PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 129, Number 7, Pages 2187-2189 S 0002-9939(01)06098-1 Article electronically published on February 15, 2001

## A SHORT PROOF THAT HYPERSPACES OF PEANO CONTINUA ARE ABSOLUTE RETRACTS

## SERGEY ANTONYAN

(Communicated by Alan Dow)

ABSTRACT. We give a short proof of Wojdyslawski's famous theorem.

**Theorem** (Wojdyslawski [6]). Let X be a Peano continuum. Then the hyperspace  $2^X$  of all nonempty compact subsets of X is an absolute retract for metric spaces.

This result is an essential step in the proof of the Curtis-Schori-West Hyperspace Theorem to the effect that  $2^X$  is a Hilbert cube for any Peano continuum X(see, e.g., the book of van Mill [5, §8.4]). Wojdyslawski's original proof is rather complicated [6]. A simpler proof was suggested later on by Kelley [4], which is, however, based on a difficult Lefschetz-Dugundji characterization of metric ANR's (see [5, Theorem 5.2.1]). Yet another proof, also based on the Lefschetz-Dugundji characterization, can be found in [5, §5.3]. Our proof is elementary and it does not rely on the Lefschetz-Dugundji criterion.

**Proof.** Let d be any compatible metric on X and let  $d_H$  be the Hausdorff metric on  $2^X$ . Assume that  $(Y, \rho)$  is a metric space, A is a closed subset of Y and  $f : A \to 2^X$  is a continuous map. Following [3], choose a canonical cover  $\omega$  of  $Y \setminus A$  in Y, that is to say: (1)  $\omega$  is an open cover of  $Y \setminus A$ , locally finite in  $Y \setminus A$ ; (2) for each neighborhood V of a point  $a \in A$  in Y there exists a neighborhood S of a in Y contained in V, such that every element  $U \in \omega$  which meets S is contained in V. We note that the second condition implies that every neighborhood of any boundary point of A in Y contains infinitely many open sets in  $\omega$  (see [2, Ch. III, §1]).

Let  $\mathcal{N}(\omega)$  denote the nerve of  $\omega$  endowed with the CW topology. We will denote by  $p_U$  the vertex of  $\mathcal{N}(\omega)$  corresponding to  $U \in \omega$ . Then according to [3], there exist a Hausdorff space Z and a continuous map  $\mu : Y \to Z$  with the following properties:

(a) Z as a set coincides with the disjoint union  $A \cup \mathcal{N}(\omega)$ ;

(b) A is closed in Z and the restriction  $\mu|_A$  is the identical homeomorphism;

(c)  $Z \setminus A = \mathcal{N}(\omega)$  is taken with its CW topology and  $\mu(Y \setminus A) \subset Z \setminus \mu(A)$ ;

(d) a base of neighborhoods of  $a \in A$  in Z is determined by selecting a neighborhood W of a in Y and taking in Z the set  $W \cap A$  together with the closed

©2001 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors October 29, 1999 and, in revised form, September 5, 2000.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54B20; Secondary 54C55.

*Key words and phrases.* Peano continuum, absolute retract, hyperspace, canonical cover, nerve. The author was partially supported by PAPIIT (UNAM) research grant IN105800.

star of every vertex  $p_U$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\omega)$  corresponding to a set  $U \in \omega$  with  $U \subset W$ . This neighborhood is denoted by  $\widetilde{W}$ .

It is sufficient to prove that f extends to a continuous map  $F: Z \to 2^X$ ; then the map  $\Phi = F\mu: Y \to 2^X$  will be the desired extension of f.

Let  $\mathcal{N}_k(\omega)$  denote the k-skeleton of  $\mathcal{N}(\omega)$ . First we extend f to a map  $f_0$ :  $A \cup \mathcal{N}_0(\omega) \to 2^X$  as follows: in every set  $U \in \omega$  we select a point  $x_U$  and then choose a point  $a_U \in A$  such that  $\rho(x_U, a_U) < 2\rho(x_U, A)$ . Set  $f_0(p_U) = f(a_U)$  and  $f_0(a) = f(a)$  for  $a \in A$ . It is readily seen that  $f_0$  is continuous. Now we will extend  $f_0$  over each simplex of  $\mathcal{N}(\omega)$  and thus we obtain the desired map F. Since  $2^X$  is a Peano continuum [5, Proposition 5.3.10], it is path-connected and locally pathconnected by a well-known result of Mazurkiewicz (see [5, Theorem 5.3.13]). For any two points  $B, C \in 2^X$  we select a path  $l_{B,C}$ :  $[0,1] \to 2^X$  such that  $l_{B,C}(0) = B$ ,  $l_{B,C}(1) = C$  and

diam  $l_{B,C}([0,1]) < 2\inf\{\text{diam } \gamma([0,1]): \gamma \text{ is a path from } B \text{ to } C\}.$ 

We now extend  $f_0$  to a map  $f_1 : A \cup \mathcal{N}_1(\omega) \to 2^X$  by the rule:  $f_1(a) = f_0(a)$ for  $a \in A$  and  $f_1(tp_U + (1-t)p_V) = l_{f_0(p_U),f_0(p_V)}(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . One needs to prove  $f_1$  continuous only at points of A. Let  $a \in A$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $O(f(a), \delta)$  be the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of f(a) in  $2^X$ . By the local path-connectedness of  $2^X$ , there is a path-connected neighborhood Q of  $f_0(a) = f(a)$  contained in  $O(f(a), \varepsilon/8)$ . By continuity of  $f_0$ , there exists a neighborhood of a in Z of the form  $\widetilde{W}$  such that  $f_0(\widetilde{W} \cap (A \cup \mathcal{N}_0(\omega))) \subset Q$ . Then  $f_1(\widetilde{W} \cap (A \cup \mathcal{N}_1(\omega))) \subset O(f(a), \varepsilon)$ . Indeed, if  $z=tp_U + (1-t)p_V \in \widetilde{W} \cap \mathcal{N}_1(\omega)$ , then  $f_0(p_U), f_0(p_V) \in Q$ ; so Q contains a path  $\gamma$ , connecting  $f_0(p_U)$  and  $f_0(p_V)$ . Hence diam  $\gamma([0,1]) < \varepsilon/4$ , which implies that diam  $l_{f_0(p_U), f_0(p_V)}([0,1]) < \varepsilon/2$ . Then  $d_H(f_1(z), f_1(a)) < \varepsilon$  because  $f_1(z) \in$  $l_{f_0(p_U), f_0(p_V)}([0,1])$ .

