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Abstract. In this paper, we obtain an Euler product with functional equation
associated to a noncuspidal metaplectic form \( f \) on the double cover of \( GL(2) \).
Zagier’s idea of Rankin-Selberg method is used to define the convolution of \( f \)
and the \( \theta \)-function.

0. Introduction

If \( f \) is a holomorphic modular form of half-integral weight \( k/2 \), Shimura [16]
showed that there is an automorphic form of integral weight \( 2k - 2 \) associated to \( f \). This phenomenon is usually referred to as the Shimura Correspondence. Here
is the outline of Shimura’s original proof. Suppose \( f(z) = \sum a(n)q^n \) is the Fourier
expansion of \( f \), which is an eigenform of the Hecke operators \( T_p \). Then the Rankin
Selberg integral

\[
\int f(z)\theta(z)E(z,s)dz
\]

has the functional equation and the Euler product of the form

\[
\prod_p (1 - \lambda_p p^{-s} + p^{k-2-2s})^{-1}
\]

where \( \theta(z) \) is the classical theta function and \( E(z,s) \) is an Eisenstein series, \( \lambda_p \) is
the eigenvalue of \( f \) under \( T_p \). By means of the converse theorem, Shimura showed
that the above Euler product is the L-function of automorphic form of integral
weight \( 2k - 2 \).

There are few other approaches towards the Shimura Correspondence, such as,
as a case of the theta correspondence [17], [13], [7], use of Selberg’s trace formulas
[5], [6].

On the other hand, Shimura’s original method also has been used to obtain Eu-
ler products with functional equations in other metaplectic settings. The analytic
property of these Euler products, combined with the generalized converse theo-
rems, suggests the generalized Shimura correspondence. The work of Gelbart and
Piatetski-Shapiro [9] deals with the double cover of all forms over all base fields.
Bump and Hoffstein worked on metaplectic forms on the cubic cover of \( GL(3) \) [11]
and on the $n$-cover of $GL(2)$\cite{2}. Similar results are also obtained by Friedberg and Wong \cite{8} over the 2-cover of $GSp(4)$ and by Goetze \cite{10} over the 3-cover of $GSp(4)$.

All above mentioned Euler products with functional equations handle only cusp forms. In this paper, we will extend the construction in a new direction. We will obtain Euler products with functional equation associated to noncusp forms. To illustrate our idea, we will consider a noncuspidal metaplectic form on the double cover of $GL(2;\mathbb{C})$, but the method may be generalized to deal with other more complicated settings. The Euler product exists in this noncuspidal case, due to the same reason as the cuspidal case. The Hecke operators force the Fourier coefficients to satisfy a certain relation; this relation leads to the convolution having an Euler product. But as the form under consideration is noncuspidal, the convolution as in (0.1) is not well defined; we will adjust the convolution using Zagier’s idea for the Rankin-Selberg method for functions not of rapid decay \cite{18}. Zagier’s method for functions not of rapid decay has been widely used to handle convolutions which involve metaplectic Eisenstein series and theta series, as they are not cuspidal and their Fourier coefficients contain number theoretic information \cite{11}, \cite{15}. Clear formulations and rigorous proofs of the method in contexts other than Zagier’s may be found in \cite{13}, \cite{3}, \cite{4}.

It should be pointed out that, in this situation, the $p$-factor of the Euler product, unlike the cuspidal case, may split. For example, when $f = \theta$ itself, we have

\begin{equation}
\lambda_p = (\mathbb{N}p)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\mathbb{N}p)^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
1 - \lambda_p x + x^2 = (1 - (\mathbb{N}p)^{\frac{1}{2}} x)(1 - (\mathbb{N}p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x).
\end{equation}

Thus, in this case, the Euler product does not imply the Shimura correspondence. Further we point out that, in cases like $f = \theta$, it is possible to compute $\lambda_p$ explicitly. Thus our result may be obtained by explicit computation.

The method outlined in this work, when applied to other more complicated settings, such as $n$-fold covers of $GL(r)$, might help us to understand the $\theta$-series and Hecke operators on those settings better. For example, we suspect that working on the 4-fold cover of $GL(4)$ and the 4-fold cover of $GL(2)$ might reveal some information about the Fourier coefficients of the $\theta$-series of the 4-cover of $GL(2)$, which at this point is not yet completely determined.

As most of the ideas and computations used here are well documented, we will omit most of the details of the proofs. But the statements of our results are precise.

1. Notation

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ be the ring of integers of $K$. Let $\lambda = 1 + i$. The ring $\mathcal{O}$ is the principal ideal domain and every integral ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ which is prime to $\lambda$ has an unique generator which is congruent to 1 modulo $\lambda^2$. This generator is called primitive. For any element of $K$, or an ideal of $\mathcal{O}$, we will denote its absolute norm by $\mathbb{N}$, and the cardinality of the multiplicative group of $\mathcal{O}/a\mathcal{O}$ by $\phi(a)$.

If $a$, $b$ are coprime elements of $\mathcal{O}$, and $\lambda \not| b$, let $(\frac{a}{b})$ denote the quadratic symbol. For a complex number $z$, let $e(z) = e^{2\pi i \text{Re}(z)}$. For a primitive integer $d$ and $n \in \mathcal{O}$, we define the Gauss sum as

\begin{equation}
g(n, d) = \sum_{c \pmod{d}} \left( \frac{c}{d} \right) e\left( \frac{nc}{d} \right).
\end{equation}
Here is the summary of properties of this Gauss sum:

**Proposition 1.1.**  

a) Suppose that \( m, d \) are coprime; then \( g(mn, d) = (\frac{m}{d}) g(n, d) \).

b) Suppose that \( d_1, d_2 \) are coprime; then \( g(n, d_1 d_2) = g(n, d_1) g(n, d_2) \).

c) Suppose that \( l \) is even and \( p \) is prime; then
\[
\begin{cases} 
\phi(p^l) & \text{if } k \geq 1, \\
-Np^k & \text{if } k = l - 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

d) Suppose that \( l \) is odd and \( p \) is prime; then
\[
\begin{cases} 
Np^{k+1/2} & \text{if } k = l - 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( H = \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^* U(z) \) be the hyperbolic 3-space and suppose \( \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) acts on \( H \) by left multiplication. We have the following coset representatives for \( H \), given by Iwasawa decomposition:
\[
(1.2) \quad \{ z = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < y \in \mathbb{R} \}.
\]

For any ideal \( A \) of \( \mathcal{O} \), let \( \Gamma(A) \) be the principal congruence subgroup of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}) \) modulo \( A \), given by
\[
\Gamma(A) = \left\{ \gamma \in \text{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}) \mid \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{A} \right\}.
\]

Let \( \Gamma_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) \) denote the subgroup of upper triangular matrices of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}) \) and \( \Gamma_{\infty}(A) = \Gamma_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \Gamma(A) \). We shall be primarily concerned with the case \( A = \lambda^3 \). On the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma(\lambda^3) \), Kubota [12] showed that
\[
\kappa \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left( \frac{c}{d} \right),
\]

is a homomorphism. We will refer to \( \kappa \) as the Kubota symbol.

### 2. Metaplectic Forms and Hecke Operators

We will denote by \( P \) the following complete set of cusps of \( \Gamma(\lambda^3) \backslash \mathcal{H} \):
\[
P = \{ \infty, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{i + 1}, \frac{1}{i + 3}, 1, -1, 0, 2, -i, \frac{1}{i + 2}, i + 1, i + 3 \}.
\]

These cusps are in one to one correspondence with double cosets in
\[
\Gamma(\lambda^3) \backslash \text{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}) / \Gamma_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}).
\]

Here is the corresponding set of representatives, denoted by \( \Theta \):
\[
\Theta = \left\{ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \times \left\{ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \right\}.
\]

Let \( z = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H} \). Let us define the following theta function:
\[
(2.1) \quad \theta(z) = y^\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{O}} \exp(\pi i \{ \text{tr} (\chi(\alpha^2) + 2i y |\alpha|^2) \})).
\]
Then we have ([8], Theorem 2.1)

**Theorem 2.1.** The theta function \( \theta(z) \) satisfies the following invariance property:

\[
(2.2) \quad \theta(\gamma z) = \kappa(\gamma) \theta(z) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma(\lambda^3).
\]

Functions like \( \theta(z) \) are called the metaplectic automorphic forms. A metaplectic automorphic form of \( \Gamma(\lambda^3) \) is a complex-valued function on \( \mathbb{H} \), which is an eigenfunction of certain differential operators, such that \( f(\gamma z) = \kappa(\gamma)f(z) \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma(\lambda^3) \), and certain growth condition at cusps. We will define Fourier coefficients of such a form as follows.

