

## A NOTE ON $p$ -BASES OF RINGS

TOMOAKI ONO

(Communicated by Wolmer V. Vasconcelos)

ABSTRACT. Let  $R \supseteq R' \supseteq R^p$  be a tower of rings of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Suppose that  $R$  is a finitely presented  $R'$ -module. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of  $p$ -bases of  $R$  over  $R'$ . Next, let  $A$  be a polynomial ring  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  where  $k$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $B$  be a regular noetherian subring of  $A$  containing  $A^p$  such that  $[Q(B) : Q(A^p)] = p$ . Suppose that  $Der_{A^p}(B)$  is a free  $B$ -module. Then, applying the above result to a tower  $B \supseteq A^p \supseteq B^p$  of rings, we shall show that a polynomial of minimal degree in  $B - A^p$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $B$  over  $A^p$ .

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, let  $p$  be always a prime number, let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $R'$  be a subring of  $R$  containing  $R^p = \{a^p \mid a \in R\}$ . Then there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between  $Spec R$  and  $Spec R'$  by Lemma 1 of [6]. So, for any given  $\mathfrak{p} \in Spec R$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{p}'$  the corresponding element in  $Spec R'$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p} \cap R'$ .

A subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$  of  $R$  is said to be a  $p$ -basis of  $R$  over  $R'$  if the monomials  $x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_l^{e_l}$  ( $0 \leq e_i \leq p-1$ ) are linearly independent over  $R'$  and  $R = R'[x_1, \dots, x_l]$ . If, for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in Spec R$ , there exists a  $p$ -basis of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ , we say that  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$ . Moreover, if  $R$  is finitely generated and projective as an  $R'$ -module in addition to the previous condition, then the  $R'$ -algebra  $R$  is called a Galois extension of  $R'$  ([6]).

When  $R$  is a local ring, the existence of a  $p$ -basis of  $R$  over  $R'$  is studied for example in [1]. But it is not well-known whether there is a  $p$ -basis of  $R$  over  $R'$  or not, when  $R$  is not a local ring ([2]). If  $R$  has a  $p$ -basis over  $R'$ , then for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in Spec R$  the localization  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  also has a  $p$ -basis over  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ . The converse does not hold in general. In this paper, we study a condition for the existence of a  $p$ -basis of  $R$  over  $R'$ , when  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$  (Theorems 2.2 and 3.2). As an example we consider the existence of a  $p$ -basis of a regular ring which is contained in a polynomial ring over a perfect field (Theorem 4.1). A special basis of the module of derivations plays a central role in our study, and we use the results of [6] frequently.

Let  $Der_{R'}(R)$  be the set of all derivations of  $R$  over  $R'$ , let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ , and let  $S'$  be  $S \cap R'$ . We denote by  $\phi_S$  and  $\tau_S$  the canonical

---

Received by the editors January 19, 1998 and, in revised form, April 1, 1998.  
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13N05; Secondary 13B05.  
*Key words and phrases*.  $p$ -basis, derivation, Galois extension.

maps  $R \rightarrow R_S$  and  $Der_{R'}(R) \rightarrow Der_{R'_S}(R_S)$ , respectively. In particular, when  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed subset  $\{f^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  ( $f \in R$ ), resp.  $R - \mathfrak{p}$ , we denote by  $\phi_f$  and  $\tau_f$ , resp.  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (or simply  $\phi$  and  $\tau$ ), the previous canonical maps. Note that  $\tau_S(D)(\phi_S(x)) = \phi_S(D(x))$  for any  $x \in R$  and any  $D \in Der_{R'}(R)$ .

As is well-known, the following three facts hold:

(1) If  $R_S$  has a  $p$ -basis  $\{x_1/s_1, \dots, x_l/s_l\}$  over  $R'_S$ , then  $\{\phi_S(s_1^{p-1}x_1), \dots, \phi_S(s_l^{p-1}x_l)\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R_S$  over  $R'_S$ , i.e., we can choose a  $p$ -basis of  $R_S$  over  $R'_S$  from the image  $\phi(R)$ .

