

## LAPLACE TRANSFORMS AND GENERATORS OF SEMIGROUPS OF OPERATORS

JIGEN PENG AND SI-KIT CHUNG

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a characterization for continuous functions on  $(0, \infty)$  to be the Laplace transforms of  $f \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  is obtained. It is also shown that the vector-valued version of this characterization holds if and only if the underlying Banach space has the Radon-Nikodým property. Using these characterizations, some results, different from that of the Hille-Yosida theorem, on generators of semigroups of operators are obtained.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of Laplace transforms plays an important role in the theory of semigroups of operators. Given a function  $F$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , under what conditions is  $F$  the Laplace transform of a certain function  $f$ ? This problem has been investigated extensively. In [7], Widder obtained the following characterization of Laplace transforms of scalar-valued functions:

A function  $F$  on  $(0, \infty)$  is the Laplace transform of  $f \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  if and only if  $F$  is infinitely differentiable and satisfies

$$(W_\infty) \quad \sup\left\{\frac{1}{n!}\lambda^{n+1}F^{(n)}(\lambda) : \lambda > 0, n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}\right\} < \infty.$$

The vector-valued version of Widder's theorem has been investigated by Arendt among others. In [1], Arendt obtained an "integrated version of Widder's theorem" (see [1, Theorem 1.1]), and from this generalization, the relation between the Hille-Yosida theorem and Widder's theorem is revealed.

It is worth noting that in Widder's characterization of Laplace transforms, condition  $(W_\infty)$  involves not only the original function, but also its higher derivatives, and so in certain practical problems it may be difficult to verify condition  $(W_\infty)$ . In Section 2, we give a characterization of Laplace transforms which involves only the original function but not its derivatives. Applications of this characterization can be found in [6].

In the theory of semigroups of operators, it is known that whether a linear operator  $A$  is the generator of a certain semigroup ( $C_0$ -semigroup or integrated semigroup) is related to the Laplace representation of its resolvent  $R(\lambda, A)$  (see [1], [5], [3]). In Section 3, using the results in Section 2, we obtain some characterization

---

Received by the editors March 18, 1996 and, in revised form, January 23, 1997.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D03; Secondary 44A10.

results for generators of semigroups of operators. These results are different from those given by the Hille-Yosida theorem.

2. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF LAPLACE TRANSFORMS

Let  $f \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$ . The Laplace transform  $F$  of  $f$  is given by

$$F(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

The following result gives a characterization of those  $F \in C(0, \infty)$  that are Laplace transform of an element  $f$  in  $L^\infty(0, \infty)$ . This characterization involves only the original function  $F$ , not its higher derivatives.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $F \in C(0, \infty)$ . The following assertions are equivalent.*

1.  $F$  is the Laplace transform of some  $f \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$ .
2. There exists a constant  $M$  such that  $|\lambda F(\lambda)| \leq M$  for a.e.  $\lambda > 0$  and  $|\sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jn} \lambda F(j\lambda)| \leq M$  for a.e.  $\lambda > 0$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .
3. Same as (2), with the inequalities holding for all  $\lambda > 0$  and all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

*Proof.* (1 implies 3) Put  $M = \text{ess sup}_{0 < t < \infty} |f(t)|$ . It is clear that  $|\lambda F(\lambda)| \leq M$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jn} \lambda F(j\lambda)| &= |\int_0^\infty \lambda \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jn} e^{-j\lambda t} f(t) dt| \\ &= |\int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-e^{n-\lambda t}} e^{n-\lambda t} f(t) dt| \\ &\leq M. \end{aligned}$$

(3 implies 2) Obvious.

(2 implies 1) Let  $f_n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jn} \frac{n}{t} F(\frac{jn}{t})$ . Then the given condition on  $F$  implies that there exist  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  such that  $(f_{n_i})$  is a bounded sequence in  $L^\infty(0, \infty)$ . Since  $L^\infty(0, \infty)$  is the dual of the separable space  $L^1(0, \infty)$ ,  $(f_{n_i})$  has a subsequence  $(f_{n_{i_k}})$  which converges in the weak\*-topology to  $f \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$ . In particular, for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f_{n_{i_k}}(t) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{e^{jn}}{(j-1)!} \frac{n}{t} |F(\frac{jn}{t})| e^{-\lambda t} dt < \infty$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{e^{jn}}{(j-1)!} \frac{n}{s} |F(\frac{1}{s})| e^{-\lambda jns} ds < \infty,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f_n(t) e^{-\lambda t} dt &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jn} \frac{n}{t} F(\frac{jn}{t}) e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jn} \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{s} F(\frac{1}{s}) e^{-\lambda jns} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-e^{n(1-\lambda s)}} e^{n(1-\lambda s)} \frac{n}{s} F\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) ds \\
&= \int_{-\frac{n}{n+u}}^\infty e^{-e^{-u}} e^{-u} \frac{n}{n+u} F\left(\frac{\lambda n}{n+u}\right) du \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \chi_{(-n, \infty)} e^{-e^{-u}} e^{-u} \frac{n}{n+u} F\left(\frac{\lambda n}{n+u}\right) du,
\end{aligned}$$

