

ON THE STABILITY OF APPROXIMATELY ADDITIVE MAPPINGS

YANG-HI LEE AND KIL-WOUNG JUN

(Communicated by Dale Alspach)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a generalization of the stability of approximately additive mappings in the spirit of Hyers, Ulam and Rassias.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1941 Hyers [3] showed that if $\delta > 0$ and $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, with E_1 and E_2 Banach spaces, such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E_1,$$

then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta,$$

for all $x \in E_1$, and if $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed x , then T is a linear mapping.

Rassias [6] and Gajda [1] gave some generalizations of the Hyers' result in the following ways : Let $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a mapping such that $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed x . Assume that there exist $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \neq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\|}{\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p} \leq \theta, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E_1.$$

Then there exists a unique linear mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\frac{\|T(x) - f(x)\|}{\|x\|^p} \leq \frac{2\theta}{2-2^p}, \quad \text{for all } x \in E_1.$$

However, it was showed that the similar result for the case $p = 1$ does not hold (see [7]). Recently, Găvruta [2] also obtained a further generalization of the Hyers-Rassias theorem : Let G be an abelian group and X a Banach space. Denote by $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a mapping such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y) < \infty$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Suppose $f : G \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

Received by the editors February 25, 1998 and, in revised form, June 22, 1998.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47H15.

for all $x, y \in G$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varphi}(x, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

In this paper we generalize the results of Hyers, Rassias and Găvruta.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper, let a be a fixed rational number with $a > 1$. If a is not an integer, there exist unique nonnegative integers b, p and q such that $a = b + q/p$, $0 < q/p < 1$ and $(p, q) = 1$. If a is an integer, we let $a = b$. We denote by G a vector space, by X a Banach space, and by $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a mapping such that

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{-k}\varphi(a^k x, a^k y) < \infty$$

for all $x, y \in G$. In particular, when $a = 2$, we denote $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$ by $\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, y)$. We also assume that $\sum_{i=2}^n \varphi(\cdot) = 0$ if $n < 2$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : G \rightarrow X$ be such that*

$$(2) \quad \|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G.$$

Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T(x) - f(x)\| \leq & a^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{q}{p}x, bx\right) + a^{-1}\frac{q}{p}\sum_{i=2}^p\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x\right) \\ & + a^{-1}\sum_{i=2}^q\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x\right) + a^{-1}\sum_{i=2}^b\tilde{\varphi}(x, (i-1)x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$.

Proof. We first prove the case that a is not an integer. Putting $y = ix$ in (2), we have

$$\|f((i + 1)x) - f(x) - f(ix)\| \leq \varphi(x, ix), \quad \text{for all } x \in G, i \in N.$$

Thus

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f((k + 1)x) - (k + 1)f(x)\| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|f((i + 1)x) - f(x) - f(ix)\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \varphi(x, (i - 1)x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G, k \in N$. From (4) it follows that

$$(5) \quad \|a^{-1}f(bx) - a^{-1}bf(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=2}^b a^{-1}\varphi(x, (i - 1)x).$$

Replacing x by $\frac{q}{p}x$ and y by bx , (2) gives

$$(6) \quad \|a^{-1}f(ax) - a^{-1}f\left(\frac{q}{p}x\right) - a^{-1}f(bx)\| \leq a^{-1}\varphi\left(\frac{q}{p}x, bx\right).$$

Replacing x by $\frac{1}{p}x$ and $k + 1$ by p , (4) gives

$$(7) \quad \|f(x) - pf(\frac{1}{p}x)\| \leq \sum_{i=2}^p \varphi(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x).$$

Replacing x by $\frac{1}{p}x$ and $k + 1$ by q , (4) gives

$$(8) \quad \|f(\frac{q}{p}x) - qf(\frac{1}{p}x)\| \leq \sum_{i=2}^q \varphi(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x).$$

From (7) and (8), we obtain

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} a^{-1} \|\frac{q}{p}f(x) - f(\frac{q}{p}x)\| &\leq a^{-1} \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \varphi(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x) \\ &\quad + a^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^q \varphi(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x). \end{aligned}$$