(2) If  $R$  has a  $p$ -basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$  over  $R'$ , then the image  $\{\phi_S(x_1), \dots, \phi_S(x_l)\}$  in  $R_S$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R_S$  over  $R'_S$ .

(3) If  $R$  has a  $p$ -basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$  over  $R'$ , then there exists a unique set of derivations  $D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_l}$  of  $R$  over  $R'$  such that  $D_{x_i}(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$  where  $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker's delta. This set forms a basis for  $Der_{R'}(R)$ . We always denote by  $D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_l}$  such derivations which are associated with a  $p$ -basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$  of  $R$  over  $R'$ .

**Definition.** Suppose  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{m}\}$  be the set of all maximal ideals of  $R$ . We call  $D \in Der_{R'}(R)$  the *preferable* derivation, if for each  $\mathfrak{m}$  there is a  $p$ -basis  $\{\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(x)\}$  ( $x \in R$ ) of  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}}$  such that  $\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(D(x))^{p-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_{\mathfrak{m}} \phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(x)^i$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Suppose  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$ . Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  disjoint from at least one prime ideal, and suppose  $R_S$  has a  $p$ -basis  $\{\phi_S(x)\}$  over  $R'_S$ . If  $D \in Der_{R'}(R)$  is preferable, then  $\phi_S(D(x))^{p-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_S \phi_S(x)^i$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  be the set of all prime ideals of  $R$  disjoint from  $S$ . The set  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  is non-empty by the assumption. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $D$  is preferable, there exists a  $p$ -basis  $\{\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(y)\}$  ( $y \in R$ ) of  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}}$  such that  $\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(D(y))^{p-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_{\mathfrak{m}} \phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(y)^i$ . We use a symbol  $\phi$  for the canonical map  $R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then, by the above fact (2),  $\{\phi(y)\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and  $\phi(D(y))^{p-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_{\mathfrak{p}} \phi(y)^i$ . Therefore we can take an element  $z$  of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that  $\phi(D(y))^{p-1} = D_{\phi(y)}(z)$ . Since  $\{D_{\phi(y)}\}$  forms a basis for  $Der_{R'_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and  $D_{\phi(y)}(\phi(y)) = 1$ , we have  $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}(D) = \tau_{\mathfrak{p}}(D)(\phi(y))D_{\phi(y)} = \phi(D(y))D_{\phi(y)}$ .

Now, the fact (2) says that  $\{\phi(x)\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence, there is a unique basis  $\{D_{\phi(x)}\}$  of  $Der_{R'_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  such that  $D_{\phi(x)}(\phi(x)) = 1$ , and  $D_{\phi(y)} = D_{\phi(y)}(\phi(x))D_{\phi(x)}$ . From these facts, we get the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(D(x))^{p-1} &= \{\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}(D)(\phi(x))\}^{p-1} \\ &= \{\phi(D(y))D_{\phi(y)}(\phi(x))\}^{p-1} \\ &= D_{\phi(y)}(z)D_{\phi(y)}(\phi(x))^{p-1} \\ &= \{D_{\phi(y)}(\phi(x))D_{\phi(x)}(z)\}D_{\phi(y)}(\phi(x))^{p-1} \\ &= D_{\phi(y)}(\phi(x))^p D_{\phi(x)}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\phi(D(x))^{p-1}$  is contained in  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_{\mathfrak{p}} \phi(x)^i$ . When we write  $\phi_S(D(x))^{p-1}$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (c_i/s_i)\phi_S(x)^i$  ( $c_i \in R'$ ,  $s_i \in S'$ ), we can find for each  $\mathfrak{p}$  an element  $t$  of  $R' - \mathfrak{p}'$  such that  $c_{p-1}t = 0$ , i.e.,  $\phi(c_{p-1}) = 0$ . This implies that  $\phi_S(c_{p-1}) = 0$ . Therefore  $\phi_S(D(x))^{p-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_S \phi_S(x)^i$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose  $R$  is reduced and has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$ . Let  $\{\mathfrak{q}\}$  be the set of all minimal prime ideals belonging to the zero ideal  $(0)$ , and let  $\{\phi_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)\}$  ( $x \in R$ ) be a  $p$ -basis of  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  over  $R_{\mathfrak{q}'}$ . If  $D \in \text{Der}_{R'}(R)$  satisfies that for each  $\mathfrak{q}$*