so by the dominated convergence theorem (using the condition that  $|\lambda F(\lambda)| \leq M$  a.e.  $\lambda > 0$ ),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty f_n(t) e^{-\lambda t} dt = \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-e^{-u}} e^{-u} F(\lambda) du = F(\lambda).$$

Hence  $F$  is the Laplace transform of  $f$ .  $\square$

In the proof of the above theorem, we use the following version of the dominated convergence theorem: if  $\int_X \sum_{j=1}^\infty |g_j| < \infty$ , then  $\int_X \sum_{j=1}^\infty g_j = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_X g_j$ . This kind of argument will be used in later proofs and will not be mentioned explicitly.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Suppose a continuous function  $F$  on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfies*

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} |\lambda F(\lambda)| < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0, n \in \mathbf{N}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jn} \lambda F(j\lambda) \right| < \infty.$$

Then  $F$  is infinitely differentiable and can be extended to an analytic function on the right half-plane  $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ .

Note that unlike Bernstein's theorem on completely monotone functions (see [7]), the condition given in the above corollary does not involve higher derivatives of  $F$ .

Next we want to consider Laplace transforms of vector-valued functions. Given  $f \in L^\infty((0, \infty), E)$ , where  $E$  is a Banach space, using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that the Laplace transform  $F$  of  $f$  satisfies

$$(P_\infty) \quad \sup_{\lambda > 0} \|\lambda F(\lambda)\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\lambda > 0, n \in \mathbf{N}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jn} \lambda F(j\lambda) \right\| < \infty.$$

We will show that the converse holds if  $E$  has the Radon-Nikodým property. In fact, this gives a characterization for Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property. The idea is to show that condition  $(P_\infty)$  is equivalent to Widder's condition.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $F \in C((0, \infty), E)$ . The following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *There exists a Lipschitz continuous function  $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow E$  with  $\alpha(0) = 0$  such that*

$$F(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \alpha(t) dt \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

2.  *$F$  satisfies condition  $(P_\infty)$ .*
3.  *$F$  is infinitely differentiable and  $\sup\{\|\frac{1}{n!} \lambda^{n+1} F^{(n)}(\lambda)\| : \lambda > 0, n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}\} < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* (1 implies 2) Let  $x^* \in E^*$ . Consider the scalar-valued function  $g(t) = \langle \alpha(t), x^* \rangle$ . The conditions on  $\alpha$  imply that there exists  $f \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  such that  $g(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . So for every  $\lambda > 0$ , we have (using Fubini's theorem)

$$\langle F(\lambda), x^* \rangle = \int_0^\infty \left( \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \int_0^t f(s) ds \right) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt.$$

Using the proof of Theorem 2.1 together with the uniform boundedness principle, we see that  $F$  satisfies condition  $(P_\infty)$ .

(2 implies 1) For every  $x^* \in E^*$ , we consider the function  $\lambda \mapsto \langle F(\lambda), x^* \rangle$ . By Theorem 2.1, there exists  $\tilde{f}_{x^*} \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  such that

$$\langle F(\lambda), x^* \rangle = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \tilde{f}_{x^*}(t) dt \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

It follows from the proof of [1, Theorem 1.1] that there exists a function  $\alpha$  which satisfies the requirements.

The equivalence of 1 and 3 is just [1, Theorem 1.1]. □

**Theorem 2.4.** *A Banach space  $E$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if every  $F \in C((0, \infty), E)$  satisfying condition  $(P_\infty)$  is the Laplace transform of some  $f \in L^\infty((0, \infty), E)$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3 and [1, Theorem 1.4]. □

*Remark 2.1.* If  $E$  is a dual space and has the Radon-Nikodým property, then  $L^\infty((0, \infty), E)$  is a dual space (see [4]). So given  $F \in C((0, \infty), E)$  satisfying condition  $(P_\infty)$ , the bounded sequence  $(f_n)$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.1 has a weak\* limit  $f$  which is the inverse Laplace transform of  $F$ .