From (5), (6) and (9), we get

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|a^{-1}f(ax) - f(x)\| &\leq a^{-1} \|f(ax) - f(\frac{q}{p}x) - f(bx)\| \\ &\quad + a^{-1} \|\frac{q}{p}x - f(\frac{q}{p}x)\| + a^{-1} \|f(bx) - bf(x)\| \\ &\leq a^{-1} \left[\varphi(\frac{q}{p}x, bx) + \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \varphi(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^q \varphi(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x) + \sum_{i=2}^b \varphi(x, (i-1)x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing x by $a^{k-1}x$, (10) gives

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|a^{-1}f(a^kx) - f(a^{k-1}x)\| &\leq a^{-1} \left[\varphi(a^{k-1}\frac{q}{p}x, a^{k-1}bx) + \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \varphi(a^{k-1}\frac{1}{p}x, a^{k-1}\frac{i-1}{p}x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^q \varphi(a^{k-1}\frac{1}{p}x, a^{k-1}\frac{i-1}{p}x) + \sum_{i=2}^b \varphi(a^{k-1}x, a^{k-1}(i-1)x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a^{-n}f(a^n x) - f(x)\| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-k+1} \|a^{-1}f(a^k x) - f(a^{k-1}x)\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-k} \varphi(a^{k-1} \frac{q}{p} x, a^{k-1} b x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-k} \varphi(a^{k-1} \frac{1}{p} x, a^{k-1} \frac{i-1}{p} x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^q \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-k} \varphi(a^{k-1} \frac{1}{p} x, a^{k-1} \frac{i-1}{p} x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^b \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-k} \varphi(a^{k-1} x, a^{k-1} (i-1)x)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12}$$

for all $x \in G$.

We claim that the sequence $\{a^{-n}f(a^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, for $n > m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a^{-n}f(a^n x) - a^{-m}f(a^m x)\| &\leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n a^{-k+1} \|a^{-1}f(a^k x) - f(a^{k-1}x)\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n a^{-k} \varphi(a^{k-1} \frac{q}{p} x, a^{k-1} b x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \sum_{k=m+1}^n a^{-k} \varphi(a^{k-1} \frac{1}{p} x, a^{k-1} \frac{i-1}{p} x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^q \sum_{k=m+1}^n a^{-k} \varphi(a^{k-1} \frac{1}{p} x, a^{k-1} \frac{i-1}{p} x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^b \sum_{k=m+1}^n a^{-k} \varphi(a^{k-1} x, a^{k-1} (i-1)x)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{13}$$

for all $x \in G$. Taking the limit in (13) as $m \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|a^{-n}f(a^n x) - a^{-m}f(a^m x)\| = 0.$$

Since X is a Banach space, the sequence $\{a^{-n}f(a^n x)\}$ converges for every $x \in G$. Denote

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a^n x)}{a^n}.$$

From (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a^{-n}f(a^n x + a^n y) - a^{-n}f(a^n x) - a^{-n}f(a^n y)\| \\
 \leq a^{-n} \varphi(a^n x, a^n y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

From (1) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{-n} \varphi(a^n x, a^n y) = 0.$$