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{q}}(D(x))^{p-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_{\mathfrak{q}'} \phi_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)^i,$$

then  $D$  is preferable.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ , and let  $\{\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(y)\}$  ( $y \in R$ ) be a  $p$ -basis of  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we see that  $\phi_{\mathfrak{q}}(D(y))^{p-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_{\mathfrak{q}'} \phi_{\mathfrak{q}}(y)^i$  for each  $\mathfrak{q}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Writing  $\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(D(y))^{p-1}$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (c_i/s_i) \phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(y)^i$  ( $c_i \in R', s_i \in R' - \mathfrak{m}'$ ), there exists an element  $t$  of  $R' - \mathfrak{q}'$  such that  $c_{p-1}t = 0$ . This means that  $c_{p-1} \in \bigcap_{\text{all } \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{q}'$ . Since  $R$  is reduced, the localization  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$  of  $R'$  is also, i.e., the nilradical  $(\bigcap_{\text{all } \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{q}')R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$  is equal to  $(0)$ . It follows that  $c_{p-1}/s_{p-1} = 0$ . Thus  $D$  is preferable.  $\square$

2.  $p$ -BASES WHICH CONSIST OF ONE ELEMENT

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $D$  be a derivation of  $R$ . Then, for any  $a \in R$  we have*

$$(aD)^{p-1}(a) = -aD^{p-1}(a^{p-1}).$$

*Proof.* To prove this assertion, we make use of the proof of the Hochschild formula (see Theorem 25.5 of [4]). By induction, for  $k \geq 1$  we get

$$(aD)^k = a^k D^k + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} b_{k,i} D^i + (aD)^{k-1}(a)D,$$

where  $b_{k,i} = f_{k,i}(a, D(a), D^2(a), \dots, D^{k-i}(a))$  ( $2 \leq i \leq p-1$ ), more precisely the  $f_{k,i}$  are polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$  not depending on  $R$ , on  $a$  or on  $D$ . Then according to the proof of Theorem 25.5 of [4], the polynomial  $f_{p,i}$  is equal to 0 for any  $i$ . On the other hand, the following expansion is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} (aD)^p &= a^p D^p + a\{D(a^{p-1}) + b_{p-1,p-2}\}D^{p-1} + \sum_{i=3}^{p-2} a\{D(b_{p-1,i}) + b_{p-1,i-1}\}D^i \\ &\quad + a\{D(b_{p-1,2}) + (aD)^{p-2}(a)\}D^2 + (aD)^{p-1}(a)D. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get the following recurrence formula:

$$\begin{cases} D(a^{p-1}) + b_{p-1,p-2} = 0, \\ D(b_{p-1,i}) + b_{p-1,i-1} = 0 \quad (3 \leq i \leq p-2), \\ D(b_{p-1,2}) + (aD)^{p-2}(a) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (aD)^{p-2}(a) &= -D(b_{p-1,2}) \\ &= -D(-D(b_{p-1,3})) \\ &\dots\dots \\ &= (-1)^{p-3} D^{p-3}(-D(a^{p-1})) \\ &= (-1)^{p-2} D^{p-2}(a^{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have  $(aD)^{p-1}(a) = -aD^{p-1}(a^{p-1})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose  $R$  is finitely presented as an  $R'$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  has a  $p$ -basis over  $R'$  which consists of one element.
- (2)  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$  and  $Der_{R'}(R)$  has a basis  $D$  such that  $D^p = 0$ .
- (3)  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$  and  $Der_{R'}(R)$  has a basis which consists of one preferable derivation.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This assertion is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a finitely presented  $R'$ -module, the module  $Der_{R'_\mathfrak{m}}(R_\mathfrak{m})$  is canonically isomorphic to  $Der_{R'}(R) \otimes_R R_\mathfrak{m}$ . This implies that  $Der_{R'_\mathfrak{m}}(R_\mathfrak{m})$  is a free  $R_\mathfrak{m}$ -module with rank 1. So any  $p$ -basis of  $R_\mathfrak{m}$  over  $R'_\mathfrak{m}$  consists of one element. Let  $\phi(x)$  ( $x \in R$ ) be a  $p$ -basis of  $R_\mathfrak{m}$  over  $R'_\mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\phi$  expresses the canonical map  $R \rightarrow R_\mathfrak{m}$ . For the canonical map  $\tau_\mathfrak{m}$ , note that  $\tau_\mathfrak{m}(D^p) = \tau_\mathfrak{m}(D)^p$ . Since  $D_{\phi(x)}$  forms a basis for  $Der_{R'_\mathfrak{m}}(R_\mathfrak{m})$ , we have

$$\tau_\mathfrak{m}(D) = \phi(D(x))D_{\phi(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\mathfrak{m}(D^p) = \phi(D^p(x))D_{\phi(x)}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\phi(D^p(x)) = \{\phi(D(x))D_{\phi(x)}\}^{p-1}(\phi(D(x))) = -\phi(D(x))D_{\phi(x)}^{p-1}(\phi(D(x))^{p-1}).$$

Hence, the following equation is obtained:

$$\tau_\mathfrak{m}(D^p) = -\phi(D(x))D_{\phi(x)}^{p-1}(\phi(D(x))^{p-1})D_{\phi(x)}.$$

Now,  $\phi(D(x))$  is a unit in  $R_\mathfrak{m}$ , because  $\tau_\mathfrak{m}(D)$  forms a basis for  $Der_{R'_\mathfrak{m}}(R_\mathfrak{m})$ . From this  $D^p = 0$  implies  $D_{\phi(x)}^{p-1}(\phi(D(x))^{p-1}) = 0$ . Thus  $D$  is preferable.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  be the set of all prime ideals of  $R$ , and for each  $\mathfrak{p}$  let  $\{\phi(x)\}$  ( $x \in R$ ) be a  $p$ -basis of  $R_\mathfrak{p}$  over  $R'_\mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\phi$  is the canonical map  $R \rightarrow R_\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $D$  be a preferable derivation which is a basis of  $Der_{R'}(R)$ . Since  $R$  is a finitely presented  $R'$ -module,  $\tau_\mathfrak{p}(D)$  forms a basis for  $Der_{R'_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p})$  as in the proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), so  $D(x) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . We claim that  $Ker D = R'$ . Indeed,  $R$  is a Galois extension of  $R'$ , and the claim follows from Theorem 9 (2) of [6]. Put  $f = D(x)^p$  and  $D_{(f)} = \{D(x)^{p-1}/f\}\tau_f(D)$ . Then  $D_{(f)}$  is an element of  $Der_{R'_f}(R_f)$  such that  $Ker D_{(f)} = R'_f$  and  $D_{(f)}(\phi_f(x)) = 1$ . Moreover,  $D_{(f)}^p = 0$ , because  $\tau_{f,\mathfrak{p}}(D_{(f)}^p) = (\tau_{f,\mathfrak{p}}(D_{(f)}))^p = (D_{\phi(x)})^p = 0$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  which does not contain  $f$ , where  $\tau_{f,\mathfrak{p}}$  is the canonical map  $Der_{R'_f}(R_f) \rightarrow Der_{R'_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p})$ . By Theorem 27.3 (i) of [4],  $\{\phi_f(x)\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R_f$  over  $R'_f$ .