For continuous  $f \in L^\infty((0, \infty), E)$ , where  $E$  is a Banach space not necessarily possessing the Radon-Nikodým property, we have the following inversion formula.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space. Let  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow E$  be a bounded continuous function and  $F$  its Laplace transform. Then*

$$f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jnt} n F(jn) \quad \forall t > 0,$$

*the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of  $(0, \infty)$ , and uniform on bounded subsets of  $(0, \infty)$  if  $f(0+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} f(t)$  exists, and in this case,*

$$f(0+) = (1 - e^{-1})^{-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} F(jn).$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \geq 0$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jnt} n F(jn) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jnt} e^{-jnr} f(r) dr \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty n e^{-e^{n(t-r)}} e^{n(t-r)} f(r) dr \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-nt}^\infty e^{-e^{-u}} e^{-u} f\left(\frac{nt+u}{n}\right) du \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-e^{-u}} e^{-u} f(t) du & \text{if } t > 0, \\ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-e^{-u}} e^{-u} f(0+) du & \text{if } t = 0 \text{ and } f(0+) \text{ exists,} \end{cases}$$

where the last equality follows from the dominated convergence theorem and the condition that  $f$  is continuous. Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$  for  $0 < a < b < \infty$  (on  $(0, b]$  if  $f(0+)$  exists), the convergence given in the last equality is uniform on  $[a, b]$  (on  $(0, b]$  if  $f(0+)$  exists).  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* Using the same idea as in the above proof, we see that the sequence  $(f_n)$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.1 converges to  $f$  for all  $t > 0$  if  $f$  is continuous. However, we cannot consider the convergence at  $t = 0$  for this sequence.

### 3. SEMIGROUPS OF OPERATORS

Let  $E$  be a Banach space. The space of all bounded linear operators from  $E$  into itself is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ . A family  $(S(t))_{t>0} \subset \mathcal{B}(E)$  is said to be a semigroup if  $S(s+t) = S(s)S(t)$  for all  $s, t > 0$ . If  $(S(t))_{t>0}$  is a strongly continuous semigroup and  $\text{SOT-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} S(t) = I := S(0)$ ,  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is called a  $C_0$ -semigroup.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space. Let  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset E \rightarrow E$  be a closed linear operator and let  $w \in \mathbf{R}$ . If there exists a strongly continuous semigroup  $(S(t))_{t>0} \subset \mathcal{B}(E)$  satisfying  $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$  for all  $t > 0$ , where  $M$  is a constant, such that for all  $x \in E$ ,*

$$R(\lambda, A)x = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt \quad \forall \lambda > w,$$

then  $(w, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$  and the function  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  defined by

$$F(\lambda) = R(w + \lambda, A)$$

satisfies condition  $(P_{\infty})$ . The converse is true if  $E$  has the Radon-Nikodým property.

*Proof.* The condition on  $(S(t))_{t>0}$  implies that  $F$  is the Laplace transform (in the strong operator topology) of the bounded function  $t \mapsto e^{-wt}S(t)$ . Hence  $F$  satisfies condition  $(P_{\infty})$ .

Conversely, if  $F$  satisfies condition  $(P_{\infty})$ , by Theorem 2.3, it satisfies the Hille-Yosida condition, namely,

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0, m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} \|(\lambda R(\lambda, A - w))^m\| < \infty.$$

Hence by [1, Theorem 6.2], there exists a strongly continuous semigroup  $(T(t))_{t>0}$  satisfying  $\sup_{t>0} \|T(t)\| < \infty$  such that  $R(\lambda, A - w)x = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dt$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $x \in E$ . Hence  $(S(t) = e^{wt}T(t))_{t>0}$  is the required semigroup.  $\square$

*Remark 3.1.* The converse is also true if  $A$  is densely defined. In this case, the strongly continuous semigroup  $(S(t))_{t>0}$  can be extended to a  $C_0$  semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  (see Corollary 3.7).

