

## A LERAY-SCHAUDER TYPE THEOREM FOR APPROXIMABLE MAPS: A SIMPLE PROOF

H. BEN-EL-MECHAIEKH, S. CHEBBI, AND M. FLORENZANO

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** We present a simple and direct proof for a Leray-Schauder type alternative for a large class of condensing or compact set-valued maps containing convex as well as nonconvex maps.

The aim of this note is to extend the Leray-Schauder type nonlinear alternative presented in [BI] to a condensing upper semicontinuous approximable set-valued map  $F: X \rightarrow E$  when  $X$  is a closed subset with nonempty interior of a locally convex topological vector space  $E$ . The proof presented here is even shorter and simpler than the one given in [BI].

In what follows  $E$  stands for a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space with a fundamental basis  $\mathcal{N}$  of convex, symmetric neighborhoods of the origin; if  $X, Y$  are nonempty subsets of  $E$ , then  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  is a set-valued map with nonempty values (simply called *map*). The boundary, the interior, the closure, and the convex hull of a subset  $A$  in  $E$  are denoted by  $\partial A$ ,  $\text{int } A$ ,  $\bar{A}$ , and  $\text{co } A$  respectively.

**Definition 1.**  $F$  is said to be *upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.)* on  $X$  if and only if for any open subset  $V$  of  $Y$ , the set  $\{x \in X: F(x) \subset V\}$  is open in  $X$ .

**Definitions 2** ([BD], [BI], see also [GGK] for metric spaces). (1) Given  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}$ , a function  $s: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a  $(U, V)$ -*approximative selection* of  $F$  if for any  $x \in X$ ,  $s(x) \in (F[(x + U) \cap X] + V) \cap Y$ .

(2)  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *approachable* if it has a continuous  $(U, V)$ -approximative selection for any  $(U, V) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ .  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  denotes the class of such maps. We write  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  for  $\mathcal{A}(X, X)$ .

(3)  $F$  is said to be *approximable* if its restriction  $F|_K$  to any compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  is approachable.

Note that an approachable map is approximable (cf. [B]).

**Examples.** It is well-known that if  $F$  is *u.s.c.* with nonempty convex values, then  $F$  is approachable provided  $X$  is paracompact and  $Y$  is convex (cf. [DG]). Obviously,  $F$  is approximable without conditions on  $X$  (see [C]).

---

Received by the editors August 12, 1996 and, in revised form, January 16, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H04, 47H10, 54C60.

*Key words and phrases.* Leray-Schauder alternative, approximable set-valued maps, condensing, compact.

In the absence of convexity, we have:

Assume that  $X$  is dominated by finite polyhedra (e.g.  $X$  is a compact ANR) and that  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  is a *u.s.c.* map with nonempty compact values. Then  $F$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  if either one of the following situations holds:

- (a)  $Y$  is an ANR and the values of  $F$  are contractible ([BD]).
- (b) The values of  $F$  are  $\infty$ -proximally connected in  $Y$  ([GGK]), see also [BD]).

**Definitions 3** ([PF], see also [CF]). (1) If  $C$  is a lattice with a minimal element, denoted by 0, a function  $\Phi: 2^E \rightarrow C$  is called a *measure of noncompactness* provided that the following conditions hold for any  $A, B \in 2^E$ :

- (i)  $\Phi(\overline{\text{co}}(A)) = \Phi(A)$ ;
- (ii)  $\Phi(A) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is precompact;
- (iii)  $\Phi(A \cup B) = \max\{\Phi(A), \Phi(B)\}$ .

(2)  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\Phi$ -condensing provided that if  $A \subset X$  with  $\Phi(F(A)) \geq \Phi(A)$ , then  $A$  is relatively compact.

It should be noticed that there exist  $\Phi$ -condensing maps  $F: X \rightarrow E$  only if, for the subsets of  $X$ , precompactness coincides with relative compactness. On the other hand, a compact map  $F: X \rightarrow E$  is  $\Phi$ -condensing if either the domain  $X$  is complete or if  $E$  is quasicomplete. Every map defined on a compact set is necessarily  $\Phi$ -condensing.