Now, since  $Spec R^p$  is quasi-compact, we can take a finite subset  $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$  of  $\{f\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in Spec R}$  and a finite subset  $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  of  $R^p$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j = 1$ . Denote by  $x_j$  the element  $x$  associated with each  $f_j$ . Since  $D$  is preferable, by Lemma 1.1 we have  $\phi_{f_j}(D(x_j))^{p-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} R'_{f_j} \phi_{f_j}(x_j)^i$  for each  $j$ . Hence, we can write  $\phi_{f_j}(D(x_j))^{p-1}$  as  $\{\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} (i+1)c_{ij}x_j^i\}/f_j^{n_j}$ , where  $c_{ij}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq p-1, 1 \leq j \leq m$ ) are elements of  $R'$  and  $n_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) are non-negative integers. There exists a positive integer  $e$  such that  $p^e \geq n_j + 1$  and  $f_j^{p^e - n_j - 1} \{\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} (i+1)c_{ij}x_j^i\} = 0$

for all  $j$ . Here, put  $z = \sum_{j=1}^m g_j^{p^e} (\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} f_j^{p^e - n_j - 1} c_{ij} x_j^{i+1})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^m g_j^{p^e} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} (i+1) f_j^{p^e - n_j - 1} c_{ij} x_j^i \right\} D(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m g_j^{p^e} (f_j^{p^e - 1} D(x_j)^{p-1}) D(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m f_j^{p^e} g_j^{p^e} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall show that  $\{z\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R$  over  $R'$ . According to Theorem 27.3 (i) of [4], nothing remains but to show  $D^p = 0$ . Since  $D$  forms a basis for  $Der_{R'}(R)$ , the derivation  $D^p$  is equal to  $aD$  ( $a \in R$ ). Clearly,  $a = aD(z) = D^p(z) = 0$ . Thus  $D^p = 0$ . Therefore  $R$  has the  $p$ -basis  $\{z\}$  over  $R'$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose that  $R$  and  $R'$  are regular noetherian rings, and suppose that  $R$  is finitely generated as an  $R'$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  has a  $p$ -basis over  $R'$  which consists of one element.
- (2)  $Der_{R'}(R)$  has a basis  $D$  such that  $D^p = 0$ .
- (3)  $Der_{R'}(R)$  has a basis which consists of one preferable derivation.

*Proof.* By the Theorem of [1] (cf. [3], Theorem 15.7),  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$ . Therefore this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

### 3. $p$ -BASES WHICH CONSIST OF $l$ ELEMENTS

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a Galois extension of  $R'$ . Let  $D$  be a derivation of  $R$  over  $R'$ , and suppose that  $D^p = 0$  and the  $R$ -module  $RD$  is a direct summand of  $Der_{R'}(R)$ . Then the following holds:*

- (1)  $R$  is a Galois extension of  $Ker D$ ,
- (2)  $Ker D$  is a Galois extension of  $R'$ ,
- (3)  $RD = Der_{Ker D}(R)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $a, b \in R$ , we have

$$[aD, bD] = \{aD(b) - bD(a)\}D,$$

and by the Hochschild formula

$$(aD)^p = a^p D^p + (aD)^{p-1}(a)D = (aD)^{p-1}(a)D.$$

Thus  $[aD, bD]$  and  $(aD)^p$  are contained in  $RD$ . It follows that  $RD$  is a  $p$ -Lie subalgebra of  $Der_{R'}(R)$ . Theorem 12 of [6] says that  $R$  is a Galois extension of  $Ker D$  and  $RD = Der_{Ker D}(R)$ . Therefore  $Ker D$  is a Galois extension of  $R'$  by Theorem 11 of [6].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $l$  be an integer greater than 1. Suppose  $R$  is finitely presented as an  $R'$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  has a  $p$ -basis over  $R'$  which consists of  $l$  elements.
- (2)  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$  and  $Der_{R'}(R)$  has a basis  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  such that  $D_i^p = 0$  and  $[D_i, D_j] = 0$  for any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This immediately follows from fact (3) in §1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $R_1$  be the kernel of the derivation  $D_1$  which is an  $R'$ -algebra. Then, by Lemma 3.1  $R$  is a Galois extension of  $R_1$  and  $RD_1 = \text{Der}_{R_1}(R)$ . Hence, there exists a  $p$ -basis  $\{x_1\}$  of  $R$  over  $R_1$  by Theorem 2.2.

