

THE FUNCTION $(b^x - a^x)/x$: INEQUALITIES AND PROPERTIES

FENG QI AND SEN-LIN XU

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. In the article, some properties and inequalities of the function $\int_a^b t^{x-1} dt$ are given by analytic method and the mathematical induction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} g(x) &= (b^x - a^x)/x, \quad b > a > 0, \quad x \neq 0; \\ g(0) &= \ln b - \ln a. \end{aligned}$$

Define a function $U_n(x; t)$ such that

$$(2) \quad U_0(x; t) = t^x, \quad x \partial U_n(x; t) / \partial x - (n+1) U_n(x; t) = U_{n+1}(x; t)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [a, b]$.

It is easy to see that

$$(3) \quad g^{(n)}(x) = \int_a^b (\ln t)^n t^{x-1} dt, \quad b > a > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this article, by analytic method and mathematical induction, the functions $g(x)$ and $U_n(x, t)$ are researched, and some properties and inequalities of them are given, that is,

Proposition 1. *Let $g = g(x) = \int_a^b t^{x-1} dt$. Then, for $k, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$(4) \quad g^{(2(i+k)+1)} g^{(2(j+k)+1)} < g^{(2k)} g^{(2(i+j+k+1))}.$$

The ratio $g^{(2(j+k)+1)}(x)/g^{(2k)}(x)$ is increasing in x .

Proposition 2. *The function $g(x)$ satisfies*

$$(5) \quad g^{(n)}(x) = (U_n(x; b) - U_n(x; a))/x^{n+1},$$

$$(6) \quad \partial U_n(x, t) / \partial t = x^{n+1} (\ln t)^n t^{x-1}.$$

Received by the editors July 17, 1996 and, in revised form, April 8, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A48; Secondary 26D07.

Key words and phrases. Absolutely monotonic, completely monotonic, absolutely convex, regularly monotonic, property, inequality, mathematical induction, Tchebycheff integral inequality.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant 974050400 of Henan Province, People's Republic of China.

Proposition 3. *The function $g(x)$ is absolutely and regularly monotonic on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ for $a > 1$, or on $(0, +\infty)$ for $b > a^{-1} > 1$, completely and regularly monotonic on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ for $0 < a < b < 1$, or on $(-\infty, 0)$ for $1 < b < a^{-1}$. Furthermore, $g(x)$ is absolutely convex on $(-\infty, +\infty)$.*

Proposition 4. *The function $g(x + \gamma)/g(x)$ is increasing (or decreasing) in x for $\gamma > 0$ (or $\gamma < 0$). $[g(x + t)/g(x)]^{1/t}, t \neq 0$, is increasing with t .*

Proposition 5. *For $\gamma \geq 1, x \geq 1, 0 < a < b$, the following inequality holds:*

$$(7) \quad \frac{b^{x+\gamma} - a^{x+\gamma}}{b^x - a^x} \geq \frac{x + \gamma}{x} \left(\frac{a + b}{2} \right)^\gamma.$$

But (7) may not hold for $0 < \gamma < 1$ or $0 < x < 1$. If $\gamma > 0, x > 0$, then

$$(8) \quad \frac{b^{x+\gamma} - a^{x+\gamma}}{b^x - a^x} \geq \frac{x + \gamma}{x} [ab]^{\gamma/2}.$$

Note that Proposition 5 refines and extends the inequalities in [2], and verifies the conjecture by the first author in [2].

Using the method of this article, we can generalize the extended means to a two-parameter family of nonhomogeneous mean values; see [3].

2. DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

The following definitions and lemmas are necessary.

Definition 1. A function $f(t)$ is said to be *absolutely monotonic on (a, b)* if it has derivatives of all orders and $f^{(k)}(t) \geq 0, t \in (a, b), k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2. A function $f(t)$ is said to be *completely monotonic on (a, b)* if it has derivatives of all orders and $(-1)^k f^{(k)}(t) \geq 0, t \in (a, b), k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3. A function $f(t)$ is said to be *absolutely convex on (a, b)* if it has derivatives of all orders and $f^{(2k)}(t) \geq 0, t \in (a, b), k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4. A function $f(t)$ is said to be *regularly monotonic* if it and its derivatives of all orders have constant sign (+ or -; not all the same) on (a, b) .