The following finite-type approximation property of compact approximable maps plays a crucial role in the proof of the main theorem of this note.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $F: X \rightarrow E$  be a compact approximable map. Given any  $V \in \mathcal{N}$ , there exist a finite subset  $N_V$  of  $\overline{F(X)}$  and an approximable map  $F_V$  with values in  $\text{co}(N_V)$  such that  $F_V(x) \subset F(x) + V$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Moreover,  $F_V$  is *u.s.c.* with nonempty closed values whenever  $F$  has the same properties.*

*If  $F \in \mathcal{A}(X, E)$  takes its values in a convex compact subset  $K$  of  $E$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{A}(X, K)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  be arbitrary, and let  $N_V = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a finite subset of  $\overline{F(X)}$  such that the collection  $\{y_i + \frac{1}{6}V : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  forms an open cover of the compact set  $\overline{F(X)}$ . Consider the Schauder projection (cf. [DG])  $\pi_V: \bigcup_{i=1}^n (y_i + \frac{1}{3}V) \rightarrow \text{co}(N_V)$  defined by:

$$\pi_V(y) := \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(y)} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(y) y_i, \quad \text{for all } y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left( y_i + \frac{1}{3}V \right),$$

where  $\mu_i(y) = \max\{0, 1 - p_{\frac{1}{3}V}(y - y_i)\}$  and  $p_{\frac{1}{3}V}$  is the Minkowski functional of  $\frac{1}{3}V$ . One readily verifies that:

$$\pi_V(y) - y \in \frac{1}{3}V, \quad \text{for all } y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left( y_i + \frac{1}{3}V \right).$$

Define the map  $F_V: X \rightarrow \text{co}(N_V)$  as the composition product  $F_V := \pi_V \circ F$ . If  $F$  is approximable,  $F_V$  is approximable since its restriction to any compact subset of  $X$  is approachable as the composition product of two approachable maps (see [B, Proposition 2.5]). Moreover,  $F_V(x) \subset F(x) + V$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $F_V$  is *u.s.c.* and it has nonempty compact values whenever  $F$  has the same properties.

If  $F \in \mathcal{A}(X, E)$  has values in a convex compact subset  $K$  of  $E$ , for a given  $U \in \mathcal{N}$ , let  $s: X \rightarrow E$  be a continuous  $(U, \frac{1}{6}V)$ -approximative selection of  $F$ . Then one

has for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$s(x) \in F((x + U) \cap X) + \frac{1}{6}V \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left(y_i + \frac{1}{6}V\right) + \frac{1}{6}V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left(y_i + \frac{1}{3}V\right),$$

$$\pi_V(s(x)) \in s(x) + \frac{1}{3}V \subset F((x + U) \cap X) + \frac{1}{6}V + \frac{1}{3}V \subset F((x + U) \cap X) + V.$$

That is,  $\pi_V \circ s$  is a continuous  $(U, V)$ -approximative selection of  $F$  with values in  $\text{co}(N_V) \subset K$ . □

We shall also need the following generalization of the Fan-Kakutani fixed point theorem.

**Lemma 2** ([BD]). *Assume that  $X$  is convex and compact in  $E$  and that  $F \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  is u.s.c. with nonempty closed values. Then  $F$  has a fixed point, that is, a point  $x_0 \in X$  with  $x_0 \in F(x_0)$ .*

Finally, we prove for condensing maps the following useful result (see [PF]).

**Lemma 3.** *Assume that  $X$  is a nonempty subset of  $E$  and that  $F: X \rightarrow E$  is a  $\Phi$ -condensing map. Then there exists a nonempty compact and convex subset  $K$  of  $E$  such that  $F(K \cap X) \subset K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be fixed. Let us consider the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of all closed convex subsets  $C$  of  $E$  such that  $x_0 \in C$  and  $F(C \cap X) \subset C$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\overline{\text{co}}(F(X) \cup \{x_0\}) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $K = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{F}} C$ .  $K$  is convex and closed and  $x_0 \in K$ . If  $x \in K \cap X$ ,  $F(x) \subset C$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ , so that  $F(K \cap X) \subset K$  and thus  $K \in \mathcal{F}$ . It remains to prove that  $K$  is compact. If  $K$  is not compact, then  $\Phi(F(K)) \not\leq \Phi(K)$ , since  $F$  is  $\Phi$ -condensing. Let  $K' = \overline{\text{co}}(\{x_0\} \cup F(K \cap X))$ . Then  $K' \subset K$  which implies that  $F(K' \cap X) \subset F(K \cap X) \subset K'$ . Hence  $K' \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $K \subset K'$ . Therefore  $K = K'$ ,  $\Phi(K) = \Phi(K') = \Phi(F(K \cap X)) \leq \Phi(F(K))$  which contradicts  $\Phi(F(K)) \not\leq \Phi(K)$ . □

We are ready now to present the main result of this note.