Now, in order to find the other elements which constitute a  $p$ -basis of  $R$  over  $R'$ , we need to show that  $\{D_i|_{R_1}\}_{i=2,\dots,l}$  forms a basis for  $\text{Der}_{R'}(R_1)$ . First of all, we claim that  $D_i|_{R_1} \in \text{Der}_{R'}(R_1)$  and  $D_i|_{R_1} \neq 0$  for any  $i \geq 2$ . The first assertion follows from  $[D_1, D_i] = 0$ . To show the second assertion, assume  $R_1 \subseteq \text{Ker } D_i$ . Then  $D_i \in \text{Der}_{R_1}(R) = RD_1$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  is a basis of  $\text{Der}_{R'}(R)$ . Thus  $D_i|_{R_1} \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$  and let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \cap R_1$  of  $R_1$ . Since  $R_1$  is a Galois extension of  $R'$  by Lemma 3.1, there is a subset  $\{y_2, \dots, y_l\}$  of  $R_{1\mathfrak{n}}$  which is a  $p$ -basis of  $R_{1\mathfrak{n}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ . Obviously,  $\{\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(x_1), y_2, \dots, y_l\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ . Let  $D_{\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}(x_1)}, D_{y_2}, \dots, D_{y_l}$  be the derivations of  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$  associated with this  $p$ -basis (see fact (3) in §1). Denote by  $D'_j$  the derivation  $D_{y_j}|_{R_{1\mathfrak{n}}}$  of  $R_{1\mathfrak{n}}$  over  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ . Then  $\tau_{\mathfrak{n}}(D_i|_{R_1})$  is written as  $\sum_{j=2}^l a_{ij}D'_j$  for each  $i \geq 2$  where  $a_{ij} \in R_{1\mathfrak{n}}$ , because  $\{D'_j\}_{j=2,\dots,l}$  forms a basis for  $\text{Der}_{R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}}(R_{1\mathfrak{n}})$ . Since  $R$  is finitely presented as an  $R'$ -module, the module  $\text{Der}_{R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Der}_{R'}(R) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Hence,  $\{\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_1), \dots, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_l)\}$  forms a basis for  $\text{Der}_{R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$ , so the derivation  $D_{y_j}$  is expressed as  $\sum_{i=1}^l b_{ji}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_i)$  for each  $j \geq 2$  where  $b_{ji} \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . For each  $j \geq 2$  we have

$$D'_j = \sum_{i=1}^l b_{ji}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(D_i)|_{R_{1\mathfrak{n}}} = \sum_{i=2}^l b_{ji}\tau_{\mathfrak{n}}(D_i|_{R_1}).$$

These show that the matrix  $[b_{ji}]_{2 \leq i, j \leq l}$  is equal to the inverse matrix of  $[a_{ij}]_{2 \leq i, j \leq l}$ , i.e.,  $b_{ji} \in R_{1\mathfrak{n}}$ . Thus, for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $R_1$ ,  $\{\tau_{\mathfrak{n}}(D_i|_{R_1})\}_{i=2,\dots,l}$  is a basis of  $\text{Der}_{R'_{\mathfrak{m}'}}(R_{1\mathfrak{n}})$ . This implies that  $\{D_i|_{R_1}\}_{i=2,\dots,l}$  forms a basis for  $\text{Der}_{R'}(R_1)$ .