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space. Let  $w \in \mathbf{R}$ . Suppose  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset E \rightarrow E$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  with  $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where  $M$  is a constant. Then for every  $x \in E$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)x &= e^{wt} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{jnt} R(jn+w, A)x \quad \text{for } t > 0, \\ (1 - e^{-1})x &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} R(jn+w, A)x, \end{aligned}$$

and the convergence is uniform on  $(0, b]$  for  $0 < b < \infty$ .

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5 □

Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . A strongly continuous family  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(E)$  is called an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup if  $S(0) = 0$  and, for all  $x \in E$ ,

$$S(t)S(s)x = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left[ \int_t^{s+t} (s+t-r)^{n-1} S(r)x \, dr - \int_0^s (s+t-r)^{n-1} S(r)x \, dr \right]$$

$\forall s, t \geq 0$ . For convenience, a  $C_0$ -semigroup is also called a 0-times integrated semigroup.

An  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  (where  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) is said to be

1. exponentially bounded if there exist constants  $M, w$  such that  $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ;
2. non-degenerate if  $S(t)x = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  implies  $x = 0$ ;
3. locally Lipschitz if there exist constants  $M, w$  such that  $\|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq Me^{w(t+h)}h$  for all  $t, h \geq 0$ .

Given a non-degenerate, exponentially bounded  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  (where  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ), there exists a unique operator  $A$  and there exists  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $(a, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$  such that  $R(\lambda, A)x = \int_0^\infty \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x \, dt$  for all  $\lambda > a, x \in E$ . This unique operator is called the generator of  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ . Since we are mainly interested in generators, for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , a non-degenerate, exponentially bounded  $n$ -times integrated semigroup will be called an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup for simplicity.

It should be pointed out that for an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) with  $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , the constant  $w$  must be non-negative. This follows from the equality

$$S(t)x = \frac{t^n}{n!}x + \int_0^t S(s)Ax \, ds,$$

which holds for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Similarly, if  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is locally Lipschitz with  $\|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq Me^{w(t+h)}h$  for all  $t, h \geq 0$ , the constant  $w$  must be non-negative.

If  $A$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , then for every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $A - \lambda$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(\tilde{S}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , where

$$\tilde{S}(t)x = e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^k \binom{n}{k} \int_0^t \int_0^{u_k} \cdots \int_0^{u_2} e^{-\lambda u_1} S(u_1)x \, du_1 \cdots du_k \quad \forall x \in E.$$

(To see this, it suffices to check that  $\int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t} \tilde{S}(t)x \, dt = \frac{1}{\mu^n} R(\mu, A - \lambda)x$ .) The following two lemmas give the relation between the locally Lipschitz constants of  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(\tilde{S}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $A$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying*

$$\|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq Mh \quad \forall t, h \geq 0,$$

where  $M$  is a constant. Then for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $A + \lambda$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(\tilde{S}(t))_{t \geq 0}$  with the property that given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $\tilde{M}$  such that

$$\|\tilde{S}(t+h) - \tilde{S}(t)\| \leq \tilde{M}e^{(\lambda+\epsilon)(t+h)}h \quad \forall t, h \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda, \epsilon > 0$ . Take  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $\|S(t)\| \leq M_1e^{\epsilon t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then for every  $t, h \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{S}(t+h) - \tilde{S}(t)\| &\leq \|e^{\lambda(t+h)}S(t+h) - e^{\lambda t}S(t)\| \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^k \binom{n}{k} \int_t^{t+h} \int_0^{u_k} \dots \int_0^{u_2} e^{\lambda u_1} \|S(u_1)\| du_1 \dots du_k \\ &\leq e^{\lambda(t+h)} \|S(t+h) - S(t)\| + (e^{\lambda(t+h)} - e^{\lambda t}) \|S(t)\| \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^k \binom{n}{k} \int_t^{t+h} \int_0^{u_k} \dots \int_0^{u_2} M_1 e^{(\lambda+\epsilon)u_1} du_1 \dots du_k \\ &\leq M e^{\lambda(t+h)} h + e^{\lambda(t+h)} \lambda h M_1 e^{\epsilon t} + \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^k \binom{n}{k} M_1 (\lambda + \epsilon)^{1-k} e^{(\lambda+\epsilon)(t+h)} h \\ &\leq \left[ M + \lambda M_1 + M_1 \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^k \binom{n}{k} (\lambda + \epsilon)^{1-k} \right] e^{(\lambda+\epsilon)(t+h)} h. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $n = 1$  or  $2$ . Suppose  $A$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying*

$$\|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq M e^{w(t+h)} h \quad \forall t, h \geq 0,$$