**Theorem.** *Assume that  $X$  is a closed subset of  $E$  with boundary  $\partial X$  and that  $0$  is an interior point of  $X$ . Let  $F: X \rightarrow E$  be a  $\Phi$ -condensing or compact u.s.c. approximable map with nonempty closed values. Then one of the following properties holds:*

- (1)  $\exists x_0 \in X$ , with  $x_0 \in F(x_0)$ ;
- (2)  $\exists \hat{x} \in \partial X$ ,  $\exists \lambda \in (0, 1)$ , with  $\hat{x} \in \lambda F(\hat{x})$ .

*Proof. Case 1.*  $F$  is  $\Phi$ -condensing.

Suppose for each  $x \in X$ ,  $x \notin F(x)$  and for each  $(\lambda, x) \in (0, 1) \times \partial X$ ,  $x \notin \lambda F(x)$ . By Lemma 3, there exists a nonempty convex and compact subset  $K$  of  $E$  such that  $F(K \cap X) \subset K$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $0 \in K$ . Since  $K \cap X$  is compact,  $F|_{K \cap X} \in \mathcal{A}(K \cap X, E)$  and, by Lemma 1,  $F|_{K \cap X} \in \mathcal{A}(K \cap X, K)$ . Let  $F': K \rightarrow K$  be the map defined by:

$$F'(x) := \begin{cases} F(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{int } X, \\ K & \text{if } x \notin \text{int } X; \end{cases}$$

$F'$  is *u.s.c.* with nonempty closed values. We first claim that  $F' \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ . Indeed, let  $(U, V) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$  be arbitrary and  $s: K \cap X \rightarrow K$  be a continuous  $(U, \frac{1}{2}V)$ -approximative selection of  $F|_{K \cap X}$ . By Proposition 1.6 of [BD], there exists a continuous function  $s': K \rightarrow K$  such that  $s$  and  $s'|_{K \cap X}$  are  $\frac{1}{2}V$ -near. Therefore  $s'$  is a continuous  $(U, V)$ -approximative selection of  $F'$ .

Consider now the set  $C = \{x \in X \cap K | x \in \lambda F(x) \text{ for some } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$ .  $C$  is nonempty ( $0 \in C$ ) and  $C$  is closed ( $F$  is *u.s.c.* and  $F(X \cap K) \subset K$ ), hence compact. Since  $E$  is Hausdorff, it is in fact a uniformizable space, hence completely regular (see [K], p. 47). Since  $C \cap (E \setminus \text{int } X) = \emptyset$ , there is a continuous function  $a: E \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $a(x) = 1$  for  $x \in C$  and  $a(x) = 0$  for  $x \in E \setminus \text{int } X$ . Let  $G: K \rightarrow K$  be the map defined by:

$$G(x) := a(x)F'(x).$$

$G$  is *u.s.c.* with nonempty closed values and by Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 of [B],  $G \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ . By Lemma 2,  $G$  has a fixed point  $x_0 \in K$ ,  $x_0 \in a(x_0)F'(x_0)$ . If  $x_0 \notin \text{int } X$ ,  $a(x_0) = 0$  and  $x_0 = 0$ , which contradicts the hypothesis  $0 \in \text{int } X$ . If  $x_0 \in \text{int } X$ ,  $x_0 \in a(x_0)F(x_0)$ , hence  $x_0 \in C$ ,  $a(x_0) = 1$  and  $x_0$  is a fixed point of  $F$ , another contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $F$  is compact.

Let  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  be arbitrary but fixed. Consider the finite subset  $N_V$  of  $\overline{F(X)}$  and the approximable map  $F_V: X \rightarrow \text{co}(N_V)$  verifying  $F_V(x) \subset F(x) + V$ , for all  $x \in X$ , both provided by Lemma 1. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $0 \in \text{co}(N_V)$  (otherwise, replace  $\text{co}(N_V)$  by  $\text{co}(0 \cup N_V)$ ) and note that  $F_V|_{X \cap \text{co}(N_V)} \in \mathcal{A}(X \cap \text{co}(N_V), \text{co}(N_V))$ .