Set  $R_h = \text{Ker } D_1 \cap \dots \cap \text{Ker } D_h$  for  $h = 2, \dots, l$ . Repeating the previous argument in the situation that  $R_{h-1} \supseteq R_h \supseteq R'$ , we can show that there exists a  $p$ -basis  $\{x_h\}$  of  $R_{h-1}$  over  $R_h$  inductively. Then Theorem 9 (2) of [6] says that  $R_l = R'$ . In conclusion,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R$  over  $R'$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $l$  be an integer greater than 1. Suppose that  $R$  and  $R'$  are regular noetherian rings, and suppose that  $R$  is finitely generated as an  $R'$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  has a  $p$ -basis over  $R'$  which consists of  $l$  elements.
- (2)  $\text{Der}_{R'}(R)$  has a basis  $\{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$  such that  $D_i^p = 0$  and  $[D_i, D_j] = 0$  for any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .

*Proof.* By virtue of the Theorem of [1],  $R$  has locally  $p$ -bases over  $R'$ . Clearly, the assertion holds by Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

#### 4. $p$ -BASES OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

In this section, when  $R$  is an integral domain,  $Q(R)$  denotes the field of fractions of  $R$ . The next theorem is an analogy of the result of [2].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $A$  be a polynomial ring  $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , and let  $B$  be a regular noetherian subring of  $A$  containing  $A^p$  such that  $[Q(B) : Q(A^p)] = p$ . Suppose that  $\text{Der}_{A^p}(B)$  is a free  $B$ -module. If  $F$*

is a polynomial of minimal degree (in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ) in  $B - A^p$  which has no terms of elements in  $A^p$ , then  $\{F\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $B$  over  $A^p$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is finitely generated as an  $A^p$ -module and  $B$  is noetherian,  $A$  is finitely presented as a  $B$ -module. By the Theorem of [1],  $A$  is a Galois extension of  $B$ . Clearly  $A$  is a Galois extension of  $A^p$ , and  $B$  is also by Theorem 11 (1) of [6].

Set  $H = \{D \in \text{Der}_{A^p}(A) \mid D(B) \subseteq B\}$ . Then, by Theorem 11 (2) of [6], there is a  $B$ -module homomorphism  $\Phi : \text{Der}_{A^p}(B) \rightarrow H$  which, followed by the restriction map  $H \rightarrow \text{Der}_{A^p}(B)$  given by  $D \rightarrow D|_B$ , is the identity map on  $\text{Der}_{A^p}(B)$ . We write  $\widetilde{\text{Der}_{A^p}(B)}$  for the image of  $\text{Der}_{A^p}(B)$  in  $H$ . Theorem 11 (3) of [6] says that

$$(*) \quad \text{Der}_{A^p}(A) = \text{Der}_B(A) \oplus A\widetilde{\text{Der}_{A^p}(B)}.$$

We see that  $\text{rank}_B \text{Der}_{A^p}(B) = 1$ , because  $[Q(B) : Q(A^p)] = p$ . Let  $D$  be a basis for  $\text{Der}_{A^p}(B)$ , and put  $\widetilde{D} = \Phi(D)$ . Obviously  $\widetilde{D}|_B = D$ , so  $\widetilde{D}$  generates  $\widetilde{\text{Der}_{A^p}(B)}$ . From (\*), there are a derivation  $D_i \in \text{Der}_B(A)$  and an element  $a_i \in A$  such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} = D_i + a_i \widetilde{D} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, for each  $i$  we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial X_i} = a_i \widetilde{D}(F).$$

Now,  $F \notin A^p$  implies  $a_j \neq 0$  for some  $j$ . It follows that

$$(\dagger) \quad \text{deg } \widetilde{D}(F) \leq \text{deg } \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_j} < \text{deg } F.$$

On the other hand, since  $F \in B - A^p$  and  $\text{Ker } D = A^p$  (see [6], Theorem 9 (2)), we obtain

$$(\ddagger) \quad \widetilde{D}(F) = \widetilde{D}|_B(F) = D(F) \in B - \{0\}.$$