For an element \( \rho \in SL(2, \mathcal{O}) \), we set

\[
(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}} f\left( \rho \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} z \right) e(nu) du = (Nn)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\rho}(n) W_{f}\left( \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} z \right),
\]

where \( du = \frac{dz}{\rho^4} \), \( n \in (\lambda^{-4}) \) and \( W_{f}(z) \) is a certain \( GL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) Whittaker function which is determined by the eigenvalues of \( f \) under certain differential operators. In particular, for \( f = \theta \), we have

\[
W_{\theta}(z) = y^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\pi i tr(x) - 2\lambda y).
\]

Note that if \( \rho' = \gamma_1 \rho \gamma_2 \) with \( \gamma_1 \in \Gamma(\lambda^3) \), \( \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u & m \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) \), then

\[
(2.4) \quad a_{\rho'}(n) = \kappa(\gamma_1)e(-mn^{-1})a_{\rho}(u^{-2}n).
\]

This means that the Fourier coefficient defined as above at a cusp \( \zeta \) of \( \Gamma(\lambda^3) \) \( \mathcal{H} \) depends on the choice of the coset representatives, but in a way clearly understood. Let \( \tau_{\rho}(z) \) be the Fourier coefficients of \( \theta(z) \). We have ([8], Proposition 2.3):

**Theorem 2.2.** a) The Fourier coefficients \( \tau_{\rho}(n) \) is zero if \( n \) is not a square in \( K \).

b) Let \( p \) be a prime, \( p \equiv 1(\mod \lambda^3) \), and suppose that \( (p, n) = 1 \). Then

\[
\tau_{\rho}(np^2) = (Np)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau_{\rho}(n).
\]

Now let us turn to the Hecke operators. Let \( p \) be a prime of \( \mathcal{O} \), \( (p, \lambda) = 1 \). We choose \( p \) to be primitive. Let

\[
\zeta_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ p^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We decompose the double coset

\[
\Gamma(\lambda^3)\zeta_{p}\Gamma(\lambda^3) = \bigcup \Gamma(\lambda^3)\zeta_{i}.
\]

There are only finite number of cosets \( \Gamma(\lambda^3)\zeta_{i} \). For each such coset, there are \( \gamma_{i}, \delta_{i} \in \Gamma(\lambda^3) \), such that \( \zeta_{i} = \gamma_{i}\zeta_{p}\delta_{i} \).

Define the Hecke operator \( T_{p^2} \), on a metaplectic form \( f \) of \( \Gamma(\lambda^3) \), as follows.

\[
(2.7) \quad T_{p^2}f = \sum \kappa(\gamma_{i})\kappa(\delta_{i})f(\zeta_{i}z).
\]

It may be checked that \( T_{p^2} \) is well defined and \( T_{p^2}f \) is still a metaplectic form of \( \Gamma(\lambda^3) \). Adopting the convention that \( a_{\rho}(n) = 0 \) if \( n \not\in (\lambda^{-4})\mathcal{O} \), we have the following theorem [2].
Theorem 2.3. Let $A_p(n)$ and $a_p(n)$ be the Fourier coefficients of $(T_{p^2}f)$ and $f$ respectively, with $f$ a metaplectic form of $\Gamma(\lambda^3)$. Then

$$(2.8) \quad A_p(n) = (Np) \cdot [a_p(np^{-2}) + g(n,p)a_p(n)(Np)^{-1} + a_p(np^2)].$$

Proof. The following are the possible representatives $\zeta_i$, and the resulting contributions.

i) $\zeta_i = (p^2 \ 1), \ \kappa(\gamma_i)\kappa(\delta_i) = 1.$ This term contributes $Np a_p(np^{-2}).$

ii) $\zeta_i = (p \ b \ p) \ (b \mod \ p), \ \kappa(\gamma_i)\kappa(\delta_i) = \left( \begin{array}{c} b \\ p \end{array} \right), \ b$ is chosen such that $(\lambda^3)|b$. This term contributes $g(n,p)a_p(n)$.

iii) $\zeta_i = (1 \ p^2), \ \kappa(\gamma_i)\kappa(\delta_i) = 1.$ This term contributes $Np a_p(ap^2).$ \hfill $\square$

3. Rankin-Selberg method for forms of nonrapid decay

For each cusp $\zeta \in \Theta$ of $\Gamma(\lambda^3)$, define an Eisenstein series $E_{\zeta}(z, s)$ as

$$(3.1) \quad E_{\zeta}(z, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \xi_{\Gamma(\lambda^3)\zeta^{-1}\Gamma(\lambda^3)}} y(\zeta^{-1}\gamma z)^{2s}. $$

Here $z = y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ y(z) = y$, and $s$ is a complex number.