The same proof as in Case 1 applied to  $F_V|_{X \cap \text{co}(N_V)}$  in place of  $F|_{X \cap K}$  leads to the following alternative:

- (1) $_V \exists x_V \in X$ , with  $x_V \in F_V(x_V)$ ; or
- (2) $_V \exists x_V \in \partial X$ ,  $\exists \lambda_V \in (0, 1)$  with  $x_V \in \lambda_V F_V(x_V)$ .

A straightforward argument (see [BI]) based on the compactness of  $F$ , its upper semicontinuity and the closedness of its values ends the proof.  $\square$

We conclude the note with some remarks.

*Remarks.* (i) Obviously, if  $X$  is complete or  $E$  is quasicomplete, the result in the compact case follows from the result in the condensing case.

(ii) The previous result extends the Theorem in [R3] proved for convex-valued maps. Our proof is an adaptation of its proof. For  $\Phi$ -condensing convex-valued maps, the result was obtained in [PF] using a topological degree argument.

(iii) Note that for  $F$  compact, the preceding theorem reduces to the Theorem in [BI]. However, the proof provided here is much shorter and simpler.

(iv) The single-valued condensing case was treated in [R1], [R2]. We also refer to [DG] for a treatment of the single valued compact case based on the theory of transversality and to [BI] for other references and comments.

(v) After acceptance of this note, reference [P] came to the authors' attention. There, Case 2 of our main theorem is treated, independently, with a similar argument.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The first author acknowledges the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

## REFERENCES

- [B] H. Bel El Mechaiekh, *Continuous approximations of multifunctions, fixed points and coincidences*, in Approximation and Optimization in the Carribean II, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Approximation and Optimization in the Carribean, Florenzano et al. Eds., Verlag Peter Lang, Frankfurt, (1995), 69–97.
- [BD] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh and P. Deguire, *Approachability and fixed points for non-convex set-valued maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **170** (1992), 477–500. MR **94a**:54103
- [BI] H. Bel-El-Mechaiekh and A. Idzik, *A Leray-Schauder type theorem for approximable maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), 105–109. MR **94k**:54074
- [C] A. Cellina, *A theorem on the approximation of compact multivalued mappings*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei (8) **47** (1969), 429–433. MR **43**:2676
- [CF] S. Chebbi and M. Florenzano, *Maximal elements and equilibria for condensing correspondences*, Cahiers Eco-Math **95.18** (1995), CERMSEM, Université de Paris I, to appear in Nonlinear Analysis.
- [DG] J. Dugundji and A. Granas, *Fixed point theory I*, Monografie Math. **61**, PWN, Warszawa, 1982. MR **83j**:54038
- [GGK] L. Górniewicz, A. Granas and W. Kryszewski, *Sur la methode de l'homotopie dans la theorie des points fixes pour les applications multivoques, Partie I: Transversalité topologique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **307** (1988), 489–492; *Partie II: L'indice dans les ANRs compacts*, **308** (1989), 449–452. MR **90g**:55002; MR **90j**:55004
- [K] G. Köthe, *Topological Vector Spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1969. MR **40**:1750
- [P] S. Park, *Fixed points of approximable maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 3109–3114. MR **96m**:47108
- [PF] W. V. Petryshyn and P. M. Fitzpatrick, *A degree theory, fixed point theorems and mapping theorems for multivalued noncompact mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **194** (1974), 1–25.
- [R1] S. Reich, *Fixed points in locally convex spaces*, Mat. Z. **125** (1972), 17–31. MR **46**:6110
- [R2] S. Reich, *Fixed points of condensing functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **41** (1973), 460–467. MR **48**:971
- [R3] S. Reich, *A remark on set-valued mappings that satisfy the Leray-Schauder condition I, II*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei **61** (1976), 193–194; **66** (1979), 1–2.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROCK UNIVERSITY, ST. CATHARINES, ONTARIO, CANADA L2S 3A1

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, The American University of Sharjah, P.O. Box 26666, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

*E-mail address:* [hmechaiekh@aus.ac.ae](mailto:hmechaiekh@aus.ac.ae)

CERMSEM, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS I, 106-112 BD DE L'HOPITAL, 75013 PARIS, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* [chebbi@univ-paris1.fr](mailto:chebbi@univ-paris1.fr)

CNRS-CEPREMAP, 140 RUE DU CHEVALERET, 75013 PARIS, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* [monique.florenzano@cepremap.cnrs.fr](mailto:monique.florenzano@cepremap.cnrs.fr)