Since the degree of  $F$  is minimal in  $B - A^p$ , the above  $(\dagger)$  and  $(\ddagger)$  yield that

$$(\star) \quad D(F) \in A^p - \{0\}.$$

Let  $t$  ( $t \in B$ ) be a  $p$ -basis of  $Q(B)$  over  $Q(A^p)$ , and let  $D_t$  be a derivation of  $Q(B)$  over  $Q(A^p)$  such that  $D_t(t) = 1$ . Then, since  $D_t$  is a basis of  $\text{Der}_{Q(A^p)}(Q(B))$ , the derivation  $D$  is equal to  $D(t)D_t$ , where  $D$  is regarded as the derivation of  $Q(B)$  over  $Q(A^p)$  by the canonical inclusion map  $\text{Der}_{A^p}(B) \rightarrow \text{Der}_{Q(A^p)}(Q(B))$ . So we have

$$D(t)^{p-1} = \frac{1}{D(F)} D(t)^p D_t(F) \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} Q(A^p)t^i.$$

Hence,  $D$  is preferable by Lemma 1.2. According to the proof of Theorem 2.2, there exists a  $p$ -basis  $\{F'\}$  of  $B$  over  $A^p$  such that  $D(F') = 1$ . We may assume that  $F'$  has no terms of elements in  $A^p$ . Writing  $F$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i^p F'^i$  ( $a_i \in A$ ),  $(\star)$  implies that  $a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_{p-1} = 0$ . Considering the assumptions for the degree and the terms of  $F$ , we have  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_1 \in k - \{0\}$ . Consequently,  $\{F\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $B$  over  $A^p$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The following assertions immediately follow from the proof of Theorem 4.1.

- (1)  $F$  is unique up to multiplication by elements of  $k - \{0\}$ .

(2) Any  $p$ -basis of  $B$  over  $A^p$  can be uniquely expressed as  $cF + a^p$  ( $c \in k - \{0\}$ ,  $a \in A$ ).

**Corollary 4.2** (Kimura-Niitsuma). *Let  $k$  and  $A$  be as in Theorem 4.1. Let  $B$  be a polynomial ring  $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$  which is a subring of  $A$  containing  $A^p$  such that  $[Q(B) : Q(A^p)] = p$  (resp.  $[Q(A) : Q(B)] = p$ ). Then  $B$  has a  $p$ -basis over  $A^p$  (resp.  $A$  has a  $p$ -basis over  $B$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose  $[Q(B) : Q(A^p)] = p$ . Recall that  $B$  is a Galois extension of  $A^p$  (see the proof of Theorem 4.1). By Theorem 9 of [6]  $Der_{A^p}(B)$  is afinitely generated and projective as a  $B$ -module. By virtue of Quillen's result of [5],  $Der_{A^p}(B)$  is free. Therefore the assertion holds by Theorem 4.1.

Next, suppose  $[Q(A) : Q(B)] = p$ . Then by a similar argument we can show that there is a  $p$ -basis  $\{F^p\}$  ( $F \in A$ ) of  $A^p$  over  $B^p$ . Obviously,  $\{F\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $A$  over  $B$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* In 1990, the above result was first announced by T. Kimura and H. Niitsuma.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Kimura and H. Niitsuma, *On Kunz's conjecture*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **34** (1982), 371–378. MR **83h**:13030
- [2] ———, *A note on  $p$ -basis of polynomial ring in two variable*, SUT J. Math. **25** (1989), 33–38. MR **91a**:13002
- [3] E. Kunz, *Kähler Differentials*, Vieweg Advanced Lectures in Math., 1986. MR **88e**:14025
- [4] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986. MR **88h**:13001
- [5] D. Quillen, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 167–171. MR **55**:337
- [6] S. Yuan, *Inseparable Galois theory of exponent one*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 163–170. MR **41**:1717

TOKYO METROPOLITAN COLLEGE OF AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING 8-52-1, MINAMI-SENJU, ARAKAWA-KU, TOKYO 116-0003, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* `tono@kouku-k.ac.jp`