

## EXTENSIONS OF PERFECT GO-SPACES AND $\sigma$ -DISCRETE DENSE SETS

WEI-XUE SHI

(Communicated by Alan Dow)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that if a perfect GO-space  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense set, then  $X$  has a perfect linearly ordered extension. This answers a problem raised by H. R. Bennett, D. J. Lutzer and S. Purisch. And the result is also a partial answer to an old problem posed by H. R. Bennett and D. J. Lutzer.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A *GO-space* (*generalized ordered space*) is a triple  $\langle X, \tau, \leq \rangle$ , where  $\langle X, \leq \rangle$  is a linearly ordered set,  $\tau$  a topology on  $X$  which is  $T_1$  and has the base consisting of open sets which are order-convex. If we denote the usual interval topology on  $X$  by  $\lambda$ , then  $\langle X, \lambda, \leq \rangle$  is called a *LOTS* (*linearly ordered topological space*). We say that  $\langle X, \lambda, \leq \rangle$  is an *underlying LOTS* of the GO-space  $\langle X, \tau, \leq \rangle$ . If a GO-space  $\langle X, \tau, \leq \rangle$  can be topologically embedded in a LOTS  $\langle Y, \lambda, \prec \rangle$ , then the LOTS  $\langle Y, \lambda, \prec \rangle$  is called an *orderable extension* of the GO-space  $\langle X, \tau, \leq \rangle$  and if  $\leq = \prec \upharpoonright X$ , then the LOTS  $\langle Y, \lambda, \prec \rangle$  is called a *linearly ordered extension* of the GO-space  $\langle X, \tau, \leq \rangle$ . It is an interesting question whether a topological property on a GO-space can be reflected on some of its orderable extensions. It is known that for separability, metrizable and paracompactness the answers to this question are affirmative (cf. [1]). But the following question posed by H. R. Bennett and D. J. Lutzer remains open.

**Problem 1** ([1]). Is it true that any perfect GO-space has a perfect orderable extension?

In [6] and [7] the author with T. Miwa and Y.-Z. Gao has proved that there exists a perfect GO-space which cannot be densely embedded in any perfect LOTS. On the other hand any perfect GO-space with the underlying LOTS satisfying local perfectness can be embedded in a perfect LOTS. Recently H. R. Bennett, D. J. Lutzer and S. Purisch studied dense subspaces of GO-spaces; they posed the following question.

**Problem 2** ([2]). Is it true that a GO-space with a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset can be embedded in a LOTS with a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset?

---

Received by the editors January 7, 1997 and, in revised form, May 26, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54F05, 54D35; Secondary 54F65, 54A10.

*Key words and phrases*.  $\sigma$ -discrete set, perfect, LOTS, GO-space, extension.

©1999 American Mathematical Society

The aim of the present paper is to give a solution to Problem 2 in the affirmative and to point out relations between Problem 1 and an older set theoretic problem (see Section 3).

For a GO-space  $\langle X, \tau, \leq \rangle$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda & \text{ be the interval topology on } \langle X, \leq \rangle, \\ I & = \{x \in X \mid \{x\} \in \tau - \lambda\}, \\ R & = \{x \in X - I \mid [x, \rightarrow) \in \tau - \lambda\}, \\ L & = \{x \in X - I \mid (\leftarrow, x] \in \tau - \lambda\}, \\ E & = X - (R \cup L \cup I). \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that a GO-space topology on  $\langle X, \leq \rangle$  can be determined by the sets  $I, R, L, E$ . So we denote the GO-space  $\langle X, \tau, \leq \rangle$  by  $GO_X(R, E, I, L)$  and write  $X = GO(R, E, I, L)$ , simply saying  $X$  is a GO-space. By ‘discrete’ we always mean ‘closed discrete’.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

To prove our results, we state a known result proved by the author.

**Theorem 1** ([5]). *A perfect GO-space  $X = GO(R, E, I, L)$  has a perfect linearly ordered extension if and only if there exists a  $\sigma$ -discrete subset  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $X' = GO_X(\emptyset, X - F, F, \emptyset)$  is perfect.*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X = GO(R, E, I, L)$  be a GO-space and  $Y$  the underlying LOTS of  $X$ . If  $D$  is a discrete subset of  $X$ , then there exists a discrete subset  $D' \supset D$  of  $X$  such that  $D'$  is closed in  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D' = \text{cl}_Y D$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $D'$  is discrete in  $X$ .

For  $x \in X$ , if  $x \in I$ ,  $\{x\}$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$  which intersects  $D$  in at most one point.

If  $x \in R$ , there exists  $y > x$  such that  $[x, y) \cap D = \{x\}$  or  $[x, y) \cap D = \emptyset$  since  $D$  is discrete in  $X$ . If  $(x, y) \cap (D' - D) \neq \emptyset$ , we would have  $(x, y) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . So  $[x, y) \cap D' = \{x\}$  or  $[x, y) \cap D' = \emptyset$ . Similarly if  $x \in L$ , we may choose a  $y < x$  such that  $(y, x] \cap D' = \{x\}$  or  $(y, x] \cap D' = \emptyset$ .

If  $x \in E$ , there exist  $y_0, y_1$  with  $y_0 < x < y_1$  such that  $(y_0, y_1) \cap D = \{x\}$  or  $(y_0, y_1) \cap D = \emptyset$ . If  $(y_0, y_1) \cap (D' - D) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|(y_0, y_1) \cap D| > 1$ . Therefore  $(y_0, y_1) \cap D' = \{x\}$  or  $(y_0, y_1) \cap D' = \emptyset$ . Hence  $D'$  is discrete in  $X$  and closed in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3** ([8]). *If a GO-space  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset, then  $X$  is perfect.*

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X = GO(R, E, I, L)$  be a perfect GO-space. If  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset  $F$ , then  $X$  has a perfect linearly ordered extension.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be the underlying LOTS of  $X$ . Since  $F$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $X$ ,  $F = \bigcup \{F_n \mid n \in \omega_0\}$ , where  $F_n$  is discrete in  $X$  for each  $n \in \omega_0$ . By Lemma 2, for each  $n \in \omega_0$ , we may choose a discrete subset  $F'_n$  of  $X$  such that  $F'_n \supset F_n$  and  $F'_n$  is closed in  $Y$ .