where  $M, w$  are constants. Then for every  $\lambda > w$ ,  $A - \lambda$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(\tilde{S}(t))_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying

$$\|\tilde{S}(t+h) - \tilde{S}(t)\| \leq \tilde{M} h \quad \forall t, h \geq 0,$$

where  $\tilde{M}$  is a constant.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda > w$ . Take  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\lambda > w + \epsilon$ . It follows from the condition on  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  that there exists  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $\|S(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{(w+\epsilon)t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . So for every  $t, h \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{S}(t+h) - \tilde{S}(t)\| &\leq \|e^{-\lambda(t+h)}S(t+h) - e^{-\lambda t}S(t)\| + 2\lambda \int_t^{t+h} e^{-\lambda r} \|S(r)\| dr \\ &+ \lambda^2 \int_t^{t+h} \int_0^s e^{-\lambda r} \|S(r)\| dr ds \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda(t+h)} \|S(t+h) - S(t)\| + |e^{-\lambda(t+h)} - e^{-\lambda t}| M_1 e^{(w+\epsilon)t} \\ &+ 2\lambda \int_t^{t+h} M_1 e^{(w+\epsilon-\lambda)r} dr + \lambda^2 \int_t^{t+h} \int_0^s M_1 e^{(w+\epsilon-\lambda)r} dr ds \\ &\leq e^{(w-\lambda)(t+h)} M h + \lambda e^{-\lambda t} h M_1 e^{(w+\epsilon)t} + 2\lambda M_1 h + \lambda^2 M_1 (\lambda - w - \epsilon)^{-1} h \\ &\leq [M + 3\lambda M_1 + \lambda^2 M_1 (\lambda - w - \epsilon)^{-1}] h. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $w, M \geq 0$ . Suppose  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow E$  satisfies  $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} \|F(t+h) - F(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then*

$$\|F(t+h) - F(t)\| \leq Me^{w(t+h)}h \quad \text{for all } t, h \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the result for the case where  $E = \mathbf{R}$ . First, we note that  $F$  is Lipschitz continuous on every bounded interval in  $[0, \infty)$ . Indeed, for every  $\eta > 0$ , take  $M_1 > Me^{w\eta}$ ; then we have  $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} |F(t+h) - F(t)| < M_1$  for all  $t \in [0, \eta)$ . From this it follows that  $|F(t+h) - F(t)| \leq M_1h$  whenever  $0 \leq t < t+h \leq \eta$ .

Next, since  $F$  is absolutely continuous on bounded intervals in  $[0, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_0^t F'(s) ds = F(t) - F(0) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Hence for  $t, h \geq 0$ ,

$$|F(t+h) - F(t)| = \left| \int_t^{t+h} F'(s) ds \right| \leq \int_t^{t+h} Me^{ws} ds \leq Me^{w(t+h)}h.$$

□

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset E \rightarrow E$  be a linear operator.*

1. *Let  $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Suppose there exists  $w \geq 0$  such that  $(w, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$  and the function  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  defined by*

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} R(w + \lambda, A)$$

*satisfies condition  $(P_\infty)$ . Then  $A$  generates an  $(n+1)$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  with the property that, given any  $w_1 > w$ , there exists  $M_1 > 0$  such that*

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} \|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{w_1 t} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

2. *Let  $n = 0$  or  $1$ . Suppose  $A$  generates an  $(n+1)$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying*

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} \|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{w_1 t} \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

*where  $M_1, w_1$  are constants. Then  $(w_1, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$  and for every  $w > w_1$ , the function  $F_w : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  defined by*

$$F_w(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} R(w + \lambda, A)$$

*satisfies condition  $(P_\infty)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) By Theorem 2.3, there exists a constant  $M > 0$  and a function  $T : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  satisfying  $T(0) = 0$  and  $\|T(t+h) - T(t)\| \leq Mh$  for all  $t, h \geq 0$  such that for all  $x \in E$ ,

$$R(\lambda, A - w)x = \int_0^\infty \lambda^{n+1} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dt \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

By [1, Theorem 3.1],  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is an  $(n+1)$ -times integrated semigroup with generator  $A - w$ . Hence by Lemma 3.3,  $A$  generates an  $(n+1)$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  with the required property.



