia Math.* **44**(1972), 31–38 MR **47**:418
- [3] C.J. Neugebauer, Some classical operators on Lorentz space, *Forum Math.* **4**(1992), 135–146. MR **93i**:42013

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, E-08071  
BARCELONA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `cerda@cerber.mat.ub.es`

*E-mail address:* `martin@cerber.mat.ub.es`