

HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONS OF PRE-QUANTUM LINE BUNDLES ON $G/(P, P)$

MENG-KIAT CHUAH

(Communicated by Roe Goodman)

ABSTRACT. Let $G = KAN$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of a complex connected semi-simple Lie group G . Let $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup containing AN , and let (P, P) be its commutator subgroup. In this paper, we characterize the K -invariant Kähler structures on $G/(P, P)$, and study the holomorphic sections of their corresponding pre-quantum line bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a compact connected semi-simple Lie group, let G be its complexification, and let $G = KAN$ be an Iwasawa decomposition. Let T be the centralizer of A in K , so that $H = TA$ is a Cartan subgroup, and $B = HN$ is a Borel subgroup of G . Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G containing B , and (P, P) its commutator subgroup. Each P determines a subgroup $A_P \subset A$ via Langlands decomposition $P = M_P A_P N_P$ ([7], p. 132). It also determines a subtorus $T_P \subset T$, which makes $H_P = T_P A_P$ complex. Since H_P normalizes (P, P) , it has right action on $G/(P, P)$. In [3], we consider $K \times T_P$ -invariant Kähler structures ω on $G/(P, P)$, and study the pre-quantum line bundle [8] corresponding to ω . We then observe that the holomorphic sections of the pre-quantum line bundle constitute a nice multiplicity-free K -representation. In this paper, we show that if the K -invariant ω is not preserved by the right T_P -action, then the pre-quantum line bundle has no holomorphic section other than the zero section.

The Lie algebra of a Lie group shall always be denoted by its lowercase German letter. For instance, \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{t}_P are the Lie algebras of H and T_P respectively.

Consider the root system in \mathfrak{h}^* . By declaring \mathfrak{n} to be the negative root spaces, we obtain a system of positive roots in \mathfrak{h}^* . Let Δ be the simple roots. There is a natural bijective correspondence between the parabolic subgroups P containing B and the subsets of Δ . Namely, P corresponds to $\Delta_P \subset \Delta$ by

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_P = \{\lambda \in \Delta ; (\lambda, v) \neq 0 \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{t}_P\}.$$

Note that as P grows bigger, Δ_P gets smaller. For example, $\Delta_B = \Delta$.

Received by the editors October 15, 1998.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22E10, 53D50.

Key words and phrases. Kähler, Lie group, line bundle.

This research was supported in part by the NSC of Taiwan, Contract NSC 88-2115-M-009020.

Fix one parabolic subgroup P containing B , with corresponding simple roots $\Delta_P = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ via (1.1). Each λ_i is integral, in the sense that there is a multiplicative homomorphism $\chi_i : H \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \chi_i(e^v) = \exp(\lambda_i, v)$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{h}$. Let R_t denote the right action of $t \in T_P$.

Theorem 1. *Every K -invariant Kähler form on $G/(P, P)$ can be uniquely expressed as*

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} F + \sum_1^r d\beta_i,$$

where $R_t^* \beta_i = \chi_i(t) \beta_i$ for all $t \in T_P$. So ω has a potential function if and only if it is right T_P -invariant, if and only if $\sum_1^r d\beta_i$ vanishes.

Let ω be a K -invariant Kähler form on $G/(P, P)$. By Theorem 1, ω is exact. Therefore it is integral, and corresponds to a pre-quantum line bundle \mathbf{L} in the sense of Kostant [8]. Namely the Chern class of \mathbf{L} is $[\omega] = 0$, and \mathbf{L} has a connection ∇ whose curvature is ω . A smooth section s of \mathbf{L} is said to be holomorphic if $\nabla_v s = 0$ whenever v is an anti-holomorphic vector field [5]. Let $H(\mathbf{L})$ denote the holomorphic sections of \mathbf{L} . The K -action on $G/(P, P)$ lifts to a K -representation on $H(\mathbf{L})$. In [3], we show that if ω is right T_P -invariant, then every irreducible K -representation with highest weight in \mathfrak{k}_P^* occurs exactly once in $H(\mathbf{L})$. The following theorem observes the opposite situation, when ω is not right T_P -invariant.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that ω does not satisfy the equivalent conditions given in Theorem 1. Then $H(\mathbf{L}) = 0$.*

We remark that partial results of Theorems 1 and 2 appear in [1] and [4], for the special case where P is the Borel subgroup HN . The present paper extends those results to general parabolic subgroups P .

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

In this section, we prove the two theorems mentioned in the introduction. We start with the following topological property of $G/(P, P)$.

Proposition 3. $H^2(G/(P, P), \mathbf{R}) = 0$.