Lemma 1. *Let $f, h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions, both increasing or both decreasing. Furthermore, let $p : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an integrable function. Then*

$$(9) \quad \int_a^b p(t)f(t)dt \int_a^b p(t)h(t)dt \leq \int_a^b p(t)dt \int_a^b p(t)f(t)h(t)dt.$$

If one of the functions of f or h is nonincreasing and the other nondecreasing, then the inequality in (9) is reversed.

Lemma 2. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function; then*

$$(10) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}.$$

Inequalities (10) and (9) are called the Hermite-Hadamard and the Tchebycheff integral inequality, respectively.

Lemma 3. *For $x, y > 0, x \neq y$,*

$$(11) \quad \frac{x+y}{2} > \frac{x-y}{\ln x - \ln y} > \sqrt{xy}.$$

Inequality (11) is called the logarithmic mean inequality. For proofs of these lemmas, see [1, 4].

3. PROOFS OF THE PROPOSITIONS

3.1. Inequality (4) is a special case of Lemma 1 applied to the functions $p(t) = (\ln t)^{2k}t^{x-1}$, $f(t) = (\ln t)^{2i+1}$ and $h(t) = (\ln t)^{2j+1}$ for $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [a, b]$.

Inequality (4) and direct calculation produces

$$\left(\frac{g^{(2(j+k)+1)}}{g^{(2k)}} \right)' = \frac{g^{(2(j+k+1))}g^{(2k)} - g^{(m)}g^{(2(j+k+1))}}{(g^{(2k)})^2} > 0.$$

Therefore, the desired Proposition 1 follows.

3.2. Using (2) and direct computation yield

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(n+1)}(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \left(g^{(n)}(x) \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{U_n(x; b) - U_n(x; a)}{x^{n+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{[x\partial U_n(x, b)/\partial x - (n+1)U_n(x, b)] - [x\partial U_n(x, a)/\partial x - (n+1)U_n(x, a)]}{x^{n+2}} \\ &= \frac{U_{n+1}(x; b) - U_{n+1}(x; a)}{x^{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By mathematical induction on n , (5) is valid.

Differentiating (2) with respect to t gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial U_{n+1}/\partial t &= x\partial^2 U_n/\partial x\partial t - (n+1)\partial U_n/\partial t \\ &= x\partial(\partial U_n/\partial t)/\partial x - (n+1)\partial U_n/\partial t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from mathematical induction on n , we obtain (6).

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

3.3. First, we consider the case of $x > 0$. It is clear that $g^{(2k)}(x) \geq 0$, $g(x)$ is an absolutely convex function on $(0, +\infty)$.

When $a > 1$, $\partial U_n/\partial t > 0$, thus $U_n(x, t)$ increases with respect to t , and $g^{(n)}(x) > 0$ follows from (5). Therefore $g(x)$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, +\infty)$.

When $0 < a < b < 1$, $\partial U_{2k+1}/\partial t < 0$; then $U_{2k+1}(x, t)$ decreases in t , thus $g^{(2k+1)}(x) < 0$, that is, $(-1)^k g^{(k)}(x) > 0$, $g(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, +\infty)$.

Direct computation results in

$$(12) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g^{(2k+1)}(x) = [(\ln b)^{2(k+1)} - (\ln a)^{2(k+1)}]/2(k+1) > 0$$

for $b > a^{-1} \geq 1$. Since $g^{(2k+1)}(x)$ is increasing, $g^{(2k+1)}(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. Therefore, $g(x)$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, +\infty)$ for $b > a^{-1} \geq 1$.

Second, we consider the case of $x < 0$. For $n = 2k + 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, when $a > 1$, $\partial U_n/\partial t > 0$, $U_n(x, t)$ increases in t , from (5) it follows that $g^{(2k+1)}(x) > 0$. When $0 < a < b < 1$, $\partial U_n/\partial t < 0$, $U_n(x, t)$ is decreasing with t , $g^{(2k+1)}(x) < 0$.

For $n = 2k$, $\partial U_n/\partial t \leq 0$, $U_n(x, t)$ is decreasing in t , $g^{(2k)}(x) \geq 0$, thus $g(x)$ is absolutely convex on $(-\infty, 0)$.

Therefore, $g(x)$ is an absolutely monotonic function on $(-\infty, 0)$ for $a > 1$. $g(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(-\infty, 0)$ for $0 < a < b < 1$.