$E_{\zeta}(z, s)$ converges absolutely for $\text{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large.

The analytic properties of the Eisenstein series are well understood, and to a large extent are determined by their constant terms.

Let $E_{\zeta}(z, s)$ stand for the column matrix $[E_{\zeta}(z, s)]_{\zeta \in \Theta}$. Note that the order of the cusp is important. Let $\zeta_K(s) = \pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\zeta_K(s)$, where $\zeta_K(s)$ denotes the Dedekind Zeta function for the field $K$. It is well known that $\zeta_K(s)$ has a functional equation, $\zeta_K(s) = \zeta_K(1 - s)$. Define $e_{\zeta_0} = e_{\zeta_0}(y, s)$ to be the constant term of the Fourier expansion of $E_{\zeta}(z, s)$ at cusp $\eta$, that is,

$$e_{\zeta_0} = \frac{1}{16} \int_{C(\lambda^4)} E_{\zeta}(\eta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} z, s) \ dx.$$ 

Then it is easily checked that

$$(3.2) \quad e_{\zeta_0} = \delta_{\zeta_0}y^{2s} + \phi_{\zeta_0}(s)y^{2-2s}$$

where

$$\delta_{\zeta_0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \zeta = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\phi_{\zeta_0}$ are entries of the scattering matrix $\Phi(s)$. The matrix $\Phi(s)$ is given as follows. Let $x = 2^{-2s}$, $a = \frac{x^2}{1 - x}$, $b = \frac{2x(1 - 2x)}{1 - x}$, $c = \frac{x(1 - 2x)}{1 - 2x}$, $d = \frac{1 - 2x}{1 - x}$. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & c \\ b & a & c & c \\ c & c & a & b \\ c & c & b & a \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} d & d & d \\ d & d & d \\ d & d & d \end{pmatrix}_{3 \times 3}.$$
Then,
\begin{equation}
\Phi(s) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & B \\ B & A & B \\ B & B & A \end{pmatrix}_{12 \times 12} \cdot \frac{\zeta_K^*(2s-1)}{\zeta_K^*(2s)}.
\end{equation}

One has
\begin{equation}
\text{Theorem 3.1.} \text{ The vector Eisenstein series } \mathcal{E}(z, s) \text{ has a meromorphic continuation to the entire } s \text{ plane, and satisfies the functional equation }
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{E}(z, s) = \Phi(s)\mathcal{E}(z, 1-s),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\Phi(s)\Phi(1-s) = I.
\end{equation}

Let
\begin{equation}
E^*(z, s) = \zeta_K^*(2s) \cdot \sum_{\zeta \in \Theta} E_\zeta(z, s).
\end{equation}

Then, \(E^*(z, s)\) has a constant term, at any cusp \(\zeta\),
\begin{equation}
e(y, s) = \frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{C}/(\lambda^3)} E^*(\zeta \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \right) z, s) \, dx = \zeta_K^*(2s)y^{2s} + \zeta_K^*(2s-1)y^{2-2s},
\end{equation}
as \(\sum_{\eta \in \Theta} \phi_\eta \zeta = \sum_{\eta \in \Theta} \phi_\eta \zeta = \frac{\zeta_K^*(2s-1)}{\zeta_K^*(2s)}\). For \(E^*(z, s)\), we have the following scalar functional equation.

\begin{equation}
E^*(z, s) = E^*(z, 1-s), \\
e(y, s) = e(y, 1-s).
\end{equation}

Now let us describe the Rankin-Selberg method for forms not of rapid decay.

Let \(F(z)\) be a noncuspidal form on \(\mathcal{H}\) invariant under \(\Gamma(\lambda^3)\) and for any \(\zeta \in \Theta\), let
\begin{equation}
F(\zeta z) = \Psi_\zeta(y) + O(y^N) \text{ as } y \to \infty, \text{ for any } N,
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
\Psi_\zeta(y) = \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i y^{\beta_i} \log^{n_i} y, \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}, \; n_i \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{equation}

Let \(\mathcal{D}\) be the fundamental domain of \(\mathcal{H}\) under \(\Gamma(\lambda^3)\). We may choose \(\mathcal{D}\) to have the following form:
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' \cup \left\{ \frac{y}{T} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \mathcal{H} \mid y > T, \; x \in \mathbb{C}/(\lambda^3) \right\},
\end{equation}
where \(\mathcal{D}'\) is compact, and \(T\) sufficiently large. Define
\begin{equation}
R(F, s) = \zeta_K^*(2s) \sum_{\zeta \in \Theta} \int_{\mathbb{C}/(\lambda^3) \backslash \mathcal{H}} \int_{\Gamma_\infty(\lambda^3) \backslash \mathcal{H}} |F(\zeta z) - \Psi_\zeta(y)| y^{2s} \, d\mu.
\end{equation}
Then we have

**Theorem 3.2.** $R(F, s)$ has analytic continuation to all $s$ and the functional equation

\begin{equation}
R(F, s) = R(F, 1 - s).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Following the basic ideas of [4], [3], we write

\begin{equation}
R(F, s) = R_F(s) + R_{F, \psi}(s) + R_{\psi}(s).
\end{equation}

More precisely,

\begin{align*}
R_F(s) &= \int \int \sum_{\zeta \in \Theta} F(\zeta z) (E^*(\zeta z, s) - e(y, s)) d\mu, \\
R_{F, \psi}(s) &= \int \int \sum_{\zeta \in \Theta} (F(\zeta z) - \Psi(\zeta z)) e(y, s) d\mu, \\
R_{\psi}(s) &= \zeta_k^*(2s - 1) \int \int \left( \sum_{\zeta \in \Theta} \Psi(y, \zeta) \right) y^{2s-2} d\mu \\
&\quad - \zeta^*(2s) \int \int \left( \sum_{\zeta \in \Theta} \Psi(y, \zeta) \right) y^{2s} d\mu.
\end{align*}

Each of $R_F(s), R_{F, \psi}(s), R_{\psi}(s)$ has analytic continuation to all $s$ and has functional equation $s \mapsto 1 - s$. \hfill \Box

4. Euler products

In this section, we will evaluate the Dirichlet series which appears in the convolutions defined in Section 5. We will prove the convolution has the Euler product, the same as the cusp form [2].

Let $f$ be a metaplectic form on $H$ of $\Gamma(\lambda^3)$, such that for any $\rho \in SL(2, \mathcal{O})$, we have

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{16} \int \int_{\mathbb{C}/(\lambda^4)} f \left( \rho \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} z \right) d\mu = \Psi_\mu(y)
\end{equation}

where $\Psi_\mu(y)$ is of the form

\[ \sum \alpha_i y^{a_i} \log^{n_i} y, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}. \]

Further, let $f$ be an eigenform of $T_{p^2}$, and $\lambda_p$ be the eigenvalue, i.e.

\begin{equation}
T_{p^2} f = (N^p) \lambda_p f.
\end{equation}

Let $a_\zeta(n), \tau_\zeta(n)$ be the Fourier coefficients of $f(z), \theta(z)$ at the cusp $\zeta$ respectively. Define

\begin{equation}
D(s) = \sum_{\zeta \in \Theta} \sum_{n \in \lambda^{-4}} \frac{a_\zeta(n) \tau_\zeta(n)}{(Nn)^s}.
\end{equation}
We have

**Theorem 4.1.**

\( (4.4) \)

\[ \zeta_{K,\lambda}(2s)D(s) = R(s)R_\lambda(s), \]

where \( \zeta_{K,\lambda}(2s) \) is the Dedekind \( \zeta \) function of \( K \) with the \( \lambda \)-factor removed, and

\[
R(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_p(Np)^{\frac{1}{2} - 2s} + (Np)^{1-4s})},
\]

\[
R_\lambda(s) = \sum_{n \in (\lambda^{-4})} \frac{a_\zeta(n)\zeta(n)}{(Nn)^s}.
\]

**Proof.** By (2.8), writing \( n = n'p^j \), with \( j \geq 0, (n', p) = 1 \), we have

\[
\lambda_p a_p(n') = (Np)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{n'}{p} \right) a_p(n') + a_p(n'p^2),
\]

\[
\lambda_p a_p(n'p^j) = a(n'p^j),
\]

\[
\lambda_p a_p(n'p^{j+2}) = a(n'p^{j+2}) \text{ for } j \geq 2.
\]

For prime \( p \), \( (p, \lambda) = 1 \) and for any cusp \( \zeta \), using the above relations it is easily checked that

\[
\left(1 - \lambda_p(Np)^{\frac{1}{2} - 2s} + (Np)^{1-4s}\right) \sum_{n \in (\lambda^{-4}) \atop n \neq 0} \frac{a_\zeta(n)\zeta(n)}{(Nn)^s}
\]

\[
= \left(1 - \lambda_p(Np)^{\frac{1}{2} - 2s} + (Np)^{1-4s}\right) \sum_{(n, p) = 1} \sum_{n \in (\lambda^{-4}) \atop n \neq 0} \frac{a_\zeta(np^{2j})\zeta(np^{2j})}{(Nnp^{2j})^s}
\]

\[
= (1 - Np^{-2s}) \sum_{(n, p) = 1} \sum_{n \in (\lambda^{-4}) \atop n \neq 0} \frac{a_\zeta(n)\zeta(n)}{(Nn)^s}.
\]

Removing all the primes, we complete the proof of the theorem.

\( \square \)

5. The global integral and the functional equation

Let \( F(z) = f(z)\theta(z) \), and \( f(z) \) be a noncuspidal form satisfying the conditions in (4.1); then \( F(z) \) is a nonmetaplectic form on \( \Gamma(\lambda^3) \). Under the assumption that the constant term of \( f(z) \) at any cusp \( \zeta \) is of the form \( \psi_\zeta(y) \), as mentioned in Section 4, refer to (4.1), it is easy to see that \( F(z) \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2, because \( \theta(z) \) has a constant term (scalar multiple of) \( y^\frac{1}{2} \), and all Whittaker functions are of rapid decay. For this \( F(z) \), define \( R(F, s) \) as in (3.7), with \( \Psi_\zeta(y) = \psi_\zeta(y) \cdot \text{ (scalar multiple of } y^{\frac{1}{2}}) \). We have

**Theorem 5.1.** For \( \text{Re}(s) \) sufficiently large,

\[ (5.1) \]

\[
\frac{1}{8}R(F, s) = \zeta_K(2s) \cdot G(s) \cdot \sum_{\zeta \in \Theta} \sum_{n \in (\lambda^{-4}) \atop n \neq 0} \frac{a_\zeta(n)\zeta(n)}{(Nn)^s} = \zeta_K(2s) \cdot G(s) \cdot D(s)
\]
where
\[ G(s) = \int_0^\infty W_f \left( \begin{smallmatrix} y & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) W_\theta \left( \begin{smallmatrix} y & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) y^{2s-3} dy. \]

Proof. For each cusp \( \zeta \)
\[
\int_{\Gamma_\infty(\Lambda^3) \backslash \mathbb{H}} (F(\zeta z) - \Psi_\zeta(z)) d\mu
= \int_0^\infty \sum_{\substack{n \in (\Lambda^{-1}) \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_\zeta(n) \tau_\zeta(n)}{(Nn)^s} W_f \left( \begin{smallmatrix} ny & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) W_\theta \left( \begin{smallmatrix} ny & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) y^{2s-2} \frac{dy}{y}
= \sum_{\substack{n \in (\Lambda^{-1}) \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_\zeta(n) \tau_\zeta(n)}{(Nn)^s} \int_0^\infty W_f \left( \begin{smallmatrix} y & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) W_\theta \left( \begin{smallmatrix} y & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) y^{2s-2} \frac{dy}{y}
= \sum_{\substack{n \in (\Lambda^{-1}) \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{a_\zeta(n) \tau_\zeta(n)}{(Nn)^s} \cdot G(s).
\]

Summing over the cusps, we have the desired result.

Now, as proven in (3.8), \( R(F, s) \) has the functional equation. Thus \( D(s) \) has the functional equation and Euler product.
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