Proof. Let K^P be the centralizer of T_P in K , and $K_{ss}^P = (K^P, K^P)$ be its commutator subgroup. As a manifold, $G/(P, P) = (K/K_{ss}^P) \times A_P$ [3]. Since A_P has the topology of an Euclidean space, it suffices to show that $H^2(K/K_{ss}^P, \mathbf{R}) = 0$. But K is compact. So we only need to consider the DeRham subcomplex of K -invariant differential forms on K/K_{ss}^P , and show that the H^2 of this subcomplex vanishes. This is done via relative Lie algebra cohomology as follows.

We restrict the coadjoint representation of K to K_{ss}^P , and get

$$Ad^* : K_{ss}^P \rightarrow Aut(\mathfrak{k}^*).$$

We extend this representation to exterior algebras, then differentiate to get the Lie algebra representation

$$ad^* : \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P \rightarrow End(\wedge^q \mathfrak{k}^*).$$

The relative Lie algebra cohomology is defined by the complex

$$(2.1) \quad \wedge^q (\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P)^* = \{\omega \in \wedge^q \mathfrak{k}^* ; \iota(v)\omega = ad_v^* \omega = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P\}.$$

Here $\iota(v)\omega$ denotes the interior product. We write $H^q(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P)$ for the cohomology resulting from (2.1). The elements in (2.1) can be naturally identified with K -invariant differential forms on K/K_{ss}^P . Hence to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that

$$(2.2) \quad H^2(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P) = 0.$$

Let $\omega \in \wedge^2(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P)^*$, and suppose that $d\omega = 0$. Since \mathfrak{k} is semi-simple, $H^2(\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ by the Whitehead lemma [6]. So since $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{k}^*$, there exists $\beta \in \wedge^1 \mathfrak{k}^*$ such that $d\beta = \omega$. To prove (2.2), we need to show that $\beta \in \wedge^1(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P)^*$; namely

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \beta, v \rangle = ad_v^* \beta = 0$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P$.

Pick $v \in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P$. Up to linear combination, there exist $x, y \in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P$ such that $v = [x, y]$ because \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P is semi-simple. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \beta, v \rangle &= \langle \beta, [x, y] \rangle \\ &= d\beta(x, y) \\ &= \omega(x, y) \\ &= (\iota(x)\omega)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega \in \wedge^2(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P)^*$ and $x \in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P$, we get $\iota(x)\omega = 0$. Therefore, the last expression in (2.4) vanishes. This proves half of (2.3), and we next prove the other half of it.

Pick $x \in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^P$ and $y \in \mathfrak{k}$. By following the arguments of (2.4), we get

$$\langle ad_x^* \beta, y \rangle = \langle \beta, [x, y] \rangle = (\iota(x)\omega)(y) = 0.$$

Hence $ad_x^* \beta = 0$. This completes the proof of (2.3), which implies (2.2). Proposition 3 follows. \square

Let W be the Weyl group, acting on the roots in \mathfrak{h}^* . Given $\tau \in W$, we let $l(\tau)$ denote its length. Let ρ denote half the sum of all positive roots.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let ω be a K -invariant Kähler form on $G/(P, P)$. By Proposition 3, $\omega = d\beta$ for some real 1-form β . We write

$$(2.5) \quad \beta = \alpha + \bar{\alpha},$$

where α is a $(0, 1)$ -form. Since ω is a $(1, 1)$ -form, it follows from $d\beta = \omega$ that $\bar{\partial}\alpha = \partial\bar{\alpha} = 0$. In other words, we get a Dolbeault cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^{0,1}(G/(P, P))$. We suppress $G/(P, P)$ and write $H^{0,1}$ for simplicity.

Consider $H^{0,1}$ as a $K \times T_P$ -representation space. Let $H_K^{0,1} \subset H^{0,1}$ denote the K -invariant cohomology classes. Since ω is K -invariant, averaging by K if necessary, we may assume that β and α of (2.5) are also K -invariant. In other words, $[\alpha] \in H_K^{0,1}$. For an integral weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{k}_P^*$, let $H_\lambda^{0,1} \subset H^{0,1}$ be the cohomology classes which transform by λ under the right T_P -action. By Theorem 2 of [2], $H_K^{0,1}$ splits into 1-dimensional subrepresentations $H_\lambda^{0,1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{k}_P^*$ in which we can find $\tau \in W$ satisfying

$$(2.6) \quad \tau(\lambda + \rho) - \rho = 0, \quad l(\tau) = 1.$$

But condition (2.6) simply means that $-\lambda$ is a simple root which lies in \mathfrak{k}_P^* . Equivalently $-\lambda \in \Delta_P$, where $\Delta_P = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ consists of the simple roots in (1.1).