(2) For every  $w > w_1$ , by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.4,  $A - w$  generates a Lipschitz continuous  $(n + 1)$ -times integrated semigroup. Hence by Theorem 2.3,  $F_w$  satisfies condition  $(P_\infty)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* The second assertion in the above theorem does not hold if  $n \geq 2$ . For example, in  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $A = -1$  generates a 3-times integrated semigroup  $(S(t) = -e^{-t} + \frac{t^2}{2} - t + 1)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying  $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} \|S(t+h) - S(t)\| \leq 2e^t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . However,  $F_w(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\lambda+w+1)}$  does not satisfy condition  $(P_\infty)$  for any  $w$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset E \rightarrow E$  be closed and densely defined and let  $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . If there exists  $w > 0$  such that  $(w, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$  and the function  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$  defined by*

$$F(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} R(w + \lambda, A)$$

*satisfies condition  $(P_\infty)$ , then  $A$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup. The converse is true for  $n = 0, 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  satisfies the given condition, then by Theorem 3.6,  $A$  generates a locally Lipschitz  $(n + 1)$ -times integrated semigroup. Hence by [1, Corollary 4.2],  $A$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup.

Conversely, for  $n = 0$  or  $1$ , if  $A$  generates an  $n$ -times integrated semigroup  $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$  with  $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{w_1 t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where  $M, w_1$  are constants, then  $A$  generates an  $(n + 1)$ -times integrated semigroup  $(\tilde{S}(t) = \int_0^t S(r) dr)_{t \geq 0}$  (in the strong operator topology) satisfying  $\|\tilde{S}(t+h) - \tilde{S}(t)\| \leq Me^{w_1(t+h)}h$  for all  $t, h \geq 0$ . Hence the required result follows from Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

To close our discussion, we give the following example studied in [6].

**Example 3.1.** Let  $E = L^1[0, R] \times L^1[0, R]$ , where  $R$  is a positive constant (larger than the life span of human beings). Let  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset E \rightarrow E$  be given by

$$A\varphi = (-\varphi'_1 - (\mu + \delta)\varphi_1 + \sigma\varphi_2, -\varphi'_2 - (\tilde{\mu} + \sigma)\varphi_2 + \sigma\varphi_1),$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  consists of all  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in E$  with  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  absolutely continuous and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(0) &= \beta \int_0^R h(r)k(r)\varphi_1(r) dr + \tilde{\beta} \int_0^R \tilde{h}(r)\tilde{k}(r)\varphi_2(r) dr, \\ \varphi_2(0) &= \alpha \int_0^R h(r)k(r)\varphi_1(r) dr + \tilde{\alpha} \int_0^R \tilde{h}(r)\tilde{k}(r)\varphi_2(r) dr, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mu, \tilde{\mu}, \sigma, \delta, k, \tilde{k}, h, \tilde{h}$  are nonnegative measurable functions on  $[0, R]$  ( $\mu, \tilde{\mu}$  are the age specific mortality moduli of normal and disabled people;  $0 \leq \sigma(r), \delta(r) \leq 1$  represent the recover rate and disabled rate at age  $r$ ;  $0 < k(r), \tilde{k}(r) < 1$  represent the proportion of the female population and that of the female disabled population of age  $r$ ;  $h, \tilde{h}$  with  $L^1$ -norm equal to 1 are the birth modes of females and disabled females respectively) and  $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \beta, \tilde{\beta}$  are constants (which, in fact, depend on government population policy). Then  $A$  satisfies the conditions given in Corollary 3.7 for  $n = 0$  (for details, see [6]) and thus generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank the referee for his suggestions and comments on the original version of this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arendt, *Vector-valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Isreal J. Math. 59 (1987), 327-352. MR **89a**:47064
- [2] E.B. Davies, "One-parameter semigroups", Academic Press, London, 1980. MR **82i**:47060
- [3] E.B. Davies and M.M. Pang, *The Cauchy problem and a generalization of the Hille-Yosida theorem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 55 (1987), 181-208. MR **88e**:34100
- [4] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, "Vector Measures", Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977. MR **56**:12216
- [5] R. deLaubenfels, *Integrated semigroups, C-semigroups and abstract Cauchy problem*, Semigroup Forum 41 (1990), 83-95. MR **91b**:47092
- [6] X. Li and J. Peng, *Analysis of disabled population systems* (Chinese), J. Xi'an Jiaotong Univ. 30 (1996) No. 10, 115-122. CMP 97:09
- [7] D.V. Widder, "The Laplace transform", Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946. MR **3**:232d

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XI'AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710049, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG UNIVERSITY, HONG KONG