Since $g^{(2k+1)}(x), x < 0$, is increasing, inequality (12) is reversed for $1 < b < a^{-1}$, thus $g^{(2k+1)}(x) < 0$ for $1 < b < a^{-1}$ and $x < 0$, $g(x)$ is a completely monotonic function for $1 < b < a^{-1}$ on $(-\infty, 0)$.

From the definitions in section 2, Proposition 3 is valid.

3.4. Let $f(x) = g(x+\gamma)/g(x), \gamma \neq 0$. From Proposition 1, it is clear that $g'(x)/g(x)$ is increasing, thus

$$(13) \quad g'(x+\gamma)/g(x+\gamma) \geq g'(x)/g(x)$$

holds for $\gamma > 0$, and is reversed for $\gamma < 0$. Straightforward computation leads to

$$(14) \quad f'(x) = [g'(x+\gamma)g(x) - g(x+\gamma)g'(x)]/g^2(x).$$

Combining (13) and (14) produces that $f'(x) > 0$ for $\gamma > 0$ and $f'(x) < 0$ for $\gamma < 0$, therefore $f(x)$ increases (or decreases) for $\gamma > 0$ (or < 0).

Assume

$$p(t, \theta) = [g(t+\theta)/g(t)]^{1/\theta}, \theta \neq 0;$$

$$p(t, 0) = \exp(g'(t)/g(t)), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is clear that $p(t, 0)$ increases with t . Computing straightforwardly gives

$$[\ln p(t, \theta)]'_\theta = \left[\frac{g'(t+\theta)}{g(t+\theta)}\theta - \ln \frac{g(t+\theta)}{g(t)} \right] / \theta^2.$$

By the mean value theorem, it is easy to see that

$$\ln \frac{g(t+\theta)}{g(t)} = \frac{g'(t+\xi)}{g(t+\xi)}\theta < \frac{g'(t+\theta)}{g(t+\theta)}\theta,$$

where ξ is between 0 and $\theta, \theta \neq 0$. Therefore $[\ln p(t, \theta)]'_\theta > 0$, and $p(t, \theta)$ is increasing in $\theta, \theta \neq 0$. The proof of Proposition 4 is completed.

3.5. Since $f(x)$ is increasing for $\gamma > 0$

$$(15) \quad \frac{x(b^{x+\gamma} - a^{x+\gamma})}{(x+\gamma)(b^x - a^x)} \geq \frac{b^{1+\gamma} - a^{1+\gamma}}{(1+\gamma)(b-a)}, \quad x \geq 1, \gamma > 0, 0 < a < b.$$

Since $t^\gamma (\gamma \geq 1)$ is convex, from (10) we have

$$(16) \quad \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b t^\gamma dt = \frac{b^{1+\gamma} - a^{1+\gamma}}{(b-a)(1+\gamma)}, \gamma \geq 1.$$

Combining (15) and (16) yields (7).

Since $t^\gamma (0 < \gamma < 1)$ is concave, then (16) is reversed without equality. Hence (7) may not hold for $0 < \gamma < 1$.

Since $f(x)$ increases for $\gamma > 0, x > 0$, then we have

$$(17) \quad \frac{x(b^{x+\gamma} - a^{x+\gamma})}{(x+\gamma)(b^x - a^x)} \geq \frac{b^\gamma - a^\gamma}{\gamma(\ln b - \ln a)}, \quad x \geq 0, \gamma > 0,$$

which, combined with the logarithmic mean inequality (11), yields (8), but (7) may not hold. Therefore, Proposition 5 is verified.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to the referee for many valuable suggestions and to the editor J. Marshall Ash for this article's title.

REFERENCES

1. Ji-chang Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Second Edition, Hunan Education Press, Changsha, China, 1993 (in Chinese). MR **95j**:26001
2. Feng Qi, *Refinements and Extensions of an Inequality*, Mathematics and Informatics Quarterly (to appear).
3. Feng Qi, *On a Two-parameter Family of Nonhomogeneous Mean Values*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 29 (1998), no. 2.
4. D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pecaric and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1993. MR **94c**:00004

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIAOZUO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, JIAOZUO CITY, HENAN 454000, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: qifeng@math.ustc.edu.cn or qifeng@jz.it.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI CITY, ANHUI 230026, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA