

## A VARIANT OF THE DIAMOND PRINCIPLE FOR COMBINATORIAL IDEALS

Y. ABE

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

**ABSTRACT.** We use a variant of the diamond principle to show many ideals on  $\kappa$  are not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated if  $\kappa$  is large. For instance, the  $\Pi_1^1$ -indescribable ideal is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated if  $\kappa$  is almost ineffable.

Kunen proved that the diamond principle for  $\kappa$ ,  $\diamond(\kappa)$  holds if  $\kappa$  is subtle. A consequence of  $\diamond(\kappa)$  is that the nonstationary ideal on  $\kappa$  is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated.

Meanwhile Baumgartner, Taylor and Wagon [2] proved that the ethereal ideal on  $\kappa$  is not  $\kappa^+$ -saturated if  $\kappa$  is almost ineffable.

These two facts have a point in common. If  $\kappa$  has a strong property, then an ideal corresponding to a weaker property is less saturated.

For a regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\diamond(\kappa)$  can be regarded as a property of the nonstationary ideal. We consider the following principle for an ideal  $I$  on  $\kappa$ :

**The Diamond Principle for  $I$ ,  $\diamond(I)$ .** There is a sequence  $\langle S_\alpha \subset \alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  such that for every  $X \subset \kappa$ ,

$$\{\alpha < \kappa \mid X \cap \alpha = S_\alpha\} \notin I.$$

We modify Kunen's construction of a diamond sequence assuming  $\kappa$  has a sufficiently strong property so that  $\diamond(I)$  holds. It is clear that no ideal  $J \subseteq I$  is  $2^\kappa$ -saturated if  $\diamond(I)$  holds. Specifically we prove the following.

**Theorem.** (1) *If  $\kappa$  is almost ineffable, then any ideal extended by the  $\Pi_1^1$ -indescribable ideal on  $\kappa$  is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated.*

(2) *If  $\kappa$  is completely ineffable, then any ideal extended by the ineffable ideal on  $\kappa$  is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated.*

Before proving the theorem we state the definition of these ideals. Throughout the rest of this paper,  $\kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal and  $I$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete ideal on  $\kappa$ . The filter dual to an ideal  $I$  is denoted by  $I^*$ , and  $I^+$  is the set  $\{X \subset \kappa \mid X \notin I\}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $X \subset \kappa$ .

(i)  $X$  is  $\Pi_1^1$ -indescribable if for any  $R \subset V_\kappa$  and  $\Pi_1^1$  sentence  $\varphi$  such that  $\langle V_\kappa, \in, R \rangle \models \varphi$ , there is  $\alpha \in X$  such that  $\langle V_\alpha, \in, R \cap V_\alpha \rangle \models \varphi$ .

(ii)  $X$  is almost ineffable if for any sequence  $\langle S_\alpha \subset \alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  there is  $S \subset \kappa$  such that  $\{\alpha \in X \mid S_\alpha = S \cap \alpha\}$  is unbounded in  $\kappa$ .

---

Received by the editors October 9, 1996 and, in revised form, June 5, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E05, 03E55.

*Key words and phrases.* The diamond principle, saturated ideals, ineffability, indescribability.

(iii)  $X$  is *ineffable* if for any sequence  $\langle S_\alpha \subset \alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  there is  $S \subset \kappa$  such that  $\{\alpha \in X \mid S_\alpha = S \cap \alpha\}$  is stationary in  $\kappa$ .

(iv) *The completely ineffable ideal* on  $\kappa$  is the minimal normal ideal  $I$  such that for any  $X \in I^+$  and any sequence  $\langle S_\alpha \subset \alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  there is  $S \subset \kappa$  such that  $\{\alpha \in X \mid S_\alpha = S \cap \alpha\} \in I^+$ .  $X \in I^+$  is called *completely ineffable*.

(v)  $X$  is *subtle* if for any sequence  $\langle S_\alpha \subset \alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  and  $C$  closed unbounded in  $\kappa$ , there exist  $\alpha < \beta$  both in  $C \cap X$  such that  $S_\alpha = S_\beta \cap \alpha$ .

For each property  $A$  stated above, we consider the set

$$\{X \subset \kappa \mid X \text{ does not have property } A\},$$

which is a normal ideal on  $\kappa$ . For instance the  $\Pi_1^1$ -indescribable ideal is the set

$$\{X \subset \kappa \mid X \text{ is not } \Pi_1^1\text{-indescribable}\}.$$

These ideals were studied in Baumgartner [1] and Johnson [4].

*Proof of the Theorem.* (1) Suppose that  $\kappa$  is almost ineffable. Let  $NAIn_\kappa$  denote the almost ineffable ideal on  $\kappa$  and  $P_\alpha$  the  $\Pi_1^1$ -indescribable ideal on  $\alpha$  for  $\alpha \leq \kappa$ . We use the fact that  $P_\kappa \subset NAIn_\kappa$  and for every  $X \in P_\kappa^*$ ,

$$\{\alpha \in X \mid X \cap \alpha \in P_\alpha^*\} \in NAIn_\kappa^*.$$

We recursively define  $(S_\alpha, C_\alpha)$  for  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $S_\alpha \subset \alpha$  and  $C_\alpha \in P_\alpha^*$  as follows.

Suppose that  $\alpha < \kappa$  and  $(S_\beta, C_\beta)$  has been defined for  $\beta < \alpha$ . Set  $(S_\alpha, C_\alpha) = (\emptyset, \alpha)$  except in the case that

( $\heartsuit$ ): There exist  $S \subset \alpha$  and  $C \in P_\alpha^*$  such that  $S \cap \beta \neq S_\beta$  for any  $\beta \in C$ .

In this case, let  $(S_\alpha, C_\alpha)$  be one such pair  $(S, C)$ .

We show that  $\langle S_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  is a diamond sequence for  $P_\kappa$ . Suppose to the contrary that there are  $X \subset \kappa$  and  $C \in P_\kappa^*$  such that  $X \cap \alpha \neq S_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in C$ . Let  $D = \{\alpha \in C \mid C \cap \alpha \in P_\alpha^*\}$ . For  $\alpha \in D$ ,  $(S \cap \alpha, C \cap \alpha)$  satisfies the condition of ( $\heartsuit$ ). Hence  $C_\alpha \in P_\alpha^*$  and  $S_\alpha \cap \beta \neq S_\beta$  for  $\beta \in C_\alpha$ . Since  $D \in NAIn_\kappa^*$ ,  $D$  is subtle. By Theorem 4.1 in Baumgartner [1],

$$\{\alpha \in D \mid \{\beta \in D \cap \alpha \mid S_\beta \neq S_\alpha \cap \beta\} \in P_\alpha\} \text{ is not subtle.}$$

Thus we have

$$E = \{\alpha \in D \mid \{\beta \in D \cap \alpha \mid S_\beta = S_\alpha \cap \beta\} \in P_\alpha^+\} \in NAIn_\kappa^*.$$

For any  $\alpha \in E$ ,  $C_\alpha \in P_\alpha^*$ . Hence we can find  $\beta \in C_\alpha$  such that  $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cap \beta$  contradicting the definition of  $(S_\alpha, C_\alpha)$ .

(2) Suppose that  $\kappa$  is completely ineffable. Let  $NCIn_\kappa$  denote the completely ineffable ideal on  $\kappa$  and  $NIn_\alpha$  the ineffable ideal on  $\alpha$  for  $\alpha \leq \kappa$ . We need only replace  $P_\alpha^*$  by  $NIn_\alpha^*$  in the definition of  $(S_\alpha, C_\alpha)$  to get a diamond sequence for  $NIn_\kappa^*$ .

Consider the notion of forcing  $Q = (NCIn_\kappa^+, \subseteq)$  and let  $G$  be a  $V$  generic filter on  $Q$  and  $M = Ult_G(V)$  the generic ultrapower. Since  $NCIn_\kappa$  is normal  $(\kappa, \kappa)$  distributive,  $V_{\kappa+1}^V = V_{\kappa+1}^M$ . (See [3], [4].) Hence,  $NIn_\kappa^V = NIn_\kappa^M$  and, for any  $X \in NIn_\kappa^*$ ,

$$\{\alpha \in X \mid X \cap \alpha \in NIn_\alpha^*\} \in NCIn_\kappa^*.$$

If  $\langle S_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  is not a diamond sequence for  $NI\kappa$ , there is  $Y \in NI\kappa^* \subset NCI\kappa^*$  such that, for any  $\alpha \in Y$ ,

$$C_\alpha \in NI\kappa_\alpha^* \text{ and } S_\beta \neq S_\alpha \cap \beta \text{ for } \beta \in C_\alpha.$$

By complete ineffability, there exist  $T, U \subset \kappa$  such that

$$H = \{\beta \in Y \mid S_\alpha = T \cap \alpha \text{ and } C_\alpha = U \cap \alpha\} \in NCI\kappa_\alpha^+.$$

Since  $H \Vdash U \in NI\kappa^*$ ,  $U \cap H \in NI\kappa_\alpha^+$ . For any  $\beta < \alpha$  both in  $U \cap H$ ,  $\beta \in U \cap \alpha = C_\alpha$  and  $S_\beta = T \cap \beta = (T \cap \alpha) \cap \beta = S_\alpha \cap \beta$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\alpha \in Y$ .  $\square$

There are several facts which can be proved by the same argument. For instance:

*If  $\kappa$  is ineffable, then the  $\Pi_2^1$ -indescribable ideal on  $\kappa$  is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated.*

*If  $\kappa$  is 2-subtle, then the ineffable ideal on  $\kappa$  is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated.*

*If  $\kappa$  is measurable, then the completely ineffable ideal on  $\kappa$  is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated.*

Such an argument can be carried out for ideals on  $P_\kappa\lambda$  as well.

Johnson proved in [4] that the completely ineffable ideal is not precipitous if  $\kappa$  is completely ineffable. Thus it seems natural to ask:

**Question.** (1) *Can it be proved that these combinatorial ideals mentioned above are not precipitous?*

(2) *Is it possible to prove the ideal corresponding to property A is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated just assuming  $\kappa$  has property A? For instance, in order to prove the ineffable ideal on  $\kappa$  is not  $2^\kappa$ -saturated, does it suffice to assume  $\kappa$  is ineffable?*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Baumgartner, *Ineffability properties of cardinals 1*, Infinite and finite sets (P. Erdős 60th Birthday Colloquium, Keszthely, Hungary, 1973), Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1975), 109-130. MR **52**:5427
- [2] J. Baumgartner, A. Taylor and S. Wagon, *On splitting stationary subsets of large cardinals*, J. Symbolic Logic 42 (1977), 203-214. MR **58**:21619
- [3] C. A. Johnson, *Distributive ideals and partition relations*, J. Symbolic Logic 51 (1986), 617-625. MR **87j**:03076
- [4] C. A. Johnson, *More on distributive ideals*, Fund. Math. 128 (1987), 113-130. MR **89a**:03095

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA 221, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* yabe@cc.kanagawa-u.ac.jp