Now suppose that a continuous extension  $f_k : A \cup \mathcal{N}_k(\omega) \to 2^X$  of  $f_{k-1}, k \ge 1$  has already been constructed. We shall construct an extension  $f_{k+1}: A \cup \mathcal{N}_{k+1}(\omega) \to 2^X$ of  $f_k$ . Let  $\sigma$  be any (k+1)-dimensional simplex in  $\mathcal{N}(\omega)$ . Let  $\mathbb{B}^{k+1}$  be the (k+1)dimensional Euclidean closed unit ball and  $\mathbb{S}^k$  be its boundary sphere. We aim at applying the following well-known easy fact: for every  $k \ge 1$  there exists a continuous function  $r: \mathbb{B}^{k+1} \to 2^{\mathbb{S}^k}$  such that  $r(y) = \{y\}$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{S}^k$  (see, e.g., [5, Proposition 5.3.11]). To this end, it is convenient to identify the pair  $(\sigma, \partial \sigma)$ with  $(\mathbb{B}^{k+1}, \mathbb{S}^k)$ . Then the preceding fact insures the existence of a continuous map  $r_{\sigma}: \sigma \to 2^{\partial \sigma}$  such that  $r_{\sigma}(z) = \{z\}$  for every  $z \in \partial \sigma$ . The map  $g_{\sigma}: 2^{\partial \sigma} \to 2^X$ defined by  $g_{\sigma}(C) = \bigcup_{c \in C} f_k(c)$  is continuous [5, Corollary 5.3.7]. Then  $f_{\sigma} = g_{\sigma} r_{\sigma}$ :  $\sigma \to 2^X$  is a continuous extension of  $f_k|_{\partial\sigma}$ . Now we set  $f_{k+1}(z) = f_{\sigma}(z)$  if  $z \in \sigma$ , and  $f_{k+1}(a) = f_k(a)$  if  $a \in A$ . Then  $f_{k+1}$  extends  $f_k$  and is continuous on  $\mathcal{N}_{k+1}(\omega)$ . We define the map  $F: Z \to 2^X$  as follows:  $F(z) = f_k(z)$  whenever  $z \in A \cup \mathcal{N}_k(\omega)$ . Clearly, F is continuous on  $\mathcal{N}(\omega)$ . Let us check its continuity at points of A. Let  $a \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By continuity of  $f_1$ , there is a neighborhood of a in Z of the form  $\widetilde{W}$  such that  $f_1(\widetilde{W} \cap (A \cup \mathcal{N}_1(\omega))) \subset O(f(a), \varepsilon)$ . We claim that  $F(W) \subset O(f(a), \varepsilon)$ . We shall prove by induction on the dimension of  $\sigma$  that  $F(\sigma) \subset O(f(a), \varepsilon)$  for every simplex  $\sigma \subset W$ . If dim  $\sigma = 1$ , then  $F(\sigma) = f_1(\sigma) \subset O(f(a), \varepsilon)$ . Assume that the claim is true for all simplices  $s \subset \widetilde{W}$  with dim  $s \leq k$ . Let  $\sigma \subset \widetilde{W}$ , dim  $\sigma = k + 1$ and  $z \in \sigma$ . As  $F(z) = \hat{f}_{k+1}(z) = g_{\sigma}(r_{\sigma}(z))$ , we have  $F(z) = \bigcup_{c \in r_{\sigma}(z)} f_k(c)$ . But  $d_H(f_k(c), f(a)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $c \in \partial \sigma$ , and in particular, for all  $c \in r_{\sigma}(z)$ . This yields that  $d_H\left(\bigcup_{c\in r_\sigma(z)} f_k(c), f(a)\right) < \varepsilon$ , i.e.,  $d_H\left(F(z), f(a)\right) < \varepsilon$ , completing the inductive step.

The reader can easily observe that the same proof serves also for Curtis' theorem [1, Theorem 1.6] on growth hyperspaces  $\mathcal{G} \subset 2^X$ , where X is any connected and locally continuum-connected metrizable space.

## References

- D. W. Curtis, Hyperspaces of noncompact metric spaces, Compositio Math. 40 (1980), 139– 152. MR 81c:54009
- 2. K. Borsuk, Theory of retracts, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1967. MR 35:7306
- 3. J. Dugundji, An extension of Tietze's theorem, Pac. J. Math.  ${\bf 1}$  (1951), 353–367. MR  ${\bf 13:}373c$
- J. Kelley, *Hyperspaces of a continuum*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1942), 22–36. MR **3:**315b
- J. van Mill, Infinite-dimensional topology. Prerequisites and Introduction, North Holland, 1989. MR 90a:57025
- M. Wojdyslawski, Retracts absolutes et hyperespaces des continus, Fund. Math. 32 (1939), 184–192.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNAM, CIRCUITO EXTERIOR, C.U., 04510, MÉXICO D.F., MÉXICO

*E-mail address*: antonyan@servidor.unam.mx