Therefore, there exist $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0,1)$ -forms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad [\alpha] = \left[\sum_1^r \alpha_i \right] \in H_K^{0,1}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad [\alpha_i] \in H_{-\lambda_i}^{0,1} \subset H_K^{0,1}.$$

Here (2.7) says that

$$(2.9) \quad \alpha = \bar{\partial}f + \sum_1^r \alpha_i$$

for some smooth function f . For the negative root $-\lambda_i$, the character corresponding to it via (1.2) is χ_i^{-1} . Therefore, (2.8) says that for all right action of R_t of $t \in T_P$,

$$(2.10) \quad R_t^* \alpha_i = \chi_i^{-1}(t^{-1}) \alpha_i = \chi_i(t) \alpha_i.$$

Let $\beta_i = \alpha_i + \bar{\alpha}_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then by (2.5) and (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \alpha + \bar{\alpha} \\ &= \bar{\partial}f + \partial\bar{f} + \sum_1^r (\alpha_i + \bar{\alpha}_i) \\ &= \bar{\partial}f + \partial\bar{f} + \sum_1^r \beta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by setting $F = \sqrt{-1}(\bar{f} - f)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= d\beta = \partial\bar{\partial}f + \bar{\partial}\partial\bar{f} + \sum_1^r d\beta_i \\ &= \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F + \sum_1^r d\beta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since ω, β and α are K -invariant, we can take β_i, α_i and f to be K -invariant too. Since f is a K -invariant function on $G/(P, P) = (K/K_{ss}^P) \times A_P$, it is automatically $K \times T_P$ -invariant. Therefore, F and $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F$ are also $K \times T_P$ -invariant.

Each β_i behaves by χ_i in (2.10) under the right T_P -action. If $\sum_1^r d\beta_i$ does not vanish and has a potential function, then it is right T_P -invariant, which is impossible. Therefore, ω has a potential function if and only if $\sum_1^r d\beta_i$ vanishes. This can also be seen from the nontrivial Dolbeault cohomology classes $[\alpha_i] \neq 0$. Equivalently, the vanishing of $\sum_1^r d\beta_i$ leaves $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F$ to be right T_P -invariant. This proves Theorem 1. \square

Let ω be a K -invariant Kähler form on $G/(P, P)$. By Theorem 1 ω is exact, so it is in particular integral. Let \mathbf{L} be the pre-quantum line bundle [8] corresponding to ω . We now prove Theorem 2, concerning the holomorphic sections on \mathbf{L} .

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $B \subset P$ and $N = (B, B) \subset (P, P)$, we have the natural fibration

$$\pi : G/N \longrightarrow G/(P, P).$$

Suppose that ω is not invariant under the right T_P -action. Since π intertwines with the $K \times T_P$ -action, $\pi^*\omega$ is K -invariant but not right T_P -invariant. Although

$\pi^*\omega$ is not Kähler, it is a closed $(1,1)$ -form on G/N . Therefore, $\pi^*\omega$ accepts most arguments in [4], including Theorem 1 there. Namely, the only holomorphic section on the pre-quantum line bundle of $\pi^*\omega$ is the zero section.

Let \mathbf{L} be the pre-quantum line bundle corresponding to ω . Then $\pi^*\mathbf{L}$ is the pre-quantum line bundle corresponding to $\pi^*\omega$. If s is a holomorphic section on \mathbf{L} and $s \neq 0$, then π^*s is a holomorphic section on $\pi^*\mathbf{L}$ and $\pi^*s \neq 0$. This is a contradiction, so the only holomorphic section on \mathbf{L} is the zero section. Hence Theorem 2 is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M.K. CHUAH, K -invariant Kaehler structures on $K_{\mathbf{C}}/N$ and the associated line bundles, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3481-3491. MR **97a**:32034
- [2] M.K. CHUAH, The generalized Borel-Weil theorem and cohomology of $G/(P, P)$, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46** (1997), 117-131. MR **98d**:22013
- [3] M.K. CHUAH, Kaehler structures on $K_{\mathbf{C}}/(P, P)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 3373-3390. MR **97k**:22016
- [4] M.K. CHUAH, Holomorphic sections of pre-quantum line bundles on G/N , *Michigan Math. J.* **45** (1998), 375-385. MR **99j**:32031
- [5] V. GUILLEMIN AND S. STERNBERG, Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations, *Invent. Math.* **67** (1982), 515-538. MR **83m**:58040
- [6] V. GUILLEMIN AND S. STERNBERG, *Symplectic techniques in physics*, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge 1984. MR **86f**:58054
- [7] A. KNAPP, *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton U. Press, Princeton 1986. MR **87j**:22022
- [8] B. KOSTANT, Quantization and unitary representations, *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.* **170** (1970), 87-208. MR **45**:3638

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHIAO TUNG UNIVERSITY, 1001 TA HSUEH ROAD, HSINCHU, TAIWAN

E-mail address: chuah@math.nctu.edu.tw