Put  $F' = \bigcup \{F'_n \mid n \in \omega_0\}$ . Then  $F'$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $Y$  and a  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of  $X$ . Consider the GO-space  $X' = GO_X(\emptyset, X - F', F', \emptyset)$ . We prove that  $X'$  is perfect. It is obvious that  $F'_n$  is closed in  $X'$  for each  $n \in \omega_0$ . So  $F'$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $X'$ . Assume that  $x \in X - F'$  and  $(y_0, y_1)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X'$ . Since  $(y_0, y_1)$  is also open in  $X$  and  $F \subset F'$  is dense in  $X$ ,  $(y_0, y_1) \cap F' \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $F'$  is a

$\sigma$ -discrete dense subset of  $X'$ . It follows from Lemma 3 that  $X'$  is perfect. Hence by Theorem 1,  $X$  has a perfect linearly ordered extension.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *If a GO-space  $X = GO(R, E, I, L)$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset, then  $X$  has a perfect linearly ordered extension with a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset.*

*Proof.* By the proof of Theorem 4, it is known that if the GO-space  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset, then  $X$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1. With  $R$ ,  $L$  and  $I$  as in Section 1, by the proof of Theorem 1 (see [5]), there exists a  $\sigma$ -discrete set  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $I \subset F \subset R \cup L \cup I$ , and the perfect linearly ordered extension of  $X$  constructed in [5] has the form

$$P(X) = (X \times \{0\}) \cup ((R - F) \times \{-1\}) \cup ((L - F) \times \{1\}) \\ \cup (I_0 \times (-1, 1)) \cup ((I_- \cup (F \cap R)) \times (-1, 0)) \cup ((I_+ \cup (F \cap L)) \times (0, 1))$$

where

$$I_- = \{x \in I \mid \text{there is a } y \in X \text{ such that } x < y \text{ and } (x, y) = \emptyset\}, \\ I_+ = \{x \in I \mid \text{there is a } y \in X \text{ such that } y < x \text{ and } (y, x) = \emptyset\}, \\ I_0 = I - (I_- \cup I_+).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\{x\} \times (-1, 1) \mid x \in I_0\} \\ \cup \{\{x\} \times (-1, 0) \mid x \in I_- \cup (F \cap R)\} \cup \{\{x\} \times (0, 1) \mid x \in I_+ \cup (F \cap L)\}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -discrete collection in  $P(X)$  and every element of the collection has a countable dense subset, there exists a  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of  $P(X)$  which is dense in each element of  $\mathcal{O}$ . For a point  $\langle x, y \rangle \in P(X)$ , if  $x \notin F$ , then the intersection of any neighborhood of  $\langle x, y \rangle$  with  $X \times \{0\}$  contains an interval of  $X \times \{0\}$ . It is easy to check that the  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of  $X \times \{0\}$  is also  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $P(X)$ . Thus  $P(X)$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset.  $\square$

### 3. REMARK

By Theorem 4, we know that to find a counterexample to Problem 1, one must find a perfect GO-space which has no  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset. But this is related to an old problem which is still open.

**Problem 3** ([1]). Is there an example of a perfect GO-space in ZFC which does not have a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset ?

So if the answer to Problem 3 is 'no', then there exists no counterexample in ZFC to Problem 1. On the other hand, it is well-known that if we assume that there exists a Souslin line  $S$ , the existence of which is independent of ZFC, then  $S$  is a perfect LOTS which does not have a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset. However even under the assumption that Souslin line exists, any perfect GO-space with the Souslin line as the underlying LOTS does not serve as a counterexample to Problem 1 because by the result in [7], we know that any perfect GO-space with a perfect underlying LOTS has a perfect linearly ordered extension.

## REFERENCES

1. H. R. Bennett and D. J. Lutzer, *Problems in perfect ordered space*, in: J. van Mill and G. M. Reed, eds, *Open Problems in Topology* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990), 223–236. CMP 91:03
2. H. R. Bennett, D. J. Lutzer and S. D. Purisch, *On dense subspaces of generalized ordered spaces*, *Topology Appl.* (to appear).
3. R. Engelking, *General Topology*, (Heldermann, Berlin, rev.ed., 1989). MR **91c**:54001
4. D. J. Lutzer, *On generalized ordered spaces*, *Dissertationes Math.* 89(1971). MR **48**:3018
5. W.-X. Shi, *Perfect GO-spaces which have a perfect linearly ordered extension*, *Topology Appl.* **81** (1997), 23–33.
6. W.-X. Shi, T. Miwa and Y.-Z. Gao, *A perfect GO-space which cannot densely embed in any perfect orderable space*, *Topology Appl.* 66(1995), 241–249. MR **96i**:54026
7. ———, *Any perfect GO-space with the underlying LOTS satisfying local perfectness can embed in a perfect LOTS*, *Topology Appl.* 74(1996), 17–24. CMP 97:06
8. J. van Wouwe, *GO-spaces and generalizations of metrizable spaces*, MC Tract no. 104, (Mathematical Center, Amsterdam, 1979). MR **80m**:54046

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHANGCHUN TEACHERS COLLEGE, CHANGCHUN 130032, CHINA  
*Current address*: Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305,

Japan

*E-mail address*: shi@abel.math.tsukuba.ac.jp