

## A NOTE ON SEQUENCES LYING IN THE RANGE OF A VECTOR MEASURE VALUED IN THE BIDUAL

BEGOÑA MARCHENA AND CÁNDIDO PIÑEIRO

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a Banach space. It is unknown if every subset  $A$  of  $X$  lying in the range of an  $X^{**}$ -valued measure is actually contained in the range of an  $X$ -valued measure. In this paper we solve this problem in the case when we consider only vector measures of bounded variation.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. It is still unknown if every subset  $A$  of  $X$  lying in the range of an  $X^{**}$ -valued measure is actually contained in the range of an  $X$ -valued measure. In this paper we only consider vector measures of bounded variation, and solve this problem by exhibiting a sequence  $(x_n)$  in the  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  Banach space  $Y$  of Bourgain and Delbaen [BD] that lies in the range of a  $Y^{**}$ -valued measure of bounded variation but is not contained in the range of a  $Y$ -valued measure with bounded variation. The proof is based in the following result that we prove in section 2:

*A bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  lies inside the range of an  $X^{**}$ -valued measure with bounded variation iff  $(\alpha_n x_n)$  is contained in the range of an  $X$ -valued measure of bounded variation for every  $(\alpha_n) \in c_0$ .*

We start by explaining some basic notation used in this paper. In general, our operator and vector measure terminology and notation follow [Ps] and [DU]. We only consider real Banach spaces. If  $X$  is such a space,  $B_X$  will denote its closed unit ball. The phrase “range of an  $X$ -valued measure” always means a set of the form  $rg(F) = \{F(A) : A \in \Sigma\}$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  and  $F : \Sigma \rightarrow X$  is countably additive.

### THE MAIN STEP

For simplicity, we denote by  $R_{bv}(X)$  the vector space of all sequences  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  lying in the range of an  $X$ -valued measure of bounded variation. We have obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be a bounded sequence in  $X$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- i)  $(x_n) \in R_{bv}(X^{**})$ ,

---

Received by the editors October 14, 1996 and, in revised form, March 14, 1997.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46G10, 47B10.

- ii)  $(\alpha_n x_n) \in R_{bv}(X)$  for every  $(\alpha_n) \in c_0$ ,
- iii)  $(\alpha_n x_n) \in R_{bv}(X^{**})$  for every  $(\alpha_n) \in c_0$ .

*Proof.*  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$  Suppose  $(x_n)$  is a sequence lying in the range of a vector measure valued in the bidual space  $X^{**}$  and having bounded variation. By [Pi1, Lemma 2] the operator  $\Sigma e_n^* \otimes x_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$  is integral (here  $(e_n^*)$  is the unit basis of  $\ell_\infty$ ). If  $(\alpha_n)$  is a null sequence of real numbers, the operator  $\Sigma e_n^* \otimes \alpha_n x_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$  is the composition of  $\Sigma e_n^* \otimes x_n$  with the diagonal compact operator  $(\beta_n) \in \ell_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_n \beta_n) \in \ell_1$ . So Grothendieck's Theorem [DU, p.252] tells us that  $\Sigma e_n^* \otimes (\alpha_n x_n) : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$  is nuclear. Again it follows from [Pi1, Lemma 2] that  $(\alpha_n x_n)$  belongs to  $R_{bv}(X)$ .

$ii) \Rightarrow iii)$  This is obvious.

$iii) \Rightarrow i)$  By hypotheses we can consider the linear map

$$U : (\alpha_n) \in c_0 \longrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^* \otimes \alpha_n x_n \in I(\ell_1, X).$$

It is a standard argument to prove that  $U$  has closed graph. Since  $\ell_1^* \simeq \ell_\infty$  has the metric approximation property,  $\mathcal{N}(\ell_1, X)$  is isomorphically isometric to a subspace of  $I(\ell_1, X)$  [J, p.410]. As  $U$  maps each finite sequence  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, 0, \dots)$  into a nuclear operator, it is easy to prove that the rank of  $U$  is contained in  $\mathcal{N}(\ell_1, X)$ . We also denote by  $U$  the operator

$$(\alpha_n) \in c_0 \longrightarrow \Sigma e_n^* \otimes \alpha_n x_n \in \mathcal{N}(\ell_1, X).$$

Its dual operator  $U^*$  takes  $\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1^{**})$  into  $\ell_1$ ; in particular,  $\mathcal{K}(X, \ell_1)$  into  $\ell_1$ . Let us prove that  $U^*(\Sigma x_n^* \otimes e_n) = (\langle x_n, x_n^* \rangle)_n$  for every  $T = \Sigma x_n^* \otimes e_n \in \mathcal{K}(X, \ell_1)$  (here  $(e_n)$  is the unit basis of  $\ell_1$ ). Using the trace duality, we obtain the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} U^*(T)_m &= \langle u_m, U^*(T) \rangle = \langle U(u_m), T \rangle = \langle e_m^* \otimes x_m, T \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(T \circ (e_m^* \otimes x_m)) = \langle (\langle x_n, x_n^* \rangle), e_m^* \rangle = \langle x_m, x_m^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, \ell_1)$ ,  $(u_m)$  being the unit basis of  $c_0$ . To conclude the proof it suffices to notice that the adjoint of the operator

$$U^* : \mathcal{K}(X, \ell_1) \longrightarrow \ell_1$$

is defined by

$$(\alpha_n) \in \ell_\infty \longrightarrow \Sigma e_n^* \otimes \alpha_n x_n \in I(\ell_1, X^{**}),$$

which can be proved in the same way using the trace duality. Then we have obtained that  $\Sigma e_n^* \otimes x_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow X^{**}$  is integral and, therefore, so is  $\Sigma e_n^* \otimes x_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$ .  $\square$

If we consider countable additive vector measures, there is not an analogous theorem. To see this, let  $X$  be a non-reflexive  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  space. By the non-reflexivity, there is a bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  that is not contained in the range of any  $X^{**}$ -valued measure. Nevertheless, by [PR, Theorem 3.6]  $(\alpha_n x_n)$  lies inside the range of an  $X$ -valued measure for every  $(\alpha_n) \in c_0$ .

Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 1, we are going to prove a partial result in this general context. As in [PR], we denote by  $R(X)$  the vector space of all sequences  $(x_n) \in X$  lying in the range of a vector measure. If  $(x_n)$  belongs to  $R(X)$ , we put  $\|(x_n)\|_r = \text{inf } \|m\|$ , where the infimum is taken over all

vector measures  $m$  satisfying

$$\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset rg(m).$$

$(R(X), \|\cdot\|_r)$  is itself a Banach space.

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space satisfying the condition  $\mathcal{K}(X, \ell_1) \subset \Pi_1(X, \ell_1)$ , and  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $X$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- i)  $(x_n) \in R(X)$ ,
- ii)  $(\alpha_n x_n) \in R(X)$  for every  $(\alpha_n) \in c_0$ ,
- iii)  $(x_n) \in R_{bv}(X^{**})$ .

*Proof.* First of all, notice that the inclusion  $\Pi_1(X, \ell_1) \subset \mathcal{K}(X, \ell_1)$  holds for every Banach space  $X$ .

*i)⇒ii)* This is obvious since the closed convex hull of a range is the range of another vector measure [DU, p.274].

*ii)⇒iii)* In this part of the proof we follow the ideas of Theorem 1. We consider the linear map

$$U : (\alpha_n) \in c_0 \longrightarrow (\alpha_n x_n) \in R(X).$$

It is continuous because its graph is closed.

If  $S = \sum x_n^* \otimes e_n \in \Pi_1(X, \ell_1)$ , by [Pi2, Proposition 2] the linear form

$$\psi_s : (z_n) \in R(X) \longrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle z_n, x_n^* \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

is well-defined, continuous, and  $\|\psi_s\| \leq \pi_1(S)$ . Then the linear map

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^* \otimes e_n \in \Pi_1(X, \ell_1) \longrightarrow U^*(\psi_s) \in \ell_1$$

is continuous. In the same way as in Theorem 1 we can prove that this map is defined by

$$\sum x_n^* \otimes e_n \in \Pi_1(X, \ell_1) \longrightarrow (\langle x_n, x_n^* \rangle) \in \ell_1.$$

Since  $K(X, \ell_1) = \Pi_1(X, \ell_1)$ , dualizing again we have

$$(\alpha_n) \in \ell_{\infty} \longrightarrow \sum \alpha_n x_n \otimes e_n^* \in I(\ell_1, X^{**}).$$

This shows that  $\sum x_n \otimes e_n^* : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$  is integral. So  $(x_n) \in R_{bv}(X^{**})$ .

*iii)⇒i)* In [Pi1] it is proved that every sequence in  $X$  lying in the range of a vector measure of bounded variation and valued in some superspace of  $X$  actually belongs to  $R(X)$ . □

In [MR] it is proved that the condition  $K(X, Y) \subset \Pi_1(X, Y)$  implies that  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y) = \Pi_1(X, Y)$ , whenever  $X$  or  $Y$  has the bounded approximation property. So, we have the following equivalence:

$$K(X, \ell_1) \subset \Pi_1(X, \ell_1) \iff \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1) = \Pi_1(X, \ell_1).$$

Recall that a Banach space  $X$  is called a G.T.-space if  $\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_2) = \Pi_1(X, \ell_2)$  [Ps]. In [G, Theorem 2] it is proved that the following statements are equivalent:

- i)  $\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1) = \Pi_1(X, \ell_1)$ ,
- ii)  $\mathcal{L}(X^*, \ell_1) = \Pi_1(X^*, \ell_1)$ .

From this equivalence the next result follows easily.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- i)  $\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1) = \Pi_1(X, \ell_1)$ ,
- ii)  $X$  and  $X^*$  are *G.T.-spaces*.

In fact, if a Banach space  $X$  satisfies one of the two above conditions, then we have

$$\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_1) = \Pi_1(X, \ell_1) = \Pi_2(X, \ell_1).$$

#### THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

Let  $Y$  be the  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  space of Bourgain and Delbaen [BD]. They proved that  $Y$  satisfies the Radon-Nikodým property and has a normalized unconditional basis  $(e_n)$ . We are going to show that  $(e_n)$  lies inside the range of a  $Y^{**}$ -valued measure of bounded variation, but it does not belong to  $R_{bv}(Y)$ . In view of Theorem 1, if we prove that  $(\alpha_n e_n) \in R_{bv}(Y^{**})$  for every  $(\alpha_n) \in c_0$ , then the sequence  $(e_n)$  will belong to  $R_{bv}(Y^{**})$ . Notice that it suffices to consider null sequences  $(\alpha_n)$  such that  $\alpha_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take such a sequence  $(\alpha_n)$ . Since  $Y$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space, it follows from [PR] that  $(\alpha_n e_n)$  lies in the range of a  $Y$ -valued measure. As  $(\alpha_n e_n)$  is an unconditional basis of  $Y$ , by [AD] we have that  $(\alpha_n e_n)$  is a weakly  $\ell_2$ -summable sequence. Then the map

$$A : (\beta_n) \in \ell_1 \longrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \alpha_n e_n \in Y$$

is absolutely summing because it admits the factorization  $A = B \circ I$ ,  $I$  being the inclusion map from  $\ell_1$  to  $\ell_2$  and  $B$  the operator defined by  $B(\beta_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \alpha_n e_n$ . Now recall that absolutely summing and integral operators into an  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space are the same [SR]. So, by [Pi1] the sequence  $(\alpha_n e_n)$  lies in the range of a  $Y^{**}$ -valued measure having bounded variation. Then we have proved that  $(e_n)$  belongs to  $R_{bv}(Y^{**})$ . A final note in [Pi1] tells us that  $(e_n)$  is actually in the range of a  $Y$ -valued measure. Therefore,  $(e_n)$  is an unconditional basis of  $Y$  lying in the range of a vector measure. Again a call to [AD] tells us that  $(e_n)$  is a weakly  $\ell_2$ -summable sequence.

Finally, suppose  $(e_n)$  is contained in the range of a  $Y$ -valued measure with bounded variation. Then the operator  $T : \ell_1 \longrightarrow Y$ , defined by  $T(\beta_n) = \sum \beta_n e_n \in Y$  for all  $(\beta_n) \in \ell_1$ , should be Pietsch-integral [Pi1]. Since  $Y$  is a Radon-Nikodým space, it follows from [DU, Theorem VII.4.8] that  $T$  is even nuclear. Nevertheless,  $T$  is not a compact operator.

#### REFERENCES

- [AD] R. Anantharaman and J. Diestel, *Sequences in the range of a vector measure*, Annales Societatis Mathematicae Polonae, Serie I: Comm. Math. **XXX** (1991), 221-235. MR **92g**:46049
- [BD] J. Bourgain and F. Delbaen, *A class of special  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -spaces*, Acta Math. **145** (1980), 155-176. MR **82h**:46023
- [DU] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr, *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, R.I, 1977. MR **56**:12216
- [G] J.C. García-Vázquez, *Tensor products of vector measures and sequences in the range of a vector measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 3459-3467. MR **97f**:46030
- [J] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981. MR **83h**:46008
- [LR] J. Lindenstrauss and H.P. Rosenthal, *The  $\mathcal{L}_p$  spaces*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 325-349. MR **42**:5012

- [MR] J.S. Morrel and J.R. Retherford, *P-Trivial Banach Spaces*, *Studia Math.* **XLIII(1)** (1972), 1-25. MR **47**:2321
- [Pi1] C. Piñeiro, *Sequences in the range of a vector measure with bounded variation*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 3329-3334. MR **96a**:46033
- [Pi2] C. Piñeiro, *On  $p$ -summable sequences in the range of a vector measure*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 2073-2082. MR **97i**:46082
- [PR] C. Piñeiro and L. Rodriguez-Piazza, *Banach spaces in which every compact lies inside the range of a measure*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992), 505-517. MR **92e**:46038
- [Ps] G. Pisier, *Factorization of linear operators and geometry of Banach spaces*, CBMS, vol.60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986. MR **88a**:47020
- [SR] C.P. Steagall and J.R. Retherford, *Fully nuclear and completely nuclear operators with applications to  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -spaces*, *Transac. Amer. Math. Soc.* **163** (1972), 457-491. MR **54**:3368

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, ESCUELA POLITÉCNICA SUPERIOR, UNIVERSIDAD DE HUELVA, 21810 LA RÁBIDA, HUELVA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* `candido@uhu.es`