Then (14) implies

$$\|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\| = 0.$$

To prove (3), taking the limit in (12) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - f(x)\| &\leq a^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{q}{p}x, bx\right) + a^{-1}\frac{q}{p}\sum_{i=2}^p\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x\right) \\ &\quad + a^{-1}\sum_{i=2}^q\tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x\right) + a^{-1}\sum_{i=2}^b\tilde{\varphi}(x, (i-1)x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that T is uniquely defined. Let $F : G \rightarrow X$ be another additive mapping satisfying (3). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - F(x)\| &= \|a^{-n}T(a^n x) - a^{-n}F(a^n x)\| \\ &\leq \|a^{-n}T(a^n x) - a^{-n}f(a^n x)\| + \|a^{-n}f(a^n x) - a^{-n}F(a^n x)\| \\ &\leq 2\left[a^{-n-1}\tilde{\varphi}\left(a^n\frac{q}{p}x, a^n bx\right) + a^{-n-1}\frac{q}{p}\sum_{i=2}^p\tilde{\varphi}\left(a^n\frac{1}{p}x, a^n\frac{i-1}{p}x\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a^{-n-1}\sum_{i=2}^q\tilde{\varphi}\left(a^n\frac{1}{p}x, a^n\frac{i-1}{p}x\right) + a^{-n-1}\sum_{i=2}^b\tilde{\varphi}(a^n x, a^n(i-1)x) \right] \\ &= 2a^{-1}\left[\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a^{-j}\varphi\left(a^j\frac{q}{p}x, a^j bx\right) + \frac{q}{p}\sum_{i=2}^p\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a^{-j}\varphi\left(a^j\frac{1}{p}x, a^j\frac{i-1}{p}x\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^q\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a^{-j}\varphi\left(a^j\frac{1}{p}x, a^j\frac{i-1}{p}x\right) + \sum_{i=2}^b\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a^{-j}\varphi(a^j x, a^j(i-1)x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - F(x)\| &= \|a^{-n}T(a^n x) - a^{-n}F(a^n x)\| \\ &\leq 2a^{-1}\left[\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a^{-j}\varphi\left(a^j\frac{q}{p}x, a^j bx\right) + \frac{q}{p}\sum_{i=2}^p\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a^{-j}\varphi\left(a^j\frac{1}{p}x, a^j\frac{i-1}{p}x\right) \right. \\ (15) \quad &\left. + \sum_{i=2}^q\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a^{-j}\varphi\left(a^j\frac{1}{p}x, a^j\frac{i-1}{p}x\right) + \sum_{i=2}^b\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a^{-j}\varphi(a^j x, a^j(i-1)x) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. Taking the limit (15) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$T(x) = F(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

Now we prove the case: $a = b$. From (5) we obtain

$$(16) \quad \|a^{-1}f(ax) - f(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=2}^a a^{-1}\varphi(x, (i-1)x).$$

Hence we have

$$(12') \quad \|a^{-n}f(a^n x) - f(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=2}^a \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-k}\varphi(a^{k-1}x, a^{k-1}(i-1)x)$$

for all $x \in G$. Denote

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a^n x)}{a^n}.$$

Taking the limit in (12') as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\|T(x) - f(x)\| \leq a^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^a \tilde{\varphi}(x, (i-1)x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

It is easy to show that T is uniquely defined. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $T : G \rightarrow X$ be an additive mapping and let $x_0 \in G$. If there are an interval (c, d) and $y \in G$ such that $C = \{\|T(ux_0 + y)\| : u \in (c, d)\}$ is bounded, then*

$$T(ux_0) = uT(x_0) \quad \text{for all real numbers } u.$$

Proof. Assume that there exists a real number r such that $T(rx_0) \neq rT(x_0)$. Let $m = \|T(rx_0) - rT(x_0)\|$. Let $\{r_n\}$ be a rational number sequence such that

$$\|(r - r_n)T(x_0)\| \leq m/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = r.$$

Choose a rational number sequence $\{r'_n\}$ such that $r'_n(r - r_n) \in (c, d)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r'_n = \infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(r'_n(r - r_n)x_0 + y) - r'_nrT(x_0) + r'_nr_nT(x_0) - T(y)\| \\ &= \|r'_nT(rx_0) - r'_nrT(x_0)\| \\ &= |r'_n|m, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\|T(r'_n(r - r_n)x_0 + y)\| \geq |r'_n|(m/2) - \|T(y)\| \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

This contradicts the fact that C is bounded. □

Remarks. In Theorem 2.1, (a) if there exist an interval (c, d) and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\{\|f(ux_0)\| : u \in (c, d)\}$ and $\{\tilde{\varphi}(sx_0, tx_0) : d/(p+\varepsilon) \leq s, t \leq (b-1)d\}$ are bounded for a fixed x_0 , then $T(rx_0) = rT(x_0)$ for all real numbers r . In fact, choose an interval $(c', d') \subset (c, d) \cap (dp/(p + \varepsilon), d)$. From (3) we obtain $C = \{\|T(ux_0)\| : u \in (c', d')\}$ is bounded.

(b) If G is a normed space and $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed x and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is bounded on $G \times G$, then T is linear by (a).

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a normed space and f be as in Theorem 2.1. If f is bounded for some open subset A of G and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is bounded on $G \times G$, then there exists a unique continuous linear mapping $T : G \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - f(x)\| &\leq a^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{q}{p}x, bx\right) + a^{-1} \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x\right) \\ &\quad + a^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^q \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{p}x, \frac{i-1}{p}x\right) + a^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^b \tilde{\varphi}(x, (i-1)x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let T be a mapping as in Theorem 2.1. From (4) we obtain that T is bounded on A . Let z be an interior point of A . For each fixed $x \in G$ there exists an interval (c, d) such that $\{ux + z : u \in (c, d)\} \subset A$. By the preceding remark, T is linear. Since T is bounded on open set A , T is continuous. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let G and f be as in Theorem 2.3. If f is bounded for some open subset A of G and $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ is bounded on $A \times A$, then there exists a unique continuous linear mapping $T : G \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$\|T(x) - f(x)\| \leq 2^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique additive mapping $T : G \rightarrow X$ such that $\|T(x) - f(x)\| \leq 2^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, x)$ for all $x \in A$. We can apply the similar method as in Theorem 2.3. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a mapping with E_1 and E_2 Banach spaces. If for each fixed $x, y \in E_1$ there exist real numbers $\theta_{xy}, p_{xy}, s_{xy}$ such that $0 \leq p_{xy} < 1$ and*

$$\|f(tx + ty) - f(tx) - f(ty)\| \leq \theta_{xy}(\|tx\|^{p_{xy}} + \|ty\|^{p_{xy}}) \quad \text{for } t > s_{xy},$$

then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\|T(tx) - f(tx)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_{xx}\|tx\|^{p_{xx}}}{2 - 2^{p_{xx}}} \quad \text{for } t > s_{xx}$$

for all $x \in E_1$. In particular, if for a fixed $x_0 \in E_1$ there exist real numbers M_{x_0}, s_{x_0} such that $\|f(tx_0)\|/t < M_{x_0}$ for $t > s_{x_0}$, then $T(rx_0) = rT(x_0)$ for all real numbers r .

Proof. Let

$$\varphi(tx, ty) = \|f(tx + ty) - f(tx) - f(ty)\|.$$

Then $\tilde{\varphi}_2(x, y) < \infty$ for all $x, y \in E_1$ and $2^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}_2(tx, tx) < 2\theta_{xx}\|tx\|^{p_{xx}}/(2 - 2^{p_{xx}})$ for $t > s_{xx}$ for each $x \in E_1$. By Theorem 2.1 there exists a unique additive mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\|T(tx) - f(tx)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_{xx}\|tx\|^{p_{xx}}}{2 - 2^{p_{xx}}} \quad \text{for } t > s_{xx}$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$. If for a fixed $x_0 \in E_1$ there exist real numbers M_{x_0}, s_{x_0} with $\|f(tx_0)\|/t < M_{x_0}$ for $t > s_{x_0}$, then

$$\|T(tx_0)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_{x_0x_0}\|tx_0\|^{p_{x_0x_0}}}{2 - 2^{p_{x_0x_0}}} + M_{x_0}t \quad \text{for } t > \max(s_{x_0x_0}, s_{x_0}).$$

Therefore $\{\|T(ux_0)\| : u \in (\max(s_{x_0x_0}, s_{x_0}), 2\max(s_{x_0x_0}, s_{x_0}))\}$ is bounded. Apply Lemma 2.2. \square

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1 in [4].

Theorem 2.6. *Let a function $\psi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfy*

- (i) $\psi(ts) \leq \psi(t)\psi(s)$ for all $t, s \in R^+$ and
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t)/t = 0$

and let $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a mapping with E_1 and E_2 Banach spaces. If for each fixed $x, y \in E_1$, there exists a real number θ_{xy} such that

$$\|f(tx + ty) - f(tx) - f(ty)\| \leq \theta_{xy}(\psi(\|tx\|) + \psi(\|ty\|)) \quad \text{for all } t \in R^+,$$

then there exist a unique additive mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and a rational number $a > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f(tx) - T(tx)\| &\leq a^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\psi(a)}{a}\right) \left[\theta_{(q/p)x, bx}(\psi(\|\frac{q}{p}tx\|) + \psi(\|btx\|)) \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \theta_{(1/p)x, (i-1)x/p}(\psi(\|\frac{1}{p}tx\|) + \psi(\|\frac{i-1}{p}tx\|)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^q \theta_{(1/p)x, (i-1)x/p}(\psi(\|\frac{1}{p}tx\|) + \psi(\|\frac{i-1}{p}tx\|)) \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^b \theta_{x, (i-1)x}(\psi(\|tx\|) + \psi(\|(i-1)tx\|)) \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

In particular, if for each fixed $x \in E_1$ there exist positive real numbers c_x, d_x such that $A_x = \{\|f(ux)\| : u \in (c_x, d_x)\}$ is bounded, then T is linear.

Proof. From (ii), there exists a rational number a such that $\psi(a) < a$. Let $\varphi(x, y) = \|f(tx + ty) - f(tx) - f(ty)\|$. From (i) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\varphi}(tx, ty) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-n} \varphi(a^n tx, a^n ty) \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-n} \theta_{xy}(\psi(\|a^n tx\|) + \psi(\|a^n ty\|)) \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\psi(a)/a)^n \theta_{xy}(\psi(\|tx\|) + \psi(\|ty\|)) \\
 &= \frac{\theta_{xy}(\psi(\|tx\|) + \psi(\|ty\|))}{1 - \psi(a)/a} < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$ and $t \in R^+$. By Theorem 2.1 there exists a unique additive mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f(tx) - T(tx)\| &\leq a^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\psi(a)}{a}\right) \left[\theta_{(q/p)x, bx}(\psi(\|\frac{q}{p}tx\|) + \psi(\|btx\|)) \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \theta_{(1/p)x, (i-1)x/p}(\psi(\|\frac{1}{p}tx\|) + \psi(\|\frac{i-1}{p}tx\|)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^q \theta_{(1/p)x, (i-1)x/p}(\psi(\|\frac{1}{p}tx\|) + \psi(\|\frac{i-1}{p}tx\|)) \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^b \theta_{x, (i-1)x}(\psi(\|tx\|) + \psi(\|(i-1)tx\|)) \right]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

for $x \in E_1$ and $t \in R^+$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t)/t = 0$, there exists a positive number M such that $\psi(t)/t < 1$ for all $t > M$. Choose N such that $c_x N > M$. From (17)

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f(tx) - T(tx)\| &\leq a^{-1}\psi(tN)\left(1 - \frac{\psi(a)}{a}\right) \left[\theta_{(q/p)x, bx}(\psi(\|\frac{q}{pN}x\|) + \psi(\|\frac{b}{N}x\|)) \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=2}^p \theta_{(1/p)x, (i-1)x/p}(\psi(\|\frac{1}{pN}x\|) + \psi(\|\frac{i-1}{pN}x\|)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^q \theta_{(1/p)x, (i-1)x/p}(\psi(\|\frac{1}{pN}x\|) + \psi(\|\frac{i-1}{pN}x\|)) \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^b \theta_{x, (i-1)x}(\psi(\|\frac{1}{N}x\|) + \psi(\|\frac{i-1}{N}x\|)) \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{18}$$

Since $\psi(Nt) < Nt$ for all $t \in (c_x, d_x)$, the right-hand side of the inequality of (18) is bounded for $t \in (c_x, d_x)$. From $A_x = \{\|f(ux)\| : u \in (c_x, d_x)\}$ is bounded, $C_x = \{\|T(ux)\| : u \in (c_x, d_x)\}$ is bounded. Applying Lemma 2.2, T is linear. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Sci **14** (1991), 431-434. MR **92e**:39029
- [2] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. of Math. Anal. and Appl. **184** (1994), 431-436. MR **95e**:47089
- [3] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222-224. MR **2**:315a
- [4] G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of ψ -additive mappings*, J. Approx. Theory **72** (1993), 131-137. MR **94b**:39043
- [5] G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Functional inequalities for approximately additive mappings*, In: Stability of Mappings of Hyers-Ulam Type (Th. M. Rassias and J. Tabor, eds.), Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Fl. (1994), 117-125. MR **95j**:39048
- [6] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297-300. MR **80d**:47094
- [7] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behavior of mappings which does not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989-993. MR **92g**:47101
- [8] S. M. Ulam, *"Problems in Modern Mathematics"*, Chap. VI, Science eds., Wiley, Newyork, 1960. MR **43**:6031

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, KONGJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION,
KONGJU 314-060, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: lyhmzi@kongju2.kongju-e.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEJON 305-764, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: kwjun@math.chungnam.ac.kr